Great Books of Islamic Civilization

The Center for Muslim Contribution to Civilization

An Introduction TO THE Science of the Ḥadīth

Kitāb Ma'rifat anwā' 'ilm al-ḥadīth

Ibn al-Şalāḥal-Shahrazūrī

Translated by Dr Eerik Dickinson

Reviewed by Professor Muneer Fareed

An Introduction TO THE Science of the Ḥadīth

كلام الله Kalamullah.Com

Kitāb Ma'rifat anwā' 'ilm al-ḥadīth

Ibn al-Şalāḥal-Shahrazūrī

Translated by Dr Eerik Dickinson

Reviewed by Professor Muneer Fareed

Garnet

Category 32	Rare Words in the Hadith	195
Category 33	Enchained Hadith	197
Category 34	Abrogating and Abrogated Ḥadīth	199
Category 35	Misreadings in the Isnāds and Texts of Hadīth	201
Category 36	Contradictory Hadith	205
Category 37	Additions to Cohesive Isnāds	207
Category 38	Hadith with Hidden Looseness	209
Category 39	The Companions	211
Category 40	The Followers	219
Category 41	Older People Transmitting Hadith from Younger Ones	223
Category 42	Symmetrical Transmissions, and Other Instances of Peers	
	Transmitting from One Another	225
Category 43	Brothers and Sisters among Scholars and Transmitters	227
Category 44	The transmission by Fathers from Their Sons	231
Category 45	The transmission by Sons from Their Fathers	233
Category 46	Those from Whom Two Transmitters, One Early	
	and One Late, both relate	235
Category 47	Companions, Followers and Later Figures from Whom Only	
	a Single Transmitter Related Ḥadīth	237
Category 48	Those Who are Referred to by Different Names or Varying Epithets	241
Category 49	Unique Names, Nicknames and Paidonymics of the Companions,	
	Transmitters of Hadīth, and Other Scholars	243
Category 50	Names and Paidonymics	249
Category 51	The Paidonymics of Those Better Known under Their Name,	
	rather than Their Paidonymic	255
Category 52	Nicknames of Transmitters of Hadith and Other People	
	Mentioned with the Transmitters	259
Category 53	Homographic Names and Gentilics, and Related Matters	265
Category 54	Homonymic Names, Gentilics, and So Forth	277
Category 55	A Category Composed of the Two Previous Categories	283
Category 56	Transmitters Resembling One Another in Name and Lineage	
	Who Are Distinguished by the Relative Position of the Names	
	of the Son and Father	287
Category 57	Those Whose Lineage Refers to Someone Other than Their Father	289
Category 58	Gentilics the Actual Significance of Which Differs from the	
	Apparent One Which First Comes to Mind	293
Category 59	Obscure References	295
Category 60	The Dates of Transmitters	299
Category 61	Reliable and Weak Transmitters of Hadīth	303
Category 62	Reliable Transmitters Who Confused Their Ḥadīth at the End of Their Life	305
Category 63	The Generations of Transmitters and Scholars	309
Category 64	Transmitters of Hadīth and Other Scholars Who Were Clients	311
Category 65	The Residences and Lands of Transmitters	315
Bibliography Index		319 329

Foreword

THE interrelationship and interaction of human cultures and civilizations has made the contributions of each the common heritage of men in all ages and all places. Early Muslim scholars were able to communicate with their Western counterparts through contacts made during the Crusades; at Muslim universities and centres of learning in Muslim Spain (al-Andalus, or Andalusia) and Sicily to which many European students went for education; and at the universities and centres of learning in Europe itself (such as Salerno, Padua, Montpellier, Paris, and Oxford), where Islamic works were taught in Latin translations. Among the Muslim scholars well-known in the centres of learning throughout the world were al-Rāzī (Rhazes), Ibn Sīnā (Avicenna), Ibn Rushd (Averroes), al Khwārizmī and Ibn Khaldūn. Muslim scholars such as these and others produced original works in many fields. Many of them possessed encyclopaedic knowledge and distinguished themselves in many disparate fields of knowledge.

In view of this, the Center for Muslim Contribution to Civilization was established in order to acquaint non-Muslims with the contributions Islam has given to human civilisation as a whole. The Great Books of Islamic Civilization Project attempts to cover the first 800 years of Islam, or what may be called Islam's Classical Period. This project aims at making available in English and other European languages a wide selection of works representative of Islamic civilisation in all its diversity. It is made up of translations of original Arabic works that were produced in the formative centuries of Islam, and is meant to serve the needs of a potentially large readership. Not only the specialist and scholar, but the non-specialist with an interest in Islam and its cultural heritage will be able to benefit from the series. Together, the works should serve as a rich source for the study of the early periods of Islamic thought.

In selecting the books for the series, the Center took into account all major areas of Islamic intellectual pursuit that could be represented. Thus the series includes works not only on better-known subjects such as law, theology, jurisprudence, history and politics, but also on subjects such as literature, medicine, astronomy, optics and geography. The specific criteria used to select individual books were these: that a book should give a faithful and comprehensive account of its field; and that it should be an authoritative source. The reader thus has at his disposal virtually a whole library of informative and enlightening works.

Each book in the series has been translated by a qualified scholar and reviewed by another expert. While the style of one translation will naturally differ from another as do the styles of the authors, the translators have endeavoured, to the extent it was possible, to make the works accessible to the common reader. As a rule, the use of footnotes has been kept to a minimum, though a more extensive use of them was necessitated in some cases.

This series is presented in the hope that it will contribute to a greater understanding in the West of the cultural and intellectual heritage of Islam and will therefore provide an important means towards greater understanding of today's world.

May God Help Us!

Muhammad bin Hamad Al-Thani Chairman of the Board of Trustees

ABOUT THIS SERIES

THIS series of Arabic works, made available in English translation, represents an outstanding selection of important Islamic studies in a variety of fields of knowledge. The works selected for inclusion in this series meet specific criteria. They are recognized by Muslim scholars as being early and important in their fields, as works whose importance is broadly recognized by international scholars, and as having had a genuinely significant impact on the development of human culture.

Readers will therefore see that this series includes a variety of works in the purely Islamic sciences, such as Qur³ān, hadīth, theology, prophetic traditions (sunna), and jurisprudence (fiqh). Also represented will be books by Muslim scientists on medicine, astronomy, geography, physics, chemistry, horticulture, and other fields.

The work of translating these texts has been entrusted to a group of professors in the Islamic and Western worlds who are recognized authorities in their fields. It has been deemed appropriate, in order to ensure accuracy and fluency, that two persons, one with Arabic as his mother tongue and another with English as his mother tongue, should participate together in the translation and revision of each text.

This series is distinguished from other similar intercultural projects by its distinctive objectives and methodology. These works will fill a genuine gap in the library of human thought. They will prove extremely useful to all those with an interest in Islamic culture, its interaction with Western thought, and its impact on culture throughout the world. They will, it is hoped, fulfil an important rôle in enhancing world understanding at a time when there is such evident and urgent need for the development of peaceful coexistence.

This series is published by the Center for Muslim Contribution to Civilization, which serves as a research centre under the patronage of H.H. Sheikh Muhammad bin Hamad al-Thani, the former Minister of Education of Qatar who also chairs the Board of Trustees. The Board is comprised of a group of prominent scholars. These include His Eminence Sheikh Al-Azhar, Arab Republic of Egypt, and Dr Yousef al-Qaradhawi, Director of the Sira and Sunna Research Center. At its inception the Center was directed by the late Dr Muhammad Ibrahim Kazim, former Rector of Qatar University, who established its initial objectives.

The Center was until recently directed by Dr Kamal Naji, the Foreign Cultural Relations Advisor of the Ministry of Education of Qatar. He was assisted by a Board comprising a number of academicians of Qatar University, in addition to a consultative committee chaired by Dr Ezzeddin Ibrahim, former Rector of the University of the United Arab Emirates. A further committee

acting on behalf of the Center has been the prominent university professors who act under the chairmanship of Dr Raji Rammuny, Professor of Arabic at the University of Michigan. This committee is charged with making known, in Europe and in America, the books selected for translation, and in selecting and enlisting properly qualified university professors, orientalists and students of Islamic studies to undertake the work of translation and revision, as well as overseeing the publication process.

CENTER FOR MUSLIM CONTRIBUTION TO CIVILIZATION

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

H.E. Sheikh Muhammad bin Hamad al-Thani Chairman

MEMBERS

- 1. H.Eminence Sheikh al-Azhar, Cairo, Arab Republic of Egypt.
- Director-General of the Islamic Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (ISESCO).
- Director-General of the Arab League Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization (ALECSO).
- 4. H.E. the Minister of Education, State of Qatar.
- 5. H.E. the Minister of Education, Kuwait.
- 6. H.E. the Minister of Education, Oman.
- 7. H.E. the Secretary-General of the Muslim World Association, Saudi Arabia.
- 8. H.E. Dr Ezzeddin Ibrahim, Cultural Advisor to H.H. the President of the U.A.E.
- Professor Yousef al-Qaradhawi, Director, Sira and Sunna Research Centre, University of Qatar.
- 10. Chairman, Arab Historians Union.
- 11. Professor Cesar Adib Majul, Professor at the American Universities.

Following are the names of the late prominent Muslim figures who (may Allāh have mercy upon them) passed away after they had taken vital roles in the preliminary discussions of the Center's goals, work plan and activities. They are:

- Dr Kamal Naji, former General Supervisor, Center for Muslim Contribution to Civilization, Qatar (7 October 1997).
- Sheikh Jad al-Haq Ali Jad al-Haq, Sheikh al-Azhar, Cairo, Arab Republic of Egypt.
- 3. Dr Muhammad Ibrahim Kazim, former Rector, University of Qatar.
- Sheikh Abdullah bin Ibrahim al-Ansari, former Chairman, Department for the Revival of Islamic Cultural Heritage, State of Qatar.
- Muhammad al-Fasi, former Honorary Chairman, Islamic University Rabat, Kingdom of Morocco.
- Dr Abul-Wafa al-Taftazani, former Deputy Rector, University of Cairo, Arab Republic of Egypt.
- 7. Senator Mamimatal Tamano, former member of the Philippino Congress and Muslim leader in the Philippines.

CENTER FOR MUSLIM CONTRIBUTION TO CIVILIZATION

Board

H.E. Sheikh Muhammad bin Hamad al-Thani Chairman of the Board of Trustees

Professor Osman Sid-Ahmad Ismail al-Bili General Supervisor, Professor of Middle Eastern and Islamic History, University of Qatar

MEMBERS

- 1. H.E. Shaykha Ahmad Almahmud, Minister of Education, State of Qatar.
- 2. Professor Ibrahim Saleh al-Nuaimi, former Rector, University of Qatar.
- Professor Yousef al-Qaradhawi, Director, Sira and Sunna Research Centre, University of Qatar.
- Professor Husam al-Khateeb, Professor of Arabic, Cultural Expert, National Council for Culture, Arts and Heritage, State of Qatar.
- 5. Professor Abd al-Hamid Ismail al-Ansari, College of Sharia, Law and Islamic Studies.
- 6. H.E. Dr Hasan al-Nimi, Ambassador, State of Qatar.
- 7. Dr Hasan al-Ansari, Amiri Diwan, College of Arts and Science, University of Qatar.
- 8. Dr Ahmad Muhammad Ubaidan (Treasurer) Observer, Advisory Council and Director-General, Trans-Orient Establishment (Doha, Qatar).

CENTER'S ADVISORS
H.E. Dr Ezzeddin Ibrahim
Cultural Advisor to H.H. the President of the U.A.E.

Professor Raji Mahmoud Rammuny
Director of the Center's Translation Committee in the U.S.A.
Professor of Arabic Studies, Department of Near Eastern Studies,
University of Michigan, U.S.A.

TRANSLATOR'S INTRODUCTION

Which includes all of the various disciplines making up the study of hadīth. Among the works in this field are certain guidebooks which attempt to summarize the entire range of this material to allow students to understand the terminology of the collectors of hadīth and to validate the methods of these collectors. Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalānī (773/1372–852/1449) presents a brief survey of the history of this sub-genre in the introduction to his *Nuzhat al-nazar*, his commentary on his own *Nukhbat al-fikar*.

The ancient and modern experts had many works about the terminology of the hadith scholars. Among the first to compose a work on this subject was the judge Abū Muḥammad al-Rāmahurmuzī. His book was al-Muḥaddith al-fāṣil.³ However, he was not thorough. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī [was another one of the first] but he did not revise and properly arrange [the material].⁴ Abū Nuʿaym al-Iṣbahānī followed him. He did make a supplement (mustakhraj) to the book of [al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī], but he left some things for his successors. Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī came after them. He composed a book he called al-Kifāya⁵ on the rules of transmission and another on the guidelines [of transmission] which he called al-Jāmiʿ li-ādāb al-shaykh wa-'l-sāmiʿ.⁶ Few were aspects of ḥadīth on which he did not compose a monograph. As Abū Bakr b. Nuqṭa⁻ put it, "Everyone who is fair knows that the scholars of ḥadīth after al-Khaṭīb are dependant on his books." Some

¹ For a brief discussion of this genre, see the article "Uṣūl al-ḥadīth" in *The-Encyclopaedia of Islam* (2nd edn., Leiden, 1953 ff.). The most thorough treatment of the development of this literary genre remains William Marçais' introduction to his translation of Nawawī's al-Taqrib ma-'l-taysīr li-ma'rīfat sunan al-Bashīr al-Nadhīr, which originally appeared in installments in Journal Asiatique and was later issued as a separate volume under the title Le Taqrîb de en-Nawawi (Paris, 1902).

^{2 (}Benares, 1394/1974), 3-4. See also id., al-Majma' al-mw'assas bi-'l-Mu'jam al-mufahras, ed. Yūsuf 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Mar'ashlī, 4 vols (Beirut, 1413/1992-1415/1994), 1:185-6.

³ Al-Muḥaddith al-faṣil bayn al-rāwi wa-'l-wā'i, ed. Muḥammad 'Ajjāj al-Khaṭīb (Beirut, 1391/1971).

⁴ Kitāb Ma'rifat 'ulūm al-hadīth, ed. al-Sayyid Mu'azzam Husayn (Cairo, 1937).

⁵ Kitāb al-Kifāya fi ilm al-riwāya (Hyderabad, 1357).

^{6 (}Beirut, 1417/1996).

⁷ Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Baghdādī (579/1183-629/1231) produced a number of works on ḥadīth and gentilics; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-a'yān wa-anbā' abaa' al-zamān, ed. Ihsān 'Abbās, 8 vols (Beirut, 1968-72), 4:292-3.

came after al-Khaṭīb and took a share of this knowledge. Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ composed a short book which he called al-Ilmāʿ and Abū Ḥafṣ al-Mayānajī [that is al-Mayyānishī] wrote a pamphlet which he entitled Mā lā yasaʿu al-muḥaddith jahluhū.

With the perspective given to him by the passage of two centuries, Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī regards Kitāb Maʿrifat anwāʿ ʿilm al-ḥadīth, more popularly known as the Muqaddima, of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ al-Shahrazūrī as the most influential work on the study of ḥadīth: "The people took it up and followed its method. The versifiers, epitomizers, supplementers, abridgers, critics and proponents of it are innumerable." Library catalogs bear witness that for the next few centuries the belief prevailed that the market could always bear another synopsis, in either verse or prose, of the contents of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's work. Indeed, it would be no exaggeration to say that to this day most traditional hadīth scholarship is directly or indirectly based on this one work.

Ibn al-Şalāḥ al-Shahrazūrī

The career of Taqī al-Dīn Abū 'Amr 'Uthmān b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Shahrazūrī¹⁰ was shaped to a remarkable degree by the political currents of his age. He was

⁸ Al-Ilmā' ilā ma'rifat uṣūl al-riwāya wa-taqyīd al-'ilm, ed. al-Sayyid Aḥmad Ṣaqr (Cairo, 1389/1970).

⁹ Ed. Subhī al-Samarrā'i (Baghdad, 1967); trans. L. Librande, Muslim World, 72 (1982): 34-50.

¹⁰ The following are the main sources for the life of Ibn al-Salah: Sibt b. al-Jawzi, Mirat al-zamān fi ta³rikh al-a⁴yān, vol. 8 in 2 parts (Hyderabad, 1370/1951-1371/1952), 8(2):757-8; Abū Shāma, al-Dhayl 'ala 'l-Rawdatayn, ed. Muhammad Zāhid b. al-Ḥasan al-Kawtharī (Cairo, 1366/1947), 175-6; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt, 3:243-5; Ibn Rushayd, MiP al-cayba bi-mā jumi'a bi-tūl al-ghayba, vol. 3, ed. Muhammad al-Habīb b. al-Khūja (Tunis, 1981), 214-19; Dhahabi, al-Ibar fi khabar man ghabar, ed. Abū Hājir Muḥammad al-Sa'id b. Basyūnī Zaghlūl, 4 vols (Beirut, 1405/1985), 3:246-7; id., Siyar a'lām al-nubalā', ed. Shu'ayb al-Arna'ut, 25 vols (Beirut, 1401/1981-1409/1988), 23:140-4; id., Tadhkirat al-huffāz, 4 vols (Hyderabad, 1955-8), 4:1430-31; id., Ta²rikh al-Islām wa-wafayāt al-mashāhir wa-'l-a'lām, ed. Umar 'Abd al-Salām al-Tadmurī (Beirut, 1407/1987 ff), 53:184-8; Subkī, Tabaqāt al-Shāfifiya al-kubrā, ed. 'Abd al-Fattāḥ Muḥammad al-Ḥilw and Maḥmūd Muḥammad al-Ṭanāḥī, 10 vols (Cairo, 1383/1963-[1396]/1976), 8:326-36; Asnawi, Ţabaqāt al-Shāfi'iya, ed. 'Abd Allāh al-Jubūrī, 2 vols (Baghdad, 1970/1390–1391/1971), 2:133–4; Ibn Kathīr, al-Bidāya wa-'l-nihāya, 14 vols (Cairo, 1351/1932-1358/[1939]), 13:168-9; id., Tabaqāt al-fuqahā' al-Shāfi'iya, ed. Ahmad 'Umar Hāshim and Muhammad Zaynhum Muḥammad Gharab, 3 vols (Cairo, 1413/1993), 2:857-8; Ibn Rāfi^c, Ta²rīkh 'ulamā² Baghdad (al-musammā Muntakhab al-Mukhtār), ed. 'Abbās al-'Azzāwī (Baghdad, 1357/1938), 130-3; Ibn Qādī Shuhba, Tabaqāt al-Shāficiya, ed. 'Abd al-'Alim Khān, 4 vols (Hyderabad, 1398/1978-1400/1980), 2:142-6; Ibn Taghrī Birdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira fi mulūk Mişr ma-'l-Qāhira, 16 vols (Cairo, 1348/1929-1392/1972), 6:354; Suyūtī, Tabaqāt al-huffāz, ed. 'Alī Muḥammad 'Umar (Cairo, 1393/1973), 499-500; Ulaymī, al-Uns al-jalīl bi-ta²rīkh al-Quds ma-'l-Khalil, ed. Muhammad Bahr al-Ulum, 2 vols (Najaf, 1388/1968), 2:104-5; Nu'aymi, al-Dāris fi ta³rikh al-madāris, ed. Ja'far al-Hasanî, 2 vols (Damascus, 1948/1367-1951/1370), 1:20-1; Dāwūdi, Tabaqāt al-mufassirin, ed. Ali Muḥammad Umar, 2 vols (Cairo, 1392/1972),

born in the village of Sharakhān¹¹ located in the region known as Shahrazūr in Kurdish northern Iraq: Although Islamicists and Arabists are not accustomed to directing their attention to this part of the world, at the time of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's birth it was enjoying a period of great cultural efflorescence. Speaking specifically about the area of Shahrazūr, the contemporary historian Yāqūt (d. 626/1229) noted the rapaciousness of the Kurds inhabiting the surrounding mountains and the town's exceptional fertility in talented scholars. He makes particular reference to two famous Shāfi'ite families with roots there, the Banu 'l-Shahrazūrī¹² and the Banū 'Aṣrūn, both of which supplied judges to the Zangids, Ayyūbids and others.¹³ Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ does not seem to have been able to lay claim to any blood connection to either of the prominent Shāfi'ite families of al-Jazīra, although his father had studied under Sharaf al-Dīn b. Abī 'Aṣrūn (493/1100–585/1189), who later went on to become a very prominent figure in Zangid Syria.

Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ began his training in law under his father¹⁴ in Irbil, the capital of one of the minor principalities which lay between the Khwārazmians in the East and Ayyūbids in the West. The sources do not tell us when he arrived there or how long he stayed. However, one cannot help but feel that some elements of his basic religious outlook were forged in this period. As was the case with Shahrazūr, Irbil as portrayed in Tarīkh Irbil¹⁵ of Ibn al-Mustawfī (564/1169-637/1239) enjoyed a much more vigorous intellectual life than one would expect to find. The ruler of Irbil after 586/1190 was a former lieutenant and brother-in-law of Saladin, Muzaffar al-Dīn Gökböri. ¹⁶ As was the case with so many rulers in this period, he took an active interest in religious matters. To judge on the basis of where he spent his money, Gökböri's outlook appears to have been a form of conservative Sunnism which supported traditional manifestations of piety even when they lacked scriptural authority. The scale of his celebrations of the birth of the Prophet finds few parallels in Islamic history.

^{1:377-8;} Mahmūd al-ʿAdawi, Kitāb al-Ziyārāt bi-Dimashq, ed. Şalāh al-Dīn al-Munajjid (Damascus, 1956), 84-5; Ibn al-ʿImād, Shadharāt al-dhahab fi akhbār man dhahab, 8 vols (Cairo, 1350-51), 5:221-2; Husayni, Tabaqāt al-Shāfi iya, ed. ʿĀdil Nuwayhid (Beirut, 1971), 220-1; Louis Pouzet, Damas au VIIe/XIIIe siècle: Vie et structures religieuses [dans] d'une métropole islamique (Beirut, 1988), 27-31 (under the name of "Abū Hafs Umar Ibn as-Salāh").

¹¹ A number of the sources claim that Ibn al-Şalāḥ was born in the town of Shahrazūr itself.

¹² For this family, see Heinz Halm, Die Ausbreitung der Šāfi'itischen Rechtsschule von den Anfängen bis zum 8./14. Jahrhundert (Wiesbaden, 1974), 185-7 and A. Ben Abdesselem, "Shahrazūrī," EF, 9:219.

¹³ Yāqūt, Mu Gam al-buldān, ed. Ferdinand Wüstenfeld, 6 vols (Leipzig, 1866-73), 3:340-2.

¹⁴ By virtue of the tutelage of his father, Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ united the "Irāqi" and "Khurāsānian" streams of Shāfiʿism. The genetics of these traditions are discussed in Abū Shāma, Mukhtaşar Kitāb al-Muʾammil li-ʾl-radd ila ʾl-amr al-awwal in Majmūʿat al-Rasāʾil al-Munīrīya, 4 vols (Cairo, n.d.), 3:28-31 and Nawawī, Tahdhīb al-asmāʾ wa-ʾl-lughāt, 4 vols (Cairo, n.d.), 1(1):18-19.

¹⁵ Ed. Sāmī b. al-Sayyid Khamās al-Şaqqār, 2 vols (Baghdad, 1980).

¹⁶ Encyclopaedia Iranica, ed. Ehsan Yarshater (NewYork, 1984 ff.), 8:43-4.

He established a number of charitable foundations in Irbil, including a school of hadīth named the Muzaffarīya. He also financed good works in Mecca and Medina and contributed to the construction of the main mosque of the Hanbalites in the Damascene suburb of al-Ṣāliḥīya.

Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ continued his education in Mosul, which by this time was one of the last outposts of the Zangids, a dynasty which originated in northern Iraq and had controlled Syria and Egypt until the advent of the Ayyūbids. There, Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ, before "his mustache sprouted," tackled the popular manual of ʿIrāqī Shāfi sism, Abū Isḥāq al-Shīrāzī's al-Muhadhdhab fi 'l-furū', under the tuition of Ibn Samīn (523/1129–588/1192). The pinnacle of his early academic career was reached when he became a teaching assistant of ʿImād al-Dīn b. Yūnus (535/1140–608/1211), '7 the leading Shāfi site scholar of the city.

There was great interest in hadīth in northern Iraq at this time. The most famous member of the Zangid dynasty, Nūr al-Dīn, founded the world's first school of hadīth in Damascus, the Dār al-hadīth al-Nūrīya, in 566/1170. Schools of hadīth had also recently been founded in Irbil and Mosul. Therefore, Ibn al-Ṣalāh's interest in the subject of hadīth was probably awakened at an early age. It should be noted that even in this era the study of hadīth was not a normal element of the curriculum of the typical student of law. Commentators had occasion to deplore the ignorance of hadīth of legal scholars. We are told that in general the only hadīth the jurists knew were the ones cited in their legal manuals and these were often viewed as unauthentic by the specialists.¹⁸

After his time in Mosul, Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ made a lengthy journey to the major scholarly centers of the East, including Baghdad, Nishapur, Marv, Qazwin and Hamdan. Despite its crucial importance, this period of his life is particularly obscure and we know little more than the names of the teachers he met. Individuals like Ibn al-Samʿānī (537/1143–618/1221), Furāwī (ca. 522/1128–608/1212) and al-Muʾayyad (or perhaps al-Muʾayyid) al-Ṭūsī (524/1130–617/1220) are now nothing but placeholders in obscure isnāds, but in their day they enjoyed international prestige because they had comparatively short isnāds. Their prominence is reflected in the fact that their reports are the only ones for which Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ quotes the isnād in extenso in the Muqaddima.

The first time we hear of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ in the Ayyūbid lands, where he would spend the rest of his life, is when he was appointed to the professorship of the Asadīya law school¹⁹ in Aleppo. Presumably he took the reins of this Shāfi^cite law school shortly after the death of his predecessor in 608/1211. It appears that he did not occupy the position very long and he may have left as early as

¹⁷ For this individual and his family, see Halm, Ausbreitung, 188-9.

¹⁸ For example, Abū Shāma, Mu'ammil, 3:28–9; Laknawī, al-Ajwiba al-fāḍila li-'l-as'ila al-'ashara al-kāmila, ed. 'Abd al-Fattāḥ Abū Ghudda (Aleppo, 1384/1964), 29–33.

¹⁹ For this school, see Ibn Shaddād, al-Aqāq al-khaṭīra fī dhikr umarā al-Shām wa-;'l-Jazīra, vol. 1, part 1 (Aleppo), ed. Dominique Sourdel (Damascus, 1953), 103-4.

610/1213.20 The reason for this is nowhere specified, although we may assume that his departure was amicable since the post remained in the hands of his family.

What Ibn al-Şalāh did next is unclear. Dhahabī asserts that he studied in Damascus around 613/1216,21 a terminus post quem apparently established by the death of the judge Ibn al-Harastāni (520/1126-614/1217), who is said to have been one of his teachers. We next find Ibn al-Şalāh taking up the professorship of the Salāhīya law school in Jerusalem in 615/1218, in the wake of his predecessor who had been ousted by the Ayyūbid prince al-Mu'azzam Isā in Damascus for protesting his decision to legalize the sale of wine.22 The Şalāhīya had been established by Saladin in 588/1192 in the Church of St Anne and it was the first Ayyūbid foundation after they captured the city from the Crusaders.²³ It is described as one of "the exalted positions in the kingdom of Islam,"24 and Ibn al-Salāh seems to have been successful in his tenure there and may have comfortably continued there indefinitely. As it turned out, he taught there scarcely a year before the Crusades intervened. Al-Mu^cazzam found himself incapable of protecting the city, so to decrease its military value he ordered the dismantling of its walls. Despite many protests, the demolition began on 1 Muharram 616/19 March 1219 and this led to a mass exodus from the city. Ibn al-Salāh joined the "great mob" who "abandoned their possessions and belongings and dispersed in every direction throughout the area."25 Unexpectedly, Ibn al-Şalāḥ found himself out of work and on his way to Damascus.26

The Ayyūbid prince al-Mu'azzam was given control of Damascus in 594/1198. He first ruled in the name of Saladin's son al-'Azīz and then in the name of his own father, the brother of Saladin, al-'Ādil. Al-Mu'azzam took over in his own name upon his father's death in 615/1218. He stands as one of the more spectacular figures of Islamic history. A scholar of certain attainments, he was determined to place his personal mark on the intellectual life around him. His most enduring legacy may be the book ascribed to him, *The Missile Hitting its Mark in al-Khaṭīb*'s Liver (al-Sahm al-muṣib fi kabid al-Khaṭīb), ²⁷ a polemical tract refuting the attacks against Abū Ḥanīfa (d. ca. 150/767) made by the Shāfi'ite

²⁰ Dominique Sourdel, "Les Professeurs de Madrasa à Alep aux XIIe-XIIIe siècles d'après Ibn Shaddād," Bulletin d'études orientales, 13 (1949-51):102, n. 30.

²¹ Dhahabi, Siyar, 23:142.

²² Nu'aymi, Dāris, 1:83.

²³ For this school, see 'Ulaymi, Uns, 2:41, 101-18; 'Abd al-Laţif al-Ţibāwi, "Ba'd al-madāris al-Islāmīya fi 'l-Quds al-sharīf fi ākhir al-qarn al-tāsi' al-hijrī," Majallat Majma' al-lugha al-'Arabīya bi-Dimashq 58 (1403/1983):239-40.

²⁴ Ulaymī, *Uns*, 2:41.

²⁵ Ulaymī, Uns, 1:402.

²⁶ Asnawi (Tabaqāt, 133) and Ibn Kathir (Tabaqāt, 2:857) claim that Ibn al-Şalāḥ did not settle in Damascus until 630. I can think of no way to account for this obviously incorrect statement.

²⁷ Published as Kitāb al-Radd 'alā Abī Bakr al-Khaţīb al-Baghdādī (Cairo, 1351/1932).

al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī (392/1002-463/1071). The book is interesting for a number of reasons, not least for what it reveals about its putative author's preoccupations. The charge to which it responds first and at greatest length is that the imām of the Hanafites had a deficient knowledge of the Arabic language. (Of the eponyms of the four main schools of Sunnite law, Abū Hanīfa was the only one who was – like al-Mu'azzam – not an ethnic Arab.) Hanafism and the Arabic language were the twin passions of al-Mu'azzam's life.

Al-Mu'azzam's Hanafism is a mystery both in its origin and its form. He, and his son following in his footsteps, were the only Hanafites in a dynasty that was otherwise Shafiste. When asked how he alone in his family happened to be a Hanafite, he flippantly replied, "Do you not wish that there be a single Muslim among you?"28 He studied Hanafite law under Jamal al-Din29 al-Hasiri (546/1151-636/1238), the most prominent Hanafite of his time in Damascus, and composed, with the help of a ghostwriter, a commentary on Shaybani's al-Jāmis al-kabīr, a standard work on Hanafite law.30 However, in the increasingly conservative spirit of his age, al-Mu'azzam seems to have later moved toward a purer Hanafism centering on the school's eponym; one is tempted to say, a fundamentalist Hanafism. He commissioned a work called al-Tadhkira, a ten-volume digest of the doctrines of Abū Hanīfa stripped of the accretions of his students and later followers. Sibt b. al-Jawzi (581/1185 or 582-654/1256) tells us that "he was never separated from [this book], whether on a journey or at home. He read it continuously and wrote on the back of each volume, 'Isa b. Abi Bakr b. Ayyūb [al-Mu'azzam] has completed learning [this volume]."31 His missionary zeal led him to found a Hanafite law school, the Mu'azzamiya, in the Hanbalite enclave of al-Şāliḥīya in 621/1224. His activities in the realm of the Arabic language were less provocative. He sponsored a great lexicographical compilation based on a number of earlier works.32 Not content to savor his pleasures in private, he paid cash prizes to students who had mastered certain grammatical and lexicographical texts, which did temporarily stir up some interest in these books.33

Al-Mu'azzam's reign was an age of relative liberalism. Jews and Christians were allowed to hold public office and build additions on their houses of worship. Wine was legalized so that it could be taxed. The so-called "sciences of the Ancients" (for example, philosophy and Logic) were cultivated and al-Mu'azzam

²⁸ Laknawi, al-Fawā'id al-bahiya fi tarājim al-Ḥanafiya (Beirut, n.d.), 152-3.

²⁹ Not "Fakhr al-Dīn," as occurs in Sibţ b. al-Jawzī, Mirat al-zamān, 8(2):644.

³⁰ Mirat al-zaman, 8(2):645.

³¹ Mir at al-zamān, 8(2):647. Ḥājjī Khalifa provides a short description of this work in Kashf al-zunūn an asāmī al-kutub wa-'l-Funūn, ed. Mehmet Šerefettin Yaltkaya, 2 vols (Istanbul, 1360/1941-1362/1943), 1:cols. 393-4.

³² Nucaymi, Dāris, 2:580.

³³ Sibţ b. al-Jawzī, Mir at al-zamān, 8(2):647. Ḥājjī Khalīfa says that the prince used to give money to students who mastered Shaybānī's al-Jāmi al-kabīr and his al-Jāmi al-ṣaghīr, two works of Ḥanafīte law; Kashf al-zunūn, 1:col. 568.

himself was a friend of the speculative theologian Āmidī (551/1156-631/1234): What al-Mu'azzam could not bring himself to tolerate was the strict literalism advocated by some of the local Hanbalites and their restive followers. The profile of the Hanbalites in Damascus had been considerably heightened in 551/1156 by arrival of Hanbalite refugees fleeing the Crusaders from the area around the Palestinian village of Jamma 1.34 Their common origin as well as their close family ties gave the immigrant Hanbalites a cohesiveness which allowed them to exert far greater influence than their numbers warranted. Their migration to the Damascene suburb of al-Şāliḥīya, which began in 553/1158, only served to prolong and strengthen their unity, which, one imagines, would have rapidly broken down if they had continued to live in the midst of the greater Damascene society. In al-Şāliḥīya they created a vigorous Hanbalite intellectual life which would hardly have been augured by the group's rural origin. Two of the greatest names in Hanbalism emerged from this milieu, Ibn Qudāma (541/1147-620/1223) and 'Abd al-Ghanī b. 'Abd al-Wāḥid (541/1146-600/1203). Despite their suburban exile, the Hanbalite refugees continued to play a role within the city walls. Al-Mu'azzam was eventually obliged to grant the Hanbalites a mihrāb of their own in the Umayyad Mosque, the religious center of the city. This became their base for menacing the local Asharites. Fakhr al-Din b. 'Asākir (550/1155-620/1223), Ibn al-Şalāḥ's unhappy predecessor at the Şalāhīya in Jerusalem, had retired to Umayyad Mosque. As an Ash'arite, he was obliged to make circuitous detours to avoid walking near the Hanbalites for fear that they would commit an outrage on his person. 35

Al-Mu'azzam's special antipathy for the Hanbalites is illuminated by his famous showdown with the aforementioned 'Abd al-Ghanī in 595/1199.36 'Abd al-Ghanī had traveled very extensively and it seems that no one with a religious text to transmit escaped his attention. A pathological troublemaker and career martyr, throughout his life we detect an eagerness to undergo a miḥna, or trial, like the one the imām Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal suffered at the hands of the 'Abbāsid caliph al-Ma'mūn and his successors, a subject he treated in a monograph.37 He chose Isfahan, the hometown of the Ash'arite Abū Nu'aym al-Işbahānī

³⁴ Ibn Tūlūn, al-Qalā id al-jamharīya fi ta rīkh al-Şāliḥīya, ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad Duhmān, 2nd edn, 2 vols (Damascus, 1401/1980-1401/1981), 1:66-84; Ibn Kinān, al-Murūj al-sundusiya al-fasiha fi talkhīs Ta rīkh al-Şāliḥīya, ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad Duhmān (Damascus, 1366/1947), 2-11; Toru Miura, "The Şāliḥīya Quarter in the Suburbs of Damascus," Bulletin d'études orientales, 47 (1995):132-3.

³⁵ Abū Shāma, Dhayl, 138; Dhahabi, Siyar, 22:188; Kutubi, Fawāt al-wafayāt, ed. Iḥsān 'Abbās, 5 vols (Beirut, 1973-4), 2:290.

³⁶ Sibt b. al-Jawzi, Mirāt al-zamān, 8(2):521; Abū Shāma, Dhayl, 16, 46-7; Dhahabi, Siyar, 21:458-64; Ibn Rajab, Kitāb al-dhayl 'alā Tabaqāt al-Ilanābila, ed. Muḥammad Ḥāmid al-Fiqi, 2 vols (Cairo, 1952-3), 2:9, 19-26; Ibn Ṭūlūn, Sāliḥiya, 2:441-2; Ilenri Laoust, La Profession de foi d'Ibn Batta (Damascus, 1958), exxv-exxix.

³⁷ Kitāb Mihnat al-imām Ahmad ibn Hanbal (Cairo, 1991).

(336/948–430/1038), as the place to point out the one hundred and ninety mistakes the latter committed in his Kitāb Ma^crifat al-Ṣaḥāba.³⁸ For this service, the local Ash^carites almost killed him and he fled the city wearing only a loincloth. In Mosul he taught 'Uqaylī's Kitāb al-Du^cafā' al-kabīr, which contains an uncomplimentary account of Abū Ḥanīfa. In response, the local Ḥanafites rose up and had him put in prison. He would have been put to death had a quick-thinking friend not removed the objectionable pages from the book before his enemies could get their hands on it.

In al-Mu'azzam's Damascus, 'Abd al-Ghanī chose the venue of the Umayyad Mosque to announce his anthropomorphic doctrines based on a literal reading of the Qur'ān. Inevitably, this incited the non-Ḥanbalites and they carried their protest to al-Mu'azzam and his Commander of the Citadel. A legal opinion was issued declaring 'Abd al-Ghanī to be an unbeliever and innovator who was not to be left at large among the Muslims. When personally examined, 'Abd al-Ghanī refused to back down. It was ordered that his minbar be smashed and the Ḥanbalites were evicted from the Umayyad Mosque. 'Abd al-Ghanī must have thought that he had finally found his al-Ma'mūn in al-Mu'azzam.' Alas, the prominent establishment Ḥanbalite al-Nāṣiḥ b. al-Ḥanbalī (554/1159-634/1236) inopportunely spoiled the tableau by raising a mob and threatening mayhem. At this point the army was called out to protect the Ḥanafīte prayer area. Within hours the Ḥanbalites were allowed to resume their position in the Umayyad Mosque. 'Abd al-Ghanī was obliged to seek martyrdom in Egypt, where he died in 600/1203 on the eye of another banishment.

This would not be a welcoming environment for Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ. Although his views were by no means as extreme as those of 'Abd al-Ghanī – an innate conservatism kept him from indulging in the provocative positions of the most radical Hanbalites – they were markedly different from those of al-Mu'azzam.

[Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ] was a traditionalist following a good doctrine. He abstained from the tendentious interpretation of the theologians. He believed in what was established by the texts. He did not go beyond the texts and he accepted their literal meaning.⁴⁰

According to a titbit of gossip picked up in Mosul by Ibn Khallikan (608/1211-681/1282), who was himself a native of Irbil, Ibn al-Salah missed his

^{38 &#}x27;Abd al-Ghani wrote a book entitled Tabyin al-iṣāba li-awhām ḥaṣalat fi Ma'rifat al-Ṣaḥāba li-Abi Nu'aym; see Ibn Rajab, Dhayl, 2:8, 19; Sakhāwi, Fatḥ al-Mughīth [alā] sharḥ Al Fiyat al-ḥadīth li-'l-'Irāqi, 2nd edn, 3 vols (Medina, 1388/1968–1389/1969), 3:85; id., al-I'lān bi-'l-tawbikh li-man dhamma ahl al-ta'rikh, ed. Franz Rosenthal (Beirut, n.d.),175; trans. F. Rosenthal, History, 406.

³⁹ I have been unable to locate a copy of A.A. Badawi's Ma'mūn Bani Ayyūb: al-Mu'azzam 'Īsā (Cairo, 1953).

⁴⁰ Dhahabi, Tadhkira, 4:1431.

chance early in life to fit into al-Mu'azzam's personal circle. As a youth he secretly studied Logic under the brother of one of his first teachers, Kamāl al-Dīn b. Yūnus (551/1156–639/1242), a Shāfi'ite prodigy of learning who taught Shaybānī to Ḥanafites, the Gospel to Christians and the Torah to Jews. He made no progress and when Kamāl al-Dīn advised him, "People think well of you and they ascribe irreligion to everyone who studies this subject. You will spoil their opinion of you and not get anything out of this subject," he was willing to drop it. Ibn al-Şalāh would later sourly dismiss Logic as "pompous words which God has made superfluous for all sane people."

Despite his ideological handicap, Ibn al-Şalāḥ did what he could to catch the princely eye in Damascus. In 620/1223 we find him among the scholars attending a lesson held to commemorate the internment of al-Mu'azzam's father in the Greater Adiliya. He could not yet occupy one of the places of honor on either side of al-Mu^cazzam, but he did manage to maneuver himself into a prime position in the second rank, directly in front of him. 43 Sibt b. al-Jawzī refers to Ibn al-Salāh's predicament in his self-serving obituary of him. 4 Sibt, the grandson of one of history's greatest Hanbalites, Abu 'l-Faraj b. al-Jawzi, had seen which way the wind was blowing and converted to Hanafism. He then succeeded extraordinarily in insinuating himself into the good graces of al-Mu'azzam. He writes that Ibn al-Şalāh buttonholed him at a shrine in a suburban park and asked him to intercede on his behalf with the prince: "Ask him to give me a school!" Sibt says that at the time al-Mu'azzam specifically disliked Ibn al-Şalāh, but does not make clear whether this was because of Ibn al-Salāh's generally conservative outlook or due to some particular offense on his part. Sibt claims that he kept after the prince and eventually reconciled him to Ibn al-Salāh.

Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's networking finally paid off when he assumed the professorship of the Raḥāwīya in 622/1225. Nevertheless, a rather obscure incident reveals that even now his position remained vulnerable. The school's founder was a wealthy merchant known as Ibn Rawāḥa, who lived in the school. After his death in 623/1226 (or 622), the famous Sufi Ibn 'Arabī (560/1165–638/1240) and Abu 'l-Hasan Khaz'al (ca. 547/1152–623/1226), the head of the shrine of 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn, Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn, in Damascus, came forward and claimed that Ibn Rawāḥa enjoined them "at night" – in the form of an apparition? – to bear witness on his behalf for the removal of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ. This led to a complex series of events, which none of the sources cares to unravel. Involved seem to have been Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's refusal to allow the burial of the founder within the precincts of the school and some extremely restrictive stipulations placed on the running of the institution, which apparently could not be enforced. A provision forbidding Jews,

⁴¹ Ibn Khallikan, Wafayat, 5:314; Subki, Tabaqat, 8:382.

⁴² Dhahabi, Siyar, 23:143.

⁴³ Abū Shāma, Dhayl, 132-3.

⁴⁴ Mirāt al-zamān, 8(2):758, reading madrasatan instead of madrasatahū.

Christians and extremist Hanbalites from setting foot in it is cited. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ weathered the storm and seems to have held the position until his death.⁴⁵

Al-Mu'azzam died in 624/1227 at the age of forty-seven and was succeeded by his son Dāwūd, who continued his policies. Two years later Dāwūd was pushed out by his uncle al-Ashraf. Although al-Mu'azzam and al-Ashraf were born only a day apart, rarely have brothers shown more marked contrasts. While the high-flying al-Mu'azzam was conversing with philosophers and philologists in the sunny gardens of Damascus, al-Ashraf was campaigning ceaselessly in the icy north, extending and defending the Ayyūbid domains. The acquisition of the sandal of the Prophet was his major cultural achievement. While visiting his nephew in the summer of 625/1228, it dawned on al-Ashraf that there was more to life than freezing and fighting and he resolved to take Damascus.⁴⁶

When he finally did, he immediately put his own stamp on the city. If al-Mu'azzam was al-Ma'mūn, al-Ashraf was al-Mutawakkil. Public morality was restored, non-Muslims were put in their place and the rational sciences were forbidden. Al-Ashraf renounced the sin taxes (if not the sins): wine was formally forbidden. The additions to the church of Maryam were torn down and the Christian superintendent of the treasury was humbled. As for the unholy pursuit of philosophy and science, Abū Shāma writes,

Study of the sciences of the Ancients had become widespread during the last years of the reign of al-Mu'azzam b. Abī Bakr and in the reign of his son Dāwūd and that became more common until God extinguished it in the reign of al-Ashraf.⁴⁷

The great Āmidī was put under house arrest, perhaps on the basis of a famous legal opinion given by Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ himself.*8

In this more congenial climate, Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's career took off. The year 628/1231 was particularly notable. This was when he made the pilgrimage to Mecca⁴⁹ and became the first professor of the Inner (or Smaller) Shāmīya.⁵⁰ The

⁴⁵ Ibn Shaddād, al-A'lāq al-Khaṭira fi dhikr umarā al-Shām wa-'l-Jazīra: Ta'rīkh madinat Dimashq, ed. Sāmī al-Dahhān (Damascus, 1375/1956), 241; Abū Shāma, Dhayl, 149; Ibn Kathīr, Bidāya, 13:116; Nu'aymī, Dāris, 1:265-75; 'Almawī, Mukhtaṣar Tanbīh al-ṭalib wa-irshād al-dāris, ed. Salāḥ al-Dīn al-Munajjid (Damascus, 1366/1947), 43-5; Akram Hasan al-Ulabi, Khiṭaṭ Dimashq (Damascus, 1410/1989), 120-1. Dhahabī, Ta'rīkh al-Islām, 51:138-9.

⁴⁶ R. Stephen Humphreys, From Saladin to the Mongols (Albany, 1977), 199-200.

⁴⁷ Abū Shāma, Dhayl, 156.

⁴⁸ Fatāwā Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ ([Cairo], n.d.), 41-3; Fatāwā wa-masā'il İbn al-Ṣalāḥ (sic), ed. 'Abd al-Mu'tī Amīn Qal'ajī, 2 vols (Beirut, 1406/1986), 1:209-13. Ibn Qādī Shuhba claims that Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's ban was put into practice; Tabaqāt, 2:144.

⁴⁹ Abū Shāma, Dhayl, 160; Ibn Kathīr, Bidāya, 129.

⁵⁰ Ibn Shaddād, al-A'lāq al-khaṭira: Dimashq, 232; Nu'aymī, Dāris, 1:301-13; 'Almawī, Mukhtaṣar, 48-9; 'Ulabī, Khiṭaṭ, 126-7. There seems to have been some confusion (see Ibn Shaddād, al-A'lāq al-khaṭira: Dimashq, 241; Nu'aymī, Dāris, 1:277; 'Almawī, Mukhtaṣar, 47) as to whether it was the Inner or Outer Shāmīya where Ibn Ṣalāḥ taught, Ibn Khallikān (Wafayāt, 3:244) explicitly says that it was the Inner.

founder of the school, Sitt al-Shām Zumurrud Khātūn, was one of the grand ladies of the Ayyūdid family. A sister of Saladin and al-Ādil, she had blood ties to over thirty Ayyūbid princes. She devoted her life to good works and every year spent large sums of gold on potions and medicines which were produced in her house in the city and distributed to the populace. She had earlier founded a large school of Shāfifite law outside of the city walls and before her death in 616/1220 she arranged to have her house, located near the Bīmāristān al-Nūrī, converted into the school of Shāfifite law where Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ taught.

Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ reached the peak of his career when al-Ashraf made him the first professor of the Dār al-ḥadīth al-Ashrafīya, one of the two schools of ḥadīth founded by al-Ashraf. One of these, the Ashrafīya al-Barrānīya, was located in the suburb of al-Ṣāliḥīya and put under the control of the local Ḥanbalites. The inner Ashrafīya, where Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ taught, was located within the walls of the city of Damascus, near the main gate of the Citadel and was given to the Shāfīstes. It was constructed on the site of the former home of the prominent general Ṣārim al-Din Qāymāz al-Najmī (d. 596/1200), which al-Ashraf purchased in 628/1231 and renovated over the course of two years. The opening of the school in 630/1233 coincided with the arrival of the elevated ḥadīth transmitter Ibn al-Zabīdī (d. 631/1234) from Baghdad, who was fêted by al-Ashraf during the month of Ramadan and presided over the recitation of Bukhārī's Ṣaḥīḥ in the newly opened ḥadīth school.

Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ died in his chambers at the Ashrafiya on 25 Rabī II 643/19 September 1245, during the Khwārazmian and Egyptian siege of Damascus. The blockade caused a severe famine within the city and a number of prominent scholars were carried off. Nevertheless his funeral was very well attended. Ceremonies were performed in the Umayyad Mosque and the crowd followed the funeral procession to the city gate known as Bāb al-Faraj. Inside of the gate, the ceremonies were re-enacted. Then a brave group of men hitched up their gowns and hastily delivered his remains to its burial site at the edge of the cemetery known as Maqābir al-Ṣūfiya. In later years, his tomb was visited as a site of blessing and it was said that a prayer made there would be fulfilled.

The Muqaddima

Ibn al-Şalāh intended the Muqaddima to be a basic introduction to the study of hadīth.

This book is an entryway into the study of hadith, an attempt to make clear its main and secondary issues and to explain the terminology, aims

⁵¹ For this school, see Nuʿaymī, Dāris, 1:47-55; Ibn Ṭūlūn, Ṣāliḥīya, 1:155-64; ʿAlmawī, Tanbīh, 12-13; Ibn Kinān, Murūj, 39-40; ʿAbd al-Qādir Badrān, Munādamat al-aṭlāl wa-musāmarat al-khayāl, ed. Muḥammad Zuhayr al-Shāwīsh (Damascus, n.d.), 32-4; ʿUlabī, Khiṭaṭ, 74-5.

⁵² Nu'aymi, Dāris, 1:19-47; 'Almawi, Tanbih, 10-12; Badrān, Munādamat al-aṭlāl, 24-32; 'Ulabi, Khiṭaṭ, 75-7.

and concerns of its practitioners. Ignorance of these matters greatly impairs a transmitter. This book is – God willing – worthy of receiving attention before any other.⁵³

The Muqaddima began as a series of individual lectures which were only later placed in the present arrangement. In composing the Muqaddima, Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ drew heavily on the works of most of his predecessors in the field and the final product bears an especially strong resemblance to al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī's Kitāb Maʿrifat ʿulūm al-ḥadīth. Considering this, it should not surprise us to read that at one point in his life Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ undertook to write a commentary on al-Ḥākim's book. We may safely surmise that the commentary, which he never completed, grew into the Muqaddima. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ made no attempt to conceal his debt to al-Ḥākim. He gave his work, popularly known as the Muqaddima, an almost identical title, Kitāb Maʿrifat anwāʿ ʿilm al-ḥadīth, and adopted al-Ḥākim's conceit of dividing the study of ḥadīth into a number of individual categories (sing. nawʿ), although he thoroughly reorders them and adds thirteen new ones, bringing the total to sixty-five.

How can we explain the astonishing success of this work, since it clearly broke little new ground in terms of its basic format? Where the *Muqaddima* did represent more of a departure from its predecessors was in its style. Abū Bakr b. Musaddī (ca. 593/1196-663/1264) described how it appeared to contemporary eyes:

[Ibn al-Ṣalāh] composed a fiqhī book on the sciences [of hadīth] and followed a theoretical course in its laws. He used to favor reason over reports (athar) and analogy over anecdotes (khabar). In [this work] he prepared principles of which no clear representation had [hitherto] been made.⁵⁷

Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ wrote the Muqaddima like a law book rather than a ḥadīth book. Most notably he eschewed the ponderous habit of most of the writers on ḥadīth of quoting earlier authorities at length. Writers on ḥadīth had hitherto been averse to speaking in their own voice, adding only a sentence or two of their own composition here and there to highlight the significance of the quoted material. In addition to making their books long-winded and cumbersome, this taxed the reader's patience and attentiveness. Although the Muqaddima still includes a good deal of quoted material, it does so much less than its predecessors. The easier style probably contributed greatly to the popularity of the work.

⁵³ Mugaddima, 436.

⁵⁴ Ibn Ḥajar ascribes the deficiency he perceives in the arrangement of the book to this procedure; Nuzhat al-nazar, 5.

⁵⁵ Ibn Rushayd, Mil al-cayba, 3:218.

⁵⁶ Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ knew the title of al-Ḥākim's work to be Kitāb Ma'rifat anwā' 'ulūm al-ḥadīth, see Muqaddima, 213.

⁵⁷ Ibn Rāfis, Tarikh, 132.

This Translation

I have relied largely on two modern editions of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's text, Nūr al-Dīn Itr's 'Ulūm al-ḥadīth li-Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ (Damascus, 1387/1966) and the late 'Ā'isha 'Abd al-Raḥmān's Muqaddimat Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ (2nd edn, Cairo, 1989). In the instances where I was able to consult the relevant manuscripts, it appears that in most cases the readings that Professor Itr gives are to be favored where they disagree. Nevertheless, the copious supplementary material Professor 'Abd al-Raḥmān provided makes her edition indispensable to the serious student.

Two procedures I have adopted may require some explanation. I have been sparing in the use of brackets to indicate material not present in the original text, especially when it is merely a question of meeting the demands of English usage. I have used brackets when supplying additional elements to names mentioned in the text in the hope of rendering them more easily identifiable to the reader, when providing short explanatory notes, when the material supplied is more extensive and when it seemed to me to be more open to dispute. I should also draw attention to a practice I have adopted in an effort to render the text clearer to the average reader. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ did not have at his disposal that great scholarly convenience, the footnote. He therefore had to incorporate his digressions in the body of the text. In the instances where these are relatively lengthy or interrupt the flow of the argument, I have distinguished them by presenting them as an indented text block.

Despite all appearances to the contrary, I have attempted to keep the footnotes to a minimum. The *Muqaddima* amply exemplifies what Professor Franz Rosenthal has called the philnomynous character of Islamic scholarship. I have tried to identify all of the individuals mentioned in the text at the first appearance of their name. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ cites a number of extremely obscure, usually very early, figures as illustrations of particular phenomena. In the cases where little seems to have been known about the person, apart from the characteristic which prompted Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ to mention him, I have merely provided a reference to the entry on him in one or two of the standard biographical dictionaries. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ quotes extensively from his predecessors. Where he provides a clue about the specific written source from which he drew the quotation, I have attempted to provide adequate bibliographical information. It should be noted that in almost every instance there is some variation, usually minor, between Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's rendering of the quoted passage and the way it appears in the modern printed edition of the same text.

In conclusion, I would like to acknowledge the help I received from others. A generous grant I received from the American Philosophical Society allowed me to travel to Syria and Egypt in the summer of 1996. Professor Raji Rammuny, the coordinator of the series in which this volume appears, has shown great patience and helped me overcome a number of obstacles. Professor 'Abd al-Raḥmān (perhaps better known under her nom de plume "Bint al-Shāṭi'") freely provided valuable assistance and advice. I would especially like to express

my gratitude to Professor Rosenthal. He has on occasions too numerous to mention allowed me to benefit from his vast expertise on questions both general and specific. To him I dedicate this translation.

AUTHOR'S INTRODUCTION

"Our Lord, give us mercy from You and grant us guidance in our affair."

Praise – the most complete and highest praise – be to God, the Guide for those who seek His guidance, the Guardian of those who fear Him and the One who suffices for those who seek His approval. The most perfect prayers and blessings on our Prophet, the other prophets and the family of each so long as someone asks for His forgiveness and invokes His mercy. Amen! Amen!

The science of hadith is one of the best of the excellent sciences and one of the most beneficial of the useful disciplines. Manly and virile men — that is, thorough and complete scholars — love it and the only people who dislike it are contemptible and base. It is one of the sciences with the greatest relevance to the various other sciences, especially applied law (fiqh), which is the central science. For that reason, the errors of those writers on applied law who are unfamiliar with the science of hadith are numerous and the imperfections in the remarks of those scholars who forsake it are plain.

Formerly the stature of hadith was exalted. The throngs of hadith students were massive and the capabilities of the experts² in the discipline and the transmitters of hadith were high. Through their living the different sciences of hadith were made vital, through their continued existence the branches of the various sub-disciplines of hadith were kept fresh and the abodes of hadith were occupied by its students. These students and experts have now died off and the sciences of hadith have been obliterated to such an extent that the practitioners in hadith are only a tiny band, few and weak in number. For the most part, in taking up hadith they are concerned with nothing more than hearing them heedlessly, and in recording hadith they do not expend more effort than to write them down defectively. They toss aside the various sciences of hadith through which the stature of hadith became exalted and they have made themselves remote from the bodies of knowledge which made it magnificent. Just when someone examining a difficulty in the science of hadith could hardly find anyone capable of explaining

¹ Qur'ān 18:10.

² Huffāz (sing. hāfiz): Later scholars attempted to define the qualifications theoretically necessary for a transmitter of hadīth to earn this designation; see, for example, Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalānī, al-Nukat 'alā Kitāb Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ, ed. Rabī' b. Hādī 'Umayr, 2nd edn, 2 vols (Riyadh, 1408/1988), 1:268; Sakhāwī, al-Jawāhir wa-'l-durar fi tarjamat shaykh al-Islām Ibn Hajar, ed. Hāmid 'Abd al-Majīd and Tāhā al-Zaynī, only one vol. published (Cairo, 1406/1986), 1:28-45; Suyūtī, Tadrib al-rāwī fi sharh Taqrib al-Nawāwī (stc), ed. 'Abd al-Wahhāb 'Abd al-Latīf, 2nd edn, 2 vols (Cairo, 1385/1966), 1:44-52.

it and someone who wanted to pursue the science of hadīth could hardly come across anyone knowledgeable in it, generous God (He is blessed and exalted and He deserves all praise) bestowed a blessing in the form of the book Kitāb Ma'rifat anwa' 'silm al-hadith (Knowledge of the Categories of the Science of Hadith). This book divulged the hidden secrets of the science of hadith, explained its stubborn difficulties, made firm its joints, set down its rules, illuminated its lineaments, clarified its rulings, detailed its subcategories and shed light on its principles, elucidated its branches and subsections, brought together its various sciences and benefits and tracked down its stray and valuable points. I beseech, entreat and humbly pray to God, the Great - in whose hand lie harm and benefit and granting and forbidding -, seeking His favor by every means and requesting His intercession in every way, that He make this book replete in that regard - and more replete - and that He make it ample for all of that - and more ample - and that He make the reward for it and the benefit of it great in this world and the next. God is near and He answers our prayers. I will have no success without God. Upon Him I rely and to Him I turn repentantly.

This is a list of the Categories of hadith:

- 1. Sound hadith (ma'rifat al-șaḥiḥ min al-ḥadith)
- 2. Fair hadith (ma'rifat al-hasan minhu)
- 3. Weak hadith (ma^crifat al-da^cif minhu)
- 4. Supported hadith (ma^crifat al-musnad)
- 5. Uninterrupted hadīth (ma'rifat al-muttaşil)
- 6. Raised ḥadīth (ma'rifat al-marfū')
- 7. Halted hadith (ma'rifat al-mawqūf)
- 8. Cut-off hadīth, and they are different from interrupted hadīth (ma'rifat al-maqtū' wa-huwa ghayr al-munqati')
- 9. Loose hadīth (ma'rifat al-mursal)
- 10. Interrupted hadith (ma'rifat al-munqați')
- 11. Problematic ḥadīth, and this is followed by the discussion of some subsidiary issues, including the isnād containing the word "can" (from) and the suspension of ḥadīth (ma'rifat al-mu'dal wa-yalīhi tafrī'āt minhā fi 'l-isnād al-mu'an'an wa-minhā fi 'l-ta'līq)
- 12. Misrepresentation and the treatment of misrepresented hadith (ma'rifat al-tadlis wa-hukm al-mudallas)
- 13. Anomalous hadith (ma^crifat al-shādhdh)
- 14. Unfamiliar ḥadīth (ma'rifat al-munkar)
- 15. Analysis, parallelisms and attestations (ma'rifat al-i'tibār wa-'l-mutāba'āt wa-'l-shawāhid)
- 16. Additions of reliable transmitters and the treatment of them (ma^crifat ziyādāt al-thiqāt wa-ḥukmihā)
- 17. Isolated hadīth (ma rifat al-afrād)
- 18. Defective hadīth (ma'rifat al-hadīth al-mu'allal)
- 19. Disrupted ḥadīth (ma'rifat al-muḍṭarib min al-ḥadīth)

- 20. Material interpolated into hadīth (ma'rifat al-mudraj fi 'l-ḥadīth)
- 21. Forged hadith (ma'rifat al-mawdū')
- 22. Mixed-up hadīth (ma'rifat al-maqlūb)
- 23. The characteristic of those whose transmission is accepted and those whose transmission is rejected (ma^crifat şifat man tuqbalu riwāyatuhū wa-man turaddu riwāyatuhū)
- 24. The methods of hearing and receiving hadīth, and this chapter includes an exposition on the forms of licensing and their treatment as well as the rest of the ways of taking up and receiving hadīth it contains much information (maˈrifat kayfiyat samāʿ al-ḥadīth wa-taḥammulihī wa-fihi bayān anwāʿ al-ijāza wa-aḥkāmihā wa-sāʾir wujūh al-akhdh wa-'l-taḥammul wa-fihi ʿilm jamm)
- 25. The writing of hadīth and the means of fixing and recording texts, and this chapter contains excellent and important information (ma'rifat kitābat al-hadīth wa-kayfiyat dabt al-kitāb wa-taqyīdihī wa-fihi ma'ārif muhimma rā'iqa)
- 26. The manner of relating hadīth, the stipulation regarding the conveyance of them and related matters, and this chapter contains many of the valuable points of this science (ma'rifat kayfiyat riwāyat al-hadīth wa-sharṭ adā'ihi wa-mā yata'allaqu bi-dhālika wa-fihi kathīr min nafā'is hādha 'l-'ilm')
- 27. Guidelines for the transmitter of hadīth (ma'rifat ādāb al-muḥaddith)
- 28. Guidelines for the student of hadith (ma^crifat ādāb ṭālib al-ḥadīth)
- 29. Elevated and low isnāds (ma'rifat al-isnād al-'āli wa-'l-nāzil)
- 30. Famous hadith (ma'rifat al-mashhūr min al-hadīth)
- 31. Rare and scarce hadith (ma'rifat al-gharib wa-'l-'azīz min al-ḥadīth)
- 32. Rare words in the hadith (ma'rifat gharib al-hadith)
- 33. Enchained hadīth (ma'rifat al-musalsal min al-hadīth)
- 34. Abrogating and abrogated hadith (ma'rifat nāsikh al-hadith wa-mansūkhihi)
- 35. Misreadings in the isnāds and texts of hadith (ma'rifat al-muşahhaf min asānīd al-ahādīth wa-mutūnihā)
- 36. Contradictory hadīth (ma rifat mukhtalif al-hadīth)
- 37. Additions to cohesive isnāds (ma'rifat al-mazīd fī muttașil al-asānīd)
- 38. Ḥadīth with hidden looseness (ma'rifat al-marāsīl al-khafi irsāluhā)
- 39. The Companions (God be pleased with all of them) (ma'rifat al-Ṣaḥāba)
- 40. The Followers (God be pleased with all of them) (ma^crifat al-Tābi^cīn)
- 41. Older people transmitting from younger ones (ma^crifat al-akābir al-ruwāt ^can al-aṣāghir)
- 42. Symmetrical transmissions, and other instances of peers transmitting from one another (ma^crifat al-mudabbaj wa-mā siwāhu min riwāyat al-aqrān ba^cdihim can ba^cd)
- 43. Brothers and sisters among scholars and transmitters (ma'rifat al-ikhwa wa-'l-akhawāt min al-'ulamā' wa-'l-ruwāt)
- 44. The transmission by fathers from their sons (ma'rifat riwāyat al-ābā' 'an al-abnā')
- 45. The opposite of that; that is, the transmission by sons from their fathers ('aks dhālika ma'rifat riwāyat al-abnā' 'an al-ābā')

- 46. Those from whom two transmitters related, one early and one late, with a great difference between their date of death (ma^crifat man ishtaraka fi 'l-riwāya canhu rāwiyān mutaqaddim wa-muta'akhkhir tabācada mā bayna wafātayhim)
- 47. Those from whom only a single transmitter related hadīth (ma'rifat man lam yarwi 'anhu illā rāwin wāhid)
- 48. Those who are referred to by different names or varying epithets (ma^crifat man dhukira bi-asmā^c mukhtalifa aw nu^cūt muta^caddida)
- 49. Unique names of the Companions, transmitters of hadīth and other scholars (ma^crifat al-mufradāt min asmā^r al-Ṣaḥāba wa-ruwāt wa-'l-'ulamā^r)
- 50. Names and paidonymics (ma'rifat al-asmā' wa-'l-kunā)
- 51. The paidonymics of those better known under their name, rather than their paidonymic (ma'rifat kuna 'l-ma'rūfin bi-'l-asmā' dūna 'l-kunā)
- 52. Nicknames of transmitters of hadith (ma rifat alqāb al-muhaddithin)
- 53. Homographic [names and gentilics] (ma'rifat al-mu'talif wa-'l-mukhtalif)
- 54. Homonymic [names and gentilics] (ma^crifat al-muttafiq wa-'l-muftariq)
- 55. A Category composed of the two previous Categories (naw yatarakkabu min hādhayni 'l-naw ayn)
- 56. Transmitters resembling one another in name and lineage who are distinguished by the relative position of the names of the son and father (ma'rifat al-ruwāt al-mutashābihīn fi 'l-ism wa-'l-nasab al-mutamāyizīn bi-'l-taqdīm wa-'l-ta'khīr fi 'l-ibn wa-'l-ab)
- 57. Those whose lineage refers to someone other than their father (ma'rifat al-mansūbin ilā ghayr ābā'ihim)
- 58. Gentilics the actual significance of which differs from the apparent one (ma'rifat al-ansāb allatī bātinuhā 'alā khilāf zāhirihā)
- 59. Obscure references (ma'rifat al-mubhamāt)
- 60. The dates of transmitters, including their deathdate and other relevant dates (ma'rifat tawārīkh al-ruwāt fi 'l-wafāyāt wa-ghayrihā)
- 61. Reliable and weak transmitters of hadīth (ma'rifat al-thiqāt wa-'l-du'afā' min al-ruwāt)
- 62. Reliable transmitters who confused their hadith at the end of their life (ma'rifat man khallaṭa fi ākhir 'umrihi min al-thiqāt)
- 63. The generations of transmitters and scholars (ma'rifat tabaqāt al-ruwāt wa-'l-'ulamā')
- 64. Transmitters of hadīth and other scholars who were clients (ma'rifat al-mawālī min al-ruwāt wa-'l-'ulamā')
- 65. The residences and lands of transmitters (ma^crifat awṭān al-ruwāt wa-buldānihim)

That is the last of the Categories but it is not the last of what is possible in that regard. The science of hadīth can be divided into countless categories, since the states and characteristics of hadīth transmitters and the states and characteristics of hadīth texts are endless. Every one of these states and characteristics deserves to be mentioned separately and requires its own specialists — for each is a Category in its own right — but that would be an endless task. God is enough for us and an excellent protector.

Category 1 SOUND HADITH

(Macrifat al-şaḥiḥ min al-ḥadīth)

Know – may God enlighten you and me – that hadīth, in the view of the scholars of this discipline, fall into the divisions of "sound" (sahīḥ), "fair" (hasan) and "weak" (ḍa'if). The sound hadīth is a "supported" hadīth (al-hadīth al-musnad), the isnād of which coheres continuously through the transmission of one upright and accurate person from another up to its point of termination. The sound hadīth can be neither "anomalous" (shādhdh) nor "defective" (mu'allal). These descriptions exclude the "loose" (mursal), "interrupted" (munqati'), "problematic" (mu'dal) and anomalous hadīth; the hadīth containing an impairing defect ('illa); and the hadīth the transmitter of which suffers from any variety of discreditation (jarh) – these are Categories which will be discussed below, God (He is blessed and exalted) willing. This is the hadīth which is indisputably judged to be sound among the scholars of hadīth.

Sometimes the scholars of hadīth differ over the soundness of certain hadīth, either because of their disagreement over whether these characteristics are found in them or because of their disagreement in stipulating the necessity of some of these characteristics, as in the case of the loose hadīth. When they say, "This is a sound hadīth," what is meant is that its support (sanad) is cohesive and it possesses the rest of the aforementioned characteristics. The standard of the sound hadīth does not require that it be definite that the hadīth is sound in reality, since this standard admits the hadīth that a single upright transmitter is alone in relating and this type of hadīth is not one of the reports (akhbār) which the Community unanimously agreed to receive with acceptance. In the same way, when they say regarding a hadīth that it is "unsound," this is not a definite statement that it is actually a falsehood, since it may in reality be a truth. All that is meant is that its isnād is not sound according to the aforementioned standard. God knows best.

Some Important Notes

1. Sound hadīth fall into the categories of "agreed upon" (muttafaq 'alayhi) and "disputed" (mukhtalaf fīhi), as was noted above, and they also fall into the categories of "well known" (mashhūr) and "rare" (gharīb), and categories in between. The grades of sound hadīth vary in potency according to the degree that the hadīth possesses the aforementioned characteristics upon which soundness is based. In view of this, sound hadīth can be divided into innumerable subcategories. For this reason, we think it is better to refrain from judging any isnād or hadīth to be the absolutely most sound, although a number of the authorities in hadīth have ventured into that morass and their opinions were therefore contradictory.

We heard that Isḥāq b. Rāhawayh² said, "The soundest of all isnāds is Zuhrī³ from Sālim⁴ from his father." We also heard something similar from Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal. We heard that 'Amr b. 'Alī al-Fallās' said, "The soundest isnād is Muḥammad b. Sīrīn³ from 'Abīda³ from 'Alī." We heard something similar from 'Alī b. al-Madīnī' and this view was related from others as well. There are some who specify the transmitter from Muḥammad [b. Sīrīn], some making him Ayyūb al-Sakhtiyānī¹² and others Ibn 'Awn.¹³ One of the things we hear from Yaḥyā b. Ma'In¹⁴ is that he

- 1 Ruwina: In his Tabaqāt al-fuqahā? al-Shāfitya (ed. Muhyī al-Dīn 'Alī Najīb, 2 vols, Beirut, 1413/1992, 1:77), Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ explained that he used this term in the instances where he had an isnād which for the sake of brevity he did not reproduce. For the vocalization of "ruwīnā," see 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Nābulusī, Īdāḥ mā ladaynā fī qawl al-muhaddithīn "rawaynā," Maktabat al-Asad (Damascus), no. 14123 ff., 29a-31b.
- 2 Abū Ya'qūb Ishāq b. Rāhawayh (or Rāhūya, 161/778-238/853) was one of the most important of the adherents of hadīth of his era; EI, 3:902; Fuat Sezgin, Geschichte des arabischen Schristums, 10 vols. (Leiden, 1967-95), 1:109-10.
- 3 Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Muslim b. Shihāb al-Zuhrī (ca. 50/670-124/742) was an important figure in the history of hadīth; *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 1st edn, 4 vols (Leiden, 1908-36), 4:1239-41; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:280-83.
- 4 Abū Umar (or Abū 'Abd Allāh) Sālim (d. 106/724), the son of 'Abd Allāh b. Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb, was famed for his piety; Dhahabı, Siyar, 4:457-67.
- 5 Abn 'Abd al-Rahman 'Abd Allah was the son of the second Caliph 'Umar b. al-Khattab (r. 13/634-23/644). He died in 73/692 at over eighty years of age; EP, 1:53-4.
- 6 The doctrines of Abū 'Abd Allāh Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Ḥanbal (164/780-241/855) would eventually form the basis of the Hanbalite law school. His hadith criticism and legal opinions have been collected in a number of works and a very large collection of hadith, called "musnad," is ascribed to him; EP, 1:272-7; Sezgin, GAS, 1:502-9.
- 7 Abū Hafş 'Amr b, 'Alt al-Fallas was born around 160/776 and died in 249/863; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:470-72.
- 8 Abu Bakr Muhammad b. Sırın (ca. 33/653–110/729) was a Başran authority in hadith whom later generations regarded as an expert in dream interpretation as well; *EI*, 3:947–8; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:633–4.
- 9 Abū Muslim 'Abīda b. 'Amr al-Salmānī (d. 72/691) was a well-respected Kūfan scholar. There is a good deal of confusion concerning the various elements of his name; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:40-4.
- 10 'Alī b. Abī Tālib was one of the first men to convert to Islam and the son-in-law of the Prophet Muḥammad. He served as the fourth caliph from 35/656 until his assassination in 40/661; EP, 1:381-6; EIr, 1:838-48.
- 11 Abu 'l-Hasan 'Ali b. al-Madini (161/777-234/849) was one of the greatest hadith critics of his generation. His judgements are preserved in his al-'Ilal (ed. Muhammad Mustafa al-A'zami, 2nd edn, Beirut, 1980) and in many later sources; Sezgin, GAS, 1:108.
- 12 Abu Bakr Ayyūb b. Abi Tamima Kaysān al-Sakhtiyānī (68/688-131/748) was a famed Basran transmitter of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:87-8.
- 13 Abū 'Awn 'Abd Allāh b. 'Awn al-Muzani (66/686–151/768), like Ayyūb al-Sakhtiyānī, was a Başran scholar of hadīth renowned for his piety; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:364–75.
- 14 The hadīth criticism of Yaḥyā b. Maʿin (158/775–233/847) is preserved in his Taʾrikh (ed. Aḥmad Muḥammad Nūr Sayf, 4 vols, Mecca, 1979), composed by his student ʿAbbās al-Dūrī (d. 271/884), and in a number of other works. Later authorities frequently cited his opinons; Sezgin, GAS, 1:106–7.

said, "The finest isnād is al-A'mash's from Ibrāhīm¹6 from 'Alqama¹¹ from 'Abd Allāh."¹8 We heard that Abū Bakr b. Abī Shayba¹⁰ said, "The soundest of all isnāds is Zuhrī from 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn²⁰ from his father²¹ from 'Alī [b. Abī Ṭālib]." We heard that Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Bukhārī²² – the author of the Saḥīḥ ([Collection of] Sound Ḥadīth) – said, "The soundest of all isnāds is Mālik²³ from Nāfiç²⁴ from ['Abd Allāh] b. 'Umar." The authority Abū Manṣūr 'Abd al-Qāhir b. Ṭāhir al-Tamīmī²⁵ extrapolated from this that the most exalted isnād must be Shāfiq²⁶ from Mālik from Nāfic from Ibn 'Umar, and he cited as proof the consensus of the scholars of ḥadīth that there was no transmitter from Mālik more exalted than Shāfiq (God be pleased with all of them). God knows best.

- 15 Abū Muḥammad Sulaymān b. Miḥrān al-Asadī (61/681-148/765), nicknamed al-Asmash, was a prolific transmitter of hadīth and expert on the Qur'ān who taught in al-Kūfa; EP, 1:431.
- 16 Abū Imrān Ibrāhim b. Yazīd al-Nakha (ca. 50/670-ca. 96/715) was one of the most prominent of the early jurists of al-Kūfa; EP, 9:921-2; Sezgin, GAS, 1:403-4.
- 17 Abū Shibl 'Alqama b. Qays al-Nakha'i al-Kūfi (d. ca. 62/682) was a prominent Kūfan legal scholar; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:53-61.
- 18 Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd al-Hudhalī was an energetic teacher of the hadith of the Prophet who died in Medina in 32/653; Dhahabī, Siyar, 1:461-500.
- 19 Abū Bakr 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. Abī Shayba Ibrahim al-Kūfi (159/775-235/849), known as Ibn Abī Shayba, was the author of an important early collection of hadīth called al-Muṣannaf (Hyderabad, 1386/1966 ff.); EP, 3:692; Sezgin, GAS, 1:108-9.
- 20 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib (ca. 38/659-94/712), known as Zayn al-'Ābidīn, was the fourth imām of the Twelver Shiites; EIr, 1:849-50.
- 21 Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Husayn was one of the beloved grandsons of the Prophet. His father was the Prophet's confidant and son-in-law 'All and his mother was Fatima. He died in the Battle of Karbala' in 61/680; EP, 3:607-15.
- 22 Muḥammad b. Ismā'il al-Bukhārī (194/810-256/870) was the author of the most famous hadith collection, popularly known as Sahih al-Bukhārī (published a number of times), and a number of other important works of hadith scholarship; EP, 1:1296-7; Sezgin, GAS, 1:115-34.
- 23 Abu 'Abd Allah Malik b. Anas al-Aşbaḥi (93/712-179/795) was the greatest scholar in the city of Medina during his lifetime and the eponym of the Malikite law school. His views are preserved in his al-Muwaţta', which has reached us in a number of recensions; El', 6:262-5; Sezgin, GAS, 1:457-64.
- 24 Abū 'Abd Allāh Nāfi' (d. ca. 117/735), the client of 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar, was a prolific transmitter of ḥadīth; EF, 7:876-7.
- 25 'Abd al-Qahir al-Baghdadi (d. 429/1037) was a Shāfi'ite polymath who is now best known for his heresiographical work al-Farq bayn al-firaq; Carl Brockelmann, Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur, 2nd edn, 2 vols (Leiden, 1943-9), 1:482, Supplementbände, 3 vols (Leiden, 1937-42), 1:666-7; EP, 1:909; EIr, 3:409-10.
- 26 Muḥammad b. Idrīs al-Shāfiq (150/767-204/820) was the eponym of the Shāfiqte law school and an influential figure in the development of Islamic legal thought; EP, 9:181-5; Sezgin, GAS, 1:484-90.

- 2. When, in the personal hadith collections27 and other books which are in circulation, we encounter a hadith sound in regard to its isnad, but we do not find it included in either of the two Şaḥīḥs or designated as sound in any of the other well-known and authoritative compositions (musannafat) of the leaders in hadith, we do not presume to judge it conclusively as sound. These days it is no longer feasible for someone to apprehend sound hadith on his own by merely examining isnads. This is because in every isnad of that kind of hadith you find among its transmitters someone who merely relied upon what was in his book in its transmission and lacked the level of retention, accuracy and exactitude stipulated for sound hadith. So, for the recognition of sound and fair hadith, the matter reverts to relying on what the authorities in hadith designated as such in their well-known and authoritative compositions, which have been rendered safe from alteration and corruption by the circumstance that they are widely known. The continuance of the chain of the isnad - by which this Community (may God augment its glory) has been distinguished - has become the principal reason for the circulation of isnads apart from [those in the authoritative collections]. Amen!
 - 3. Bukhārī that is, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muhammad b. Ismā'īl al-Bukhārī al-Ju'ſi, a client of the Ju'ſite tribe was the first to compose a collection containing only sound hadīth. Abu 'l-Ḥusayn Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj al-Nīsābūrī al-Qushayrī²²² a member of the tribe of Qushayr followed him. Although Muslim took hadīth from Bukhārī and studied under him, he did share most of his teachers.² The books of Bukhārī and Muslim are the soundest books after the august book of God [that is, the Qur'ān]. The statement we repeatedly heard from Shāſi (God be pleased with him) and others related it with different wording –: "I do not know of a more correct book of religious knowledge in the world than the book of Mālik [that is, al-Muwaṭta²]" was made by him before the books of Bukhārī and Muslim came into existence. The book of Bukhārī is the sounder of the two in regard to being a collection of sound hadīth and also the more useful. There is nothing wrong with the statement we heard from the expert Abū 'Alī al-Ḥāſiz

²⁷ Ajzā al-ḥadith: Tahānawi defines juz (pl. ajzā) as "a book collecting the ḥadīth of a single individual;" Kashshāf iṣṭilāḥāt al-funūn, 2 vols ([Istanbul], 1317), 1:206. Students frequently gathered the ḥadīth of a particular transmitter in this form to bring to his class for audition and occasionally these works found their way into general circulation. Some of the most renowned juz's are listed in Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:cols 583-90 and Muḥammad b. Ja'far al-Kattāni, al-Risāla al-mustaṭrafa bi-bayān mashhūr kutub al-sunna al-musharrafa, 3rd edn (Damascus, 1383/1963), 86-94.

²⁸ In addition to his Sahih (published several times), Muslim (d. 261/875) composed a number of important works on the study of hadith, several of which will be mentioned in the course of the present translation; EP, 7:691-2; Sezgin, GAS, 1:136-43.

²⁹ From the fact that Muslim studied under Bukhāri, the scholars of hadith would as a matter of course have inferred that Muslim belonged to the generation after that of Bukhāri, rather than the same one, as was actually the case.

al-Nīsābūrī³⁰ (the teacher of the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim³¹): "There is no book on earth sounder than the book of Muslim b. al-Ḥājjāj," and there is nothing wrong with the doctrine of the North African scholars who prefer the book of Muslim to that of Bukhārī; if what is meant is that the book of Muslim is superior since no unsound ḥādīth are mixed into it. After the introductory chapter of Muslim's book, only sound ḥādīth are enumerated in it and they are not coupled with ḥādīth like those occurring in the chapter headings of Bukhārī's book for which he did not provide isnāds meeting the standard stipulated for sound ḥādīth. It does not necessarily follow from this that the book of Muslim is superior to the book of Bukhārī in what pertains to the essence of a book of sound ḥādīth. If what is meant by these views is that the book of Muslim is sounder as a book of sound ḥādīth, it redounds against whoever says it. God knows best.

4. Bukhārī and Muslim did not include all of the sound hadīth in their Ṣaḥīḥs and they did not take it upon themselves to do that. In fact, we often heard that Bukhārī said, "I put into Kitāb al-Jāmi' (Comprehensive Collection; that is, his Ṣaḥīḥ) only what was established as sound and I left out some sound hadīth for fear of prolixity." We heard that Muslim said, "I did not place here" – that is, in his book, the Ṣaḥīḥ – "all of the hadīth I consider to be sound. I put here only those hadīth they accepted unanimously." He meant – and God knows best – that he only put in his book the hadīth which in his opinion met the standards of the hadīth unanimously regarded as sound, even if in the opinion of certain people some of these hadīth do not appear to meet these standards.

The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. al-Akhram³³ said, "Few are the well-established hadīth that escape Bukhārī and Muslim," – that is, in their books. One should say that they are not few. Al-Mustadrak 'ala 'l-Ṣaḥiḥayn³⁴ (The Supplement to the Two Ṣaḥīḥs) of Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim is a large book which includes a good deal of what escaped their notice. Even if an

³⁰ Abū 'Alī al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī al-Nīsābūrī (277/890–349/960) was one of the great hadīth scholars of his age and is best known, as this passage indicates, for being the mentor of al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:51-9.

³¹ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh (321/933-405/1014), also known as al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī and Ibn Bayyi', was one of the most prolific authors on the subject of ḥadīth during the fourth/tenth century. Two manuals of ḥadīth from his pen have survived. The larger, Kitāb Ma'rifat 'ulūm al-ḥadīth, Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ used as a model for the Muqaddima. His shorter manual, al-Madkhal ilā ma'rifat al-Iklīl, has been edited and translated by James Robson (London, 1953); El², 3:82; Sezgin, GAS, 1:221-2; Elr, 1:250-51.

³² Al-Jāmic al-şaḥiḥ, 8 vols (Istanbul, 1329-33), 2:15 (K. al-Ṣalāt).

³³ The sources ascribe a number of works with interesting titles to Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Ya'qūb b. Yūsuf al-Shaybānī al-Nīsābūrī (250/864-344/955). Regrettably, none of them seems to have survived; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:466-9.

^{34 4} vols (Hyderabad, 1334-42).

argument may be made against Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim regarding some of his ḥadīth, many of his sound ḥadīth remain untainted. Indeed, Bukhārī said, "I have one hundred thousand sound ḥadīth and two hundred thousand unsound." The total in his book Ṣahīḥ is 7,275 ḥadīth, including some repeated ḥadīth. It has been said that with the omission of the duplicates the total is four thousand. In their opinion, however, this figure may include the accounts (āthār) of the Companions and Followers, and often a single ḥadīth related with two isnāds is counted as two ḥadīth.

The augmentation of the sound hadīth beyond the contents of the two books: those who seek this should obtain their additions from the hadīth clearly designated as sound in one of the famous, authoritative compositions of the leaders in hadīth – like Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistāni, ³⁷ Abū ʿſsā al-Tirmidhī, ³⁸ Abū ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Nasā'ī, ³⁹ Abū Bakr b. Khuzayma, ⁴⁰ Abu 'l-Ḥasan al-Dāraquṭnī ⁴¹ and others. For this, it is not enough that the hadīth merely be found in the books of Abū Dāwūd, Tirmidhī, Nasā'ī and the rest of those who brought together sound and other hadīth in their works. In contrast, the mere presence of a hadīth in the books of those of them who stipulate that the hadīth they collect be sound – like the book of Ibn Khuzayma – does suffice. We regard in the same fashion the material found in the books providing versions of the hadīth in the book of Bukhārī and the book of Muslim (God be pleased with them) with variant isnāds⁴² – like the

³⁵ The antecedent of this pronoun appears to be those who provided the figure of four thousand.

³⁶ In the broadest sense, the Companions (Sahāba) were the early Muslims who had contact with the Prophet, although the exact form of contact required was disputed. The Followers (Tābišān) were the students of the Companions; see EI, 8:827-9 and 10:28-30. These two groups are discussed in depth below, in Categories 39 and 40.

³⁷ Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān b. al-Ash'ath al-Sijistānī (202/817–275/889) was the compiler of the famous *Kitāb al-Sunan* (published several times); *EP*, 1:114; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:149–52.

³⁸ The hadīth collection of Abū Isā Muḥammad b. Isā b. Sawra al-Tirmidhī (210/825-279/892) is commonly called al-Jāmi^c al-ṣaḥīḥ, although *Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ* would prefer simply al-Jāmi^c. It is available in a number of editions; EI¹, 4:796-7; Sezgin, GAS, 1:154-9.

³⁹ Abu 'Abd al-Raḥman Aḥmad b. Shu'ayb al-Nasā'ī (215/830–303/915) was born in Nasā in Khurāsān and traveled extensively, collecting and teaching hadīth, before settling in Egypt. His famous hadīth collection is now known as *Kitāb al-Sunan* (published several times); *EI*², 7:969–70; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:167–9.

⁴⁰ Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Ishāq b. Khuzayma al-Nisābūrī (223/838-311/924) was a famous expert in ḥadīth. His Mukhtaşar al-Mukhtaşar min al-Musnad al-şaḥīḥ is popularly known as Şaḥīḥ Ibn Khuzayma (ed. Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-A'zamī, 2 vols, Beirut, 1391/1971); Sezgin, GAS, 1:601.

⁴¹ Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b. 'Umar al-Dāraquṭnī (306/919-385/995) composed a hadīth collection named *Kitāb al-Sunan* (4 vols, Cairo, 1386/1966) and a number of other works concerning the study of hadīth; *EP*, 2:136; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:206-9.

⁴² Al-kutub al-mukharraja 'alā kitāb al-Bukhārī wa-kitāb Muslim: Sakhāwī defined "istikhrāj" – the form of the word later scholars preferred to takhrij – as follows: "An expert takes, for instance, the Şahih of Bukhārī and he presents the hadīth from it one by one with his own isnāds, without stipulating for himself that the transmitters be reliable;" Fath al-Mughith, 1:39.

books of Abū 'Awāna al-Isfarāyīnī, ⁴³ Abū Bakr al-Ismā'īlī, ⁴⁴ Abū Bakr al-Barqānī ⁴⁵ and other works — which completes the abridged hadīth and provides commentary on many of the hadīth of the two Ṣaḥīḥs. A considerable amount of this kind of material is found in al-Jam' bayn al-Ṣaḥīḥayn (The Union of the Two Ṣaḥīḥs) of Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥumaydī. ⁴⁶

The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim occupied himself with augmenting the number of sound hadith beyond the contents of the two Sahihs. He collected [the fruit of his research] in a book he called al-Mustadrak in which he placed the hadith not found in either of the two Sahihs which he regarded as meeting the standard of the two teachers [that is, Bukhāri and Muslim] - that is, they had included material from the transmitters of the hadith in their book - or as meeting the standard of Bukhārī alone or that of Muslim alone. In addition, al-Hakim included the hadith that his own efforts led him to regard as sound, even if they did not meet the standard of either Bukhārī or Muslim. He is liberal in interpreting the standard of the sound hadith and free in applying it. It is best that we take a moderate position regarding him. We say: A hadith he reckons to be sound, if we do not find it regarded as sound by any other authority, is, even if it is not sound, a fair hadith to be cited as a proof and acted upon, unless a defect positively determining its weakness appears in it. In this respect, the Sahāh of Abū Hātim b. Hibbān al-Busti⁴⁷ (God bless all of them) is similar to it. God knows best.

5. The books providing versions of the hadith in the book of Bukhārī or the book of Muslim (God be pleased with them) with variant isnāds: the authors of these books did not take it upon themselves to match Bukhārī and Muslim in regard to the exact wording of the hadīth without addition or omission. This is

⁴³ The book of Abū 'Awāna Ya'qūb b. Isḥāq al-Isfarāyīnī (d. 316/928) was named al-Musnad al-mukharraj 'alā Kitāb 'Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj (published as Musnad Abī 'Awāna, 2 vols, Hyderabad, 1362-3); Sezgin, GAS, 1:174.

⁴⁴ The book of Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm al-Ismāʿili (277/890-371/981), al-Mustakhraj ʿala ʾl-Ṣaḥiḥ, was based on Bukhārī's work; Sezgin, GAS, 1:202.

⁴⁵ Abū Bakr Ahmad b. Muḥammad al-Barqānī (336/948-425/1034) was a teacher of al-Khaṭib al-Baghdādī; EIr, 3:821. The title of the work referred to here seems to have been al-Jams bayn al-Şaḥiḥayn; see Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:col. 599.

⁴⁶ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Abī Naṣr al-Ḥumaydī (ca. 420/1029-488/1095) was an Andaluṣian scholar who traveled to the East and died in Baghdad; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:413, Suppl., 1:578-9; El² 3:573-4. For a description of his Jam', see Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:cols 599-600.

⁴⁷ Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad b. Ḥibbān al-Bustī (ca. 270/884-354/965) was one of the greatest hadīth scholars of the fourth/tenth century. His al-Musnad al-ṣaḥīḥ ʿala 'l-taqāsīm wa-'l-anwā' min ghayr wujūd qat fi sanadihā wa-lā thubūt jarḥ fi nāqilihā was later rearranged by ʿAlā' al-Dīn ʿAlī b. Balbān al-Fārisī (d. 739/1339) as al-Iḥsān fi taqrīb Ṣaḥiḥ Ibn Ḥibbān (ed. Shuʿayb al-Arna'ūṭ, 18 vols, 1408/1988); EP, 3:799; Sezgin, GAS, 1:189-91.

because in pursuit of elevation of isnād⁴⁸ they related these hadīth from sources other than Bukhārī or Muslim and thus some variation in wording occurs in them. This is also the case with the hadīth authors included in their own independent compositions – like al-Sunan al-kabīr (Great Book of Sunnas) of Bayhaqī,⁴⁹ Sharḥ al-Sunna (Explanation of the Sunna) of Abū Muḥammad al-Baghawī⁵⁰ and others – for which they say, "Bukhārī" – or "Muslim" – "included it." Nothing more may be inferred from that other than that Bukhārī or Muslim included the archetype (aṣl) of that hadīth, it being probable that there is a difference in wording between the two versions. There may also be some variation in the meaning and I have in fact found some ḥadīth in which there is a degree of variation in regard to the sense. When that is the case, you may not transmit a hadīth from these books, saying, "It occurs in this form in the book of Bukhārī" – or "the book of Muslim" – unless you compare its wording [with the version given by either Bukhārī or Muslim to verify this] or the author who provides the version with the alternate isnād has said, "Bukhārī included it with this wording."

These works differ from the abridgements of the two Sahihs. The authors transmit in their abridgements the wording of the two Sahihs, or that of one of them. However, one of these works, Humaydi al-Andalusi's al-Jam' bayn al-Sahihayn, does include additional supplementary material for some of the hadith, as we mentioned above. Occasionally a person who does not know better transmits something he finds in this book as if it were from one or both of the Sahihs, and he falls into error because it is one of these additions not present in either of the two Sahihs.

Two benefits are derived from the aforementioned versions with variant isnāds of the hadīth in the two books [that is, the Ṣahīhs of Bukhārī and Muslim]. The first is elevation of isnād. The second is the augmentation of the extent of the sound hadīth by their additional words and their supplements to some of the hadīth. The soundness of these additions is established by these variant versions, because these versions come with isnāds established in one or both of the Ṣaḥīḥs and emanate from that well-established source. 51 God knows best.

^{48 &#}x27;Ulūw al-isnād: The fewer intermediaries mentioned in an isnād, the more "elevated" it was considered; see below, Category 29.

⁴⁹ Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Bayhaqī (384/994-458/1066) was an important Shāfisite who wrote a number of works on ḥadīth, including his al-Sunan al-kabīr (published as Kitāb al-Sunan al-kubrā, 10 vols, Hyderabad, 1344-55); Brockelmann, GAL, 1:446-7, Suppl., 1:618-19; EI, 1:1130.

⁵⁰ Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥusayn b. Mas'ūd b. Muḥammad al-Baghawi (433/1042-516/1122) was a pious scholar who worked hard to popularize the study of ḥadīth. Sharḥ al-Sunna (ed. Shu'ayb al-Arna'ūṭ and Muḥammad Zuhayr al-Shāwīsh, 16 vols, Damascus, 1390/1971 ff.) is one of his most famous works; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:447-9, Suppl., 1:620-22; EP, 1:893.

⁵¹ Sakhāwl asserts that the *mukharrij* was obliged to select the versions of the hadith with isnāds which were identical to the original ones for as many links as possible, unless there was a compelling reason not to do so; Fath al-Mughith, 1:39. It has been asserted (pace Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ)

6. The hadith that Bukhāri and Muslim (God bless them) provide with an uninterrupted isnād in their books: these beyond a doubt represent the material they judged as sound. There is doubt about some of the "suspended" hadith (mu^callaq); that is, the hadith with an isnad from the beginning of which one transmitter or more is omitted. The majority of these are in the book of Bukhāri: there are very few in the book of Muslim. We should say: These and similar hadith which contain an expression decisively and conclusively indicating their ascription to the person from whom they are "suspended" - for example, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said (qāla) such and such," "Ibn 'Abbās⁵² said such and such," "Mujāhid53 said such and such," "Affān54 said such and such," "Qa'nabī55 said such and such," "Abū Hurayra56 related (rawā) such and such," and similar expressions - are judged to be established as actually coming from that person. On the basis of all of these expressions, it is determined that the person to whom Bukhārī ascribed the hadīth spoke and related [the text that follows]. Bukhārī would not have deemed it permissible to state this [that is, to use these unequivocal expressions] without qualification unless it was established in his view that the hadith came from the person to whom it is ascribed. If the transmitter from whom the hadith is suspended is not a Companion, the judgement regarding the soundness of the hadith depends on the cohesiveness of the isnād between that person and the Companion.

The phrases containing no decisive and conclusive indication in their wording – for instance, "Such and such was related from (ruwiya 'an) the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)," "Such and such was related from X," "On this topic we find such and such from ('an) the Prophet (Peace be upon him)," and similar expressions: none of them contains any decisive indication establishing it as coming from the person to whom Bukhārī ascribed it, because expressions like these are also used with weak hadīth. However, Bukhārī's inclusion of this kind of hadīth among the sound hadīth provides an indication of the soundness of its archetype which one can be comfortable with and rely upon. (God knows best.) Indeed, few of Bukhārī's suspended hadīth fail to attain the standard of the sound hadīth and in his book these are found in certain places in the headings

that the soundness of the isnads of the alternate versions was confirmed only insofar as they were identical to those in the two Sahihs; W. Marçais, Taqrib, 9, n. 3.

⁵² Abu 'l-'Abbās 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās (d. ca. 68/687) was a Companion of the Prophet who was especially expert in the interpretation of the Quran; EP, 1:40-41; Sezgin, GAS, 1:25-8.

⁵³ Abu 'l-Ḥajjāj Mujāhid b. Jabr al-Makkī (ca. 21/642–ca. 104/722) was best known as an expert in the Qur'ān; El^a, 7:293; Sezgin, GAS, 1:29.

⁵⁴ Abū Uthmān 'Affān b. Muslim al-Şaffār (ca. 134/751-220/835) was born in al-Baṣra and taught ḥadīth in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:102.

⁵⁵ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abd Allāh b. Maslama al-Qa'nabī: (ca. 130/748-221/836) was a long-time student of Mālik; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:257-64.

⁵⁶ The Companion Abū Hurayra al-Dawsi (d. ca. 59/679) was a major transmitter from the Prophet. There is considerable controversy surrounding the other elements of his name; EI, 1:129.

of the chapters, not in the substance of the book and its prime subject matter as indicated by the title he gave it, al-Jāmi^c al-musnad al-ṣaḥīḥ al-mukhtaṣar min umūr Rasūl Allāh wa-sunanihī wa-ayyāmihī (The Comprehensive Collection of Supported Sound Ḥadīth Summarized from the Actions, Practices and Battles of the Messenger of God).

The applicability of Bukhāri's statement, "I put into Kitāb al-Jāmi' only what was established as sound," goes back to the distinction which we explained. The same is true of the applicability of the statement of the expert Abū Naṣr al-Wā'ilī al-Sijzi,57 "Scholars, the jurists and others, unanimously agree that if a man had sworn to divorce his wife if not all of the hadith related from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) in the book of Bukhārī were established as being authentically from him and said by him, there would no doubt about it: the man did not break his oath and the wife remains as before in his snare." This is also true of the statement of Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Humaydī in his book al-Jam' bayn al-Şaḥiḥayn, "These two [that is, Bukhārī and Muslim] are the only old authorities (God be pleased with all of them) we found who clearly expressed soundness to us in all of what they brought together." All of that refers to the substance and the prime subject of the book and the texts in the chapters, rather than the chapter headings and the like, because in some of these chapter headings there is material that is absolutely not sound. An example of this is Bukhārī's chapter on the hadīth concerning the thigh where he relates from Ibn 'Abbas, Jarhad,58 and Muhammad b. Jahsh⁵⁹ from the Prophet, "The thigh is one of the privy parts." Another example is his remark in the first of the chapters concerning the major ablution, "Bahz b. Hakim61 said from his father from his grandfather from the Prophet, "God most deserves that one have shame before Him,"62 and this definitely fails to meet Bukhārī's standard. For that reason, Humaydī did not include it in his al-Jam' bayn al-Ṣaḥīḥayn. So note that, for it is significant yet not readily apparent. God knows best,

⁵⁷ The most important work of the anti-Ash'arite polemicist Abū Naṣr ʿUbayd Allāh b. Saʿid b. Hātim al-Wāʾilī al-Sijzī was al-Ibāna al-kubrā, in which he argued that the Qurʾān is uncreated. He died in 444/1052 in Mecca; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:654-7.

⁵⁸ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Jarhad b. Rizāḥ (or Khuwaylad) al-Aslamī was a Medinese Companion who died in 61/681; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, al-Istī āb fī ma rifat al-Aṣḥāb, ed. 'Alī Muḥammad al-Bajāwī, 4 vols (Cairo, n.d.), 1:270-71.

⁵⁹ As a child, the Companion Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad' b. 'Abd Allāh b. Jaḥsh al-Asadī joined his family in emigrating to Ethiopia. After their return to Mecca, they emigrated to Medina; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti'āb*, 3:1373-4.

⁶⁰ Kitāb al-Jāmi' al-ṣaḥiḥ, ed. Ludolf Krehl and T.W. Juynboll, 4 vols (Leiden, 1862–1908), 1: 105 (K. al-Ṣalāt, B. Mā yudhkaru fi 'l-fakhidh).

⁶¹ The hadīth transmitter Abū 'Abd al-Malik Bahz b. Hakim al-Qurayshī died sometime before 150/767; Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 6:253.

⁶² Kitāb al-Jāmic al-şaḥiḥ, 1:79 (K. al-Ghusl, B. Man ightasala curyānan).

- 7. Since the question of the recognition of sound hadīth ultimately reverts to the material the authorities included in their compositions which are responsible for declaring them to be sound, as mentioned above, the need to direct our attention to the subcategories of sound hadīth is, as a consequence, urgent.
 - a. The sound hadith included by both Bukhārī and Muslim.
 - b. The sound hadīth included only by Bukhārī, that is, as opposed to Muslim.
 - c. The sound hadīth included only by Muslim, that is, as opposed to Bukhāri.
 - d. The sound hadith which meet the standard of both of them, but which were not included by them.
 - e. The sound hadīth which meet the standard of Bukhārī, but which were not included by him.
 - f. The sound hadith which meet the standard of Muslim, but which were not included by him.
 - g. The hadith regarded as sound by others, but which do not meet the standard of either Bukhārī or Muslim.

These are the main subcategories. The highest is the first, and it is one which the scholars of hadith often call "agreed upon to be sound" (sahih muttafaq 'alayhi). When they apply that term without qualification, they mean by it the agreement of Bukhari and Muslim on it, and not the agreement of the Muslim Community. However, the agreement of the Muslim Community on it necessarily follows from the agreement of Bukhārī and Muslim and is concurrent with it, because of the agreement of the Muslim Community to receive with acceptance whatever Bukhārī and Muslim agreed upon. The soundness of this entire subcategory is definitely settled. Theoretical and certain knowledge63 occurs through it, contrary to the doctrine of those who deny this, arguing that their agreement does not in principle produce more than the presumption (zann) [that the hadīth is sound]. They claim that the Muslim Community received these hadīth with acceptance only because it is obliged to act on presumption, although presumption sometimes errs. Formerly, I had inclined toward this view and regarded it highly. Then it became clear to me that the doctrine we⁶⁴ had chosen in the first place is the correct one, because the presumption of someone who is protected (ma'sūm) from error is never wrong and the Muslim Community, when united by consensus (fi ijmā shā), is protected from error. For this reason, consensus based on someone's personal endeavor (ijtihād) is a decisive proof, and

⁶³ Al-'cilm al-yaqini al-nazari: In discussing this passage, Ibn Hajar noted that al-'cilm al-nazari differs from al-'cilm al-darūri in that the former admits doubt while the latter does not. He equated yaqini with qat'i and interpreted it to mean that Ibn al-Salāh was asserting that these hadith possess an absolute level of soundness which renders comparisons between them impossible, a view which Ibn Hajar did not personally endorse; Nukat, 1:379.

⁶⁴ The reason for the shift from the first person singular to the first person plural is not clear.

most of the cases of the consensus of scholars are of that kind. This is a precious and useful point.

One of the ramifications of this is the doctrine that the hadith which either Bukhārī or Muslim is alone in including come under the heading of what is decisively regarded as sound because of the Muslim Community's reception of each of their books with acceptance in the fashion detailed by us in the preceding paragraph. This applies to all but a few insignificant items which some of the critics among the experts of hadith – like Dāraquṭnī⁶⁵ and others – have discussed. These are known to the scholars in this field. God knows best.

8. When it becomes clear, from what we said above, that the way to identify sound and fair hadīth is now confined to the consultation of the two Sahīhs and other authoritative books, [it will be seen that] the course open to the student who wants to act on these hadīth or cite them as a proof – if he is one of those permitted to act on hadīth and cite them as proofs to a partisan – is to consult a copy of the text (aṣl) which he personally or some other reliable person has collated against numerous sound copies transmitted through several different channels. So through the collation, in conjunction with the ubiquity of these books and the improbability that they were intentionally changed or corrupted, he obtains confidence in the soundness of what those texts agree upon. God knows best what is correct.

⁶⁵ This is a reference to Dāraquṭnī's Kitāb al-Tatabbu^c (published with al-Ilzāmāt, Medina, 1397/1978).

Category 2

FAIR HADĪTH

(Ma^crifat al-ḥasan min al-ḥadīth)

After relating that hadīth, according to the scholars of this discipline, fall into the three divisions we mentioned above, we heard that Abū Sulaymān al-Khaṭṭābī¹ (God bless him) said, "Fair' is the hadīth, the source² of which is known and the transmitters of which are famous. Most hadīth fall into this category and it is the category which most scholars accept and the generality of jurists employ." We heard that what Abū ʿīsā al-Tirmidhī (God be pleased with him) meant by "fair" is "that no one in the isnād of the hadīth is accused of falsehood, that it is not an anomalous hadīth (hadīth shādhdh) and that something similar to it is related from more than one line of transmission." One of the more recent experts⁵ said, "'Fair' is the hadīth in which there is a slight but tolerable weakness. It is suitable for use." All of this is ambiguous and inadequate. Nothing in the definitions of Tirmidhī and Khaṭṭābī distinguishes the fair hadīth from the sound. I have examined and researched the question of the fair hadīth at length, collecting the scattered remarks of the experts and taking note of the instances of their usage of this term. It became abundantly clear that there are two subcategories of fair hadīth.

¹ Although the works of Abū Sulaymān Ḥamd b. Muḥammad al-Khaṭṭābī (296/908-386/996) were extremely influential, his life is poorly documented. He was the first to write commentaries on the major hadith collections. His Maʿālim al-Sunan (ed. Muḥammad Rāghib al-Ṭabbākh, 4 vols, Aleppo, 1351/1932-1352/1934) deals with the Sunan of Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī while Aʿlām al-sunan (ed. Yūsuf al-Kattānī, 2 vols, Rabat, n.d.) treats Bukhārī's Ṣaḥiḥ; EI², 4:1131-2; Sezgin, GAS, 1:210-11.

² The word "makhraj" is not a technical term in the study of hadīth and on its own yielded verŷ little meaning to later commentators. They tended to interpret the clause "the source of which is known" as a reference to the necessity of cohesion in the isnād of the fair hadīth, seemingly because that would otherwise be missing from Khatṭābī's definition; e.g. Sakhāwī, Fatḥ al-Mughīth, 1:62-3; Zayn al-Dīn al-Trāqī, al-Taqyid wa-'l-īdāḥ, ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad Uthmān (Cairo, 1389/1969), 44; Zakarīyā' al-Anṣārī, Fatḥ al-Bāqī 'alā Alfīyat al-'Irāqī (bound with Trāqī, al-Tabṣira wa-'l-tadhkira), ed. Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Trāqī al-Ḥusaynī, 3 vols (Beirut, n.d.), 1:84.

³ Ma'ālim al-Sunan, 1:6.

⁴ Şahih al-Tirmidhi (bi-sharh al-imām Ibn al-'Arabi al-Māliki), 13 vols (Cairo, 1350/1931–1352/1934), 13:333-4.

⁵ Ba'd al-muta'akhkhirin: although the division between the mutaqaddimūn and the muta'akhkhirūn, "the ancients and the moderns," would seem by its nature to be relative and approximate, it is perhaps instructive to note that Dhahabi (d. 748/1374) made the year 300/912 the dividing line; Mizān al-i'tidāl fi naqd al-rijāl, ed. 'Alt Muḥammad al-Bajāwi, 4 vols (Cairo, 1382/1963), 1:4; id., al-Mughnī fi 'l-du'afā', ed. Nūr al-Dīn 'Itr, 2 vols (Aleppo, 1391/1971), 1:4; Ibn Ḥajar, Lisān al-mizān, 6 vols (Ḥyderabad, 1329-31), 1:8-9, 5:396.

⁶ Abu 'l-Faraj b. al-Jawzī wrote this in his Kitāh al-Mamdū'āt, ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad Uthmān, 3 vols (Medina, 1387/1966–1388/1968), 1:35.

- 1. The hadīth the isnād of which includes an outwardly acceptable individual⁷ whose suitability has not been confirmed: yet, this individual is not careless, prone to mistakes in what he transmits nor accused of falsehood in hadīth; that is, he manifests neither the practice of deliberately lying in hadīth nor any other reason for vitiating his integrity. In addition, it has become known that a text like that of the hadīth or one similar to it has been transmitted through one or more different lines of transmission. In this way, the hadīth is reinforced by the parallelism of someone else being in conformity with its transmitter with something like it or by another attestation to it; that is, the appearance of another hadīth with a similar text. This way the possibility that it is anomalous or unfamiliar (munkar) is excluded. The remarks of Tirmidhī concern this subcategory of fair hadīth.
- 2. The hadith the transmitter of which is someone famous for veracity and honesty who, however, did not attain the grade of the transmitters of sound hadith, because he fell short of them in retention and exactitude: despite that, his state is superior to that of the transmitter whose hadith are counted as unfamiliar, if he is alone in transmitting them. For all of this, the hadith must [first] be considered to be secure from being defective (mu^callal), as well as secure from being anomalous or unfamiliar. The remarks of Khaṭṭābī concern the second subcategory of fair ḥadīth.

What we have said brings together the scattered comments of those whose remarks on the fair hadīth have come to our attention. It is as if Tirmidhī had mentioned one of the two categories of the fair hadīth and Khaṭṭābī had mentioned the other, each of them limiting himself to what he regarded as problematic and passing over what he saw as unproblematic, or paying no attention to some aspects of it, overlooking them. (God knows best.) The preceding was an enumeration of the principal aspects of the fair hadīth and we will now clarify the matter through a discussion of certain points worth noting and certain subsidiary issues.

1. The fair hadith falls short of the sound in that the standard of the sound requires that the integrity, accuracy and exactitude of all of the transmitters of the sound hadith be established, either by explicit transmission or by way of general acknowledgement, as we will explain, God (He is exalted) willing. That is not stipulated for the fair hadith. As stated above, the appearance of the hadith from several paths of transmission and the other conditions which were explained earlier do suffice in the case of the fair hadith.

⁷ Mastūr literally means "veiled" and may be translated as "respectable." Ibn Ḥajar equated mastūr with majhūl al-ḥāl; Nukhbat al-nazar, 87.

Whenever a Shāfi'ite jurist regards that with skepticism, we remind him of the provision of Shāfi'i (God be pleased with him) concerning the loose hadith (marāsil) of the Followers: that he accepted a loose hadith for which there was a similar supported version (musnad). Likewise, he accepted a loose hadith if another loose hadith transmitted by someone who had not studied with the teachers of the first Follower was in agreement with it. Shāfi said this in some of his remarks where he speaks of several ways of determining the soundness of the source of a loose hadith on the basis of its coming from another line of transmission. We also remind the skeptical Shāfiste that Abu 'l-Muzaffar al-Samsanī8 and others related from one of the students of Shāfiq that the transmission of an outwardly acceptable transmitter is to be accepted, even if the testimony of an outwardly acceptable individual may not be accepted in court, and there is a cogent reason for that. So how [could a Shāfi ite object], when for a hadith to be considered fair we would not be satisfied - in accordance with the foregoing remarks - by its mere relation by an outwardly acceptable individual? God knows best.

2. Perhaps some clever investigator will say, "We find hadith judged as weak, despite their having been related with many isnads through numerous lines of transmission, like the hadith, 'The ears are part of the head,' and similar ones. Why do you not include that hadith and the others like it in the category of fair hadith, because the various versions reinforce one another, in the fashion you previously described regarding fair hadith?" The answer to this is that not every weakness in a hadith is eliminated by the hadith coming from several lines of transmission. Rather, the situation varies. One weakness which the passage of a hadith through several lines of transmission eliminates is the weakness which arises from some deficiency in the retention of its transmitter, when he is otherwise veracious and pious. When we see that the hadith he related also comes from another line of transmission, we realize that it is one of the hadith that he had retained properly and his accuracy in it was not impaired. Likewise, when the weakness of the hadith is on account of looseness (irsāl), it disappears because of something along these lines - as in the case of the loose hadith which an expert authority transmits as loose - since it contains just a small weakness which disappears through its relation from another line of transmission. There are also types of weakness which are not eliminated by something similar to that, on account of the severity of the weakness and the failure of this "bone-setter" to reduce the fracture in it and mend it. An example of this is the weakness which arises from the transmitter being accused of falsehood or from the hadith being anomalous. This is an outline, the particulars of which are acquired through practice and study. So be aware of that. It is one of the fine points. God knows best.

⁸ Abu 'l-Muzaffar Manşūr b. Muḥammad al-Sam'anī (426/1035–489/1096) was the grandfather of Ibn al-Şalāḥ's teacher Abū Sa'd al-Sam'anī; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:524, Suppl., 1:731.

3. If the transmitter of a hadith lags behind the grade of those who are retentive and exact – yet is widely known for veracity and respectability – and in addition to that his hadith was related through more than one line of transmission, the strength of the hadith is reinforced from both sides and that lifts his hadith from the grade of fair to the grade of sound.

An instance of this is the hadīth of Muhammad b. 'Amr' from Abū Salama' from Abū Hurayra that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Were it not that I would be imposing a burden on my Community, I would have ordered them to clean their teeth with a tooth-stick before every prayer." Muhammad b. 'Amr b. 'Alqama was renowned for veracity and piety, but he lacked exactitude. So some regarded him as weak on account of his poor retention while others deemed him reliable because of his veracity and augustness. Thus his hadīth from this standpoint is only fair. When the circumstance that the hadīth is related through other lines of transmission was combined with that, our fears about his poor retention vanished and that slight shortcoming was mended. So this isnād was established as sound and the hadīth attained the level of the sound hadīth. God knows best.

4. The book of Abū Isā al-Tirmidhī (God bless him) is a fundamental document for the recognition of fair hadīth. He is the one who referred to this category of hadīth by this name and he used the term often in his $J\bar{a}mi^{c}$. The term "fair" is also scattered throughout the remarks of some of his teachers and the members of the generation of scholars before him, like Ahmad b. Hanbal, Bukhārī and others.

The various copies of Tirmidhī's book differ in his designations, "This is a fair hadīth," or "This is a fair and sound hadīth (hadīth ḥasan ṣahīh)," and the like. So it is best that you correct your copy of it against a batch of other copies and rely on what they agree upon.

Dāraquṭnī in his Sunan explicitly designates many of his ḥadīth as fair and this designation also occurs often in the Sunan of Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī (God bless him). We heard that he said, "I mentioned in my Sunan the sound ḥadīth and those similar and close to them." We also heard his remarks to the effect that he mentions for each topic the soundest ḥadīth known to him. He said, "I have indicated those ḥadīth in my book that contain a severe debility. The ḥadīth I do not say anything about are good (ṣāliḥ), and some are sounder than others." On

⁹ Abu 'l-Ḥasan Muḥammad b. 'Amr b. 'Alqama al-Laythī (d. ca. 145/762) was best known as the principal transmitter from Abū Salama; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:136-7.

¹⁰ Abū Salama 'Abd Allāh (or Ismā'il) b. 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Qurashī (ca. 20/641-94/713) was a Medinese expert in ḥadīth and law; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:287-92.

¹¹ Risālat Abī Dāwūd ilā ahl Makka fī wasf Sunanihī, ed. Muḥammad b. Luṭfī al-Ṣabbāgh, 3rd edn. (Beirut, 1405), 27–8.

this basis, the hadīth which we find mentioned in his book without any designation – if they are not in either of the two Sahīḥs and no scholar who discriminates between the sound and the fair designates them as sound – we know to be fair in the opinion of Abū Dāwūd, although some of them may not be fair in the opinion of others and may not be included in the material which we have established the accuracy of calling "fair" in accordance with what has been said above. This is because – when the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Manda¹² related that he heard Muḥammad b. Saʿd al-Bāwardī¹³ saying in Egypt, "It was Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Nasāʾī's way to include the ḥadīth of all of those transmitters who were not unanimously rejected," – Ibn Manda himself added, "Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī as well adopts the same course, including ḥadīth having weak isnāds if he does not find anything else on the topic, because in his view they were stronger than the arbitrary opinions (raʾy) of men." God knows best.

- 5. The author of the Maṣābiḥ (Lamps)¹⁴ (God bless him) came to divide his ḥadīth into two categories, the sound and the fair. By "sound" he meant the ḥadīth appearing in one or both of the Ṣaḥiḥs and by "fair" the ḥadīth Abū Dāwūd [al-Sijistānī], Tirmidhī and their peers included in their compilations. This is a usage unrecognized by others. The scholars of ḥadīth do not consider the fair ḥadīth to consist of that, for these books [that is, those of Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, Tirmidhī, and so forth] contain fair and other ḥadīth, as has been made clear. God knows best.
- 6. The *musnads* like those of Abū Dāwūd al-Ṭayālisī, ¹⁵ Ubayd Allāh b. Mūsā, ¹⁶ Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, ¹⁷ Isḥāq b. Rāhawayh, ¹⁸ Abd b. Ḥumayd, ¹⁹
 - 12 Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Isḥāq b. Manda (310/922-395/1005) was the author of several religious works; EP, 3:863.
- 13 Some have identified this Muhammad b. Sa'd al-Bāwardī as the Abū Manṣūr al-Bāwardī who is mentioned as an author of a work on the Companions by Ibn Ḥajar, al-Iṣāba fi tamyīz al-Ṣahāba, 4 vols (Cairo, 1328), 1:3 and Sakhāwi, Flān, 161; see, for example, Kattānī, Risāla, 128.
- 14 Baghawi was the author of the collection of hadith without isnāds entitled *Maṣābih al-sunna* (ed. Ibrāhīm Muḥammad Ramadān, 2 vols, Beirut, n.d.). Despite Baghawi's unique views (presented in the very brief introduction to the work), *Maṣābih* was very popular and spawned considerable activity among later scholars; see Hājji Khalifa, *Kashf*, 2:cols 1698–702.
- 15 Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān b. Dāwūd b. al-Jārūd al-Ṭayālisī (133/750-203/818 or 204) was a famous ḥadīth scholar in al-Baṣra; EI, 4:708; Sezgin, GAS, 1:97-8.
- 16 Abū Muḥammad 'Ubayd Allāh b. Mūsā al-'Absī (d. 213/829 or 214) is said to have been the first scholar in al-Baṣra to compose a musnad; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:553-7.
- 17 The very large *musnad* ascribed to Ahmad b. Hanbal was published in six volumes (Cairo, 1311-13).
- 18 Musnad Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh, ed. 'Abd al-Ghafūr 'Abd al-Ḥaqq Ḥusayn Barr al-Balūshī (Medina, 1410/1990).
- 19 Abū Muḥammad 'Abd (or 'Abd al-Ḥamīd) b. Ḥumayd al-Kashshī (or al-Kishshī) was a prominent transmitter of ḥadīth who died in 249/863. His Musnad has survived in the form

Dārimī, 20 Abū Yaʿlā al-Mawṣilī, 21 al-Ḥasan b. Sufyān, 22 Abū Bakr al-Bazzār² and similar works — do not reach the level of the Five Books — that is, the two Ṣaḥīḥs, the Sunan of Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, the Sunan of Nasāʾī, the Jāmiʿ of Tirmidhī — and works similar to them in that the hadīth appearing in them are suitable for citation as proofs in arguments and for being relied upon, unless they are designated otherwise. The custom of the compilers of the musnads was to include in the chapter (musnad) of each Companion all of his hadīth they related, without restricting themselves to the hadīth worthy of being cited as proofs. For this reason, the level of these musnads fell below the level of the Five Books, even if these musnads are highly esteemed on account of the augustness of their compilers. This is also true of the works arranged by subject based on these musnads. God knows best.

- 7. The statement of scholars, "This is a hadīth sound from the standpoint of its isnād (saḥiḥ al-isnād)" or "fair from the standpoint of its isnād (hasan al-isnād)," is less than their saying, "This is a sound hadīth" or "a fair hadīth" because sometimes it is said, "This is a hadīth sound from the standpoint of its isnād," and the hadīth is not actually sound on account of being anomalous or defective. However, when a reliable author says nothing more than that it is sound from the standpoint of its isnād and he does not go on to bring up a defect in it or impugn it, the presumption from this is that he judged it to be intrinsically sound (saḥiḥ fi naſsihi) because the lack of a defect or reason for impugnment is what is initially presumed. God knows best.
- 8. The statement of Tirmidhī and others, "This is a fair and sound hadīth" (hadīth hasan ṣahīh) is problematic because the fair hadīth is inferior to the sound, as was explained above. The conjunction of these two states in a single hadīth is the conjunction of the negation and assertion of this inferiority. The answer here is that the expression concerns the isnād. When a single hadīth is

of al-Muntakhab min Musnad 'Abd ibn Ḥumayd (ed. Şubḥī al-Badrī al-Sāmarrā'ī and Maḥmūd Muḥammad Khalīl al-Şa'dī, Beirut, 1408/1988); Sezgin, GAS, 1:113.

²⁰ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Dārimī was born in 181/797 and died in 255/869. His Musnad – in which the hadīth are arranged by subject – has been published as Sunan al-Dārimī (ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad Duhmān, 2 vols, Damascus, 1349); EP, 2:159; Sezgin, GAS, 1:114-15.

²¹ Abū Ya¶ā Aḥmad b. Alī b. al-Muthannā al-Mawsilī (210/826–307/919) was esteemed because, among other things, he taught his *Musnad* (ed. Ḥusayn Salīm Asad, 14 vols, Damascus, 1404/1984–1410/1990) for the sake of God rather than for worldly gain as others did (e.g., al-Ḥasan b. Sufyān); Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:170–71.

²² Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Hasan b. Sufyān al-Naṣawi was born around 213/828 and died in 303/916; Sezgin, GAS, 1:169-70. Hājji Khalifa mentions his musnad in Kasf, 2:col. 1682

²³ Abū Bakr Ahmad b. 'Amr al-Bazzār died in 292/905. His musnad has been published as al-Bahr al-zakhkhār (ed. Maḥfūz al-Raḥmān Zayn Allāh, Medina, 1416/1996, only vol. 8 seen); Sezgin, GAS, 1:162.

related with two isnāds, one of them fair and the other sound, it may properly be called a "fair and sound hadīth;" that is, it is fair in relation to one isnād and sound in relation to the other. However, it is not unheard of for someone to use that expression, meaning "fair" in its everyday sense — that is, what the soul inclines toward and the heart does not reject [that is, in the sense of "beautiful"] — rather than the technical meaning with which we are concerned, so be aware of that. God knows best.

9. There are some scholars of hadith who do not recognize fair hadith as a separate category, subsuming them in the types of sound hadith, since they are included in the types of hadith which may be adduced as proofs. The expert Abū Allah al-Ḥākim's adherence to this doctrine is apparent from his remarks in his Taşarrufāt and he indicates it also by calling the book of Tirmidhī the al-Jāmi' al-ṣaḥiḥ (The Comprehensive Collection of Sound Ḥadīth). The expert Abū Bakr al-Khatīb²⁴ also applied the name of "Saḥīḥ" without any qualification to Tirmidhī's book and to the book of Nasā'ī. The expert Abū Ṭāhir al-Silafī25 brought up the Five Books and said, "The scholars of the East and the West agree upon the soundness of them." This is an instance of careless speech, for the authors of these books have clearly designated some of the hadith they contain as being "weak" (da'if), "unfamiliar" and other similar terms descriptive of weak hadīth. Abū Dāwūd [al-Sijistānī] in the passage we quoted above clearly indicated the division of the hadith in his book into sound and other kinds of hadīth and Tirmidhī clearly distinguishes between the sound and the fair hadīth in his book. If someone who does not deny that the fair hadith is inferior to the sound as described above calls a fair hadith "sound," it is merely a case of a difference in expression rather than in sense. God knows best.

²⁴ Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. 'Alī b. Thābit (392/1002-463/1071), popularly known as al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, was one of the towering figures of traditional Islamic scholarship and his Ta³rikh Baghdād (14 vols, Cairo, 1349/1931) is the finest classical biographical dictionary. Several of his other important contributions to the study of hadīth will be mentioned in the course of this present work; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:400-401, Suppl., 1:562-4; El³, 4:1111-12.

²⁵ Abū Ṭāhir Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Silafi was born around 472/1078 in Nishapur. After extensive travels, he settled in Alexandria in 511/1117, where he remained almost continuously until his death in 576/1180. During his lifetime he was the most important scholar of hadīth in the western Islamic world; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:450, Suppl., 1:624; EI, 9:607-9.

Category 3 WEAK HADITH

(Ma'rifat al-ḍa'īf min al-ḥadīth)

Every hadīth in which the traits of the sound hadīth and the fair hadīth mentioned above do not come together is a "weak" hadīth. Abū Ḥātim b. Ḥibbān al-Bustī went overboard in creating subcategories of weak hadīth, coming up with forty-nine. What I gave here is a general rule for all of that.

The procedure for someone who seeks to expound at length on this topic is to take a particular trait of the sound or the fair hadith and make the hadith that lack that trait a separate subcategory of weak hadith - if there is nothing mitigating the absence of the trait in the fashion established in the Category of the fair hadith. He then should make the hadith that lack that trait in addition to another particular trait a second subcategory. Then he should make the hadith that lack that trait in addition to two other particular traits a third subcategory. He should continue in this fashion until he covers all of the aforementioned traits. Then he should go back and pick anew a trait other than the one he initially picked and make the hadith that lack it alone a subcategory. Another subcategory is comprised of the hadith that lack the new trait and another trait - and let the latter trait be different from the one he began with because it was already used in the subdivisions based on the lack of that first trait - and so on to the last of the traits. The last and lowest subcategory is composed of the hadith that lack all of the traits. For the traits that have special stipulations (shurūt) do the same thing with their stipulations so that the subcategories are thereby further multiplied.

The subcategories of the weak hadith which have well-known special appellations are the "forged" (mawdūc), the "mixed-up" (maqlūb), the "anomalous" (shādhdh), the "defective" (mucallal), the "disrupted" (mudtarib), the "loose" (mursal), the "interrupted" (munqatic) and the "problematic" (mucdal). The explanation of these will appear in later chapters, God (He is exalted) willing. It will be noted in the Categories we will be presenting that they are general Categories of the Sciences of Hadith and not specifically Categories of the original system of classification, which we have now completed. We beseech God (He is blessed and exalted) to make all-encompassing the benefit of this book both in this world and in the hereafter. Amen!

¹ That is, the division of hadith into sound, fair and weak; see Ibn Hajar, Nukat, 1:504.

Category 4 Supported Ḥadīth

(Ma^crifat al-musnad)

The expert Abū Bakr al-Khatīb (God bless him) stated that the scholars of hadīth hold the supported hadīth to be the one with an isnād which coheres from the person transmitting it up to its point of termination. Most often the term is applied to the hadith which came from the Messenger of God (God be pleased with him), rather than the hadith which originated with the Companions and others. The expert Abū 'Umar b. 'Abd al-Barr' stated that supported hadīth are exclusively those "raised" (rufi'a) to the Prophet (Peace be upon him). The isnād may be uninterrupted (muttașil) - like Mālik from Nāfic from Ibn 'Umar from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)3 - or it may be interrupted (munqatis) -like Mālik from Zuhrī from Ibn 'Abbās from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). The second hadith is supported since its isnad goes to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and it is interrupted because Zuhrī did not hear hadith from Ibn 'Abbās (God be pleased with them). Abū 'Umar related from several scholars the view that the term "supported" applies only to the hadīth with an uninterrupted isnād raised to the Prophet (Peace be upon him).5 The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim (God bless him) stated that unambiguously and did not mention any other definition in his book.6 These are three differing interpretations. The first opinion is the most balanced and appropriate. God knows best.

l^a Al-Kifāya fi silm al-riwāya (Hyderabad, 1357), 21.

² Abū 'Umar Yūsuf b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Barr al-Namarī al-Qurṭubī (368/978-463/1071) was a Andalusian Mālikite who wrote extensively on subjects related to the study of hadīth. His *Tamhīd*, a commentary on the *Muwaṭṭa*' of Mālik, may be his most important contribution to hadīth scholarship and Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ cited it often; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:453-4, Suppl., 1:628-9; EI², 3:674.

³ Al-Tamhid li-mā fi 'l-Muwaṭṭa' min al-ma'āni wa-'l-asānid (ed. Muṣṭafā b. Aḥmad al-'Alawī and Muḥammad 'Abd al-Karīm al-Bakrī ([Rabat], 1967/1387 ff.), 1:21.

⁴ Tamhid, 1:23.

⁵ Tamhid, 1:25.

^{6 &#}x27;Ulum al-hadith, 17-19.

Category 5 UNINTERRUPTED ḤADITH

(Ma^crifat al-muttașil)

The uninterrupted hadīth is also called "connected" (mawsūl). The term, when used without qualification, may be applied to both raised (marfū') and halted hadīth (mawqūf). It is the hadīth with an isnād which is uninterrupted because each of its transmitters heard the hadīth from the person above him up to its point of termination. An example of a raised uninterrupted hadīth from the Muwaṭṭa' is "Mālik from Ibn Shihāb [al-Zuhrī] from Sālim b. 'Abd Allāh from his father [that is, 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar] from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)." An example of a halted uninterrupted hadīth is "Mālik from Nāfī' from Ibn 'Umar from 'Umar: 'Umar said ..." God knows best.

¹ Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb was a close associate of the Prophet Muḥammad and served as caliph from 13/634 until his assassination in 23/644; EI, 3:982-4.

Category 6 RAISED ḤADĪTH

(Ma'rifat al-marfū')

The term "raised" applies exclusively to the hadith attributed to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and it does not apply, when used without qualification, to anything else, just as the term "halted" (mawqūf) is applied to the Companions and others [and not to the hadith of the Prophet]. The raised hadith may be uninterrupted (muttașil), interrupted (munqații), loose (mursal) and the like. Some people regard the raised hadith and the supported (musnad) as being the same, arguing that both may be either interrupted or uninterrupted. Others maintain that they differ in that a raised hadīth may be either interrupted or uninterrupted while the term "supported" applies only to the uninterrupted hadith attributed to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). The expert Abū Bakr b. Thābit [al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī] said, "The raised hadīth is the one in which a Companion gives information about the words or deeds of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)." He restricted the term to the transmission of the Companions and thus the loose hadith of the Followers (mursal al-Tābi'i) from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) were excluded. The scholars of hadith who contrasted the raised hadith with the loose meant "uninterrupted" when they said "raised." God knows best.

3

Category 7 HALTED ḤADITH

(Ma^crifat al-mawqūf)

The halted hadith is the one which is transmitted from the Companions (God be pleased with them) concerning their words, deeds and the like and which is stopped at them and is not carried past to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). If its isnad is cohesive to the Companion, it is called "connected halted" (al-mawaūf al-mawsūl); and, if its isnād is not cohesive, it is called "unconnected halted" (al-mawqūf ghayr al-mawşūl). This is similar to what is known to pertain to the hadith raised (marfū^c) to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). (God knows best.) If the term "halted" is used without any qualification, it refers exclusively, as we mentioned above, to a hadith of a Companion. The term is sometimes used with qualification for transmitters who were not Companions. It is said, "X halted (magafa) such-and-such hadith at 'Aţā'" - or "Tāwūs" or someone similar. In the terminology of the Khurāsānian jurists, we find that the halted hadith is identified with the term "account" (athar). One of them, Abu 'I-Qāsim al-Fūrānī,' said in something of his we read, "The jurists say a 'report' (khabar) is what is related from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and an 'account' is what is related from the Companions (God be pleased with them)."

¹ Abū Muḥammad 'Aṭā' b. Abī al-Rabāḥ Aslam (27/647–114/732) was the student of a large number of Companions; Sezgin, GAS, 1:31.

² Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Ṭāwūs b. Kaysān (d. 106/724), like 'Aṭā', was a prominent transmitter from the second generation of Muslims; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:38-49.

³ The Shāfiqte jurist Abu 'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Fūrān al-Marwazī died at an advanced age in 461/1069; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:264–5.

Category 8 Cut-off Ḥadith

(Ma'rifat al-maqțū')

The cut-off hadīth is not the same as the interrupted (munqați') which, God (He is exalted) willing, will be discussed later. Maqāti' and maqāti' are given as the plurals of maqtū'. The cut-off hadīth is a report concerning the words and deeds of the Followers, halted at them. The expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb said about the cut-off hadīth in his Jāmi', "The Cut-off Ḥadīth: the cut-off ḥadīth are those halted at the Followers." I have also found the cut-off ḥadīth interpreted as interrupted – that is, unconnected (ghayr mawṣūl) – in the remarks of the imām Shāfi'ī, Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Tabarānī' and others. God knows best.

Subsidiary Issues

1. The statement of a Companion, "We used to do such and such," or "We used to say such and such," falls into the category of halted hadith, if he does not ascribe it to the time of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). If he does ascribe it to the time of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), it belongs to the category of raised hadith (marfū'), as the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. al-Bayyi^c [al-Hākim al-Nīsābūrī],³ other scholars of hadīth and others have unequivocally stated. I read that Abū Bakr al-Barqānī asked the authority Abū Bakr al-Ismā'ilī about that and he denied that it constitutes a raised hadīth. The claim that it is raised deserves credit since the obvious implication of [the statement that they used to say or do something during the lifetime of the Prophet] is that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) took cognizance of [what his Companions were saying or doing] and tacitly assented to it, and his tacit assent is one of the forms of raised sunnas. The categories of raised sunnas include the words of the Prophet (Peace be upon him), his deeds, and his tacit assent to and forbearance from rejecting [the statements and actions of his Companions] after becoming cognizant of them. Examples of this last kind of raised sunna are the statements of a Companion, "We did not use to think that there was anything wrong with such and such while the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) was among us," "Such and such used to be said during the Prophet's lifetime," or, "They used to do such and such during the life of the

¹ Jāmic, 356.

² Abu 'l-Qāsim Sulaymān b. Aḥmad al-Ṭabarānī (260/873-360/971) was the author of a number of important works on ḥadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:195-7.

^{3 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 22.

⁴ A sunna is a practice endorsed by the precedent of an authoritative figure.

Prophet (Peace be upon him)." All of these, and similar declarations, are considered supported raised hadith ($marf\bar{u}^c$ musnad) and are included in the books of supported hadith.

In regard to the report we heard from al-Mughīra b. Shuba,5 "The Companions of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) used to tap on his door with their fingernails," Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim stated, "Those who are not versed in this craft mistakenly believe that this hadith is supported" - that is, raised - "on account of the mention of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) in it. It is not supported, but rather halted (mawqūf)."6 Al-Khatīb said something similar to this in his Jāmio as well. Rather, it is, as stated above, raised. It is more appropriate that it be termed "raised" since it is more probable that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) was cognizant of the act described, and al-Ḥākim acknowledges that as constituting raised. We used to count this as one of the things we held against al-Hākim. Then we interpreted it, giving him the benefit of the doubt, to mean that he meant that this hadith is not explicitly supported, but rather it is literally halted, just as the rest of [the examples discussed] earlier were literally halted. We made it raised only on the basis of its sense. God knows best.

2. The scholars of hadith view a Companion's statement, "We were enjoined to do such and such," or, "We were forbidden to do such and such," as belonging to the category of the raised and the supported hadith. Indeed, this is the doctrine of most scholars. A certain group including Abū Bakr al-Ismā'lli disputed that. The first view is the correct one because such statements, when unqualified, by presumption go back to the one who possesses the right to enjoin and forbid and he is the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). The same is true of the statement of a Companion, "Such and such is a sunna." The sounder opinion is that this statement is a raised supported hadith, because the presumption is that the Companion means by this expression only the sunna of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and following him is what is required. This is also true of the statement of Anas* (God be pleased with him), "Bilal" was ordered to say the words of the call to prayer twice and the words of the iqāma once," and other

⁵ The prominent Companion Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Mughīra b. Shu'ba al-Thaqaft served as the governor of al-Baṣra and al-Kūfa under the early caliphs and died of the plague in the year 50/670 at around the age of seventy; EP, 7:347.

^{6 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadith, 19.

^{7 7}āmis, 355.

⁸ Abu Hamza Anas b. Mālik al-Anṣārī was one of the last Companions of the Prophet to die and a prolific transmitter of hadīth. He died in al-Baṣra around the year 93/712 = the exact date is disputed – at over one hundred years of age; EF, 1:482.

⁹ The renowned Companion Bilāl b. Rabāḥ (d. ca. 20/641), who was also known by his mother's name as Bilāl b. Hamāma, served as a muezzin during the lifetime of the Prophet; EP, 1:1215.

analogous statements. So there is no difference between Anas saying that in the time of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) or after him (Peace be upon him). God knows best.

- 3. The assertion that the commentary (tafsir) of a Companion constitutes a supported hadith holds true only in the case of a Companion giving an interpretation concerning the circumstance of the revelation of a verse of the Qur³ān and the like. For instance, the statement of Jābir¹⁰ (God be pleased with him), "The Jews used to say that the child of someone who has vaginal intercourse with his wife from the rear will be born squint-eyed. Then God (He is mighty and majestic) revealed the Qur³ānic verse [2:223], 'Your women are a field for you...'" The other interpretations of the Companions which do not contain the ascription of anything to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) are counted as halted hadīth. God knows best.
- 4. The hadith in the isnāds of which it is said at the mention of the Companion, "He raises the hadith" (yarfa'u), "He reaches with it" (yablughu bihī)," "He advances it" (yanmihī) or "As a transmission" (riwāyatan) are considered raised hadīth. An example of this is "Sufyān b. Uyayna" from Abu 'l-Zinād' from al-A'raj' from Abū Hurayra as a transmission, '[The Hour will not come until] you fight a people with small eyes ...," and with the same isnād, "from Abū Hurayra, he reaches with it, 'The people are subordinates of the Quraysh ...'" All of these expressions and others like them allude to the Companion raising the hadīth to the level of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and scholars judge them to be plainly raised. When a transmitter says about a Follower, "He raises the hadīth," or "He reaches with it," that is also raised, but it is a loose raised hadīth (marfū' mursal). God knows best.

¹⁰ The Companion Abū 'Abd Allāh Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr al-Salamī al-Anṣārī (d. 78/697) was the musti in Medina after the Prophet's death. His hadīth were collected in an early sahīfa (see Sezgin, GAS, 1:85); Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:189-94.

¹¹ The great scholar of hadīth Sufyān b. Uyayna was born in al-Kūfa in 107/725 and died in Mecca in 196/811; EP, 9:772; Sezgin, GAS, 1:96.

¹² Abu 'l-Zinād 'Abd Allāh b. Dhakwān al-Qurashī (ca. 65/684-130/748) lived in Medina and was an expert in hadīth, law and the Arabic language; Sezgin, GAS, 1:405.

¹³ Abū Dāwūd 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Hurmuz al-Hāshimī, known as "al-A'raj," was an expert in a number of disciplines. He died in 117/735 at an advanced age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:69-70.

Category 9 Loose Ḥadīth

(Ma^crifat al-mursal)

The form of the loose hadīth about which there is no disagreement is the hadīth of an early Follower (al-Tābi's al-kabir) – like 'Ubayd Allāh b. 'Adī b. al-Khayyār,' Sa'īd b. al-Musayyib' and those like them who met a number of the Companions and attended their classes – when he says, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said." The common view is that all of the Followers (God be pleased with them) are to be treated equally in that regard.

There are also other forms regarding which there is disagreement as to whether they are really loose or not.

- 1. When an isnad is interrupted before reaching the Follower because it contains the relation of a transmitter who did not hear hadith from the individual mentioned above him: the authority Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hākim' and some other scholars of hadith said definitively that this kind of hadith is not to be called "loose" and that looseness (irsāl) appertains exclusively to the Followers. Rather, they maintain that if the mention of a single transmitter is omitted before it reaches the Follower it may only be called "interrupted" (mungatis) and that if more than one transmitter is omitted it is called "problematic" (mu'dal) - and the latter may also be termed "interrupted." An example of that will be given further on, God (He is exalted) willing. It is well known that in the disciplines of positive and theoretical law all of these forms are called "loose." The scholar of hadith Abū Bakr al-Khatib subscribed to the latter view and gave definite statement to it. However, he did say, "Nevertheless, from the standpoint of usage most of the hadith described as being 'loose' are those a Follower related directly about the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and they call the hadith that a later individual (tābi^c al-Tābi^ci) related directly from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) 'problematic.' "4 God knows best.
- 2. When Zuhrī, Abū Ḥāzim, Yaḥyā b. Sa'īd al-Anṣārī' and their colleagues among the later Followers (aṣāghir al-Tābi'in) say, "The Messenger of God

^{1 &#}x27;Ubayd Allāh b. 'Adī was a Medinese religious scholar who died around 91/710; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:514-15.

² Abū Muḥammad Saʿid b. al-Musayyib al-Makhzūmi (13/634-94/713) was an active figure in a number of religious disciplines; Sezgin, GAS, 1:276.

^{3 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 28.

⁴ Kifāya, 21.

⁵ Salama b. Dīnār al-Makhzūmī (d. ca. 140/757) was a judge in Medina; Sezgin, GAS, 1:634-5.

⁶ Abū Saʿid Yaḥyā b. Saʿid b. Qays al-Anṣārī was an early judge who died in 143/760; Sezgin, GAS, 1:407.

(Peace be upon him) said:" Ibn 'Abd al-Barr related that some people do not call this form "loose," but rather "interrupted," because these late Followers met only one or two of the Companions and most of their transmission of hadīth is from other Followers. This view is derived from the doctrine of those who do not term as "loose" a hadīth interrupted before it reaches the level of the Follower. The common view, stated above, is that all of the Followers are to be treated equally in regard to the application of the term "loose." God knows best.

3. When it is said in an isnād, "X from a man," "From a teacher from X," or the like: the view which al-Ḥākim stated in his book Maʿrifat 'ulūm al-ḥadīth (The Sciences of Ḥadīth) is that this form is not to be called "loose," but rather "interrupted." In some of the well-respected works on theoretical law this is counted as one of the types of loose ḥadīth. God knows best.

Be aware that a loose hadīth is treated as if it were weak unless its source is established as sound by the relation of the text through another line of transmission, as was explained in the Category on fair hadīth. Thus Shāfiʿi (God be pleased with him) adduced as proofs loose hadīth from Saʿid b. al-Musayyib (God be pleased with them), because supported versions of the same texts from other lines of transmission existed. As we have seen, this in his view did not exclusively apply to the loose hadīth of Ibn al-Musayyib. To whoever denies that, claiming that in this case the supported version of the hadīth is actually being relied upon rather than the loose and that the loose version is itself null and useless, the response is that the soundness of the isnād containing the looseness becomes apparent by virtue of the supported version. So even though the isnād is loose, it is judged to be a sound isnād which can support a proof, as we established in Category 2. Only someone devoid of experience in this matter can deny this.

The doctrine which the majority of the experts and critics of hadīth has settled on is, as was mentioned above, that a loose hadīth may not be cited as a proof and is judged to be weak. They repeat this doctrine often in their works and in the introduction of the Sahīḥ of Muslim we find the following: "The loose hadīth does not constitute a proof according to the principle of my doctrine and that of those knowledgeable about reports." Ibn 'Abd al-Barr – the expert of the West – is one of those who transmitted that view from a group of the scholars of hadīth. However, on the other side, the doctrine of Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfa¹¹ and their followers is that a loose hadīth may be adduced as a proof. God knows best.

⁷ Tamhid, 1:22.

⁸ Pp. 27-8.

⁹ Al-Jāmi' al-saḥiḥ, 1:24. See also G.H.A. Juynboll, "Muslim's Introduction to his Ṣaḥiḥ," Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam, 5(1984):296.

^{10&#}x27; Tamhid, 1:1-7.

¹¹ Abū Ḥanīfa al-Numān b. Thābit (d. ca.150/767) was the eponym of the Ḥanafite school of law; EP, 1:123-4; Sezgin, GAS, 1:409-19; EIr, 1:295-301.

We do not count as a type of the loose hadīth and its analogues the hadīth which in legal theory is called "a loose hadīth of a Companion" (mursal al-Ṣaḥābī). Examples of this are the hadīth which Ibn 'Abbās and the other young Companions relate directly from (yarwihi 'an) the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) which they did not actually hear from him. That is because these hadīth are judged to be supported connected hadīth (al-mawṣūl al-musnad), since the young Companions related from other Companions. Ignorance of the identity of the specific Companion [from whom the younger Companion learned the hadīth] does not impugn the validity of the transmission because all of the Companions were upright ('udūl). God knows best.

Category 10 INTERRUPTED ḤADITH (Ma^crifat al-mungati^c)

The doctrines of the scholars of hadīth and others are in disagreement over the interrupted hadīth and over the difference between it and the loose hadīth (mursal). One opinion is the view from al-Ḥākim – the author of the book Ma'rīfat anwā' 'ulūm al-ḥadīth – that was already given in the Category on the loose hadīth to the effect that the term "loose" appertains exclusively to a Follower. In his view, one form of the interrupted is the isnād which contains, prior to reaching the level of the Follower, a transmitter who did not hear hadīth from the transmitter above him while no mention, either specific or vague, is made of the individual who is omitted from between the two transmitters. Another form of the interrupted is the isnād in which one of the transmitters is designated by a vague expression, like "a man," "a teacher" or other similar things. 1

An example of the first form is the hadīth we heard from 'Abd al-Razzāq' from Sufyān al-Thawrī' from Abū Ishāq' from Zayd b. Yuthay' from Hudhayfa: "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, 'If you appoint Abū Bakr' as a leader, he is strong and honest ...'" When a hadīthologist (hadīthī) examines this isnād, he finds its outward form to be that of an uninterrupted hadīth (muttasīl). Yet it is interrupted in two places, because 'Abd al-Razzāq did not hear it directly from Thawrī. Rather he heard it from al-Nu'mān b. Abī Shayba al-Janadī from Thawrī.

^{1 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 27-9.

² Abū Bakr 'Abd al-Razzāq b. Hammām al-Ḥimyārī (126/744-211/827) was a famous ḥadīth scholar from the Yemen; Sezgin, GAS, 1:99.

³ Abū Abd Allah Sufyan b. Saʿīd al-Thawrī (ca. 95/713-161/778) was an extremely influential figure in a number of scholarly domains; EP, 9:770-72; Sezgin, GAS, 1:518-19.

⁴ Abū Isḥāq 'Amr b. 'Abd Allāh al-Sabī'i (32/653-128/746) was a resident of al-Kūfa famed for his piety and knowledge of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:283.

⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4 vols in 8 parts (Hyderabad, 1361-5), 2(1):408-9; Ibn Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Kitāb al-Jarḥ ma-'l-ta'dīl, ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Yaḥyā al-Mu'allimī al-Yamānī, 4 vols in 8 parts (Hyderabad, 1371/1952-1373/1953), 1(2):573-4, nos 2598 and 2599.

⁶ The Companion Abū 'Abd Allāh Ḥudhayfa b. al-Yamān (d. 35/656) served as the governor of al-Madā'in under the Caliphs 'Umar and 'Uthmān; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:361–9.

⁷ Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq (d. 13/634) was a close friend of the Prophet who had the distinction of accompanying him on his migration to Mecca. He was the father of the Prophet's most famous wife 'Ā'isha and succeeded him as the leader of the Muslim community; EF, 1:109-11.

⁸ Ibn Abi Hātim, 7arh, 4(1):447-8.

Furthermore, Thawrī did not hear it from Abū Isḥāq. He instead heard it from Sharīk' from Abū Isḥāq.

An example of the second form is the hadīth which we heard from Abu 'l-'Alā' b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Shikhkhīr' from "two men" from Shaddād b. Aws' from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) about the invocation in the prayer ritual, "God, I ask for a firm resolve in the matter ..." God knows best.

Another interpretation of the interrupted hadīth is the statement of Ibn 'Abd al-Barr (God bless him) to the effect that the term "loose" appertains exclusively to the Followers and the term "interrupted" includes loose and other kinds [of hadīth with incohesive isnāds]. His view is that the interrupted hadīth is "everything with an incohesive isnād, whether it is ascribed to the Prophet (Peace be upon him) or to someone else." 12

Another opinion is that the interrupted hadīth is identical to the loose and both terms include everything that has an incohesive isnād. This view is the most likely. Various groups of jurists and others have adopted it and it is the view that the expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb gave in his Kifāya. However, most of the hadīth which are described in actual usage as "loose" are hadīth which a Follower related directly from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and most of the hadīth that are described as "interrupted" are hadīth which someone below the level of the Followers related directly from the Companions, for instance "Mālik from Ibn Umar" and the like. God knows best.

Another opinion is the one which Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb related from a person knowledgeable in hadīth to the effect that the interrupted hadīth consists of an account of the words or deeds of a Follower or someone lower, halted at him. This last interpretation is peculiar and far fetched. God knows best.

⁹ Abū 'Abd Allāh Sharīk b. 'Abd Allāh al-Nakha'ı (95/714–177/794) was the qāḍī of al-Kūfa; Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:200–16.

¹⁰ Abu 'I-'Ala' Yazid b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Shikhkhir al-Başrı (d. ca. 110/728) was a well-respected transmitter of hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:493-4.

¹¹ The prominent Companion Shaddad b. Aws al-Anṣarī (d. 58/678) settled in Palestine; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:460-7.

¹² Tamhid, 1:21.

¹³ Kifāya, 21.

¹⁴ Kifaya, 21.

Category 11

PROBLEMATIC HADITH

(Ma'rifat al-mu'dal)

"Problematic" is the name of a particular type of interrupted hadith (munqați'). Every problematic hadith is interrupted, but not every interrupted hadith is problematic. Some people, as stated above, call interrupted hadith "loose" (mursal). The problematic hadith consists of the hadith having an isnād lacking two or more transmitters.

The scholars of hadith say, "He transmitted the hadith as problematic (a'dalahū)," so the form of the term is mu'dal. The derivation of this term is obscure from the standpoint of lexicography. I investigated the matter and found the phrase, amr 'adil; that is, "an extremely difficult affair." Pay no attention to the form mu'dil, even if it is like 'adil in sense.

An example of a problematic hadīth is one which a student of a Follower (tābi^ci al-Tābi^ci) relates saying, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said." Another example is a hadīth which someone lower than the students of the Followers relates directly from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) or from Abū Bakr, 'Umar or others, without mentioning the intermediaries between that individual and himself. Abū Naṣr al-Sijzī brought up the case of a transmitter saying, "It reached me" (balaghanī) – like Mālik's saying, "It reached me from Abū Hurayra that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, 'The slave is due his food and clothing ...'" – and said, "The scholars of hadīth call that 'problematic.'" Therefore, all of the instances when the jurists and others write in their books, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said such and such," and the like are considered problematic. The expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb at one point called this kind of hadīth "loose" and that is in conformity with the doctrine of those who call all hadīth with incohesive isnāds "loose," as was discussed above.

Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim made a type of problematic hadīth the instances when a student of a Follower relates from that Follower a hadīth halted at him while it is uninterrupted and supported (muttaşil musnad) up to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) [from another student of the Follower]. An example is the hadīth we heard from al-Amash from Sha'bī' in which he said, "On the

¹ Kifaya, 21.

^{2 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadith, 38.

³ Abū 'Amr 'Āmir b. Sharāḥīl al-Sha'bī al-Kūfi (19/640-103/721) was one of the most important early transmitters of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:277.

Day of Judgement it will be said to each man, 'You did such and such' and he will say, 'I did not do that,' and his mouth will be sealed ..." Al-A'mash gave it as problematic and Sha'bī elsewhere had the hadīth "[from Sha'bī] from Anas from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him);" that is, as uninterrupted and supported. This is an excellent point, because interruption through the omission of one transmitter comes under the heading of being halted (waqf). This particular hadīth contains an interruption to the extent of two transmitters; that is, the Companion [that is, Anas] and the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). Therefore, it is more appropriately termed "problematic." God knows best

Subsidiary Issues

1. The isnād containing the word "from" (al-isnād al-mu'an'an): this is the isnād in which "X from ('an) Y" is said. Some people consider it loose and interrupted, until the cohesion of its isnād becomes clear through another [more explicit version of the isnād]. The correct view and that which is followed in practice is that it is a form of uninterrupted isnād. The majority of hadīth authorities and others have adopted this view and those who exclusively stipulate sound hadīth include hadīth with "from" in the isnād in their compilations and accept them. The expert in the hadīth and Qur'ān Abū 'Umar b. 'Abd al-Barr came close to claiming that there was a consensus of the hadīth experts on that and Abū 'Amr al-Dānī al-Muqri's did claim that there was a consensus of transmitters on it. This holds true on the condition that it is established that those to whom the transmission by "from" ('an'ana) is ascribed met each other and were free of the taint of misrepresentation (tadlīs). In that case it is interpreted in accordance with its apparent cohesion, unless something contradicting that comes to light.

In our time and in the recent past the use of "from" in licensing $(ij\bar{a}za)^6$ has become common among those who consider themselves to be scholars of hadīth. When one of them says, "I recited to X from Y" $(qara^3tu \ ^cal\bar{a}ful\bar{a}n \ ^can ful\bar{a}n)$, or something like that, it is understood from this that X related from Y by license and it is obvious that this does not keep it from being uninterrupted. God knows best.

2. There is disagreement over the interpretation of a transmitter saying, "that (anna) X said such and such." Does it have the same status as "from" in regard to rendering the isnād cohesive, when it is established that the two transmitters

⁴ In fact, Ibn 'Abd al-Barr says that there was a consensus; Tamhid, 1:12.

⁵ Abū Amr Uthmān b. Sa'id al-Danī al-Muqri? (371/981-444/1053) was a renowned Andalusian scholar who began reading hadīth at the age of fifteen and pursued his studies on a journey to the East. On his return, he composed a number of books and was considered to be especially expert in the Qur³ān; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:516-17, Suppl., 1:719-20; EI², 2:109-10.

⁶ For a discussion of this means of textual transmission, see below, Category 24.

met each other, that is, until evidence that there is an interruption in it comes to light? An example of this is "Mālik from Zuhrī that Saad b. al-Musayyib said such and such." We heard that Mālik (God be pleased with him) used to regard "from X" and "that X" as equal and that Ahmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) regarded them as different. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr related from a large number of scholars that "from" and "that" are equal and that it is not a question of particles and words but rather of the transmitters meeting, attending class, having audition and seeing each other; that is, first granting freedom from misrepresentation. If the audition of the one from the other can be established, the hadith of the one from the other is considered cohesive regardless of which term is used, until evidence of interruption appears. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr related from Abū Bakr al-Bardījī⁷ that the particle "that" is interpreted as an instance of interruption, until evidence of audition appears for that very report from another line of transmission. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said, "In my opinion this is nonsense because of the consensus of scholars that an isnad connected with a Companion is the same, whether that Companion says in it, 'The Messenger of God (Peace he upon him) said,' or 'That the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said,' or 'From the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) that he said,' or 'I heard the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) saying." 38 God knows best.

In the superlative Musnad of the superlative Ya'qūb b. Shayba, I found something similar to what Ibn 'Abd al-Barr related from the expert Abū Bakr al-Bardījī. Ya'qūb b. Shayba mentioned the hadīth Abu 'l-Zubayr' related from Ibn al-Hanafīya' from 'Ammār' in which 'Ammār said, "I went to the Prophet (Peace be upon him) while he was praying and I greeted him and he returned the greeting to me," and Ya'qūb b. Shayba made it a connected supported hadīth (musnad mawṣūl). Ya'qūb b. Shayba also mentioned the relation of that hadīth by Qays b. Sa'd¹³ "from 'Atā'

⁷ Abū Bakr Ahmad b. Harūn al-Bardiji al-Bardha'i (ca. 230/845-301/914) was a well-regarded transmitter of hadith; Sezgin, GAS, 1:166-7.

⁸ Tamhid, 1:26.

⁹ Abū Yūsuf Ya'qūb b. Shayba al-Sadūsī (ca. 180/796–262/875) was a follower of the doctrine of Mālik who eventually settled in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:144.

¹⁰ Abu 'l-Zubayr Muhammad b. Muslim b. Tadrūs al-Makkī (d. 126/743) was an important transmitter of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:86-7.

¹¹ Muhammad (16/637–81/700), the son of the Caliph 'Ali b. Abi Tālib, was called Ibn al-Ḥanafiya on account of his mother who was a member of the Banū Ḥanīfa. After the death of al-Ḥusayn at Karbalā' in 61/680 and the retirement of al-Ḥasan, Ibn al-Ḥanafiya became the rallying point for the opposition to the Umayyad regime, although he seems not to have personally played any active role; *EF*, 7:402–3.

¹² Abu 'l-Yaqzān 'Ammār b. Yāsir al-'Ansī al-Makkī was one of the earliest converts to Islam and a participant at the Battle of Badr. He died at the age of ninety-three while fighting at the Battle of Siffin in 37/657; Dhahabī, Siyar, 1:406-28.

¹³ Abu 'Abd Allāh (or 'Abd al-Malik) Qays b. Sa'd al-Makkī al-Ḥabashī died in 117/735; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 4(1):154; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):99.

b. Abī Rabāḥ from Ibn al-Ḥanafiya that 'Ammār passed the Prophet (Peace be upon him) while he was praying," and he considered this version loose because Ibn al-Hanafiya said, "that 'Ammar did something" and did not say, "from 'Ammar." (God knows best.) Al-Khattb in treating this question cites as an example the hadith, "Nāfic from Ibn Umar from 'Umar that he asked the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), 'Is one of us allowed to go to sleep while he is in a state of ritual impurity ..." In another transmission it reads, "From Nafic from Ibn Umar that 'Umar said, 'Messenger of God ...'" He says, "The outward form of the first relation necessitates that it be considered one of the supported hadith of Umar from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and the outward form of the second necessitates that it be considered one of the supported hadīth of Ibn 'Umar from the Prophet (Peace be upon him)." This example is not relevant to what concerns us here, because in this matter - according to the doctrine of a great number of scholars - judging cohesion depends exclusively on meeting and contemporaneousness [that is, rather than on the terminology appearing in the isnād], and in this hadith these were mutual and repeated because of Ibn Umar's connection to the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and to Umar (God be pleased with him), in addition to the transmitter Ibn Umar's status as a companion of both of them. Al-Khatib's interpretation would require, on the basis of the one line of transmission [that is, the second], that Ibn Umar related the hadith from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and, on the basis of the other, that Ibn 'Umar related' it from 'Umar from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). God knows best.

3. We have mentioned the remarks Ibn 'Abd al-Barr related concerning the general application of the judgement of cohesion to the hadith which a transmitter gives from someone whom he met, regardless of the term used [in the isnād]. Similarly, Abū Bakr al-Shāfi'ī al-Ṣayrafī¹⁵ gave a general statement of that and said, "The transmission of every student who is known to have heard hadīth from an individual and transmitted from him is considered to be a case of audition, until it becomes known that the student did not hear from him [the particular hadīth] he related. The same is also true of the transmission of every student who is known to have met an individual and transmitted hadīth from him."

Abū Bakr al-Shāfiq said that regarding only those from whom no misrepresentation came to light. One argument for that — which is also applicable to the entire subject — is that if the student had not heard the hadīth from his alleged teacher, he would become a misrepresenter

¹⁴ Kifāya, 407.

¹⁵ The renowned *Ghaylāniyāt* is the collection of the best hadīth of Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Shāfiq al-Şayrafī (260/874–354/965); Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:191.

by unqualifiedly relating the hadith from that individual without mentioning the intermediary between them. Freedom from the taint of misrepresentation is presumed and the remarks apply to someone who is not known to have committed misrepresentation.

One of the examples of that is the transmitter saying, "X said such and such," like Nāfic saying, "Ibn 'Umar said." The same would apply if Nāfic had said regarding him, "Ibn 'Umar mentioned," "Ibn 'Umar did," "Ibn 'Umar transmitted," "Ibn 'Umar used to say such and such," or other similar phrases. All of that is interpreted presumptively as indicating cohesion and that the student received the hadīth from the teacher without any intermediary between them, whenever the fact that he met him is established in general.

For the fulfillment of this condition which is stipulated in these cases and similar ones, there are some who confine themselves to the actual occurrence of a meeting or audition, as we related above. Abū 'Amr [al-Dānī] al-Muqri' said in this regard, "If the student is known to have transmitted from the teacher, [it is enough]." On this issue Abu 'l-Hasan al-Qābisī said, "If it is clear that the student was a contemporary of the person from whom he transmitted." Concerning transmission by "from," Abu 'l-Muzaffar [Manşūr] al-Sam'anı said that a long acquaintance between the student and the teacher is stipulated. In the introduction to his Saḥiḥ,17 Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj reproached one of his contemporaries for stipulating the confirmation of a meeting or coming together taking place in the case of a transmission by "from." He claimed that it was a made-up doctrine which was unprecedented and that the doctrine common and accepted among the scholars knowledgeable in reports in early and modern times was that confirmation that the student and his alleged teacher lived at the same time was enough in this regard, even if no evidence in any report ever surfaced indicating that they met and spoke to each other. There is some doubt about Muslim's remarks. It was said that the doctrine which he rejected was that of the authorities of this science, 'Alī b. al-Madīnī, Bukhārī and others. (God knows best.) I do not think that this judgement [that is, the one ascribed to 'Alī b. al-Madīnī and Bukhārī] lasted past the era of the ancients, since authors say in their books in regard to the material they quote from their teachers, "X mentioned," "X said" and the like [that is, rather than "I heard X saying," and so forth.]. So try to understand that, for it is important and valuable. God knows best.

4. The suspension (ta'liq) which Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥumaydī – the author of al-Jam' bayn al-Ṣaḥīḥayn – and other North Africans mention in regard to some of the ḥadīth in the Ṣaḥīḥ of Bukhārī with cut-off isnāds (quti'a isnāduhā):

¹⁶ Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Ali b. Muḥammad b. Khalaf al-Ma'āfirī al-Qābisī (344/955-403/1012) was a blind North African scholar prominent in ḥadīth, law and Qur'ānic studies; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:158-61.

¹⁷ Al-Jāmi' al-saḥiḥ, 1:23; G.H.A. Juynboll, "Muslim's Introduction," 295.

Dāraquṭnī had earlier used the term. The isnād of the suspended ḥadīth is interrupted in form, but it is not treated in the same way and the ḥadīth containing the suspension does not go from being sound to weak. This is because of what is known of Bukhārī's standard and his treatment [of the suspended hadīth], as we pointed out in the sixth note of Category 1.

No attention is to be paid to the Zāhirite Abū Muḥammad b. Hazm's¹⁸ rejection of the hadith of Abū 'Amir - or as he is also known "Abū Mālik" - al-Ash'arī¹⁹ from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) which Bukhārī included: "Verily, there will be in my Community peoples who regard silk, wine and string instruments as licit ...' "20 on the basis that Bukhārī cited it saying, "Hishām b. 'Ammār'1 said," and gave it with Hishām's isnād. Ibn Hazm claimed that it is interrupted in its transmission between Bukhārī and Hishām and made that an argument against citing it as a proof for the banning of string instruments. In doing that, Ibn Hazm erred in several respects. The hadith is sound and is known to be uninterrupted according to the standard of the sound hadith. Bukhārī (God bless him) sometimes does something like this because the hadith is well known from several trustworthy transmitters from the individual from whom he suspended it. Other times he does this because he has mentioned the hadith in another place in his book in a supported and uninterrupted form. He also occasionally does this for other reasons unrelated to the fault of interruption. God knows best.

The verdict we mentioned concerning the aforementioned suspension refers to the hadīth Bukhārī included as the principal part and substance of the text of his book, and not to the suspended hadīth he included for reference citation. Included among the citations are hadīth – both suspended and connected – which do not meet the standard of the sound hadīth. I found the term "suspension" used for the hadīth lacking one transmitter or more from the beginning of its isnād and some even use it for the omission of the entire isnād, for instance, Bukhārī saying, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said such and such," "Ibn 'Abbās said such and such," "Abū Hurayra related such and such," "Sa'īd b. al-Musayyib said from Abū Hurayra: such and such," and "Zuhrī said

¹⁸ Abu Muhammad 'Ali b. Ahmad b. Sa'id (384/994-456/1064), known as Ibn Hazm, was the author of a number of important works in law, theology and literature; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:505-6, Suppl., 1:692-7; EP 3:790-99.

^{19 &#}x27;Ubayd b. Salim (or Wahb) al-Ash'ari was the uncle of the famous Companion Abu Musa al-Ash'art. He served as a lieutenant of the Prophet before being killed in action in the year 8/629; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1704-5.

²⁰ Kitāb al-Jāmi' al-şaḥiḥ, 4:30 (K. al-Ashriba, B. Mā jā'a fī-man yastaḥillu 'l-khamr wa-yusammthi bi-ghayr ismihī).

²¹ Abu 'l-Walid Hishām b. 'Ammār al-Sulamī, the khaṭib of Damascus, was born in 153/770 and died in 245/859; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:420-35.

from Abū Salama²² from Abū Hurayra from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) such and such." This applies to the transmitters of the generations earlier than that of Bukhārī's teachers. The hadīth he gave from his own teachers-in this fashion are of the type of hadīth we just mentioned in the third of these Subsidiary Issues.

I read that one of the later North African scholars made [the instances in which Bukhārī writes regarding one of his teachers, "X said," "X related," and so forth] a second subcategory of suspension and also added to this subcategory the several instances where Bukhārī says in his book, "X said to me" and "X related to us." The North African characterized all of that as suspension which is uninterrupted from the standpoint of outward form and incohesive (munfasil) from the standpoint of sense. He said, "When you see Bukhārī saying, 'X said to me' and 'X said to us,' be aware that it is an isnad which he does not mention for citation as a proof and he mentioned it only for reference. Transmitters of hadith often use these phrases [for example, "X said to me" and "X related to us"] to indicate those hadith which pass between them in study sessions and competitions. They rarely cite as proofs the hadith mentioned in study sessions." The allegation he makes against Bukhārī is contrary to what a scholar who is earlier and more knowledgeable in Bukhārī - namely the pious servant of God, Abū Ja'far b. Hamdān al-Nīsābūrī²³ - said. We heard that he said, "Whenever Bukhārī says, 'X said to me,' it is presentation ('ard) and transference (munāwala)."24

I have not come across any instances of the term "suspension" being used for hadith in which some of the men of the isnād are omitted from its middle or its end or for phrases like, "It is related from X" ($yurw\bar{a}$ 'an $ful\bar{a}n$), "It is mentioned from X" (yudhkaru 'an $ful\bar{a}n$) and similar expressions lacking any definite indication that the transmitter to whom the hadith is ascribed actually said or mentioned it.

It appears that this usage of the term "suspension" is derived from the "suspension" of a wall [by removing the earth from underneath a section of it], the "suspension" of a divorce [by stipulating a hitherto unmet condition for it] and the like, on account of the common element of the rupture of cohesion present in each of them. God knows best.²⁵

²² Abū Salama b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zuhrī (ca. 20/641-94/713) was a famous Medinese transmitter of hadīth from the generation of the Followers. His ism is disputed; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:287-92.

²³ Abū Ja'far Aḥmad b. Ḥamdān al-Nīsābūrī (ca. 240/854-311/924) was a devoted scholar of hadīth who traveled extensively; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:299-303.

²⁴ For the discussion of these two means of transmission, see below, Section 24.

²⁵ See Edward W. Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon, 8 vols (London, 1863-93), 5:2133. Cf. W. Marçais, Taqrîb, 47, n. 2.

The hadith that one reliable transmitter relates as loose and another reliable transmitter relates as uninterrupted: the scholars of hadith differ over the question of whether it should be counted as connected or loose. For instance, "No marriage without a guardian." Isrā'īl b. Yūnus26 = among others - related it in supported form from his grandfather Abū Ishāq al-Sabī'ī from Abū Burda27 from his father Abū Mūsā al-Ash'arī²⁸ from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him); that is, as uninterrupted. Sufyan al-Thawri and Shuba29 related it from Abū Ishāq from Abū Burda from the Prophet (Peace be upon him); that is, as loose. The expert al-Khatib said that in this case and similar ones most of the scholars of hadith rule in favor of the loose form. 30 However, it is also related from some of the scholars of hadith that the verdict is to be given in favor of the form given by the majority of transmitters. From others it is related that the judgement goes to the better-documented version. That is, if the person who transmits it as loose was superior in regard to retention to the person who transmits it as connected, the judgement is in favor of the transmitter who gives it as loose. Some say that this does not impugn the integrity or qualifications of the transmitter who gives the hadith as connected. Others do say that the integrity and acceptability of the person who gives the hadith in supported form – as well as his supported version of the hadith - are impugned when the experts give it as loose. Others say the verdict is in favor of the transmitter who gives it as supported; if he is upright and accurate, so his report is accepted, even if one or several transmitters contradict him. Al-Khatīb said that this last view is the correct one.31 What he regarded as correct is the correct doctrine for the fields of positive law and legal theory. Furthermore, Bukhārī was asked about the previously mentioned hadith, "No marriage without a guardian," and he passed judgement in favor of those who transmitted it as connected, saying, "An addition from a reliable transmitter (al-ziyāda min al-thiga) is accepted." Bukhārī said this despite the fact that Shuba and Sufyan [al-Thawri] gave it as loose and they were towering figures possessing the highest degree of retention and exactitude.

Connected with this is the question which arises when the transmitter who gives a hadīth as connected is also the one who gives it as loose, transmitting it as connected at one time and loose at another. Also related to this is the case

²⁶ Abū Yūsuf Isrā'il b. Yūnus al-Sabi'i al-Kūfi (100/719-ca. 160/777) was best known for transmitting from his grandfather; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:355-61.

²⁷ Abū Burda Ḥārith (or ʿĀmir) al-Ashʿarī (d. ca. 100/719) served as the qāḍī of al-Kūfa during the governorship of al-Ḥajjāj; EP, 1:693-4.

²⁸ Abū Mūsā 'Abd Allāh b. Qays al-Ash'arī was one of the most prolific transmitters from the Prophet. He served as the governor of al-Baṣra and al-Kūfa during the reign of 'Umar, 'Uthmān and 'Alī, acting as 'Alī's arbiter at Şiffin in 37/657. He died in al-Kūfa around the year 42/662; EI', 1:695.

²⁹ Abū Bistām Shuba b. al-Hajjāj (82/701–160/776) was one of the most prominent transmitters of hadīth in al-Başra; EP, 9:491–2; Sezgin, GAS, 1:92.

³⁰ Kifāya, 411.

³¹ Kifāya, 411.

when a transmitter raises (rafa'a) a hadith to the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and someone else halts (waqafa) it at a Companion; or a single transmitter gives it as raised at one time and also gives it as halted at another. As well as can be determined, the verdict in all of these cases is in favor of what the reliable transmitter adds in terms of connectedness (waṣl) and raising (raf), because he positively affirms [the presence of the intervening transmitter] while the other transmitter is merely silent. If the other had been positively negating, the one who affirms would still take precedence over him because he may have some information unknown to the other. This present segment has a connection to the section on the addition of a reliable transmitter to a hadith [that is, Category 16] and that will come later, God (He is exalted) willing. God knows best.

Category 12

MISREPRESENTATION AND THE TREATMENT OF MISREPRESENTED HADITH

(Ma^crifat al-tadlīs wa-ḥukm al-mudallas)

There are two subcategories of misrepresentation. The first is misrepresentation in the isnād (tadlīs al-isnād). This consists either of a transmitter relating from someone he met hadith he did not hear from him, giving the erroneous impression that he heard the hadith from him; or of a transmitter relating hadith from someone who lived at the same time as he whom he did not meet, promoting the false notion that he met him and heard the hadith from him. There may be a single individual intervening between the transmitter and his alleged teacher or there may be more. The transmitter should not say in these instances, "X informed us" $(akhbaran\bar{a} \ ful\bar{a}n)$, "X transmitted to us" $(haddathan\bar{a} \ ful\bar{a}n)$ or similar expressions. The transmitter should only say, "X said" (qāla fulān), "From X" ('an fulān) and the like. An illustration of that is the report we heard from 'Alī b. Khashram' in which he said, "We were with [Sufvān] b. 'Uyayna and he said, 'Zuhrī said.' Someone asked him, 'Zuhrī transmitted to you?' He fell silent and then said, 'Zuhrī said.' Then someone asked him, 'Did you hear it from Zuhrī?' He said, 'No, I did not hear it from Zuhri, nor did I hear it from someone who heard it from Zuhri. 'Abd al-Razzāq related it to me from Ma'mar2 from Zuhri.'"

The second subcategory of misrepresentation is the misrepresentation of teachers (tadlīs al-shuyūkh). This consists of a transmitter relating from a teacher a hadīth he did hear from him and referring to him by an unfamiliar name, paidonymic, gentilic or a description to conceal his identity. An example of this is the story related to us about the authority Abū Bakr b. Mujāhid al-Muqri³ to the effect that he related from Abū Bakr 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, saying, "'Abd Allāh b. Abī 'Abd Allāh transmitted to us." He also related from Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Naqqāsh⁵ – the Qur³ān commentator

¹ The ḥadīth expert Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b, Khashram al-Marwazī was born in 160/776 and died 257/871; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:552–3.

² Abū Urwa Ma'mar b. Rāshid (96/714-154/770) was a early expert in hadīth and Prophetic biography; Sezgin, GAS, 1:290-91.

³ Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. Mūsā b. al-'Abbās b. Mujāḥid al-Baghdādī (245/859-324/936) was an expert on the variant readings of the Qur'ān and author of the famous text on the subject, Kitāb al-Sab'a fi manāzil al-qurrā'; Sezgin, GAS, 1:14.

⁴ Abū Bakr Abd Allāh b. Abī Dāwūd al-Sijistānī (230/844–316/929) was the son of Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, the compiler of the famous hadīth collection *Kitāb al-Sunan*; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:174–5.

⁵ Abu Bakr Muhammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Naqqāsh (266/880-351/962) was a celebrated expert in the various recensions of the Qur'ān; Sezgin, GAS, 1:44-5. According to the nasab al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī gives in Ta'rīkh Baghdād (2:201), Sanad was Muhammad's great, great, great, great-grandfather.

and reciter - saying, "Muḥammad b. Sanad transmitted to us," naming as Muhammad's father one of his more remote ancestors. God knows best.

The first subcategory of misrepresentation is extremely detestable and the majority of scholars condemn it. Shuba was one of the most severe in condemnation of it. We heard from the imam Shafisi that Shuba said, "Misrepresentation is the brother of falsehood." We also heard that Shuba said, "I would rather commit adultery than misrepresentation." Shuba's excessive zeal to suppress and deter misrepresentation carried him into hyperbole. Scholars differ over the question of whether one may accept the transmission of someone known for this kind of misrepresentation. One faction of hadith scholars and legal experts discredits the misrepresenter, saying, "His transmission is not to be accepted under any circumstance, whether he makes clear that he heard a particular hadith from his teacher or not." The correct course is to make a distinction. The hadith a misrepresenter relates with an equivocal expression which does not make clear the audition and cohesion of the hadith is treated like the various types of loose hadith (mursal). The hadith he relates with an expression that clearly indicates cohesion - like "I heard" (sami'tu), "He transmitted to us" (haddathanā), "He informed us" (akhbaranā) and similar ones - may be accepted and employed as a proof. There are very many hadith of this kind in the two Sahihs and other well-respected books, like the transmissions of Qatāda,6 al-Amash, the two Sufyāns [that is, Sufyān al-Thawri and Sufyān b. Uyayna], Hushaym b. Bashīr⁷ and others. This is because misrepresentation is not falsehood: rather it is a kind of deliberate obfuscation through use of an equivocal expression. The correct approach is not to accept a hadith from someone known to have misrepresented until he makes clear [that he actually heard it from his teacher by using a definitive expression]. Indeed, Shāfi'i (God be pleased with him) applied this rule to those we know to have committed misrepresentation only a single time. God knows best.

The second subcategory of misrepresentation is less serious. It consists of the [virtual] omission of the person transmitted from and making it difficult for the person who seeks to know his state and suitability to discover his identity. The degree of repugnance of that varies according to the motive for it. Sometimes the transmitter misrepresents the name of his teacher because he was unreliable. At other times the teacher was someone who lived until relatively recent times and many students younger than the transmitter also heard hadith from him, or the teacher himself may have been younger than the transmitter. In other instances the student may transmit many hadith from a particular teacher and he may not like to mention him so many times under a single form of his name. A number of transmitters who wrote books permitted this last kind of misrepresentation, including Abū Bakr al-Khatīb. He did it often in his works. God knows best.

⁶ Abu 'l-Khatṭāb Qatāda b. Di'āma al-Sadūsī (60/679-118/736) was one of the most important early transmitters of hadīth in al-Baṣra; EP, 4:748; Sezgin; GAS, 1:31-2.

⁷ Abū Mu'awiya Hushaym b. Bashir al-Sulami (104/722-183/799) was a famous Qur'an commentator from Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:38.

Category 13 Anomalous Hadith

(Macrifat al-shādhdh)

We heard that Yùnus b. 'Abd al-A'lā' said, "Shāfi'i (God be pleased with him) said, 'The anomalous hadith is not one which a single reliable transmitter and no one else relates. Rather, the anomalous hadith is one which a reliable transmitter relates and which is in conflict with what other people relate." Abū Ya¶ā al-Khalīlī al-Qazwīnī² related something similar to this from Shāfi⁴ (God bless him) and a number of the Hejazians. He said, "The view of the experts in hadith is that the anomalous hadith is one having only a single isnad which a single teacher, reliable or not, is 'anomalous' (yashidhdhu) in transmitting. An anomalous hadīth from an unreliable transmitter is rejected and may not be accepted; one from a reliable transmitter is left in abeyance and may not be cited as a proof."3 The expert Abū Abd Allah al-Hakim said that the anomalous hadith is one which a single reliable individual is alone in transmitting and which lacks a parallel version (asl) in agreement with the version in the hands of the reliable transmitter. He said that the anomalous hadith differs from the defective hadith (mu'allal) in that a defective had th contains a known defect indicating the location of the error in it and in the case of the anomalous hadith no defect in it is known in such a fashion.4

There is no question that what Shāfiq judged to be anomalous is a form of the anomalous hadith which may not be accepted. As for the statements we gave from the others, there is some question about the hadith which an upright, retentive and precise transmitter is alone in relating, like the hadith, "Acts are judged by intentions." This is an isolated hadith (hadith fard) which Umar [b. al-Khaṭṭāb] (God be pleased with him) alone transmitted from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). 'Alqama b. Waqqāş' was alone in transmitting it from Umar, Muhammad b. Ibrāhīm6 was alone in transmitting it from Alqama and Yaḥyā b. Saʿīd [al-Anṣārī] was alone in transmitting it from Muḥammad b.

¹ Abū Mūsā Yūnus b. ʿAbd al-Aʿlā al-Ṣadafī al-Miṣrī (170/787–264/877) was a famous student of the imam Shafi4; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:348-51.

² Abu Yaqa al-Khalil b. 'Abd Allah al-Khalili al-Qazwini (d. 446/1055) was one of the greatest hadith scholars of his day. His Kitāb al-Irshād fi ma'rifat 'ulamā' al-hadith (ed. Muhammad Saild b. Umar Idris, 3 vols., Riyadh, 1409/1989) has recently been published. He also wrote a Tarikh Qazwin and a work on the Followers, both of which seem to be lost; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:446, Suppl., 1:618.

³ Irshād, 1:176.

^{4 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 119.

⁵ Alqama b. Waqqāş al-Laythī was a poorly known Medinese transmitter of hadīth who died around 75/694; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:61-2.

⁶ Abū Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. al-Ḥārith al-Taymī (d. ca. 120/738) was considered one of the great scholars of Medina; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:294-6.

Ibrāhīm, according to what the scholars of hadīth regard as correct. A clearer instance of an anomalous hadīth than this is the hadīth of 'Abd Allāh b. Dīnār' from Ibn 'Umar to the effect that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) forbade the sale and gifting of clientship. 'Abd Allāh b. Dīnār alone transmitted it. Another case is the hadīth of Mālik from Zuhrī from Anas to the effect that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) entered Mecca with a helmet lining on his head. Mālik was alone in transmitting it from Zuhrī. All of these hadīth are included in the two Sahīhs despite each having only a single isnād which a single reliable transmitter was alone in giving. There are more than a few similar cases of this in the book Gharā ib al-ṣaḥīh (Rare Sound Ḥadīth). Indeed, Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj said, "Zuhrī relates about ninety reports (harf) from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) which no one else transmits with good isnāds." God knows best.

The doctrines of the hadith authorities which we mentioned and those which we did not should make it plain to you that the question of the anomalous hadith is not as clear cut as Abū Yadā [al-Khalīlī] and al-Ḥākim represent it. Rather, the question requires making the distinction which we will explain. We maintain that when a transmitter is alone in relating something, it should be examined. If the hadīth he alone transmitted is in conflict with what someone superior to him in retentiveness of that material and accuracy related, the hadith he was alone in relating is a rejected anomalous hadith. If there is in his transmission no conflict with what someone else transmitted - and it is something he and no one else related – then the transmitter who is alone in relating it is examined. If he is upright and retentive and his exactitude and precision may be trusted, the hadith he is alone in transmitting is accepted and his being alone in transmitting the hadith does not impugn it, as was true in the case of the previous examples. If he was one of those whose retention and exactitude in transmission may not be trusted for those hadith which he is alone in transmitting, then his being alone in transmitting the hadith pierces it and tears it from the domain of sound hadith.

Beyond that, the anomalous hadīth oscillate between various levels according to the state they are in. If the transmitter who was alone in relating the anomalous hadīth is not far from the level of the retentive and precise transmitter whose unparalleled transmissions are accepted, we regard that hadīth of his as fair and we do not put it in the category of weak hadīth. If he falls far short of that level, we reject the hadīth he alone transmits and it is considered an unfamiliar anomalous hadīth (al-shādhdh al-munkar). From that, it may be deduced that there are two subcategories of the rejected anomalous hadīth. One is the contradictory isolated hadīth (al-fard al-mukhālif). The second is the isolated hadīth the transmitter of which does not possess sufficient reliability and precision to counteract the unfamiliarity and weakness that isolation and anomaly engender. God knows best.

⁷ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abd Allāh b. Dīnār al-'Adawī (d. 127/745) transmitted about two hundred hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:253-5.

⁸ A work entitled Gharā ib al-ṣaḥiḥ ma-afrāduhū is ascribed to Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's renowned Damascene contemporary Diya al-Din al-Maqdisī, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wāḥid (569/1173-643/1245); Kattānī, Risāla, 113.

Category 14 UNFAMILIAR HADĪTH¹

(Macrifat al-munkar min al-ḥadīth)

We read that the expert Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. Hārūn al-Bardījī wrote that the unfamiliar ḥadīth is one which a single man is alone in transmitting while its text is not known from any transmission but his, not from the line of transmission he gave nor from any other. Bardījī treated them as a group and made no distinctions. In the remarks of many of the scholars of ḥadīth, we find a blanket condemnation of the ḥadīth isolated as rejected, unfamiliar or anomalous (itlāq al-ḥukm 'ala 'l-tafarrud bi-'l-radd aw al-nakāra aw al-shudhūdh).

The correct course in the matter is to make the distinction which we explained above, in the discussion of the anomalous hadīth. On this subject we say: unfamiliar hadīth fall into two subcategories, in accordance with what we said concerning the anomalous hadīth, for "unfamiliar" means the same thing as "anomalous." An example of the first subcategory of the unfamiliar hadīth – and it is the isolated hadīth (munfarad) which contradicts what the reliable transmitters relate – is the relation of Mālik from Zuhrī from 'Alī b. Ḥusayn from 'Umar b. 'Uthmān' from Usāma b. Zayd' from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him): "A Muslim may not inherit from an unbeliever and an unbeliever may not inherit from a Muslim." Mālik contradicted the other reliable transmitters by saying, "'Umar b. 'Uthmān." Muslim – the author of the Saḥīḥ – said in his Kitāb al-Tamyīz' (Book on Discrimination) that all of those who relate the hadīth from the other students of Zuhrī say, "'Amr b. 'Uthmān," and he mentioned that

¹ The term munkar is problematic and translators have differed in their rendering of it. The difficulty results from a shift in its significance over time. The earliest writers on hadith used it as a synonym of general terms like da f and mardūd. See, for instance, G.H.A. Juynboll's "Muslim's Introduction," 269, where it is justifiably translated "rejected." Later Muslim authors attached a more specific meaning to the word, usually one close, as here, to that of shādhdh; see the remarks of W. Marçais in his translation of Nawawi's Taqrīb, 56, n. 3. There can be no doubt that the usage of later writers ultimately derived from the definitions of the munkar hadith provided by earlier scholars. However, where the earlier authors were describing the general characteristics of the broad class of hadith they found unacceptable, the later ones interpreted these descriptions as referring to one specific type of unsatisfactory hadith.

^{2 &#}x27;Umar (Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 3[2]:178) and his brother 'Amr (Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:353) were best known for being transmitters from their father, the third caliph 'Uthmān b. 'Affān (r. 23/644-35/656).

³ Usāma b. Zayd (d. ca. 60/680) was a favorite of the Prophet and at the age of eighteen was made the commander of the Muslim forces invading Syria; Dhahabi, Siyar, 2:496-507.

⁴ Muslim's Kitāb al-Tamyīz has not reached us wholly intact and I have not succeeded in locating this passage in either the edition of Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-A'zamī (Riyadh, n.d.) or that of Muḥammad Ṣubḥī Ḥasan Ḥallāq (Alexandria, n.d.).

Mālik used to point to the house of Umar b. Uthmān with his hand, as if he was aware that the other transmitters disagreed with him. Amr and Umar were both sons of Uthmān. However, this hadīth comes only from Amr. Muslim and others adjudged Mālik to have erred in it. God knows best.

An example of the second subcategory of the unfamiliar hadīth – that is, the isolated hadīth (fard) the transmitter of which does not possess reliability and exactitude sufficient to allow him to transmit it by himself – is the hadīth we heard from Abū Zukayr Yaḥyā b. Muḥammad b. Qays from Hishām b. ʿUrwa from his father from ʿĀʾisha (God be pleased with her) that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Eat fresh dates with dried dates. When Satan sees that, it angers him and he says, 'The son of Adam lived until he ate the new with the shabby.'" Abū Zukayr was alone in transmitting it. He was a pious teacher whose hadīth Muslim included in his book. However, he did not attain the stature of those whose unique transmissions are suffered. God knows best.

⁵ Abū Zukayr (d. 200/816) was generally considered to be an unreliable transmitter; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:296–9.

⁶ Hishām b. Urwa b. al-Zubayr (ca. 61/680-146/763) was a respected scholar of hadīth and law; Sezgin, GAS, 1:88-9.

^{7 &#}x27;Urwa b. al-Zubayr b. al-Awwam (ca. 25/646-ca. 95/714) was considered one of the great jurists of the city of Medina; EI', 4:1047; Sezgin, GAS, 1:278-9.

^{8 (}A) isha (d. 58/678) was the daughter of the future caliph Abū Bakr and one of the favorite wives of the Prophet; EP, 1:307-8.

Category 15

ANALYSIS, PARALLELISMS AND ATTESTATIONS

(Macrifat al-ictibar wa-'l-mutabacat wa-'l-shawahid)

These are matters which scholars take up in their examination of the condition of a hadīth: was its transmitter alone in transmitting it or not? Is it well known or not? The expert Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad b. Ḥibbān al-Tamīmī (God – He is exalted – bless him) gave an example of the procedure for analyzing reports.

Hammād b. Salama¹ relates an unparalleled hadīth (hadīth lam yutābaʿ ʿalayhi) from Ayyūb [al-Sakhtiyānī] from [Muḥammad] b. Sīrīn from Abū Hurayra from the Prophet (Peace be upon him). It is examined: did a reliable transmitter other than Ayyūb relate it from Ibn Sīrīn? If that is found, it is known that the report has an original version (aṣl) to which it goes back. If that is not found, then does a reliable transmitter other than Ibn Sīrīn relate it from Abū Hurayra? If not, does a Companion other than Abū Hurayra relate it from the Prophet (Peace be upon him)? If any of that is found, it is thereby known that the hadīth has an original version to which it goes back. If it is not found, the hadīth does not have one.²

An example of parallelism would be that someone other than Hammād relates that very same hadīth from Ayyūb. This is "complete parallelism" (al-mutāba'a al-tāmma). If no one but Hammād relates it from Ayyūb, but someone else relates it from Ibn Sīrīn or from Abū Hurayra or if someone other than Abū Hurayra relates it from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him); that also is sometimes termed "parallelism" without qualification. But it is inferior to the first kind of parallelism to the extent it falls short of it. It may also be called an "attestation" (shāhid).

If that hadith is not related at all from one of the aforementioned lines of transmission, but another hadith having the same meaning is related; that is an attestation without parallelism. If another hadith with the same meaning is not related, then the absolute uniqueness (al-tafarrud al-mutlaq) of the hadith is established. Hadith of this kind are divided into the rejected unfamiliar hadith (mardūd munkar) and the unrejected, as stated above. When they say regarding something like this, "Abū Hurayra was alone in transmitting it [from the Prophet], Ibn Sīrīn was alone in transmitting it from Abū Hurayra, Ayyūb was alone in transmitting it from Ibn Sīrīn and Ḥammād b. Salama was alone in transmitting

¹ Abū Salama Ḥammād b. Salama b. Dīnār al-Baṣtī (d. 167/783) was one of the most famous transmitters of ḥadīth of his age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:444–57.

² Ibn Ḥibbān, Ṣaḥiḥ Ibn Ḥibbān, ed. Aḥmad Muḥammad Shākir (Cairo, 1952), 1:117-18.

it from Ayyūb;" there is an indication in that of the nonexistence of lines of transmission for parallels of the hadīth.

Be aware that sometimes the relation of someone whose hadith should not be cited as proofs when he is by himself – indeed, he may be considered a weak transmitter – may sometimes be included for the sake of establishing parallelism or as the citation of an attestation. Bukhārī and Muslim in their books mention the hadīth of a number of weak transmitters as parallels and attestations. Not every weak transmitter is suitable for this. For that reason Dāraquṭnī and others have said about weak transmitters, "X, his transmissions may be taken into consideration" (fulān yu'tabaru bihī) and "Y, his transmissions may not be taken into consideration." Something like this was pointed out above. God knows best.

The following is an example of a parallel and attestation: We heard the hadīth of Sufyān b. Uyayna from 'Amr b. Dīnār³ from 'Aṭā' b. Abī Rabāḥ from Ibn 'Abbās in which the Prophet (Peace be upon him) said, "If they had taken its hide and tanned it, they would have derived some benefit from it." Ibn Jurayj⁴ related the ḥadīth from 'Amr from 'Aṭā' and he did not mention the tanning. The expert Aḥmad al-Bayhaqī gave a parallel version and attestation of the ḥadīth of Ibn 'Úyayna. Usāma b. Zayd transmitted a parallel version from 'Aṭā'. Aḥmad al-Bayhaqī related with his isnād from Usāma from 'Aṭā' from Ibn 'Abbās this version in which the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Did you not strip its hide off and tan it, so that you could enjoy it?" Aḥmad al-Bayhaqī gave as an attestation the ḥadīth of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Waʿaʿa from Ibn 'Abbās, "He said, 'The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Any hide that is tanned becomes ritually clean."" God knows best.

³ Abū Muḥammad 'Amr b. Dīnār (ca. 46/666–126/743) was a legal expert in Mecca; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:300–7.

⁴ Abū Khālid (or Abu 'l-Walid) 'Abd al-Malik b. 'Abd al-'Azīz b. Jurayj (80/699-150/767 or 151) was one of the most prominent Hejazian scholars of hadīth of his day; Sezgin, GAS, 1:91.

⁵ Kitāb al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1:16 (K. al-Ţahāra, B. Ṭahārat jild al-mayyita bi-'l-dabgh).

⁶ Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):296.

Category 16

Additions of Reliable Transmitters And the Treatment of Them

(Ma'rifat ziyādāt al-thiqāt wa-ḥukmihā)

This is a sublime discipline which deserves careful attention. The authorities Abū Bakr b. Ziyād al-Nīsābūrī, Abū Nu'aym al-Jurjānī² and Abu 'l-Walīd al-Ourashī³ have been mentioned for their knowledge of the textual additions relevant to the study of law in certain hadith. According to what Abū Bakr al-Khatib related, the doctrine of the majority of the scholars of law and hadith is that an addition of a reliable transmitter is acceptable when he is alone in transmitting it, irrespective of whether that addition is from a single individual who related the hadith once without the addition and another time with it or whether the addition is from someone other than the transmitter who related the hadith without the addition.4 This is contrary to the view of those scholars of hadith who reject additions without exception and the view of those who reject additions from the original transmitter but accept them from someone else. We cited above⁵ al-Khatīb al-Baghdadi's relation from the majority of hadith experts to the effect that if some people give a hadith with a cohesive isnad (wasala 'l-hadith) and others give it as loose (arsalahū), the verdict is in favor of those who transmit it as loose, even if the addition rendering it cohesive is from a reliable transmitter. I have arrived at the opinion that the material a reliable transmitter is alone in relating falls into three subcategories.

- 1. It contradicts and is incompatible with what the rest of the reliable transmitters related. The verdict on this kind is rejection, as was previously stated in the Category on anomalous hadīth.
- 2. It contains absolutely no incompatibility with or contradiction of what others related, like the hadīth the totality of which a reliable transmitter is alone in relating and through which he does not come into conflict at all with what others relate. This kind is acceptable and al-Khatīb has indeed claimed that scholars agree on that. Something similar was discussed above in the Category on anomalous hadīth.

¹ Abū Bakr 'Abd Allāh b. Muhammad b. Ziyād al-Nīsābūrī (d. 324/936) was considered the greatest Shāfi'ite jurist of his era in Iraq; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:65-8.

² Abū Nu'aym 'Abd al-Malik b. Muḥammad b. 'Adī al-Jurjānī was a Shāfi'ite jurist who was born in 242/856 and died in 323/935; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:541-6.

³ Abu 'l-Walid Ḥassān b. Muḥammad al-Qurashī al-Nisābūrī (d. 349/960) was also a famous Shāfi'ite jurist; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:492-6.

⁴ Kifaya, 424-5.

⁵ See above, p. 52.

The cases which fall between these two levels, like the addition of a word 3. in a hadith which the rest of those who related the hadith do not give. An example is the hadīth Mālik related from Nāfic from Ibn Umar "that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) placed the obligation of paying the alms tax of Ramadan on all men and women, free and slave, of the Muslims" ('alā kull hurr aw 'abd dhakar aw unthā min al-muslimin). Abū Isā al-Tirmidhī said that Mālik was alone among reliable transmitters in relating it with the addition of the words "of the Muslims," Ubayd Allah b. Umar, Ayyūb [al-Sakhtiyani] and others related this hadith from Nafic from Ibn Umar without this addition. More than one expert, including Shāfi'i and Ahmad [b. Hanbal] (God be pleased with them) adopted the addition and cited it as a proof. God knows best.

Another example of that is the hadith, "The Earth was made a mosque for us and its soil was made a purifier for us." Abū Mālik Sa'd b. Tāriq al-Ashja 18 was alone in giving this addition and the wording of the rest of the transmissions of the hadith is, "The Earth was made a mosque and a purifier for us." This hadith and similar ones resemble the first subcategory of addition in the respect that the version the group relates is general and the version the individual with the addition relates is specific. It contains an accidental difference and a species of contradiction which causes the legal rulings contained in the two versions to vary. It is also like the second subcategory in that there is no [fundamental] incompatibility between the two versions.

In the case of the addition which makes the loose hadith cohesive (ziyādat al-waşl ma'a 'l-irsāl'), there is a contradiction similar to the one we mentioned above, between cohesion and looseness. That question grows in importance because looseness is a form of impugnment in a hadith. Preference for the cohesive form and giving precedence to it is like giving a discrediting evaluation of a transmitter (jarh) precedence over an accrediting one (ta'dil). It is justified on the basis that discrediting is given precedence because it contains an "addition" of knowledge and the addition here belongs to the transmitter who gives the hadith as cohesive.9 God knows best.

⁶ Saḥih al-Tirmidhi, 13:335-6 (K. al-Ilal).

⁷ Abū Uthmān Ubayd Allāh b. Umar b. Hafs al-Umari (d. 144/761 or 145 or 147) was a descendant of the caliph Umar b. al-Khattab and an important transmitter of hadith in Medina; Sezgin, GAS, 1:89.

⁸ Abū Mālik al-Ashja'l was a Kūfan scholar of hadīth who seems to be only poorly known. He took this particular transmission from the Follower Rib4 b. Hirash (d. ca. 90/709); Dhahabi, Sivar, 6:184-5.

⁹ That is, the discrediting critic is presumed to possess information impugning the transmitter's reliability unknown to his colleagues while the individual giving the isnad in cohesive form knows the identity of the additional transmitter whose inclusion renders the isnad whole.

Category 17 ISOLATED ḤADITH (Ma^crifat al-afrād)

The significant aspects of this Category have already been discussed in the immediately preceding Categories. Nevertheless, I have given this topic its own chapter, just as Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim did.¹ To cover what remains to be discussed on this topic, we say: isolated ḥadīth fall into the subcategories of absolutely isolated and isolated in relation to a particular aspect.

- 1. The first kind is the hadīth a single transmitter and no one else relates. Its subcategories and treatment have just been covered.
- The second kind is isolated in a relative sense. For instance, the hadith that a single reliable transmitter and no other reliable transmitter relates. This is virtually the same as the first subcategory. Other examples of this are the hadith about which the following are said: "This is a hadith which the Meccans" - or "the Syrians," or "the Kūfans" or "the Khurāsānians" - "and no one else relates;" or, "No one related it from X except Y," - even if it was related through several lines of transmission from people other than X - or, "The Başrans were alone in transmitting it from the Medinese," or, "The Khurāsānians were alone in transmitting it from the Meccans," and the like. We will not cite examples of this subcategory at length since the matter can be understood without them. Nothing along these lines necessitates that the hadith be judged as weak, unless someone applies the statements, "The Meccans were alone in transmitting it" - or, "The Başrans were alone in transmitting it" - "from the Medinese," or something like that, to a hadith that only a single Meccan - or a single Başran, and so forth - related, ascribing the hadith to [the scholars of the city as a group], in the way the deed of a single tribesman may be ascribed figuratively to the entire tribe. Indeed, Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hākim did do this in the matter we are addressing.2 [If this is the case,] the hadith is treated in the same fashion as those in the first subcategory. God knows best.

^{1 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 96–102.

² According to Ibn Hajar, in all of al-Hākim's examples it is a question of a single inhabitant of one city transmitting from a single inhabitant of another city, although al-Hākim described the transmission as, for instance, "the Başrans from the Kūfans;" Nukat, 2:707.

Category 18 DEFECTIVE HADITH

(Macrifat al-ḥadītḥ aļ-mucallal)

The scholars of hadīth call this kind of hadīth $ma^{cl}\bar{u}l$. They use that construction, as do the jurists in reference to the subject of legal analogy: "the cause and the effect" $(al-cilla\ ma-'l-ma^{cl}\bar{u}l)$. The specialists in the Arabic language and lexicography disapprove of the construction $ma^{cl}\bar{u}l$.

Be aware that the subject of the defects (*'cilal'*) of hadīth is one of the most exalted, precise and noble of the sciences of hadīth. Only those possessing retention, experience and penetrating intelligence can become proficient in it. The defects consist of the hidden causes of impugnment in hadīth. A defective hadīth is one in which a defect impugning its soundness is detected, although it outwardly appears to be free of the defect. That may apply to an isnād made up of reliable transmitters which outwardly seems to fulfil the conditions of soundness.

Someone being alone in transmitting the hadīth as well as others contradicting him aid in catching the defect. Additionally, certain associated circumstances alert the expert in this matter to an occurrence of looseness in a connected hadīth (irsāl fi 'l-mawsūl'), of halting in a raised hadīth (waaf fi 'l-marfū'), of the interpolation of one hadith into another or of the commission of some other kind of mistake by someone. On the basis of these associated circumstances, the expert becomes suspicious about the hadith and he either passes judgement [against it] because of them or hesitates, suspending judgement about the hadith. All of these things, so long as they are present in a hadith, prevent declaring it sound. Often they declare a connected hadith to be defective on the basis of looseness. For instance, the hadith appears with a connected isnad and it also appears with an interrupted isnād which is stronger [that is, better documented, and so forth] than the isnād of the connected version. For this reason, the books on the defects of hadith include all of the chains of transmission of a hadīth. Abū Bakr al-Khatīb said, "The way to discover the defect of a hadith is to collect the lines of transmission, examine the differences of its transmitters and examine their position in regard to retention and their status in regard to exactitude and precision." It is related that 'Alī b. al-Madīnī said, "Chapter: If the lines of transmission of the hadīth are not gathered, its error will not become apparent."

Sometimes – and this is more common – the defect occurs in the isnād and sometimes it occurs in the text. Sometimes the defect occurring in the isnād impugns the soundness of both the isnād and the text, as is the case when the defect of looseness and halting is detected. Sometimes the defect in the isnād impugns only the soundness of the isnād without impugning

the soundness of the text. The hadith which the reliable transmitter Ya'lā b. 'Ubayd' related from Sufyān al-Thawrī from 'Amr b. Dīnār from Ibn 'Umar from the Prophet (Peace be upon him), "Both of the parties in a sale have the option of refusal ..." is an example of a hadīth containing a defect in its isnād which does not impugn the soundness of the text. This is an isnād uninterrupted through the relation of one upright transmitter from another, yet it is defective and unsound. The text is in any case sound. The defect in the transmission is in Ya'lā b. 'Ubayd saying, "from 'Amr b. Dīnār." In fact, the hadīth is from 'Abd Allāh b. Dīnār from Ibn 'Umar. The authoritative students of Sufyān al-Thawrī related it this way from him. Ya'lā b. 'Ubayd made a mistake, saying "'Amr b. Dīnār" instead of "Abd Allāh b. Dīnār," both of whom are reliable.

An illustration of a defect in a text is the phrase making explicit the prohibition of reciting "In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate", which Muslim was alone in including in the hadith of Anas.2 Some people regarded the relation of the aforementioned phrase as defective when they saw that the majority of transmitters merely said, "They used to commence their recitation with, 'Praise be to God, the Lord of the Worlds,'" without any [explicit] objection to saving, "In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate," and this is what Bukhārī³ and Muslim were in agreement on including in their Sahīh. These people believed that the transmitter who related the hadith with the aforementioned phrase [prohibiting the recitation of "In the name of God, and so forth"] paraphrased the text according to his understanding of it, and he understood Anas' words, "They used to commence with 'Praise be to God,' to mean that they did not pronounce "In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate" and so the transmitter related the hadith in the way he understood it. The person who did that erred, because the meaning of the hadith is that the sūra [that is, chapter of the Qur'an] they used to begin with was the Fātiha4 and the [original] text of the hadith contains no objection to saying, "In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate." A number of other matters are relevant to that, including the fact that it is established that Anas was asked about commencing with "In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate" and he said that he did not have anything from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) on that topic. God knows best.

Be aware that the term "defect," contrary to its original sense, is sometimes applied without qualification to the rest of the causes of impugnment — other

¹ Yaqa b. Ubayd (d. 209/825) was a well-respected Kūfan transmitter of hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:476-7.

² Al-Jāmi^c al-ṣaḥiḥ, 2:12 (K. al-Ṣalāt). The additional passage which Muslim supplies is "lā yadhkurūna bismi'llāh al-Raḥmān al-Raḥim fi awwal qirā'a wa-lā fi ākhirihā."

³ Kitāb al-Jāmi' al-ṣaḥiḥ, 1:192 (K. al-Adhān, B. Mā yuqra'u ba'da 'l-takbīr).

⁴ Fātiḥa is the name of the first sūra of the Qur'ān. The second verse of the Fātiḥa is "Praise be to God, and so forth." Reference to the second verse served to distinguish the Fātiḥa from the other sūras, because all but one of the sūras (the ninth) begin with "In the name of God, and so forth."

than those we mentioned – which take hadīth from the state of soundness to the state of weakness and keep them from being acted upon. For that reason, you find in the books on the defects of hadīth a good deal of discrediting for falsehood, neglectfulness and carelessness and other similar types of discreditation. Tirmidhī even called abrogation a "defect" of hadīth. Indeed, one scholar unqualifiedly applied the term "defect" to things which indisputably do not impugn – like someone transmitting as loose a hadīth which a reliable and precise transmitter gives as supported ($asnadah\bar{u}$). He even said that the "defective sound" (sahīh $ma^cl\bar{u}l$) is one of the subcategories of the sound hadīth, just as someone else said that the "anomalous sound" (sahīh $sh\bar{a}dhdh$) is one of the forms of the sound hadīth. God knows best.

⁵ Irāqī in *Taqyid* (p. 124) identified this individual as Abū YaIā al-Khalīlī, who in fact did these things in his *Irshād*, 1:157, 160 ff.

Category 19 DISRUPTED HADITH

(Ma^crifat al-muḍṭarib min al-ḥadīth)

A disrupted hadith is one transmitted in different forms. One of its transmitters relates it one way and another relates it a different way from the first. We call it "disrupted" only when the two transmissions are equal. If one of the two relations is preferable to the extent that the other can no longer stand up against it because its transmitter is more retentive, studied with the teacher longer or there exists some other cogent reason for favoring it, then the verdict is in favor of the preferable transmission. In that case, the hadith may not be characterized as "disrupted" without qualification and it is not treated in the same way. Sometimes the disruption occurs in the text of the hadith and sometimes in the isnād. Sometimes it comes from a single transmitter and sometimes it occurs among a number of its transmitters. Disruption makes a hadīth weak, since it indicates that it was not accurately preserved. God knows best.

An example of this is the hadīth we heard from Ismāʿīl b. Umayya¹ from Abū ʿAmr b. Muḥammad b. Ḥurayth² from his grandfather Ḥurayth³ from Abū Ḥurayra from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) concerning someone who wants to pray: "If he does not find a stick to plant in the ground in front of himself, let him draw a line on the ground." Bishr b. al-Mufaddal⁴ and Rawh b. al-Qāsim⁵ related it from Ismāʿīl that way. Sufyān al-Thawrī related it from Ismāʿīl from Abū ʿAmr b. Ḥurayth from his father from Abū Ḥurayra. Ḥumayd b. al-Aswad⁶ related it from Ismāʿīl from Abū ʿAmr b. Muhammad b. Ḥurayth b. Salīm from his father from Abū ʿAmr b. Ḥurayth from his grandfather Ḥurayth. ʿAbd al-Razzāq said, "Ibn Jurayiß said, 'Ismāʿīl]

¹ Ismā'īl b. Umayya b. 'Amr al-Qurashī (d. 139/756) was considered to be a reliable transmitter of ḥadīth; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(1):345-6; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):159.

^{2.} I did not succeed in finding any information about this individual in the sources I consulted.

³ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):71-2; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):262.

⁴ Abū Ismā'll Bishr b. al-Mufaddal al-Raqāshi was a prominent Başran transmitter who died in 186/802 at over eighty years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:36-9.

⁵ Abū Ghiyāth Rawh b. al-Qāsim al-Tamīmī al-'Anbarī was a Başran transmitter who died around 150/767; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:404.

⁶ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):357; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 2(1):218.

⁷ Abū Bakr Wuhayb b. Khālid b. 'Ajlān al-Karābīsī (d. 165/782) was a Başran hadīth expert; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:223-6.

⁸ Abū Ubayda 'Abd al-Warith b. Sa'id al-Tamīmī (1027721-180/796) was a well-regarded Başran hadīth transmitter; Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:300-4.

⁹ Abu 'l-Walīd (or Abū Khālid) 'Abd al-Malik b. 'Abd al-'Azīz b. Jurayj (80/699–150/767) was a Meccan expert in hadīth and law; Sezgin, GAS, 1:91.

heard hadīth from Ḥurayth b. 'Ammār from Abū Hurayra.'" This hadīth actually contains even more disruption than we have mentioned. God knows best.

Category 20

MATERIAL INTERPOLATED INTO HADITH

(Ma'rifat al-mudraj fi 'l-hadīth)

There are several subcategories of interpolated material. One consists of the remarks of one of the transmitters of a hadīth of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) being interpolated into the hadīth itself. This happens because the Companion or someone later offered some of his own comments immediately after relating the hadīth and later transmitters related those comments as a continuation of the hadīth, without separating them by identifying the speaker. The matter is confusing for someone who does not know the reality of the situation and that person may erroneously believe that the entire text is from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him).

A famous example of this is the hadīth we heard regarding the declaration of faith (tashahhud) from Abū Khaythama Zuhayr b. Mu'awiya1 from al-Hasan b. al-Hurr² from al-Qasim b. Mukhaymira³ from 'Algama [b. Oays] from 'Abd Allah b. Mas'ud to the effect that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) taught him the way to make the declaration of faith in prayer. The Prophet said, "Say, 'Greetings to God,'" and he gave the testimony of faith, concluding with, "'I testify that there is no God but God and I testify that Muhammad is the Messenger of God.' When you say this, you have completed your prayer. If you would like to get up, do so. If you would like to remain seated, do so." Abū Khaythama related it that way from al-Hasan b. al-Hurr and he interpolated into the hadith itself the remarks, "When you say this, and so forth." These are in fact the words of Ibn Mas'ūd and not the words of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). One of the indications of this is that the reliable and ascetic transmitter 'Abd al-Rahman b. Thabit b. Thawban' related it that way [that is, with an indication that the concluding remarks belonged to Ibn Mas'ūd] from his transmitter al-Hasan b. al-Hurr. Husayn al-Ju'sī,5

¹ Zuhayr b. Mu'awiya al-Ju'fi (95/714-173/789) was a respected transmitter of hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:181-7.

² Al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥurr (d. 133/751) was a wealthy Damascene scholar known for his piety; Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:152-3.

³ Abū Urwa al-Qāsim b. Mukhaymira al-Hamdānī al-Kūfi (d. ca. 100/719) was born in al-Kūfa and moved to Damascus; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:201-4.

⁴ Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Thābit b. Thawbān al-'Ansī al-Dimashqī (ca. 80/699–165/782) was a Damascene transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:313-14.

⁵ Abū 'Abd Allāh Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. al-Walīd al-Ju'fī (119/737-203/819) was a transmitter famed for his piety; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:397-401.

Ibn 'Ajlan' and others concur in their relation from al-Hasan b. al-Hurr on leaving out these remarks at the end of the hadith. There is also agreement on this from all of those who related the declaration of faith from 'Algama and others from Ibn Mas'ūd. Shabāba' related it from Abū Khaythama and he also separated [the Prophet's words from those of Ibn Mas^cūdl.8

Another subcategory of interpolated material is created when a transmitter has the text of a hadith with a certain isnad, with the exception of a portion of the text which he has under another isnad, and his student interpolates the second portion of the text under the first isnad and omits the second isnad, relating all of it with the first isnad.

An illustration of this is the hadith of [Sufyān] b. Uyayna and Zā'ida b. Qudāma° from 'Āṣim b. Kulayb10 from his father11 from Wā'il b. Ḥujr12 concerning the description of the prayer of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), at the end of which we find: "He came in the winter and saw them raising their hands under their cloaks." The correct version is the transmission of those who related from Asim b. Kulayb under this isnad the description of the prayer by itself and kept separate from it the reference to their raising their hands. They related [the second part] from 'Asim from 'Abd al-Jabbar b. Wavil13 from one of the members of his family from Wail b. Hujr.

Another kind of interpolated material is created when part of the text of a hadith is interpolated into the text of another hadith with a different isnad.

An illustration of this is the relation of Sa'id b. Abi Maryam14 from Malik from Zuhrl from Anas in which the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Do not hate one another, do not envy one another, do not

⁶ The reliability of Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad b. 'Ajlan al-Qurashi (ca. 75/694-148/765) was disputed; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:317-22.

⁷ Abū 'Amr Shabāba b. Sawwār al-Fazāri (ca. 130/748-206/822) was a transmitter of hadith who died in Mecca; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:513-16.

⁸ See also al-Hākim al-Nisābūri, 'Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 39-40.

⁹ Abu 'l-Şalt Za'ida b. Qudama al-Thaqafi al-Küfi (d. 161/777) was a hadith scholar who died while campaigning against the Byzantines; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:375-8.

¹⁰ Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabīr, 3(2):487; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(1):349.

¹¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 4(1):229; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 3(2):167.

¹² Abū Hunayda Waril b. Ḥujr b. Sa'd was a Companion of the Prophet who settled in Iraq; Dhahabi, Siyar, 2:572-4.

^{13 &#}x27;Abd al-Jabbar b. Wa'il b. Hujr is said to have transmitted from his father through the intermediary of his brother 'Alqama; Bukhāri, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabir, 3(2):106-7; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 3(1):30-1.

¹⁴ Abū Muḥammad Sa'id b. Abi Maryam al-Ḥakam al-Miṣrī (144/761–224/839) was considered one of the finest of the Egyptian transmitters of his era; Dhahabi, Siyar, 10:327-30.

oppose one another and do not compete against one another ..." Ibn Abī Maryam interpolated into it the words, "do not compete against one another," from the text of another hadīth which Mālik related from Abu 'l-Zinād from al-A'raj from Abū Hurayra containing, "Do not snoop, do not pry, do not compete against one another and do not envy one another." God knows best.

Another kind of interpolated material is created when a transmitter relates a hadith from a number of teachers who give different isnāds for it, and does not mention the difference, instead putting the isnāds together as a single isnād.

An illustration of this is the transmission of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī¹⁵ and Muḥammad b. Kathir al-'Abdī¹⁶ from [Sufyān] al-Thawrī from Manṣūr,¹⁷ al-A'mash and Wāṣil al-Aḥdab¹⁸ from Abū Wāʾil¹⁹ from 'Amr b. Shuraḥbīl²⁰ from Ibn Mas'ūd: "I said, 'Messenger of God, which sin is the gravest …?'" Wāṣil related it from Abū Wāʾil from 'Abd Allāh [b. Mas'ūd] without mentioning 'Amr b. Shuraḥbīl between them. God knows best.

Be aware that it is not permissible to practice any form of the aforementioned interpolation deliberately. Abū Bakr al-Khatīb has written a book entitled al-Faṣl li-'l-waṣl al-mudraj fi 'l-naql (The Separation of Ḥadīth Combined through Interpolation in the Course of Transmission)²¹ on this category of ḥadīth, which adequately covers the subject. God knows best.

¹⁵ Abū Sa^ad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Mahdī (135/752-198/813) was a Baṣran expert in ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:192-209.

¹⁶ Abū Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Kathīr al-Abdī al-Baṣrī appears to be only poorly known. He is said to have died in 223/838, at about the age of 90; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:383-4.

¹⁷ Abū 'Attāb Mansūr b. al-Mu'tamir al-Sulamī (d. 132/750) was an important transmitter of hadīth in al-Kūfa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:402-12.

¹⁸ Wāṣil b. Ḥayyān al-Aṣadī al-Aḥdab was a Kūfan who died in 120/738; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabir, 4(2):171; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):29-30.

¹⁹ Abū Wā'il Shaqiq b. Salama al-Asadi (d. 82/701) was said to have been the greatest Kūfan expert in the hadith of Ibn Mas'ūd; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:161-6.

²⁰ Abū Maysara 'Amr b. Shuraḥbīl al-Hamdānī was a respected transmitter of hadīth who died around 70/689; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:135-6.

²¹ Ed. Muḥammad b. Maṭar al-Zahrānī, 2 vols (Riyadh, 1418/1997).

Category 21 FORGED HADITH

(Ma^crifat al-mawḍū^c)

The forged hadīth is the fabricated, made-up hadīth. Be aware that the forged hadīth is the worst kind of the weak hadīth. It is not permissible under any circumstance for someone who is aware that a hadīth is forged to relate it, unless coupled with a declaration that it is forged. This is different from the other kinds of weak hadīth — which may possibly be fundamentally truthful — in as much as it is permissible to transmit the other kinds of weak hadīth to instil virtue and inspire fear (al-taghrīb wa-'l-tarhīb), as we will explain shortly, God (He is exalted) willing.

A hadith can be known to be forged only by the acknowledgement of its forger or something equivalent to his acknowledgement. Sometimes scholars come to recognize a forgery by inference from the state of the transmitter or that of the report he transmits. The feebleness of the words and sense of some long hadith bear witness to the fact that they were forged. Indeed, the individual who in our time collected al-Mawdū'āt ([Book of] Forged Hadīth) in roughly two volumes did this a lot and he included many hadīth without proof of their having been forged. He should have mentioned them only under the general designation of weak hadīth.

There are different types of forgers of hadīth. The greatest of them in terms of the harm they do are some of those considered to be ascetics who forge hadīth supporting their claims in expectation of a heavenly reward. The people accept their forgeries, putting their faith in these forgers and relying on them. Later – Praise be to God! – the great critics of hadīth resolutely took on the task of exposing the defectiveness of these hadīth and obliterating their disgrace. One of the things we heard from the authority Abū Bakr al-Sam'ānī² is that some of the Karrāmites³ held the doctrine that it was permissible to forge hadīth for the sake of instilling virtue and inspiring fear.

The forger sometimes made up the remarks himself and related them [as a hadith] and sometimes took the words of a wise man or someone else and falsely ascribed them to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). Sometimes someone erred and unintentionally fell into something close to forgery, as happened to the

¹ This appears to be another reference to Abu 'l-Faraj b. al-Jawzī and his Kitāb al-Mawḍū'āt, see Ibn Ḥajar, Nukat, 2:847–8 and Laknawī, Ajwiba, 164.

² The scholar Abū Bakr Muḥammad al-Samʿānī (467/1074-510/1116) was the son of Abu 'l-Muẓaffar Manṣūr; Dhahabī, Siyar, 19:371-3.

³ The Karrāmites were a sect following the doctrines of Abū Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Karrām (ca. 190/806-255/869) which enjoyed prominence in Iran until the Mongol invasion; EI², 4:667-9.

ascetic Thabit b. Musa* in the hadith, "The face of whoever prays much at night becomes beautiful in the day."

Another example: We heard that Abū Iṣma – and he is Nūh b. Abī Maryam⁵ – was asked, "Where did you get the hadīth from Ikrima⁶ from Ibn 'Abbās concerning the magical properties (fadā'il) of each sūra of the Qur'ān?" He said, "I saw that the people had turned their backs on the Qur'ān and had come to occupy themselves with Abū Hanīfa's legal doctrines and Muhammad b. Ishāq's' stories of the Prophet's campaigns, so I forged these hadīth as a way to gain favor with God." The same is true of the long hadīth which is related from Ubayy b. Ka¹b¹ from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) which describes the magical property of the Qur'ān sūra by sūra. One researcher investigated its source until he reached someone who confessed that he and a group of others had forged it; and indeed the mark of a forgery is clearly upon it. The truth is that the commentator Wāḥidī' and the other commentators who mention it have made a mistake in including it in their commentaries. God knows best.

⁴ According to Ibni Hibbān, the judge Sharīk b. 'Abd Allāh al-Nakha'ı (95/713-177/794) uttered the words in question immediately after reciting a hadīth and it was the Kūfan Abū Ismā'ıl (or Abū Yazīd) Thābit b. Mūsā who mistakenly interpreted them as belonging to the Prophet; Kitāb al-Majrūḥin min al-muḥaddithin wa-'l-du'afā' wa-'l-matrūkin, ed. Maḥmūd Ibrāhīm Zāyid, 3 vols (Mecca, n.d.), 1:207.

⁵ Abū Işma Nuḥ b. Abī Maryam al-Marwazi (d. 173/789) served as the qādī of Marv and was a severe critic of the Jahmites; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):484; Ibn Ḥibbān, Majrūḥīn, 3:48-9; Dhahabī, Mīzān al-i'tidāl, 4:279-80, see also 4:275-6, no. 9131; Ibn Ḥajar, Lisān al-mizān, 6:172-3, no. 609.

⁶ Abu 'Abd Allah Ikrima b. 'Abd Allah al-Barbari al-Madani (d. ca.105/723) was a slave belonging to 'Abd Allah b. 'Abbās; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:12-36.

⁷ Abū ʿAbd Aliāh Muḥammad b. Isḥāq b. Yasār (ca. 85/704-ca. 150/767) was the author of the most famous biography of the Prophet, commonly known as Sirat Ibn Ishāq; EP, 3:810-11; Sezgin, GAS, 1:288-90.

⁸ Ubayy b. Kab was one of the most famous Companions of the Prophet; Dhahabi, Siyar, 1:389-402.

⁹ Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alı b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad al-Waḥidi (d. 468/1075) wrote a number of works of Qur'anic exegesis; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:524, Suppl., 1:730-31.

Category 22 MIXED-UP ḤADITH (Ma'rifat al-maglūb)

An example of a mixed-up hadith would be, for instance, a hadith well known from Sālim which was made to be from Nāfic so that it would thereby become rare and desirable. Similar to this is the account we heard of Bukhāri's (God be pleased with him) arrival in Baghdad. Before his class some of the scholars of hadith met together and took one hundred hadīth and mixed up their texts and isnāds. They attached the text of one isnād to the isnād of another and the isnād of that text to the text of another. Then they went to Bukhāri's class and presented the hadīth to him. When they finished presenting these mixed-up hadīth to him, Bukhārī turned to them and restored each text to its proper isnād and each isnād to its text. They conceded his superiority.

An example of this – and it also serves as an example of a defective hadith (mu'allal) – is the hadith we heard from Ishāq b. Isā [b.] al-Tabbā'. He said, Jarīr b. Hāzim' informed us from Thābit' from Anas that he said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, 'When the second call to prayer is given, do not stand up until you see me.' Ishāq b. Isā said, "I went to Hammād b. Zayd' and asked him about the hadith. He said, 'Abu 'l-Naḍr [Jarīr b. Hāzim] was mistaken. We were all in the class of Thābit al-Bunānī and Ḥajjāj b. Abī Uthmān' was with us. Ḥajjāj al-Ṣawwāf transmitted to us from Yaḥyā b. Abī Kathīr' from 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Qatāda' from his father' that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "When the second call to prayer is given, do not stand up until you see me." Abu 'l-Naḍr – that is Jarīr b. Ḥāzim – thought that it was one of the hadīth Thābit related to us from Anas.'" God knows best.

¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(1):399; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):230-1.

² Abu 'l-Nadr Jarir b. Hāzim al-Baṣrī (85/704-170/786) was an early historian and transmitter of hadith; Sezgin, GAS, 1:310-11.

³ Abū Muhammad Thābit b. Aslam al-Bunānī was a Follower who died in al-Baṣra around 123/741; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:220-5.

⁴ Abū Ismā4l Hammād b. Zayd b. Dirham (d. 179/795) was one of the great hadith critics in al-Başra; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:456-64.

⁵ Hajjāj b. Abī Uthmān al-Şawwāf was a Başran transmitter who died in 143/760; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:75.

⁶ Abū Naṣr Yaḥyā b. Abī Kathīr (d. 129/747) was considered one of the great hadīth scholars of his age. His father's ism was disputed; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:27-31.

⁷ Bukhari, al-Ta3rikh al-kabir, 3(1):175-6; Ibn Abi Hatim, Jarh, 2(2):32.

⁸ Abn Qatāda al-Ḥārith b. Ribʿi al-Salami was a Companion who died in 54/674 at the age of seventy, in either Medina or al-Kūfa. His ism is alternately given as al-Nu'mān or 'Amr; Dhahabi, Sivar, 2:449-56.

Section: We have now fulfilled our earlier promise to elucidate the types of weak hadith. (God be praised.) Let us now point out some important matters.

- 1. When you see a hadīth with a weak isnād, you should say, "This is weak," and mean that it is weak with that isnād. You should not say, "This is weak," and merely on the basis of the weakness of that particular isnād mean by this that the text is weak. For sometimes a hadīth is also related with a sound isnād of the kind which establishes a hadīth as sound. Rather, the permissibility of declaring a text to be weak rests on one of the authorities of hadīth ruling that it was not related with an isnād which establishes it as sound or ruling that it really is a weak hadīth or something similar to that and explaining the reason for impugning it. Some remarks will come God (He is exalted) willing about the case when an authority makes a general declaration that a hadīth is weak without providing an explanation. Note this for it is an area in which mistakes are made. God knows best.
- 2. In the opinion of the scholars of hadith and others, some laxity is tolerated in the provision of isnāds and in the transmission of hadith from the various categories of weak hadīth with the exception of forged hadīth without bothering to explain their weakness. This applies in topics other than the characteristics of God (He is exalted) and legal rulings concerning the permitted and forbidden, and so forth. It is valid, for instance, for sermons, stories, the descriptions of the rewards associated with the performance of various religious acts, the other types of hadīth inspiring virtue and instilling fear, and the hadīth on other matters having no connection to legal rulings and theological issues. Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī and Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with them) are some of those we heard from who totally forbade laxity in hadīth like these.
- 3. If you want to relate a weak hadīth without an isnād, do not use, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said such and such," or similar phrases that definitively indicate that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) said the words. Instead, you should say for it, "It is related from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), such and such," "We read from him such and such," "It arrived from him," "It came from him," "Some of them related," or something similar to that. That is the way you should handle a hadīth the soundness and weakness of which you are in doubt about. You should say, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said," only for the hadīth the soundness of which has become clear to you in the way we elucidated above. God knows best.

Category 23

THE CHARACTERISTIC OF THOSE WHOSE TRANSMISSION IS ACCEPTED AND THOSE WHOSE TRANSMISSION IS REJECTED, AND THE ALLIED SUBJECTS OF IMPUGNING AND DISCREDITING,

AND CERTIFYING AND ACCREDITING

(Ma'rifat șifat man tuqbalu riwāyatuhū wa-man turaddu riwāyatuhū wa-mā yata'allaqu bi-dhālika min qadḥ wa-jarḥ wa-tawthīq wa-ta'dīl)

The generality of the experts in hadīth and law unanimously agree on stipulating that the person whose transmission may be adduced as a proof be upright ('adl) and accurate (dābit) in what he relates. Specifically, he must be Muslim; adult; of sound mind; free of tendencies toward impiety and defects of character; alert; careful; retentive, if he transmits from memory; and accurate in handling his text, if he transmits from it. If he paraphrases his hadīth in transmission (yuhaddithu bi-'l-ma'nā), it is further stipulated that he be aware of any way the sense of a text can be altered. (God knows best.) We will clarify this general statement by addressing specific issues.

1. The integrity of a transmitter is sometimes established by an explicit endorsement of his integrity ('adāla) by those who accredit him and sometimes by general acknowledgement. For those whose integrity is well known to the transmitters of hadīth and other scholars like them and who are widely praised for reliability and honesty, there is no need for evidence testifying to their reliability in the form of an explicit declaration. This is held to be correct in the doctrine of Shāfiʿq and it is relied upon in the field of legal theory (uṣūl al-fiqh). The expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb is one of the scholars of hadīth who stated this. He cited¹ as examples of this [exalted status] Mālik, Shuʿba, the two Sufyāns [that is, Sufyān al-Thawrī and Sufyān b. Uyayna], Awzāʿq,² al-Layth,³ Ibn al-Mubārak,⁴ Wakī¸⁵ Ahmad b. Hanbal, Yahyā b. Maʿqn, ʿAlī b. al-Madīnī and those who were like them in terms of renown and righteousness. The integrity of these scholars and those like

¹ Kifāya, 86-7.

² Abū 'Amr 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Amr al-Awzā'ı (ca. 88/707–157/774) was an important early Syrian legal scholar; Sezgin, GAS, 1:516–17.

³ Abu 'l-Ḥārith al-Layth b. Sa'd al-Miṣrī al-Fahmī (94/713-175/791) was an important Egyptian legal scholar; Sezgin, GAS, 1:520.

⁴ Abu 'Abd al-Rahman 'Abd Allah b. al-Mubarak al-Marwazi al-Hanzali (118/736-181/797) played an important role in the development of Islamic mysticism; Sezgin, GAS, 1:95; EIr, 1:184-5.

⁵ Abū Sufyān Waki^c b. al-Jarrāḥ (129/746-197/812) was a famous Kūfan scholar of ḥadīth, Sezgin, GAS, 1:96-7.

them is not questioned. Those whose affair is hidden from researchers are the only ones whose reliability may be questioned. The expert Ibn Abd al-Barr made a sweeping declaration on this point: "Every bearer of hadith known to be attentive in this matter is considered to be upright and his integrity is assumed indefinitely until a reason for his discreditation comes to light, because the Prophet (Peace be upon him) said, 'The upright people of each succeeding generation will bear this knowledge." There is some unsatisfactory vagueness in what Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said. God knows best.

- 2. A transmitter comes to be recognized as accurate by the comparison of his transmissions with the transmissions of reliable scholars known for precision and exactitude. If we find that his transmissions are in agreement - even if only from the standpoint of sense - with their transmissions or that they are largely in agreement and disagreement is rare, then we know that he is accurate and trustworthy (thabat'). If we find that he often contradicts them, we discover his imprecision and we do not adduce his hadith as proofs. God knows best.
- 3. According to the sound and well-known doctrine, accreditation may be accepted without any statement of a reason for it, because the reasons for accrediting a transmitter are numerous and difficult to state. To demand the reasons would require the accrediter to say, "He did not do X, he did not commit Y and he did do Z," and enumerate everything the commission or omission of which is impious, and that would be very burdensome.

Discrediting may not be accepted without a clear explanation of the reason, because people disagree over what discredits and what does not. Sometimes a critic discredits someone on the basis of a matter that he believes to discredit, but which does not do so in reality. He must explain his reason in order that it be seen whether it is discrediting or not. This is clearly established in the fields of positive law and legal theory. The expert al-Khatib said that it is the doctrine of the authorities of the experts and critics of hadith, like Bukhārī, Muslim and others. For that reason, Bukhāri adduced as proofs the hadīth of a number of transmitters whom others had previously discredited, like Ikrima - the slave of Ibn Abbas (God be pleased with them) - Ismā'il b. Abi Uways, "Aşim b. 'Ali, "Amr b. Marzūq"

⁶ Tamhīd, 1:28, 59.

⁷ Some assert that the proper vocalization of this term is "thabt;" see, for example, Laknawi, al-Raf wa-'l-takmila fi 'l-jarh wa-'l-ta'dil, ed. 'Abd al-Fattāh Abu Ghudda (Aleppo, n.d.),

⁹ Abū 'Abd Allah Ismā'll b. Abi Uways al-Asbaḥi (139/756-226/841) was a nephew of the 8 Kifāya, 108-9. imam Mālik and a controversial transmitter of hadīth in Medina; Dhahabt, Siyar, 10:391-5.

^{10 &#}x27;Āṣim b. 'Alī b. 'Āṣim (d. 221/836) was most famous for being a transmitter from Shuba b. al-Ḥajjāj; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:262-5.

¹¹ Abū Uthmān 'Amr b. Marzūq al-Baṣrī (ca. 130/747-224/839) was a prominent but controversial transmitter in al-Başra; Dhahabi, Siyar, 10:417-20.

and others. Muslim cited as proofs the hadīth of Suwayd b. Saqd¹² and a number of others who were widely impugned. Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī did the same. This indicates that they held the doctrine that discrediting is not established unless the reason for it is explained.

The views of the critics of hadīth transmitters [regarding discrediting] are obscure and contradictory. Al-Khaṭīb composed a chapter¹³ containing some stories about those who were asked to explain why they discredited someone and gave invalid reasons for it. In one Shuba was asked, "Why did you forsake the hadīth of X?" He said, "I saw him galloping on an old nag, so I rejected his hadīth." In another, Muslim b. Ibrāhīm¹⁴ was asked about the hadīth of al-Ṣāliḥ al-Murrī. ¹⁵ He said, "What can be done with Ṣāliḥ? One day they mentioned his name to Ḥammād b. Salama and Hammād blew his nose." God knows best.

What if someone says, "For discrediting transmitters and rejecting their hadith, people rely on the books which the authorities in hadith wrote on impugning or on personality criticism in general (al-jarh wa-'l-ta'dīl), and rarely do these authorities venture to explain their reasons in them. Rather, they confine themselves merely to saying, 'X is weak,' 'Y is nothing,' and the like, or 'This is a weak hadith,' 'This is not a well-established hadith,' and the like. So stipulating that the reason must be explained leads to the negation of [the value of their opinions] and to the invalidation of the discrediting in most cases"? The answer to this is that, even if we do not rely on these kinds of declarations to assert discreditation and pass judgement, we do rely upon them to the extent that we refrain from accepting the hadith of those whom they say something like that about, on the basis that these declarations have sown in our mind doubts about the transmitters of the kind which necessitate hesitation. If the doubts of the authorities about a transmitter are removed by an investigation of his state which necessitates that we trust his integrity, we accept his hadith without hesitation. This is the case of those whom the authors of the two Sahīhs and others cite who were touched by this kind of discreditation from other critics. So understand that, for it is a good counter-argument. God knows best.

4. There are conflicting opinions over the question of whether a judgement of personality criticism is established as valid by the statement of a single critic, or whether two are necessary. Some maintain that two is the minimum number to

¹² The controversial transmitter Abū Muḥammad Suwayd b. Saʿīd b. Sahl al-Ḥadathānī died in al-Ḥadītha in 240/854 at about one hundred years of age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:410-20.

¹³ Kifaya, 110-14.

¹⁴ Abū 'Amr Muslim b. Ibrāhīm al-Azdī was an important transmitter of ḥadīth who died in 222/836; Sezgin, GAS, 1:103.

¹⁵ Abū Bishr al-Şāliḥ b. Bashir al-Murri (d. ca. 172/788) was a storyteller and preacher in al-Baṣra whose reliability was disputed; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:46-8.

establish such a criticism, as is the case with the discreditation and accreditation of testimony in court. Some hold the opinion – and it is the correct view, which Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb¹⁶ and others have adopted – that it may be established by a single critic. Because no particular number of transmitters is stipulated for the acceptance of a report,¹⁷ none is stipulated for the accrediting or discrediting of a transmitter, contrary to the case of testimony in court. God knows best.

- 5. If a single individual is both discredited and accredited, the discreditation takes precedence because the critic who accredits him reports on his apparent state and the one who discredits him reports on a real matter which was hidden from the critic who accredited him. It is said that if the number of critics accrediting him is greater [than the number of those discrediting him], the accreditation deserves precedence. The correct view and that held by the majority is that the discrediting takes precedence, for the reason we gave. God knows best.
- 6. A declaration of accreditation made in an obscure fashion, without giving the name of the person who is being accredited, is not enough. According to what the expert al-Khatīb,18 the jurist Şayrafi [that is, Abū Bakr al-Shāfi4] and others stated, when a transmitter says, "A reliable person transmitted to me," or something similar to that, limiting himself to such a statement, it is not sufficient. This is contrary to the view of others who do accept this as sufficient. A declaration made in an obscure fashion is rejected because the individual may be reliable in the opinion of that person, while someone else may have come across something that, either in his opinion alone or by consensus, discredits him. So it is necessary for the transmitter to name his teacher so that his identity can be determined. Indeed, his avoidance of naming the transmitter is itself a suspicious act, which sows doubt about him in the heart of others. If the individual who says, ["A reliable transmitter related to me," and so forth] is a scholar, his declaration may be sufficient for those who share his views [on personality criticism], according to the opinion adopted by some thorough scholars. The expert al-Khatib said, "When a scholar says, Everyone I relate from is reliable, even if do not name him,' and he then relates hadith from someone whom he does not name, he is in fact vouching for that person's blamelessness. However, we do not act on this testimony of his blamelessness."19 This is because of the reason we presented above. God knows best.

¹⁶ Kifaya, 96-7.

¹⁷ The reference here is to the khabar al-wāḥid, the ḥadīth related at some point in its line of transmission by a single individual. As Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ stated above (p. 5), it was debated, especially in the first centuries of Islam, whether such a ḥadīth could be accepted. For a general discussion, see James Robson, "Traditions from Individuals," Journal of Semitic Studies, 9 (1964):327-40.

¹⁸ This would seem to be a reference to Kifāya, 92, although the issue addressed there is not identical.

¹⁹ Kifāya, 92.

7. In the opinion of the majority of the scholars of hadith and others, when an upright individual relates from a transmitter and gives his name, his relation from that transmitter is not tantamount to his accreditation of him. Some of the adherents of hadith and some of the Shāfi ites said that this does constitute his accreditation of that individual, because his accreditation is inherent in his transmitting from him. The correct view is the first, because it is permissible for someone to relate from a transmitter who is not upright, without his relation from him entailing his accreditation of him.

In the same way, we may say that the action of a scholar or his issuance of a legal opinion in accordance with a particular hadith does not constitute a judgement from him that the hadith is sound. Likewise, his contradiction of [what is prescribed in] the hadith is not equivalent to his impugning the soundness of the hadith itself or the person who transmitted it. God knows best.

- 8. Concerning the relation of an unknown transmitter ($majh\bar{u}l$): for our present purposes, there are several types of unknown people:
- (a). The transmitter whose apparent integrity and real integrity are both unknown: in the view of the majority, his transmissions may not be accepted. This is in accordance with the opinion to which we earlier drew attention.²⁰
- (b). The unknown transmitter whose real integrity is unknown, although he is apparently upright and outwardly acceptable (mastur): one of our authorities has said, "The outwardly acceptable transmitter is someone who is apparently upright but whose real integrity is not known." Some of those who reject the transmission of the first type of unknown person, adduce as proofs the transmission of this type. This is the doctrine of some of the Shāfisites. One of them who stated it plainly is the authority Sulaym b. Ayyūb al-Rāzī:21 "Because the question of reports is based on giving the transmitter the benefit of the doubt and because the transmission of reports is in the hands of those who are incapable of gaining knowledge of a transmitter's real integrity, limit yourself in regard to reports to knowledge of the transmitter's apparent integrity. Reports differ from testimony in court, for the latter is before judges and they are not incapable of [discovering a witness's real integrity], so for testimony in court analyze a witness's apparent and real integrity." It seems that this view was acted upon in many of the famous books of hadith in regard to a number of the transmitters who lived before the authors of the books and about whom it was impossible to obtain real first-hand knowledge. God knows best.

²⁰ This seems to be a reference to the discussion in section six.

²¹ Abu 'l-Fath Sulaym b. Ayyūb al-Rāzī (ca. 360/971-447/1055) was a well-traveled Shāfi'ite scholar; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:645-7.

- (c). The transmitter whose identity is unknown: those who do not accept the relation of a transmitter whose identity is unknown do sometimes accept the relation of a transmitter whose integrity is unknown. Someone whom two upright transmitters relate hadith from and identify [that is, name] is not considered "unknown" in this sense. In response to some questions he was asked, Abū Bakr al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī said, "In the view of the scholars of hadith an unknown transmitter is everyone whom the scholars do not know and whose hadith are only known from the line of transmission of a single student. Examples are 'Amr Dhū Murr, 22 Jabbār al-Ṭā'ī23 and Sa'īd b. Dhī Huddan,24 from whom only Abū Ishāq al-Sabī'i transmittēd; al-Hazhāz h Mayzan,25 from whom Shabi is the sole transmitter; and Juravy b. Kulayb.26 from whom only Qatāda transmitted."27 In reality Sufyān al-Thawrī also transmitted from al-Hazhāz. Al-Khatīb went on, "Infrequently it does happen that the label of 'unknown' is lifted from a transmitter by two scholars famous in hadith transmitting from him. However, the verdict that he is upright is not established by their relation from him."28 This is something which we explained above.29 (God knows best.) Bukhārī included in his Sahih the hadith of a number of people from whom only one student related, including Mirdās al-Aslamī, 30 from whom only Qays b. Abī Hāzim31 transmitted. Likewise, Muslim included the hadith of a number of people from whom only a single student transmitted, including Rabi'a b. Kab al-Aslamī, 32 from whom only Abū Salama b. Abd al-Raḥmān transmitted. When Bukhārī or Muslim include the hadīth of a transmitter, he may leave the state he was in of being unknown and rejected because only a single student related from him. The opposing view on that follows the previously cited line of argumentation known to have been made against the view that the statement of a single critic is enough to accredit a transmitter.33 God knows best.
- 9. There is disagreement over whether to accept the relation of a sectarian ($mubtadi^c$) who does not become an infidel ($l\bar{a}$ yakfuru) through his adherence to

²² Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 3(2):329-30; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 3(1):232.

²³ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 1(2):252; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):543.

²⁴ Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 2(1):470-71; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 2(1):19.

²⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 4(2):250-51; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 4(2):122.

²⁶ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabīr, 1(2):244; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):536-7.

²⁷ Kifāya, 88.

²⁸ Kifāya, 88-9.

²⁹ See section seven.

³⁰ Mirdās b. Mālik al-Aslamī seems to have been a Companion of the Prophet; Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):434; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 4(1):350; Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istī āb, 3:1386.

³¹ Abū 'Abd Allāh Qays b. Abī Hāzim Huṣayn b. 'Awf (d. ca. 98/717) was a Kūfan Follower of the Prophet whose transmissions were considered controversial; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:198–202.

³² Abū Firās Rabī'a b. Ka\u00a9 al-Aslamī was a Companion of the Prophet; Bukh\u00e4r\u00e4, al-Ta\u00e4rikh al-kabir, 2(1):280; Ibn Abī H\u00e4tim, \u00c4arh, 1(2):472.

³³ See section four.

his sectarian doctrine (bid^ca). Some flatly reject his transmission, arguing that he becomes a wrongdoer (fāsiq) because of his sectarian doctrine. [They argue that] just as the person who arrives at his false doctrine through interpretation and the person who does not¹⁴ are equal in sectarianism, they are also equal in wrongdoing.

Some accept the transmission of a sectarian, if he is not someone who views as licit telling lies to further his doctrine or for the sake of the adherents of his doctrine, irrespective of whether he is a proselytizer ($d\bar{a}^c iya$) for his sectarian doctrine or not. Some scholars ascribed this view to Shāfiʿī on the basis of his statement, "Accept the testimony of sectarians ($ahl\ al-ahw\bar{a}^2$), except the Shiite Khaṭṭābites³⁵ since they view as licit testifying falsely in favor of those who agree with them."

Some people say that the sectarian's transmission is to be accepted, if he is not a proselytizer; and it is not to be accepted, if he is a proselytizer for his sectarian belief. This is the doctrine of many – or most – scholars. One of the followers of Shāfi'i (God be pleased with him) told of a disagreement among the imām's followers over the acceptance of the transmission of a sectarian, when he does not proselytize for his sectarian doctrine. Shāfi'i said, "If he is a proselytizer, there is no disagreement among them that his transmission is not to be accepted." Abū Hātim b. Hibbān al-Bustī – one of the authorities of hadīth who wrote books – said, "According to our authorities, it is absolutely forbidden to cite the hadīth of a proselytizer for sectarian doctrines. I do not know of any disagreement among them on this point." This third view is the most just and the most preferable. The first is unlikely and far from the one common among the authorities in hadīth. Their books overflow with the transmissions of sectarians who were not proselytizers and there are many of their hadīth in the two Sahīḥs, both as supporting attestations and primary texts.

10. The transmitter who repents from telling lies in the hadith of the people and from other forms of wrongdoing: his transmission is accepted, unless he is repenting from deliberately lying in the hadith of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), in which case his transmission may never be accepted, even if his repentance is sincere. This is in accord with what is repeated from several

³⁴ Al-muta'ammil ma-ghayr al-muta'ammil: The difference seems to be that the first arrived at his false doctrine through a mistaken but "permissible and obvious interpretation" while the second is "in clear opposition to the fundamental principles of the sunna"; Sakhāwī, Fath al-Mughīth, 1:303.

³⁵ The Khatṭābites were an extremist Shiite sect named after Abu 'l-Khaṭṭāb al-Asadī, who led an uprising against the 'Abbāsids in al-Kūfa and was executed around the year 138/755. Little is known about the doctrines of the Khaṭṭābites, although they seem to have continued as a religious movement for some time after the death of the founder; £P, 4:1132-3.

³⁶ Kifāva, 120.

³⁷ For similar sentiments, see Ibn Hibbān, al-Thiqāt (Hyderabad, 1973 ff.), 6:140–1 and Majrūhin, 1:81–4.

scholars, including Ahmad b. Ḥanbal and Abū Bakr al-Ḥumaydī, 38 the teacher of Bukhārī. We found that the authority Abū Bakr [al-Shāfiʿī] al-Ṣayrafī made a general declaration in his commentary on Shāfiʿī's Risāla: "We may never again accept the ḥadīth of any transmitter who has a report we reject because of a falsehood we found to his discredit, even if it appears that he repented. Once we rate someone's transmission as weak, we may not deem it strong later." He mentioned that this is one of the points where testifying in court and transmitting hadīth differ. The authority Abu 'l-Muzaffar al-Samʿānī al-Marwazī said that all of the hadīth which come from someone who lied in even a single report must be rejected. This is similar in sense to what Ṣayrafī said. God knows best.

11. When one reliable transmitter relates a hadith and the [alleged] teacher of the hadith is consulted and he disavows it: the preferred way of viewing the situation is that if the teacher is unambiguous in his disavowal, saying "I did not relate it," "He fathered a lie on me," or something similar to that; the two definite statements [that is, the student's ascription of the hadith to the teacher and the teacher's disavowal] are incompatible and the teacher who denies the hadith is the first-hand source. Thus it is necessary to reject the hadith of the second-hand source. This does not discredit the student in a fashion which necessitates the rejection of the rest of his hadith, because he also calls his teacher a liar in the matter of the disputed hadith. His teacher's discreditation of him is not more worthy of being accepted than his discreditation of his teacher, so they both fall away.

If the teacher from whom the hadith is related says, "I do not know it," "I do not remember it," or something similar to that; it does not necessitate the rejection of the transmission of his student. Among the generality of hadith scholars, legal scholars and speculative theologians, a teacher relating a hadith and then forgetting it does not constitute a reason not to act upon it. This is contrary to the view of some of the Hanafites who hold that the hadith must be rejected because of that.

On this they base their rejection of the hadīth of Sulaymān b. Mūsā³⁹ from Zuhrī from 'Urwa from 'Ā'isha from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him): "When a woman marries without the permission of her guardian, the marriage is invalid ..." That is because Ibn Jurayj said, "I met Zuhrī and I asked him about this hadīth and he did not know it."

³⁸ Abū Bakr Abd Allāh b. al-Zubayr al-Ḥumaydī (d. 219/834) was an important transmitter of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:101-2.

³⁹ Sulaymān b. Mūsā al-Dimashqī (d. 119/737) was a controversial transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:433–7.

Similar to this is the hadīth of Rabī'at al-Ra'y' from Suhayl b. Abī Sāliḥ' from his father' from Abū Hurayra to the effect that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) ruled in a legal dispute on the basis of a single witness and an oath. 'Abd al-'Azīz b. Muḥammad al-Darāwardī' said, "I met Suhayl. When I asked him about the ḥadīth, he did not know it."

The correct view is the one the generality holds, because the person from whom the hadith is related from is subject to carelessness and forgetfulness, while the student is reliable and definite [in his ascription], so his transmission should not be rejected because of the possibility [that the teacher may not have taught the hadith]. For that reason, Suhayl used to say after that occurred, "Rabi'a transmitted to me from me from my father, such and such." Indeed, many of the greatest scholars related a few hadith which they subsequently forgot and which they later transmitted from the students who had originally heard the hadith from them. One of them used to say, "X transmitted to me from me from Y, such and such." The expert al-Khatib collected the instances of this in his book Akhbār man haddatha ma-nasiya (Stories of Those Who Transmitted and Forgot). Because a human being is susceptible to forgetfulness, some scholars – including Shāfi'i (God-be pleased with him) – disliked transmitting from living people. Shāfi'i said to Ibn 'Abd al-Ḥakam, "Beware of relating hadith from living transmitters!" God knows best.

12. Those who take money for transmitting hadīth: in the view of some of the authorities in hadīth, this makes it impossible to accept a scholar's transmission. We heard that Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm — that is, Ibn Rāhawayh — was asked about the transmitter who teaches hadīth for money and he said, "Hadīth are not to be recorded from him." Something similar to that is also related from Ahmad b.

⁴⁰ Rabī'a b. Abī 'Abd al-Raḥmān Farrūkh al-Taymī was an important early jurist in Medina and Iraq; Sezgin, GAS, 1:406-7; EIr, 1:356.

⁴¹ Abū Yazīd Suhayl b. Abī Ṣāliḥ al-Madanī was a prolific second/eighth-century transmitter, although there seem to have been doubts about the authenticity of his ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:458-62.

⁴² The father of Suhayl was Abū Ṣāliḥ Dhakwān b. 'Abd Allāh al-Sammān al-Zayyāt (d. 101/720), who was an important transmitter of hadīth especially famed among his contemporaries for his long beard; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:36-7.

⁴³ Abu Muḥammad 'Abd al-'Aziz b. Muḥammad al-Darāwardī (d. 187/803) was a highly respected Medinese transmitter; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:366–9.

⁴⁴ Until his teacher was dead, the student ran the risk that he would one day disavow some of his hadith. The teacher's denial that he taught a hadith which his student ascribed to him naturally reflected negatively on the student.

⁴⁵ This would appear to be a reference to Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Ḥakam (182/798–268/882), a student of Shāfi' and prominent Egyptian legal expert; Sezgin, GAS, 1:474.

Hanbal and Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī. Abū Nuʿaym al-Faḍl b. Dukayn, Alī b. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz al-Makkī and others were willing to make concessions in regard to taking compensation for teaching hadīth; and that is analogous to the situation regarding taking money for teaching the Qurʾān and the like. However, traditionally teaching hadīth for money has been seen as a defect of character and it reflects badly on whoever does it, unless it is coupled with an excuse which removes the bad credit. An example is the report which the teacher Abu 'l-Muzaffar' transmitted to me from his father – the expert Abū Saʿd al-Samʿānī — in which Abu 'l-Faḍl Muḥammad b. Nāṣir al-Salāmī said that Abu 'l-Ḥusaŷn b. al-Naqqūr accepted money for teaching ḥadīth because the teacher Abū Isḥāq al-Shīrāzī had issued a legal opinion permitting him to do so because the scholars of ḥadīth were keeping him from earning funds to support his dependants. God knows best.

13. The transmission of someone who is known for laxity in hearing or teaching hadith is not to be accepted. Examples are someone who is not bothered about sleeping in the audition sessions or someone who teaches hadith without a sound collated text. Also of this stripe are those who are known for accepting prompting in hadith. Furthermore, the relation of someone who has many anomalous

⁴⁶ Abū Hātim Muḥammad b. Idrīs al-Rāzī (195/811-277/890) was one of the most respected critics of hadīth of the third/ninth century. His pronouncements are preserved in the books of his son Ibn Abī Hātim al-Rāzī and many later works; Sezgin, GAS, 1:153.

⁴⁷ Abū Nu^caym al-Fadl b. Dukayn al-Taymī (130/748-219/834) was an important transmitter of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:101.

⁴⁸ Abu 'l-Hasan 'Ali b. 'Abd al-'Aziz b. al-Marzubān al-Baghawi (ca. 190/805–286/899 or 287) was best known as a student of Abū 'Ubayd al-Qāsim b. Sallām; Sezgin, GAS, 1:161; EIr, 3:407.

⁴⁹ Abu 'l-Muzaffar Fakhr al-Din 'Abd al-Rahim b. Abi Sa'd 'Abd al-Karim b. Abi Bakr Muhammad b. Abi 'l-Muzaffar Manşūr (537/1143–618/1221) was often referred to as "Abu 'l-Muzaffar b. al-Sam'āni" to distinguish him from his great-grandfather Abu 'l-Muzaffar Mansūr. This well-traveled teacher of Ibn al-Şalāh perished during the Mongol invasion; Dhahabi, Siyar, 22:107–9.

⁵⁰ Abū Sa'd 'Abd al-Karīm b. Abī Bakr Muḥammad b. Manşūr (506/1113–562/1166) was the author of a number of extremely important works including al-Ansāb (ed. 'Abd Allāh 'Umar al-Bārūdī, 5 vols, Beirut, 1408/1988), Ādāb al-imlā' wa-'l-istmlā' (ed. Max Weisweiler, Leiden, 1952) and a continuation of al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī's Ta'rīkh Baghdād; EI', 8:1024-5.

⁵¹ Abu 'l-Fadl Muhammad b. Nāṣir al-Salāmī al-Baghdādī (467/1074-550/1155) started out as a Shāfi'ite and Ash'arite, but later became a Hanbalite. One of the most popular transmitters of his day, he taught hadith for free but charged a fee for poetry; Dhahabi, Siyar, 20:265-71.

⁵² Abu 'l-Husayn Ahmad b. Muhammad b. al-Naqqūr (381/991–470/1078) was alone in transmitting several desirable hadith works and therefore was in the position to charge his students for the service; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:372–4.

⁵³ Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm b. 'Alī b. Yūsuf al-Shīrāzī (393/1003-476/1083) came to Baghdad in 415/1024 from his hometown of Fīrūzābād and became one of the most renowned Shāfiste jurists in the city; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:452-64.

⁵⁴ Talqin here refers to the practice ascribed to unscrupulous and usually unnamed students of tricking a teacher who could no longer remember which hadith he once taught — most often because old age had destroyed his memory or because he could no longer consult his notes, since he had either lost them or gone blind — into acknowledging certain hadith as his own, although in actuality he had never heard them before.

and unfamiliar hadīth may not be accepted. It is reported that Shuba said, "Anomalous hadīth come only from anomalous men." The relation of someone who is known for extreme negligence in his transmissions may not be accepted when he does not transmit from a sound text. All of this undermines one's faith in a transmitter and his accuracy.

It is reported that Ibn al-Mubārak, Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, [Abū Bakr] al-Ḥumaydī and others maintained that the transmissions of someone who makes a mistake in a ḥadīth become void and he becomes unfit to have his ḥadīth recorded, if when the mistake is explained to him, he does not recant but instead continues to insist on relating that ḥadīth. There is some doubt about this. The practice is not reprehensible, if it appears that it is merely a case of stubbornness on his part or something similar. God knows best.

14. In these recent times, people have turned away from taking into consideration the totality of the standards for the transmitters and teachers of hadith which we have elucidated. They have not been bound by them in their transmissions, on account of the inability to fulfil them at the level which their predecessors attained in the past. The reason for that is, as we explained in the beginning of this book of ours (see above p. 4), that the aim in using isnāds ultimately goes back to the preservation of the special quality of this Community and vigilance against the interruption of the continuity of the isnāds. So, in regard to the aforementioned standards, let us take into consideration only those appropriate for attaining this aim in its barest form and let it suffice to qualify a teacher that he be a Muslim, adult, of sound mind and not manifestly wrong-doing or stupid. In regard to his accuracy (dabt), let it suffice that one find the documentation that he heard the text (samā'lhī) recorded in the handwriting of an unimpeachable individual and that he relate from a text conforming to the text of his teacher.

The hadith expert and jurist Abū Bakr al-Bayhaqī (God - He is exalted - bless him) said something like this earlier. He said, according to what we heard, that there has been an increase in the type of student who extensively attends the hadith classes of some of the transmitters of his time who do not memorize their hadith, recite them properly from their books or recognize what is recited to them when the original of their own audition is recited to them. He justified this on the basis that the hadith which have been established as sound or which fall between soundness and sickness have been recorded and written down in the comprehensive collections which the authorities in hadith put together. It is not conceivable that any of these hadith escaped the attention of all of these authorities - even if it is possible that some of these hadith escaped the attention of some of the authorities - because of the guarantee of the legislator (sāhib al-shari'a) [that is, the Prophet] that they will be preserved. Bayhaqi said, "So today when someone brings a hadith unknown to all of these authorities, it may not be accepted from him. When someone brings a hadith known to them, then he is not alone in transmitting what he relates and the proof value of his hadith is in actuality based on it being related by the others." The point of his relating the hadith and his hearing it is the continuous transmission of the hadith with 'He transmitted to us' and 'He informed us' and the continuance of this blessing which God specially granted this community as a token of honor to our chosen Prophet (Peace be upon him and his family)." God knows best.

- 15. Concerning the explanation of the terms the practitioners of this discipline employ in personality criticism: Abū Muhammad ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī⁵⁵ ranked them in his book on personality criticism. He did this well and made a good job of it. We will rank them in the same way, giving what he said and adding to it the relevant material we read in other sources, God He is exalted willing. The terminology of accreditation has several levels.
- (a). Ibn Abi Ḥātim said, "If it is said of someone that he is 'reliable' (thiqa) or 'exact' (mutqin), his ḥadīth may be cited as proofs." The same is true if he is called "trustworthy" (thabat) or a "proof' (hujja) and it is also the case if it is said about an upright transmitter ('adl) that he is "retentive" (hāfiz) or "accurate" (dābit). God knows best.
- (b). Ibn Abi Hātim said, "If it is said that a transmitter is 'veracious' (sadūq), 'his station is veracity' (maḥalluhū al-sidq) or 'there is nothing wrong with him' (lā ba'sa bihī), he is someone whose hadīth may be written down and examined. This is the second rank." What he said is correct because these expressions do not imply the stipulation of accuracy. The hadīth of this kind of transmitter are examined and investigated to determine the level of his accuracy. The way to do this was explained in the beginning of this Category. If we cannot make an exhaustive examination [of all of his hadīth] to determine whether he is essentially absolutely accurate yet we desire to adduce one of his hadīth as a proof, we must in the fashion described in Category 15 analyze that very hadīth and see whether it has an original version (aṣl) in the transmission of someone else.

It is well known that 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī, the model in this matter, transmitted a ḥadīth and said, "Abū Khalda⁵⁷ transmitted to us." He was asked, "Was he reliable?" He said, "He was veracious, honest and charitable" – or, in one version: "excellent." The reliable transmitters are Shuba and Sufyān." That is contrary to what came down from Ibn Abī Khaythama. ⁵⁸ He said, "I said to Yaḥyā b. Ma¹īn,

⁵⁵ Ibn Abi Hātim al-Rāzi (240/854-327/938) wrote a number of important works on hadith and is best known for preserving the critical judgements of his father Abū Hātim al-Rāzi and his other mentor Abū Zur a al-Rāzi; Sezgin, GAS, 1:178-9. The following discussion is adapted from Jarh, 1(1):37.

⁵⁶ See the second section.

⁵⁷ Bukhari, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 2(1):147; Ibn Abi Hatim, Jarh, 1(2):327-8.

⁵⁸ Abū Bakr Ahmad b. Zuhayr b. Harb l-Nasā'i (185/801-279/892), known as Ibn Abi Khaythama, was an important scholar with a special interest in hadith. Only a small portion of his famous Ta'rikh seems to have survived; Sezgin, GAS, 1:319-20.

'You say, "There is nothing wrong with X" and "Y is weak." He said, 'When I say to you, "There is nothing wrong with him," then he is reliable. When I say to you, "He is weak," then he is not reliable and his hadith are not recorded." This interpretation of "There is nothing wrong with him" is not related from any other scholar of hadith. Indeed, Yaḥyā b. Maʿīn ascribed it only to himself and it is contrary to what Ibn Abī Ḥātim said. God knows best.

- (c). Ibn Abi Ḥātim said, "If it is said regarding a transmitter, 'teacher' (shaykh), he is in the third rank. His hadīth may be recorded and examined; however, he is inferior to the second."
- (d). Ibn Abī Ḥātim said, "If it is said regarding a transmitter, 'good in ḥadīth' (sāliḥ al-ḥadīth), his ḥadīth may be recorded for analysis (li-'l-i'tibār)." It is reported that Abū Ja'far Aḥmad b. Sinān⁵⁹ said, "Sometimes the ḥadīth of a man who had some weakness but was veracious was brought up and 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī used to say, 'A man good in ḥadīth.'" God knows best.

Their terms of discreditation are also on several levels.

- (a). Their saying "soft in hadīth" (layyin al-hadīth): Ibn Abī Hātim said, "When they reply regarding a man, 'soft in hadīth,' he is one of those whose hadīth may be recorded and examined for the sake of analysis." Hamza b. Yūsuf al-Sahmī⁶⁰ asked the authority Abu 'l-Hasan al-Dāraquṭnī, "When you say 'X is soft,' what do you mean by it?" He said, "He is not 'fallen' (sāqiṭ) and 'abandoned' (matrūk) in hadīth, but he is discredited (majrūḥ) by something which does not cause him to fall out of the state of integrity."
- (b). Ibn Abī Ḥātim said, "When they say, 'He is not strong' (laysa bi-qawī), that person is in the same position as the first in regard to the recording of his hadīth; however, he is inferior."
- (c). Ibn Abī Ḥātim said, "When they say, 'weak in ḥadīth' (da'f al-ḥadīth), that person is inferior to the second. His ḥadīth are not thrown out; rather they are analyzed."
- (d). Ibn Abī Hātim said, "When they say, 'abandoned in hadīth,' 'wasted in hadīth' (dhāhib al-hadīth) or 'liar' (kadhdhāb); the man is 'fallen' in hadīth. His hadīth are not recorded. It is the fourth rank."

Abū Bakr al-Khatīb said, "The highest expressions for the states of transmitters are a 'proof' or 'reliable.' The lowest are 'liar' or 'fallen.' "61

⁵⁹ The hadith of Abū Ja'far Ahmad b. Sinān al-Wāsiṭi al-Qaṭṭān (d. 256/870) were cited by a number of scholars of the next generation; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:244-6.

⁶⁰ Abu 'l-Qāsim Ḥamza b. Yūsuf al-Sahmī (340/951-428/1036) was a student of Dāraquṭnī from Jurjān who preserved many of his technical comments in the work Subālāt Ḥamza b. Yūsuf al-Sahmī li-'l-Dāraquṭnī wa-ghayrihī min al-mashāyikh (ed. Muwaffaq b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Qādir, Riyadh, 1404/1984); Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:469-71.
61 Kifāya. 22.

Abū Bakr b. 'Abd al-Mun'im al-Ṣā'idī al-Furāwi⁶² informed us through recitation to him in Nishapur: Muḥammad b. Ismā'il al-Fārisi⁶³ informed us: the expert Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Bayhaqī informed us: Abu 'l-Ḥusayn b. al-Faḍl⁶⁴ informed us: 'Abd Allāh b. Ja'far⁶⁵ informed us: Ya'qūb b. Sufyān⁶⁶ told us, he said: I heard Aḥmad b. Ṣāliḥ⁶⁷ say, "A transmitter's ḥadīth are not rejected until everyone agrees that they are to be rejected. It may be said, 'X is weak.' However, it may not be said, 'X is abandoned,' unless everyone agrees on rejecting his hadīth."

Some terms employed in this matter which Ibn Abī Ḥātim and others have not explained are "X, people have related from him," "X is middling" (wasat), "X is mediocre in hadīth" (muqārib al-hadīth), "X is disturbed in regard to hadīth" (mudṭarib al-hadīth), "X is not cited as an authority" (la yuhtajju bihi), "X is unknown" (majhūl), "X is nothing" (lā shay²), "X is not approved" (laysa bi-dhāk). Sometimes it is said, "He is not so strong" (laysa bi-dhāka 'l-qawi), "There is a weakness in X" or "in the hadīth of X," – and this expression is less serious in discreditation than saying, "X is weak in hadīth," – and "X, I do not know anything wrong about him." In accrediting, this last expression is inferior to saying, "There is nothing wrong with him." All of these phrases and the others like them have analogues which we have explained or which follow a principle that we have established. We will – God (He is exalted) willing – point them out. God knows best.

⁶² Furāwi (ca. 522/1128-608/1212) was a teacher of Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ who transmitted a number of important books. He had three kunyas, all of which Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ uses in the course of this work; Dhahabi, Siyar, 21:494-6.

⁶³ Abu 'l-Ma'alı Muḥammad b. Ismā'll al-Fārisī (448/1056-539/1144) was a prominent student of Bayhaqī; Dhahabī, Siyar, 20:93.

⁶⁴ Abu 'l-Ḥusayn Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl al-Qaṭṭān (335/947-415/1024) was primarily known for having transmitted Yaʻqūb b. Sufyan's *Taʾrikh* from 'Abd Allāh b. Jaʻfar; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:331-2.

⁶⁵ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. Ja'far b. Durustawayh al-Fārisi (258/872–347/958) was an early grammarian who worked extensively in hadīth; EI², 3:758; Sezgin, GAS, 8:106–8, 9:96–8.

⁶⁶ Abū Yūsuf Yaʿqūb b. Sufyān al-Fasawi (d. 277/890) wrote Kitāb al-Maʿrifa wa-'l-taʾrikh (ed. Akram Diyāʾ al-Umarī, 2nd edn, 3 vols, Beirut, 1401/1981), a historical work with a special emphasis on matters of interest to scholars of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:319.

⁶⁷ Abu Ja'far Ahmad b. Şālih al-Miṣrī (170/787-248/862) was a controversial transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:160-77.

Category 24

THE METHODS OF HEARING AND RECEIVING HADITH, AND THE MANNER OF REGISTERING THESE

(Ma^crifat kayfīyat samā^c al-ḥadīth wa-taḥammulihī wa-ṣifat ḍabṭihī)

Be aware that the ways to convey and receive hadith take various forms. Let us preface our exposition of them with the discussion of a few issues.

- 1. An individual may validly receive hadīth before he possesses the qualifications [necessary to transmit them]. The transmission of someone who received his hadīth before his conversion to Islam and transmitted them afterwards may be accepted. The same is true of the relation of someone who heard hadīth before attaining adulthood and related them afterwards. Some people forbade that and they were wrong to do so, because people accepted the transmission of the young Companions like al-Hasan b. 'Alī,' Ibn 'Abbās, ['Urwa] b. al-Zubayr, al-Nu'mān b. Bashīr² and their fellows without making any distinction between the hadīth they received before reaching adulthood and those they received after. Furthermore, from early times until now people have continued to bring young boys to classes devoted to the transmission and audition of hadīth and they credit their transmission of the hadīth they heard at that age. God knows best.
- 2. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Zubayrī' said, "It is recommended that the student begin to write hadīth at the age of twenty, because that is when the intellect is formed. I like the student younger than that to occupy himself with learning the Qur'ān and his religious duties." It is reported that Sufyān al-Thawrī said, "A man who wants to study hadīth should first serve God twenty years." Mūṣā b. Ishāq⁴ was asked, "How is it that you did not write hadīth from Abū Nuʿaym [al-Faḍl b. Dukayn]?" He said, "The Kūfans did not send their young sons to study hadīth until they completed their twentieth year." Mūṣā b. Hārūn⁵ said, "The Baṣrans

¹ Al-Hasan b. 'Alī (3/625-49/669) was the beloved grandson of the Prophet. His father was the third caliph 'Alī and the Prophet's daughter Fāṭima. He posed a threat to the Umayyad caliph Mu'āwiya until he was persuaded to renounce his claims. He died in Medina; EI' 3:240-43.

² Abū 'Abd Allāh (or Abū Muhammad) al-Nu'mān b. Bashīr b. Sa'd al-Khazrajī was born around the time of the Prophet's emigration to Medina and served as a governor under the Umayyads. He died late in 64/684; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:411-12.

³ Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Zubayr b. Aḥmad b. Sulaymān al-Zubayrī (d. 317/929) was a blind Shāfi'ite who wrote a number of works on legal topics; Sezgin, GAS, 1:495.

⁴ Abū Bakr Mūsā b. Ishāq (ca. 200/815–297/909) was a Shāfiʿite scholar who served as a judge in Nishapur and al-Ahwāz; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:579–81.

⁵ Abū Imrān Mūsā b. Hārūn al-Ḥammāl (214/829–294/907) was considered one of the greatest experts in hadīth of his era; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:116–19.

write hadīth at ten years of age, the Kūfans at twenty and the Syrians at thirty." (God knows best.)

When the preservation of the continuity of the isnād is taken into account, [it becomes clear that] one should take a child to hear hadīth as soon as his audition becomes valid. Children may [later] occupy themselves with writing, acquiring, registering and recording hadīth when they become qualified and ready for that. This varies from person to person and it is not confined to any particular age, as a number of people before us have stated. God knows best.

3. There is some disagreement over the earliest time valid for a child's audition of hadith. We have heard that Mūsā b. Hārūn al-Hammāl - one of the great experts and critics of hadith - was asked, "When should a boy hear hadith?" He said, "When he becomes able to distinguish between a cow and a riding animal" - or according to another relation, "between a cow and a donkey." We heard that Ahmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) was asked, "When does it become permissible for a boy to hear hadith?" He said, "When he can understand and comprehend." He was told that someone had said, "The audition of a boy is not permitted until he reaches fifteen." He rejected that statement and said, "What a bad thing to say!" The teacher Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Abd Allāh al-Asadi⁶ informed me from Abū Muhammad Abd Allāh b. Muhammad al-Ashiri7 that the expert al-Qādī Ivād b. Mūsā al-Sabtī al-Yahsibī8 said, "For the audition of hadith, the experts have set as the youngest age that of Mahmud b. al-Rabī'," and he quoted with Bukhāri's isnād the relation Bukhārī included in his Sahih under the heading "When Does the Audition of a Child Become Valid?"10 from Mahmud b. al-Rabi': "I was cognizant of a jet of water the Prophet (Peace be upon him) spurted in my face from a bucket when I was five years old." In another relation, his age is given as four. The threshold of five is the one on which the practice of the modern scholars of hadith has settled. They write for a boy five and over, "He heard" (sami'a) and for someone who has not yet reached five, "He attended" (hadara) or "He was brought" (uhdira). In this

⁶ Ibn al-Ustādh (534/1139-623/1226) was an Aleppan Shāfisite who heard hadīth from many of the prominent transmitters of the day; Dhahabī, Siyar, 22:303-4.

⁷ Ashiri (d. 561/1166) was a Māljiķite expert in hadīth from North Africa; Dhahabt, Siyar, 20:466-7.

⁸ Al-Qāḍi Iyāḍ (476/1083-544/1149) was one of the most prominent scholars of his era in hadīth and law. His book al-Ilmā' was one of the principal sources Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ consulted in the composition of the Muqaddima; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:455-6, Suppl., 1:630-2; EF, 4:289-90.

⁹ The Companion Abt Muḥammad (or "Abū Nuʿaym") Maḥmūd b. al-Rabi al-Khazraji (d. ca. 99/718) seems to have been best known for his role in the incident described here; Dhahabi, Siyar, 3:519-20.

¹⁰ Kitāb al-Jāmic al-ṣaḥiḥ, 1:31. K. al-Ilm, B. Matā yaṣiḥḥu samāc al-ṣaghīr.

¹¹ Ilmāc, 62-3

matter we should consider the case of each child individually. If we find that the child is more advanced than the state of one who lacks the mental development to understand what is said to him or to give an answer to what is asked of him, and the like, we regard his audition as valid, even if he is younger than five. If this is not the case, we do not regard his audition as valid, even if he is five, or, indeed, fifty.

In fact, we read that Ibrāhīm b. Saʿīd al-Jawharī¹² said, "I saw a boy of four years – and he had been brought to the caliph al-Maʾmūn¹³ – who recited the Qurʾān and looked into the question of the plausibility of human reasoning. Yet, when he became hungry, he still cried." We heard that the judge Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Iṣbahāni¹⁴ said, "I learned the Qurʾān when I was five years old. I was brought to Abū Bakr b. al-Muqri³¹⁵ to hear ḥadīth from him when I was four [sic] and one of those in attendance said, 'Do not credit him with the audition (lā tusammiʿā lahū) of what is recited, for he is too young.' Ibn al-Muqri³ said to me, 'Recite Sūrat al-Kāfirūn,' and I recited it. Then he said, 'Recite Sūrat al-Takwīr,' and I recited it without an error. Ibn al-Muqri³ said, 'Grant him audition. The responsibility is mine.'"

The hadith of Mahmud b. al-Rabi indicates the validity of the audition of a five-year-old like Mahmud and does not indicate the lack of validity for someone younger than five or the validity of it for someone five years old who has not attained the discernment of Mahmud (God be pleased with him). God knows best.

An Exposition of the Types of the Means of Conveying and Receiving Hadith

There are eight types in total.

I "Audition of the speech of the teacher" (al-samā' min lafz al-shaykh): this may be subcategorized into dictation ($iml\bar{a}$) of the hadīth and transmitting the hadīth without dictation. It makes no difference whether the teacher recites from memory or from his book. This means of transmission is the most elevated in the eyes of the masses.

¹² Abū Ishāq Ibrāhim b. Saʿid al-Jawhari al-Baghdādi (d. ca. 250/864) is best known for the musnad ascribed to him; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:149-51.

¹³ Al-Ma'mūn was the son of Hārūn al-Rashīd and the seventh 'Abbāsid caliph. He ruled from 198/813 until 201/817; EP, 6:331-9.

¹⁴ This seems to be a reference to Ibn al-Labbān, a respected scholar who died in Isfahan in 446/1054; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:653-4.

¹⁵ Abū Bakr Muhammad b. Ibrāhim b. 'Alī b. 'Āṣim b. Zādhān b. al-Muqri' (285/898-381/991) was a scholar of hadīth who, it is surprising to note, served as the librarian of al-Ṣāḥib b. 'Abbād; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:398-402.

One of the things we hear from al-Qādī Iyād b. Mūsā al-Sabtī - a wellinformed modern scholar - is the statement: "There is no dispute that in reference to this form of taking up hadith it is permissible for the student who heard the teacher to say, 'He transmitted to us' (haddathanā), 'He informed us' (akhbaranā), 'He told us' (anba'anā), 'I heard X saying' (sami'tu fulānan yaqūlu), 'X said to us' (qāla lanā fulān) and 'X mentioned to us' (dhakara lanā fulān)."16 There is in fact some doubt about this. Because some of these terms have come to be widely used for specifically indicating material not heard from the speech of the teacher - as we will show, God (He is exalted) willing - they should not be applied without qualification to material heard from the speech of the teacher, since they can cause misunderstanding and confusion. (God knows best.) The expert Abū Bakr al-Khatīb said, "For this form of reception, the highest of these expressions is 'I heard,' followed by 'He transmitted to us' and 'He transmitted to me.' One rarely says 'I heard' for a hadith received through licensing or correspondence (aḥādīth al-ijāza wa-'l-mukātaba), or for the misrepresentation (tadlis) of hadith one did not actually hear."17

Actually, some of the scholars of hadīth did use to say, "He transmitted to us," for material licensed to them. It is related that al-Ḥasan¹⁸ used to say, "Abū Hurayra transmitted to us." This is interpreted to mean that Abū Hurayra transmitted to the people of Medina and al-Ḥasan was there at that time. However, he did not personally hear anything from Abū Hurayra. On the other hand, some scholars have asserted that al-Ḥasan did hear hadīth from him. God knows best.¹⁹

The phrase "He informed us" (akhbaranā) comes next. It is used extensively, to the point that a number of scholars — including Ḥammād b. Salama, 'Abd Allāh b. al-Mubārak, Hushaym b. Bashīr, 'Ubayd Allāh b. Mūsā, 'Abd al-Razzāq b. Hammām, Yazīd b. Hārūn,²⁰ 'Amr b. 'Awn,²¹ Yaḥyā b. Yaḥyā al-Tamīmī,²²

¹⁶ Ilmā', 69.

¹⁷ Kifāya, 284.

¹⁸ Abū Sa^ad al-Hasan b. Abi 'l-Hasan Yasar al-Başrı (21/642-110/728) was a renowned preacher and one of the most significant early transmitters of hadith; Sezgin, EI², 3:247-8; Sezgin, GAS, 1:591-4.

¹⁹ Like most of this discussion, this passage seems to have been taken from al-Khaṇb's Kifāya (p. 284) but there it concludes, "This is interpreted to mean that Abū Hurayra transmitted to the people of al-Baṣra and al-Ḥasan was one of them, although he was in Medina at that time. So al-Ḥasan did not hear anything from him and did not use the phrase 'I heard' for any of that."

²⁰ Abū Khālid Yazid b. Hārūn (118/736-206/821) was a Qur'ān commentator from Wāsit; Sezgin, GAS, 1:40.

²¹ Abū Uthmān 'Amr b. 'Awn b. Aws al-Sulamī (d. 225/840) was a well-respected transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:450-1.

²² Abū Zakariyā Yaḥyā b. Yaḥyā b. Bukayr al-Tamimi al-Nisābūri (142/759-226/840) was a prominent expert in hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:512-19.

Ishāq b. Rāhawayh, Abū Mas'ūd Aḥmad b. al-Furāt al-Rāzi,²³ Muḥammad b. Ayyūb al-Rāzi²⁴ and others – almost never reported the ḥadīth they heard from the speech of someone who transmitted to them with anything but the phrase "He informed us." Al-Khatīb stated²⁵ that Muḥammad b. Rāfī²⁶ said, "'Abd al-Razzāq used to say, 'He informed us,' until Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal and Ishāq b. Rāhawayh came and said to him, 'Say, "He transmitted to us." 'So for everything I [viz. Muḥammad b. Rāfī] heard with them, he said, 'He transmitted to us;' while for his earlier transmissions he said, 'He informed us.' "Al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī also stated²⁷ that the expert Muḥammad b. Abi 'l-Fawāris²⁸ said, "Hushaym, Yazīd b. Ḥārūn and 'Abd al-Razzāq say only, 'He informed us.' When you find 'He transmitted to us,' it is an error of the copyist." (God knows best.) All of this was before it became common to restrict "He informed us" to material recited to the teacher.

The terms "He told us" $(anba^{3}an\bar{a})$ and "He communicated to us" $(nabba^{3}an\bar{a})$ – both of which are rarely used [for material heard from the speech of the teacher²⁹] – come after "He informed us."

"He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" are more elevated than "I heard" in another respect. This is that "I heard" contains no indication that the teacher related the hadīth to the student or addressed it to him. "He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" do contain an indication that the teacher addressed the hadīth to the student and related it to him, or that he was among those to whom this was done. The expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb asked his teacher, the jurist and hadīth expert Abū Bakr al-Barqānī (God — He is exalted — bless him), about the secret reason for his saying, "I heard," — rather than "He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" — for the hadīth he related to them from Abu 'l-Qāsim 'Abd Allāh b. Ibrāhīm al-Jurjānī al-Ābandūnī. Darqānī told him that Abu 'l-Qāsim, for all his reliability and righteousness, was restrictive in regard to relating hadīth. Barqānī used to sit where Abu 'l-Qāsim could not see him or detect his presence so that he could hear from him the hadīth he was transmitting to the person inside with him. For that reason,

²³ Abū Mas'ūd Ahmad b. al-Furāt al-Rāzi (ca. 180/796-258/872) was a well-traveled scholar of hadith who settled in Isfahan; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:480-88.

²⁴ Ibn al-Durays (200/816-294/906) was a scholar of hadith who wrote the book Fadā'il al-Qur'ān; Sezgin, GAS, 1:42.

²⁵ Kifāya, 286.

²⁶ Abu 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Rāfi' al-Qurayshi (ca. 170/786-245/860) was an important scholar of hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:214-18.

²⁷ Kifāya, 286.

²⁸ Abu 'l-Fath Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Fāris al-Baghdādī (338/949-412/1022), known as Ibn Abi 'l-Fawāris, was a prominent critic of ḥadīth; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:223-4.

²⁹ See Sakhawi, Fath al-Mughith, 2:20.

³⁰ Abanduni (274/887-368/978) was a prominent transmitter in his day; Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:261-3.

Barqānī says, "I heard," and not "He transmitted to us" or "He informed us," because Abu 'l-Qāsim's intention was to transmit only to the person inside with him.

"X said to us" (qāla lanā fulān) or "X mentioned to us" (dhakara lanā fulān) are like "X transmitted to us." However, they are appropriate for the ḥadīth the transmitter heard from someone in a study session (mudhākara) and are more suitable for this than "He transmitted to us." In the discussion of "suspension" (ta'līq) at the end of Category 11 (see above, p. 45 ff.), we recounted that many of the scholars of ḥadīth use these expressions to designate the hadīth which passed between them in study sessions and competitions (munāzarāt). The most self-effacing of the expressions for this is "X said" or "X mentioned," without saying "to me" or "to us," and the like. As we said above, in the section on the isnād with "from," scholars interpreted these and similar expressions as indicating audition, when it is known in general that the student met the teacher and heard hadīth from him, especially when it is known of the student that he used "X said" only for the hadīth he actually heard from the teacher.

Hajjāj b. Muḥammad al-A'war³² used to relate Ibn Jurayj's books from him, saying for them, "Ibn Jurayj said." People took these books from him and cited his relations as proofs. He was known to have related only what he had heard.

The expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb restricted interpreting the phrase "X said" as indicative of audition solely to those whose custom was known to be similar to this.³³ The well-documented and well-known view is the one we gave above. God knows best.

II "Recitation to the teacher" (al-qirā a 'ala 'l-shaykh) is the second means of taking and receiving hadith. Most of the transmitters of hadith call it "presentation" ('ard) since the reciter "presents" the hadith he recites to the teacher, just as the reciter "presents" the Qur'ān to the Qur'ān instructor. It makes no difference whether you are the reciter or someone else recites while you listen. You may recite from a book or from your memory. The teacher may have memorized the material recited to him or not. In the latter case he or some other reliable individual should hold his text. There is no disagreement that this is a valid form of transmission; that is, with the exception of the views recounted from some of those whose disagreement is not taken into account. God knows best.

There is a disagreement as to whether "recitation to the teacher" is equivalent to "audition of the speech of the teacher" in terms of rank or whether it is inferior

³¹ See above, p. 46.

³² Some considered Abū Muḥammad Ḥajjāj al-Aswar (d. 206/821) to be the best student of Ibn Jurayj; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:447-50.

³³ Kifāya, 289.

or superior. Abū Ḥanīfa, Ibn Abī Dhi'bi' and others are said to have preferred "recitation to the teacher" to "audition of his speech," and that is related from Mālik as well. Mālik and others are also said to have regarded them as equal. It is said that equating them is the doctrine of most of the scholars of the Hejaz and al-Kūfa, the doctrine of Mālik, his followers and his Medinese teachers and the doctrine of Bukhārī and others. The correct view is to give preference to "audition of the speech of the teacher" and to rate "recitation to him" on a second rank. It is said that this is the doctrine of the majority of scholars in the eastern lands. God knows best.

The ways of expressing "recitation to the teacher" when transmitting on the basis of it are on several levels. The best and safest is "I recited to X" – or "It was recited to X while I was listening" – "and he acknowledged it" (qara tu salā fulān aw qurda salā fulān wa-anā asma u fa-aqarra bihī). This is unquestionably valid. The expressions validly applied in unqualified form to "audition of the speech of the teacher" come next, provided that, when they are used in this case, they are qualified by the student saying, "X transmitted to us by means of recitation to him" (haddathanā fulān qirā atan salayhī), "He informed us by means of recitation to him" (akhbaranā qirā atan salayhī) or something similar. In the same way, "He declaimed to us by means of recitation to him" (anshadanā qirā atan salayhī) may be used for verse.

There are several different views about the unqualified application of "He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" to an instance of "recitation to the teacher." Some scholars of hadīth forbid both of them. It is said that this was the doctrine of Ibn al-Mubārak, Yaḥyā b. Yaḥyā al-Tamīmī, Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, Nasā'ī and others.

Some hold the opinion that it is licit. They maintain that "recitation to the teacher" is like "audition of the speech of the teacher" in regard to the permissibility of the unqualified application of "He transmitted to us," "He informed us" and "He told us." It is said that this was the doctrine of most of the Hejazians and Kūfans; the view of Zuhrī, Mālik, Sufyān b. Uyayna, Yahyā b. Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān³⁵ as well as other ancient authorities; and it was the doctrine of Bukhārī – the author of the Ṣaḥiḥ – as well as a number of other transmitters. Some of these also permitted the student to say, "I heard X," for "recitation to the teacher."

A third doctrine differentiates between the two phrases in this regard, forbidding the unqualified application of "He transmitted to us" and permitting the unqualified application of "He informed us." This is the doctrine of Shāfi'a and his followers. It is also transmitted from Muslim – the author of the Saḥiḥ – and many of the scholars of the east. The author of Kitāb al-Inṣāf (The Book of

³⁴ Abu 'l-Ḥārith Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Mughira al-Qurashī (80/699–159/776) was an early scholar famed for his asceticism and piety; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:139–49.

³⁵ Abū Saqd Yahyā b. Saqd al-Qaṭṭān al-Tamimi (120/738-198/813) was one of the greatest critics of hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:175-88.

the Fair View), Muhammad b. al-Hasan al-Tamimi al-Jawhari al-Miṣri,36 said, "This is the doctrine of the countless majority of the scholars of hadith. They made 'He informed us' a symbol occupying the place of the statement, 'I recited it to him: he did not utter it to me.' Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Nasā'ī, among a number of other authorities like him who transmitted hadith to us, was one of those in our time who used to advocate this view."

It is said that the first to make a distinction between these two terms was Ibn Wahbi in Egypt. This is refuted by the fact that this is also said of Ibn Jurayj and Awzā⁶ [who were earlier] - Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb related it about them - unless what is meant is that Ibn Wahb was the first to do this in Egypt. God knows best.

Differentiating between "He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" has become widespread, predominating among the scholars of hadith. The attempt to justify the differentiation from the standpoint of linguistic usage is labored and contrived. The best that may be said for it is that it is a convention among the scholars of hadith who sought by observing it to discriminate between the two means of receiving hadith. The first is specified by the term "He transmitted to us" because of its strong implication of pronunciation and oral communication.

One of the best things that is related about someone who subscribed to this doctrine is what the expert Abū Bakr al-Barqānī related38 to the effect that Abu Hatim Muhammad b. Ya'qub al-Harawi'9 - one of the chief scholars of hadith in Khurāsān - was reciting the Sahih of Bukhārī to a teacher from Farabri and for each hadith he said to his teacher, "Farabri transmitted to you." When he completed the book, he heard his teacher say that he had heard the book from Farabri by means of recitation to him. So Abu Hatim repeated the recitation of the entire book and he said to his teacher for all of it, "Farabri informed you." God knows best.

Subsidiary Issues

(a). When during the recitation to the teacher his text is in the hands of someone else and this person may be relied upon, is attentive to what is recited and is qualified for this: if the teacher knows the material recited to him, it is as if his text were in his own hand, only better because of the cooperation of the mind of two people on it. If the teacher does not know the material recited to him, there

³⁶ I have not succeeded in identifying this individual.

³⁷ Abū Muhammad Abd Allah b. Wahb al-Misrī (125/743-197/812) was a prominent early Egyptian scholar EP 3:963; Sezgin, GAS, 1:466.

³⁸ Al-Khatib al-Baghdādī, Kifāya, 303-4.

³⁹ I have not been able to identify this individual.

⁴⁰ The long-lived Abū Abd Allah Muḥammad b. Yūsuf al-Farabrī (231/845-320/932) was the most prominent transmitter of Bukhart's Sahih of his generation; Dhahabi, Siyar, 15:10-13.

is some disagreement about [the validity of the transmission, when he is not holding his text]. One of the authorities in legal theory held that this form of audition is not valid. The preferred opinion is that it is valid and most of the teachers and scholars of hadith act upon it. When the teacher's text is in the hands of the reciter and he is someone who may be relied upon in regard to his religion and knowledge, the verdict on it is the same; and it is in fact more deserving of being considered valid. When the teacher does not know the material recited to him and his text is in the hands of someone who may not be relied upon to hold it and whose neglect of what is recited may not be guaranteed against, it is the same whether he is the reciter or no, for it is not an audition which may be credited. God knows best.

- (b). When the reciter says to the teacher, "X informed you," or "You said, 'X informed you," or something similar to that while the teacher remains silent, listening attentively to it, comprehending it and not objecting to it; then this behavior is sufficient to [establish the accuracy of the recitation]. Some of the Zāhirites and others have imposed the condition that the teacher must verbally assent to it. The Shāfi'ite jurists Abū Ishāq al-Shīrāzī, Abu 'l-Fath Sulaym al-Rāzī and Abū Naşr b. al-Şabbāgh⁴¹ stated this unequivocally. Abū Naşr said, "The student may not say, 'He transmitted to me' or 'He informed me,' [without his teacher's verbal assent]. He may act in accordance with what was recited to him, and, if he wants to relate it from his teacher, he should say, 'I recited to him' - or 'It was recited to him' - 'while he was listening' (qara'tu 'alayhi aw qurr'a 'alayhi wa-huwa yasma'u)." In contrast, it is related from some writers that one of the Zāhirites stipulated that the teacher must express his assent upon the completion of the audition through the reciter asking him, "Is it as I recited to you?" and his saying yes. The correct view is that this is not necessary. The obvious implications suffice and the silence of the teacher in the aforementioned fashion takes the place of his explicit endorsement of the reciter. This is the doctrine of the majority of the scholars of hadith, jurists and others. God knows best.
- (c). According to what we hear, the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hākim (God bless him) said, "In transmission, the procedure I prefer and the one I saw most of my teachers and the authorities of my era adhering to is for the student to say for the material he took verbally from the speech of the transmitter while he was alone, 'X transmitted to me,' and for the material he took from his speech in the presence of others, 'X transmitted to us.' For the material he personally recited to the transmitter, he should say, 'X informed me,' and for what was recited while he was merely present, 'X informed us.'" We indeed heard something

⁴¹ Abu Naşr Abd al-Sayyid b. Muhammad b. Abd al-Wāhid (400/1009-477/1084), known as Ibn al-Şabbāgh, was, with Abu Ishāq al-Shīrāzi, one of the most prominent Shāfiste scholars in fifth-/eleventh-century Baghdad; Dhahabi, Siyar, 18:464-5.

^{42 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 260.

similar to that from 'Abd Allah b. Wahb, the disciple of Malik (God be pleased with them). ¹³ It is extremely good.

If a transmitter has doubts regarding something in his possession as to whether it falls under the heading of "He transmitted to us" or "He informed us" or under the heading of "He transmitted to me" or "He informed me," because of his uncertainty over whether he was alone or with others at the time of the reception and the audition; it is possible for us to say: Let him say, "He transmitted to me," or "He informed me," because the absence of others is presumed.

However, the authority 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh al-Madīnī stated from his teacher, the authority Yaḥyā b. Sa'īd al-Qaṭṭān, that the transmitter should say, "X transmitted to us," for the material regarding which he is uncertain whether his teacher said, "X transmitted to me" or, "X transmitted to us." This would require that the transmitter himself say, "He transmitted to us," when he is in doubt over his own audition in such a case. In my opinion, this is possible because "He transmitted to me" is more complete in terms of rank and "He transmitted to us" is more defective. So, when the transmitter is in doubt, let him limit himself to the defective form, because the absence of the additional person[s] is presumed. This is a fine point. I found that the expert Aḥmad al-Bayhāqī (God bless him) had chosen the view I presented above [that is, the preference for "me"], after quoting the statement of [Yaḥyā b. Sa'īd] al-Qaṭṭān.

Making this distinction [between "me" and "us"] on the principle of [Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim] is desirable but not mandatory. Al-Khatīb related this view from all of the scholars of ḥadīth. "Thus, it is permissible for the student, when he hears ḥadīth by himself, to say, "He transmitted to us," or something similar, because in the speech of the Arabs that is permissible for a single individual. Furthermore, he is permitted, when he hears ḥadīth as a part of a group, to say, "He transmitted to me," because the teacher did transmit to him as well as transmitting to the others. God knows best.

(d). We heard that Abū 'Abd Allāh Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "Follow the speech of the teacher in regard to his saying, 'He transmitted to us,' 'He transmitted to me,' 'I heard,' and 'He informed us,' and do go beyond it." For the material you find in the books composed of the transmissions of people earlier than you, you may not change the ḥadīth indicated with "He informed us" in that very book to "He transmitted to us," and the like. That is because, if there exists a disagreement over putting one term in the place of the other and there exists a precedent for making a distinction between them, it is possible that the person using one of them is one of those who does not regard them as equivalent. If you were to find an isnād of that description and you knew that its transmitters regarded the terms as equivalent, then your replacing one of them with the other would come under the heading of permitting transmission by paraphrase. This

⁴³ Kifāya, 294.

⁴⁴ Kifāya, 294.

would be true, even if there were a well-known difference [between the terms, observed by others]. We think it best to avoid doing anything along these lines in regard to changing the contents of books and collections of hadith, as we will (God – He is exalted – willing) discuss below.

What Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb said in his Kifāya⁴⁵ about doing that is disputed and in our view it refers to the hadīth a student hears from the speech of a transmitter and not something recorded in a written composition. God knows best.

(e). Scholars disagree over the soundness of the audition of someone who copies at the time of the recitation. It is reported that the authority Ibrāhīm al-Ḥarbī; the expert Abū Aḥmad b. 'Adī; the professor Abū Isḥāq al-Isfarāyīnī — the expert in practical and theoretical law — and others rejected it. We heard that Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. Isḥāq al-Ṣibghī — one of the authorities of the Shāfi ites in Khurāsān — was asked about the student who writes during audition. He said, "He should say, 'I attended' (ḥaḍartu), and not, 'He transmitted to us,' or, 'He informed us.' "It is reported that Mūsā b. Hārūn al-Ḥammāl permitted copying during the audition and that Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī said, "I wrote in 'Ārim's class while he was reciting and I wrote in 'Amr b. Marzūq's class while he was reciting." It is also reported that one text was recited to 'Abd Allāh b. al-Mubārak while he copied another. There is no difference between the copying of the auditor and the copying of the person who validates the audition (musammi').

Making a distinction is superior to these blanket declarations. Our opinion is that the audition is not valid when the copying is such that the student cannot comprehend what is being recited, so that sound which reaches his ears is like background noise. The audition is valid if, while copying, comprehension is not impossible for him, as was the case in what we heard regarding the hadīth expert and scholar Abu 'l-Hasan al-Dāraquṭnī. In his youth, he attended the class of Ismā'īl al-Ṣaffār. 'l He sat copying a volume in his possession while Ismā'īl was

⁴⁵ This may refer to Kifaya, 309-10.

⁴⁶ Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm b. Ishāq b. Bashīr al-Ḥarbī (198/813-280/894) was an expert in hadīth and law who is perhaps best known for having written a book on the rare words appearing in the hadīth (gharīb al-ḥadīth); Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:356-72.

⁴⁷ Abū Aḥmad 'Abd Allāh b. 'Adī al-Jurjānī (277/891-365/976) wrote a famous book on weak ḥadīth transmitters entitled al-Kāmil Fī du'afā' al-rijāl, 7 vols (Beirut, 1404/1984); Sezgin, GAS, 1:198-9.

⁴⁸ Abū Ishāq Ibrāhim b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhim al-Isfarāyini (d. 418/1027) was one of the most prominent Shāfistes of his era; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:353-5.

⁴⁹ Sibghī (258/872-342/953) combined expertise in law and hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:483-9.

^{50 &#}x27;Ārim (ca. 140/757-224/839), whose real name was Abu 'l-Nu'mān Muḥammad b. al-Fadl al-Sadūsī, was a prominent transmitter of hadīth whom Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī studied with in 214/829; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:265-70.

⁵¹ Abu 'Alı Ismā'il b. Muhammad al-Baghdādī al-Şaffār (247/861-341/952) was a transmitter of hadith also known for his knowledge of the Arabic language; Dhahabi, Siyar, 15:440-1.

dictating. One of those in attendance said to him, "Your audition is not valid while you copy." Dāraquṭnī replied, "My comprehension of the dictation is different from yours." Then Dāraquṭnī said to the man, "Do you recall how many ḥadīth the teacher has dictated until now?" He said no. Dāraquṭnī said, "He has dictated eighteen," and the ḥadīth were counted and found to be as he said. Then Dāraquṭnī said, "The first ḥadīth was from X from Y and its text read such and such. The second was from A from B and its text was such and such." He kept giving the isnāds and texts of the ḥadīth in the order they were dictated until he reached the last of them. The people were astonished by him. God knows best.

(f). The distinction which we described in regard to copying applies equally to the cases when the teacher or the auditor is holding a conversation, or the reciter speaks too quickly or murmurs so that some of the words are inaudible, or the auditor is too far from the reciter, and similar cases. It is obvious that in, each of these cases, missing a small amount - a word or two - may be excused. [If this is the case,] it is recommended that the teacher permit all of the auditors to transmit the entire volume or book which they heard, even if the term "audition" (samā') is to be applied to all of it. When he grants his written authorization (khatt) for that book to one of them, he should write: "He heard this book from me and I hereby give him permission to relate it from me," or something like this, just as some of the earlier teachers used to do. One of the things we hear from the Andalusian jurist Abū Muḥammad b. Abī 'Abd Allāh b. 'Aṭṭāb⁵² was that his father53 (God be pleased with both of them) said, "In audition licensing is indispensable, because sometimes the reciter makes mistakes and the teacher ignores it; or the teacher makes mistakes, if he is reciting, and the auditor ignores it. So the portion the student missed is restored to him by the licensing." What we have mentioned is an excellent solution. Indeed, we heard that Şālih b. Ahmad b. Hanbal⁵⁴ (God bless them) said, "I said to my father, 'When the teacher slurs a word - it is known to be such and such and this is not understood from him - do you think that the student should relate the correct wording from him?' He said, 'I hope that there will be no difficulty in his doing that."

On the other hand, we read that Khalaf b. Sālim al-Mukharrimī⁵⁵ said, "I heard Ibn 'Uyayna saying, 'Amr b. Dīnār to us' (nā 'Amr ibn Dīnār), meaning 'Amr b. Dīnār transmitted to us' (ḥaddathanā); however he

⁵² Abu Muḥammad Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abi Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Atṭāb al-Qurṭubi (433/1041-520/1126) was one of the great hadith transmitters of al-Andalus; Dhahabi, Siyar, 19:514-15.

⁵³ Abu 'Abd Allah Muḥammad b. 'Attāb b. Muḥsin (383/994-462/1069) was an expert in a number of disciplines; Dhahabi, Siyar, 18:328-30.

⁵⁴ Abu 'l-Fadl Şāliḥ (203/818–265/878) was one of the two talented sons of the imām Aḥmad b. Hanbal who played a large role in the propagation of his teaching; Sezgin, GAS, 1:510.

⁵⁵ Abū Muḥammad Khalaf b. Sālim al-Mukharrimī al-Baghdādī (d.231/846) was considered to be an expert in hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:148–50.

limited himself to the $n\bar{u}n$ and alif of $haddathan\bar{u}$. When he was told, 'Say, "'Amr transmitted to us,"' he said, 'I will not say it, because I did not hear three letters of his utterance of $haddathan\bar{u}$ – and they were haddatha – on account of the great crowd.'"

The crowds in the classes of many of the greatest transmitters of hadith used to be very large, sometimes reaching thousands and thousands. Repetitors (mustamlūn) conveyed the hadith from the teachers to the crowds so the students actually wrote the hadith down from the teachers through the intermediary of the conveyance of the assistants. More than one of the experts permitted students to relate that material from the dictator [without mentioning the intervening repetitor]. We heard that al-A'mash (God be pleased with him) said, "We were attending the class of Ibrāhīm [al-Nakha'ī] and the teaching circle became very large. Many times he related a hadith and those at a distance from him could not hear it, so they asked one another what he had said. Then they related these hadith [directly from him] as well as those they actually did hear from him." We heard that a man asked Hammad b. Zayd about a similar situation, saying, "Abū Ismā'il, what is your opinion?" He said, "Ask those around you." We also heard that the repetitor Abū Muslim⁵⁶ said to Ibn Uyayna, "Many of the people cannot hear the hadith." He said, "Can you hear them?" He said yes and Ibn Uvayna replied, "Then make them hear them."

Others rejected that. We heard that Khalaf b. Tamīm⁵⁷ said, "I heard about ten thousand hadīth from Sufyān al-Thawrī and I used to ask my companion in class [for the ones I did not hear clearly]. I told that to Zā²ida and he said to me, 'Transmit from these hadīth only what you have preserved with your heart and heard with your own ears.' So I cast them away." We also heard that Abū Nu^caym [al-Fadl b. Dukayn] felt it necessary to transmit from his fellow students the hadīth from Sufyān and al-A^cmash from which he missed a single word or name he had to ask his fellows for, not Chinking that anything else was possible for him.

The first view⁵⁸ represents an extreme lack of rigor. Indeed, we heard that the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Manda al-Iṣbahānī said to one of his students, "X, for you smelling a hadīth is enough for audition!" This statement should either be interpreted [to refer to something else] or be left with the person who said it. I found from the expert 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Sa'īd⁵⁹ from the expert Hamza b. Muhammad⁶⁰

⁵⁶ Bukhari, Tabrikh al-kabir, 3(1):383; Ibn Abi Ḥatim, Jarh, 2(2):317.

⁵⁷ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Khalaf b. Tamīm al-Tamīmī al-Kūfī (d. 213/828) was a well-respected transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:21-3.

⁵⁸ Ibn al-Şalāḥ seems to have in mind here the views of Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿi and Ḥammād b. Zayd, who, it would appear, allowed the student to transmit any amount of material directly from the teacher without mentioning the intermediary whom he had to consult.

⁵⁹ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ghant b. Saʿid al-Azdī al-Miṣrī (332/944-409/1018) appears to have been a very important figure in the history of hadīth scholarship, although none of his surviving works have been published yet; Sezgin, GAS, 1:223-5.

⁶⁰ Abū Muḥammad Hamza b. Muḥammad al-Kinānī (275/888-357/968) was a scholar of ḥadīth born in Egypt; Sezgin, GAS, 1:192-3.

- with his isnād - that 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī said, "Smelling a ḥadīth is enough for you." 'Abd al-Ghanī said, "Ḥamza said to us, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī means that when that person was asked about the beginning of something [that is, a ḥadīth], he recognized it. It does not refer to laxity in audition.'" God knows best.

(g). Audition from someone behind a barrier is valid, if, when he relates the hadith personally, his voice is recognized. It is also valid when the hadith are being recited to him, if his presence behind the barrier is known to one of those being granted the audition by him. For recognizing the teacher's voice or presence, one should be permitted to rely upon the word of someone trustworthy. They used to hear hadith from 'A'isha and the other wives of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) from behind a barrier and they related these hadith from them on the basis of their voice. The expert 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Sa'id cited as proof of the permissibility of this practice the statement of the Prophet (Peace be upon him), "Bilāl will call out at night, so eat and drink until Ibn Umm Maktūm calls out." Abd al-Ghanī also related, with his isnād, that Shu'ba said, "When someone transmits hadīth to you and you do not see his face, do not relate from him. Perhaps it is a devil who has taken his shape, saying, 'He transmitted to us' and 'He informed us.'" God knows best.

(h). When a student hears a hadīth from a teacher and the teacher then says to him, "Do not relate it from me," or "I do not grant you permission to relate it from me;" or he says, "I did not inform you of it" – or "I recant from my informing you of it" – "so do not relate it from me," without his ascribing that to his having made an error in the hadīth, having doubts about it or something like that – but rather he forbids the student to relate from him despite being unequivocal that it is his hadīth: that does not nullify the student's audition or form an obstacle to the student's relating from him. The expert Abū Saʿīd b. 'Alīyak al-Nīsābūrī'

⁶¹ Despite the masculine pronouns, it is a question of women here. They normally transmitted out of the view of their male students.

⁶² Although the interpretation of this report was not disputed, the justification of this interpretation was. Ibn Umm Maktūm – the other elements of his name are variously given, see Dhahabī, Siyar, 1:360-5 – and Bilāl shared the duty of calling the Muslim Community to prayer during the lifetime of the Prophet. The text refers to the fast of the month of Ramaḍan when Muslims are permitted to eat and drink only between the evening and the dawn prayer. Suyūṭi (Tadrib al-rāwi, 2:28) pointed to the fact that people who could not see Ibn Umm Maktūm were obliged to act upon his call to prayer. Noting that Ibn Umm Maktūm had lost his sight as a child, Ibn Ḥajar (Nukat, 2:879) argued that he was therefore obliged to rely on the voice of a person whom he could not see to learn of the advent of daylight.

⁶³ As Sakhāwi points out, it would have made slightly better sense if Shuba had said "voice" (sawt) here rather than "shape" (sūra); Fath al-Mughith, 2:52.

⁶⁴ Abū Sa^qd (or Abū Sa^qd) 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Ḥusayn (or al-Ḥasan) b. 'Aliyak al-Nīsābūri was a ḥadīth collector who died in 431/1039 at an advanced age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:509.

asked the professor Abū Ishāq al-Isfarāyīnī (God bless both of them) about the transmitter who intends his audition for a particular group and someone else comes and hears hadīth from him without his knowledge of it: "Is it permissible for that unintended student to transmit the hadīth from him?" He answered that it is permissible and that even if the transmitter had said, "I am informing you and I am not informing X," it would not harm X. God knows best.

III Licensing (ijāza) is the third means of conveying and receiving hadīth. It takes several forms.

(a). Licensing a specific text to a specific person: for instance, the teacher says, "I hereby license book X" – or "the contents of this book list of mine (fihristi⁶⁵)" – "to you." This is the highest form of licensing lacking the transference of the text (munāwala). Some claim that there is no dispute regarding the permissibility of this form of licensing and that the Zāhirites did not dispute it; rather their dispute was over some other type of transmission. The judge Abu 'l-Walīd al-Bājī al-Mālikī⁶⁶ went further and categorically denied the existence of any dispute. He said, "There is no dispute regarding the permissibility of transmitting by license among the forebears of this Community or their successors," and he asserted that there was total unanimity on this. He then mentioned the dispute over whether one could act upon the material transmitted this way. God knows best.

This is false. Large numbers of hadīth scholars, jurists and legal theorists have indeed held contradictory opinions over the permissibility of transmitting by license. The view which holds licensing as invalid is represented in one of the two transmissions from Shāfi'i (God be pleased with him) on that topic. It was related that his disciple al-Rabī' b. Sulaymān' said, "Shāfi'i did not use to allow licensing for hadīth, and I disagree with Shāfi'i on that." A number of other Shāfi'ites, including the judges Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad al-Marwarūdhi and Abu 'l-Ḥasan al-Māwardī, regarded licensing as invalid. Māwardī stated as much unequivocally in his al-Hāmī and traced it back to the doctrine of Shāfi'i.

⁶⁵ Fihrist, fahras, thabat and barnāmaj were names applied to the works listing the books a scholar was permitted to transmit and the teachers from whom he received them; EI², 2:743-4, s.v. Fahrasa.

⁶⁶ Sulayman b. Khalaf b. Sa'd (403/1013-474/1081) was a renowned Andalusian scholar who wrote works in a number of disciplines, including hadith and law; Dhahabi, Siyar, 18:535-45.

⁶⁷ Al-Qādī Tyād, Ilmāc, 89.

⁶⁸ Abū Muḥammad al-Rabī b. Sulaymān al-Murādī (ca. 174/790-270/884) was one of the pupils of Shāfi most responsible for preserving his teachings; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:587-91.

⁶⁹ Abū 'Alī Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad al-Marwarūdhī (d. 462/1069) was one of the great Shāfi'ite scholars of Khurāsān; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:260-2.

⁷⁰ The career of Abu 'l-Hasan 'Alı b. Muhammad b. Habib al-Māwardī (364/974-450/1058) reached its apogee when he was appointed the chief judge of Baghdad. Among his works on Shāfi'ite law is Kitāb al-Aḥkām al-sulṭāniya, a seminal work on public law; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:64-7.

Both of them said, "If licensing were licit, traveling to collect hadith would become pointless." This expression is also related from Shuba and others. The authority Ibrāhīm b. Ishāq al-Ḥarbī, Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Iṣbahānī' – nicknamed "Abu 'l-Shaykh" – and the expert Abū Naṣr al-Wā'ilī al-Sijzī were among the scholars of hadīth who regarded licensing as invalid. Abū Naṣr related declarations of its incorrectness from a number of those he met, saying "I heard a number of scholars saying, 'The implication of a transmitter saying, "I hereby license you to relate from me," is I hereby license you to do something which is not allowed by the law, because the law does not permit the transmission of material which was not heard.'" Similar to this is what Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Thābit al-Khujandī'² – one of the Shāfi'ites who regarded licensing as invalid – related from Abū Tāhir al-Dabbās'³ – one of the authorities of the Ḥanafites. He said, "When someone says to someone else, 'I hereby license you to relate from me what you did not hear,' it is like his saying, 'I hereby license you to ascribe lies to me.'"

The established practice and the doctrine of large numbers of scholars of hadith and others are in favor of permitting licensing and allowing transmission on the basis of it. However, there is some obscurity in the argument made for this. We may say: when the teacher licenses the student to relate his transmissions from him, he has communicated them to him collectively (jumlatan), and it is the same as if he had communicated them to him word by word (tafsīlan). As in the case discussed above regarding "recitation to the teacher," the validity of the teacher's communicating his transmissions to his students does not rest upon his explicit verbal declaration. Rather, the aim is for the teacher to make the student understand [that this is the material he transmits and for the student to comprehend this; and that occurs through the act of licensing which creates this understanding. God knows best.

Just as relation on the basis of licensing is permitted, acting upon the material transmitted that way is necessary. This is in conflict with the doctrine of those Zahirites and the others who have followed them who held that it is not necessary to act upon it, and that a hadith transmitted this way is the same as a loose hadith (mursal). This is incorrect, because there is nothing in the act of licensing that impairs the cohesiveness or trustworthiness of the material transmitted in this way. God knows best.

⁷¹ Abu 'l-Shaykh (274/887–369/979) was one of the greatest scholars of hadith of the fourth/tenth century; Sezgin, GAS, 1:200–1.

⁷² Abū Bakr Muhammad b. Abd al-Latif b. Muhammad b. Thābit al-Khujandi taught in the Nizāmiya in Baghdad and died in a village near Hamadhān in 552/1157; Dhahabi, Siyar, 20:386-7.

⁷³ Abū Tāhir Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Sufyān al-Dabbās (d. ca. 340/951) was one of the most prominent Ḥanafites in Iraq; Laknawi, Fawāid, 187.

⁷⁴ See p. 103.

⁷⁵ See Sakhāwī, Fath al-Mughith, 2:64.

- (b). Licensing an unspecified text to a specific person: for instance, the teacher says, "I hereby license everything I heard"— or "everything I relate"— "to you," or something similar. The dispute over this type of licensing is stronger and more common. The majority of scholars, including transmitters of hadīth, jurists and others, permit transmitting by this means as well as the first and also deem it necessary to act on the material related in this way in accordance with its stipulation." God knows best.
- (c). Licensing an unspecified person under a general designation: for instance, the teacher says, "I hereby license the Muslims," or "I hereby license everyone," or "I hereby license my contemporaries," or something similar. This is a form which the modern proponents of permitting licensing in principle discussed and the permissibility of which they disputed. If it is coupled with a restrictive designation or the like, it is more likely to be permitted. The expert Abū Bakr al-Khatib was one of those who permitted every form of it." We heard that the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Manda said, "I hereby license everyone who says, 'There is no God but God.'" According to what al-Khatib related, the judge Abu 'l-Tayvib al-Tabari'8 - one of the expert jurists - permitted the licensing of all Muslims alive at the time the act of licensing takes place.79 Abū Muhammad b. Saqdo - one of the greatest of the teachers of al-Andalus - licensed every student of hadith who entered Cordova. A number of scholars, including Abū Abd Allāh b. Attab (God be pleased with them), concurred with him in permitting that. Someone who asked Abū Bakr al-Hāzimī⁸¹ about this form of global licensing (al-ijāza al-cāmma) told me that one of things he said in reply was that the experts of his time, like the expert Abu 'l-'Ala 182 and others, leaned towards permitting it. (God knows best.) We have not seen nor heard of anyone who is

⁷⁶ Bi-sharṭihī: It is difficult to determine what this phrase refers to. Nawawī omits it in his abridgement and most commentators ignore it. Sakhāwī suggests that it is "the stipulation of licensing" (sharṭ al-jiāza) to be discussed below; Sakhāwī, Fath al-Mughith, 2:66 (and repeated in Zakarīyā al-Anṣārī, Fath al-Bāqī, 2:64). This would appear to be the stipulation — which Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ rejects (see below, p. 117) — that licensing is valid only when "the licensor is knowledgeable regarding what he is licensing and the licensee is a scholar."

⁷⁷ Al-Ijāza li-'l-ma'dūm wa-'l-majhūl in Subḥī al-Badrī al-Sāmarrā'ī, Majmū'āt rasā'il fi 'ulūm al-ḥadīth (Medina, 1389/1969), 80.

⁷⁸ Abu 'l-Ţayyib Ṭāhir b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ṭabarī (348/959-450/1058) was an important Shāfifte jurist; EP, 10:15-16; Sezgin, GAS, 1:502; EIr, 1:390.

⁷⁹ Ijāza, 80.

⁸⁰ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. Saʿīd al-Shantajālī al-Qurtubī was a respected transmitter of hadīth who spent a number of years in Mecca. He died in Cordova in 436/1045; Ibn Bashkuwāl, Kitāb al-Şila, 2 vols (Cairo, 1966), 1:271–3.

⁸¹ Abū Bakr Muhammad b. Mūsā b. Uthmān al-Hāzimi (548/1153-581/1185) was a Shāfisite who settled in Baghdad. He specialized in hadīth and his most famous works may be Kitāb al-Nāsikh wa-'l-mansūkh and Shurūṭ al-a'imma; Dhahabī, Siyar, 21:167-72.

⁸² Abu 'l-'Ala' al-Hasan b. Ahmad b. al-Hasan al-Hamadhānī (488/1095-569/1173) was an expert in hadīth and in several other religious sciences; Dhahabī, Siyar, 21:40-7.

worthy of emulation actually using this form of licensing and relating by it, not even the small group of late-comers who viewed it as valid. Licensing in principle is a weakness. By this extension and relaxation, it becomes a much greater weakness which should not be tolerated. God knows best.

(d). Licensing an unknowable person (majhūl) or an unknowable text, and the question of an act of licensing bearing a condition, are appended to this discussion: for instance, the teacher says, "I hereby license Muhammad b. Khālid al-Dimashqī," and at that time there are a number of people who share this name (ism) and lineage (nasab) and the one being licensed is not specified. Or the teacher says, "I hereby license X to relate from me Kitāb al-Sunan (The Book of Sunnas)," and he transmits a number of books of sunnas known by this title and he does not specify which is meant. This is a defective and worthless form of licensing.

This is not the same as the case when someone licenses a number of people whose name and lineage are specified, while he is ignorant of their identity and is not acquainted with them. That does not impair the validity of the licensing, just as a teacher not knowing a student who attended his class does not affect the validity of that student's audition. (God knows best.) Even if the teacher licenses those whose names are designated in a letter requesting licensing (istijāza) and he is ignorant of their identity and their lineage, not even knowing how many of them there are and without having scrutinized their names one by one; the licensing should also be considered valid. This is analogous to the validity of the audition of those who attended a teacher's class to hear hadīth from him, even if the teacher does not know them at all, does not know how many of them there are and does not scrutinize their persons one by one.

When the teacher says, "I hereby license whomever X wants," or the like, it contains unknowability (jahāla) [in regard to the identity of the individuals being licensed] and the stipulation of a condition. So the presumption is that it is not valid. The judge Abu 'l-Ţayyib al-Ṭabarī al-Shāfiʿi gave a legal opinion to that effect, when the expert al-Khatīb asked him about this. ⁸³ He reasoned that it is licensing an unknowable person and it is as if he had said, "I hereby license some people," without any specification. Sometimes it is argued that it is invalid because it also bears a condition. Whatever is vitiated by unknowability is also vitiated by the stipulation of a condition, according to what is known to be the opinion of some people. [The Shāfiʿite] al-Khatīb related that Abū Yaʿi āb. al-Farrā' al-Ḥanbalī and Abu 'l-Fadl b. Umrūs al-Mālikī permitted this form of licensing

⁸³ Ijāza, 81-2.

⁸⁴ Abn Yaqa b. Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad al-Farrā (380/990-458/1066) was a very prominent Ḥanbalite legal scholar in Baghdad; Dhahabi, Siyar, 18:89-91.

⁸⁵ Abu 'l-Fadl Muhammad b. 'Ubayd Allah b. 'Umrus al-Maliki (372/983-452/1060) was one of the leading Malikite scholars in Baghdad; Dhahabi, Siyar, 18:73-4.

and these three were leaders of their respective schools at that time in Baghdad. The unknowability in this form of licensing arises in the second part of the clause due to the presence of volition, in contrast to unknowability occurring in the case when the teacher licenses "some people." When the teacher says, "I hereby license whoever wants it," it is the same as if he had said, "I hereby license whomever X wants." (God knows best.) Rather, the former contains more unknowability and is more diffuse from the standpoint that – in contrast to the latter – it is conditional on the volition of innumerable people. This is also true in the case where the teacher licenses whoever wants to be licensed by him.

If the teacher licenses whoever desires to transmit from him, this is closer to being permissible because the delegation of transmission by the license to the volition of the licensee is a necessary component of every act of licensing. Despite the fact that this formula is in the form of a stipulation, it is merely a clarification of what is necessary to carry out the transmission and a description of the circumstance, and in reality there is no imposition of a condition.

For this reason, some Shāfi'ite authorities permit the seller to say in a commercial transaction, "I hereby sell you this for such-and-such price, if you desire," with the purchaser saying, "I hereby accept."

The following was found in the handwriting of the expert Abu 'l-Fath Muhammad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Azdī al-Mawṣilī: "I hereby license the transmission of that to everyone who wants to transmit it from me." When the teacher says, "I hereby license such and such to X, if he desires to transmit it from me," or "to you, if you desire," or "you like," or "you want;" the most obvious and strongest view is that this is permissible, since the element of unknowability and the reality of the imposition of a stipulation have disappeared and only their form remains. Knowledge belongs to God (He is exalted).

(e). Licensing a yet-to-be-born person (ma'dūm), and let us discuss along with this the licensing of young children: this is a type of licensing which some modern scholars have discussed extensively and they have disagreed over its permissibility. An example of it is when you say, "I hereby license whoever will be born to X." If he links the yet-to-be-born person to a living person (mamjūd) by saying, "I hereby license X and whoever will be born to him," or "I hereby license you, your sons and your descendants for as long as they continue to reproduce;" it is closer to being permitted than the first example. For a similar reason, the Shāfi'ites permit the second kind and not the first in the establishment of a charitable trust (maqf). The Mālikites and Ḥanafites — or at least some of them — permit both kinds in a charitable trust. Abū Bakr b. Abī Dāwūd al-Sijistānī

⁸⁶ Ijāza, 82.

⁸⁷ Abu 'l-Fath Muhammad b. al-Husayn al-Azdı al-Mawşili (d. 374/985) wrote works on a number of aspects of the study of hadith; Sezgin, GAS, 1:199-200.

was one of the early hadīth scholars who performed this second kind of licensing. We heard that he was asked for licensing and said, "I hereby license you, your children and the offspring of the offspring," meaning those who had not yet been born.

The expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb deemed it permissible to license a yet-to-be-born individual in the first instance without any link to a living person.⁸⁸ He said that he heard Abū YaЧā b. al-Farrā' al-Ḥanbalī and Abu 'l-Faḍl b. 'Umrūs al-Mālikī permitting that.⁸⁹

The jurist Abū Naṣr b. al-Ṣabbāgh also related the permissibility of that. He said, "Some people are of the opinion that it is permissible to license someone not yet created. This is the opinion only of those who believe that licensing is the granting of permission (*idhin*) to transmit and not an instance of face-to-face communication (*muḥādatha*)."

Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī then explained the argument for invalidating this kind of licensing, and this was the view his teacher, the judge and authority Abu 'l-Tayyib al-Tabarī, settled on. That is the correct view and no other is appropriate, because licensing falls under the heading of communicating the licensed material collectively, as we said above in the discussion of the validity of the general principle of licensing. Just as communicating information to a yet-to-be-born person cannot be valid, licensing a yet-to-be-born person cannot be valid. If we had judged licensing to be granting permission, that would still not be valid for a yet-to-be-born person, just as granting permission in regard to the deputation of a yet-to-be-born person is not valid, because the yet-to-be-born person is in a state in which the act permitted was not valid from him.

This also necessarily renders void the licensing of a young child whose audition is not valid. Al-Khaṭīb said, "I asked the judge Abu 'l-Ṭayyib al-Ṭabarī about licensing a small child: 'Is the child's age or his capacity for discrimination taken into consideration for determining its validity in the way they are taken into consideration in determining the validity of his audition?' He said, 'They are not taken into consideration.' I then said to him, 'One of our colleagues said, "Licensing is not valid for those whose audition is not valid."' He said, 'Sometimes it is valid for the teacher to license someone who is absent while that person's audition would not be valid.'" Al-Khaṭīb cited as evidence for the validity of licensing a child the fact that licensing is only the licensor allowing the licensee to transmit from him, and it is valid to allow someone who has reached the age of reason and someone who has not. He said, "In accordance with this, we saw all of our teachers licensing children who were absent, without inquiring about their age and level of discrimination. We never saw them under any circumstance license

⁸⁸ Ijāza, 81.

⁸⁹ Ijāza, 81.

⁹⁰ Ijāza, 80-81.

⁹¹ Kifāya, 325.

anyone who was not yet born." It seems that they regarded a child fit for this way of receiving hadīth so that he could validly transmit on the basis of it after he had attained the qualifications necessary for transmitting. This arose from their desire to expand the means of perpetuating the isnād — which has been granted to this Community alone — and to bring the child closer to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). God knows best.

(f). Licensing something the licensor has not yet heard or received at all to a licensee to relate when the licensor afterward receives it: someone who reported from the judge Tyād b. Mūsā – one of the illustrious figures of his age in North Africa – informed me that he said, "I have not seen any of the teachers of old speak about this and I saw some of the modern scholars and our contemporaries doing it. It is said that Abu 'l-Walid Yūnus b. Mughīth" – the judge of Cordova – was asked to license all of the material he had related up to that date and all of what he would later relate, and he refused. The person who asked him became angry and one of his colleagues said to him, 'He should give you something he has not taken? That is impossible!'" Tyād said, "This is the correct view."

This view can be supported whether licensing is judged to be communicating the licensed material collectively or to be granting permission. If licensing is considered to be communication, this kind of licensing is not valid, because how can a person communicate something he has no information about? If licensing is considered to be granting permission, the invalidation rests upon the dispute over the validation of granting permission in deputation for something that the person giving the permission – the deputizer – does not yet possess. An instance of this would be someone appointing an agent to sell a slave which he intends to buy. Indeed, some Shāfi'ites permitted that. The correct view is that this kind of licensing is invalid. So, it is incumbent on whoever wants to transmit by license from a teacher who has granted him license for, for instance, all of what he has heard to undertake an investigation in order to ascertain that the material he wants to transmit from him is something which the teacher heard before the date of the licensing.

When the teacher says, "I hereby license to you everything I heard which seems valid to you and will seem valid to you" (ajaztu laka mā ṣahha wa-yaṣiḥhu 'indaka min masmū'āti), it is not like the above case. Indeed, Dāraquṭnī and others did do this. It is permissible for the student to transmit from his teacher by virtue of that formula whatever after the granting of the license becomes established in his mind as being something the teacher heard before the licensing. That is permitted, even if the teacher

⁹² Kifāya, 326.

⁹³ Abu 'l-Walid Yūnus b. Mughīth al-Qurtubī (338/949-429/1038) held a number of positions of religious authority in Muslim Spain; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:569-70.

⁹⁴ Ilmā^c, 106.

says only, "everything which seems valid to you," without, "and will seem valid." This is because what is meant is, "I hereby license you to transmit from me everything which seems valid to you," and the point to be taken into consideration in that case is the validity of that material in the student's mind at the time he relates it. God knows best.

(g). Licensing previously licensed material: For instance, the teacher says, "I hereby license you the materials licensed to me," or, "I hereby license you to transmit everything I was licensed to transmit." Some modern scholars whose views are not taken into consideration have forbidden this. The correct view - and the one which is followed in practice - is that it is permissible. It is not comparable to the forbidden practice of deputizing an agent without the permission of the original deputizer. I read that the North African expert Abū 'Amr al-Safāqusī's said, "I heard the expert Abū Nu'aym al-Işbahānī's say, 'Licensing on the basis of licensing is effective and permissible.'" The expert al-Khatib related that the hadith expert and authority Abu 'l-Hasan al-Dāraquṭni, the expert Abu 'l-'Abbās - known as Ibn 'Uqda al-Kūfī97 - and others permitted it.98 The jurist and ascetic Nașr b. Ibrāhīm al-Maqdisi99 used to transmit by licensing from licensing, sometimes to the extent of putting together three consecutive licensings in his transmission.

The student who transmits previously licensed material on the basis of a license should study the particulars and dictates of the licensing granted by the teacher of his teacher in order that he does not transmit by it something that does not properly come under it. When, for example, the licensing of the teacher's teacher takes the form, "I hereby license to him everything I heard which seems valid to him," and the student has seen some of the material heard by the teacher of his teacher, he may not transmit this material from his own teacher from his teacher until it becomes clear that it is something which in the view of his teacher belonged to the material heard by the teacher who licensed him. The mere fact that the material now seems valid to the student is not enough to fulfill the words

⁹⁵ Abū Amr Uthmān b. Abī Bakr b. Ḥamūd al-Safāqusī was a fifth-/eleventh-century ḥadīth scholar who traveled extensively in the East and Andalusia before returning to North Africa; Humaydi, Jadhwat al-muqtabis st dhikr wulāt al-Andalus (Cairo, 1966), 303-4; Ibn Bashkuwal, Sila, 2:408-11.

⁹⁶ Abu Nu'aym Ahmad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Işbahāni (336/947-430/1038) was one of the great scholars of hadith. He is best known for his biographical dictionary of the scholars of Isfahan, Dhikr akhbār Isbahān (ed. S. Dedering, 2 vols, Leiden, 1931), and his work on the most prominent Sufis, Hilyat al-awliyā wa-ţabaqāt al-asfiyā (Cairo, 1351/1932-1357/1938); EI², 1:142-3; EIr, 1:354-5.

⁹⁷ Abu 'l-'Abbās Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Saʿīd b. 'Uqda al-Kūfī (249/863-333/944) was a hadith expert famous for his Shiite leanings; Sezgin, GAS, 1:182.

⁹⁸ Kifāya, 349-50.

⁹⁹ Nașr b. Ibrāhīm al-Maqdisi al-Nābulusi (before 410/1019-490/1096) was a very prominent Shāfifite who ended his career in Damascus; Dhahabī, Siyar, 19:136-43.

and stipulation of his teacher's teacher. The blunders of those who do not understand this and similar matters will be many. God knows best.

These are the types of licensing which require explanation, and other types can be derived from them. Those who study the matter will be able to figure out how the other types should be dealt with on the basis of what we have dictated, God (He is exalted) willing. We will now draw attention to some other matters.

- 1. We heard that the author and litterateur Abu 'l-Ḥasan Aḥmad b. Fāris¹⁰⁰ (God bless him) said, "The meaning of 'licensing' (ijāza) in the speech of the early Arabs is derived from 'the giving of a quantity of water' (jawāz al-mā') whereby the livestock and crops in someone's possession may be watered. It is said, 'I asked X to give me a quantity of water (istajaztu) and he gave me a quantity of water (ajāzanī),' when he gives you water for your land and your livestock. In the same fashion, the student asks the scholar 'to give him a drink' of his knowledge and he 'gives him a drink' of it." In accordance with this interpretation, the licensor should say, "I hereby license X everything I heard" or "everything I relate" (ajaztu fulānan masmū^cātī aw marwīyāti), making it transitive, without any preposition, there being no need to mention the phrase "the transmission of" or anything similar. Those who equate "licensing" with allowing, granting permission or authorizing need to do that; and that is recognized. The licensor should then say, for instance, "I hereby license to X the transmission of everything I heard" (ajaztu li-fulānin riwāyata masmū'āti). Some advocates of this view nevertheless say, "I hereby license to him everything I heard" (ajaztu lahū masmū^cātī). This is a form of ellipsis the like of which is not obscure. God knows best.
- 2. Licensing is recommended only when the licensor is knowledgeable regarding what he is licensing and the licensee is a scholar, because licensing is a facilitation and a concession suitable for scholars because of the pressing need for it. Some of them have gone too far in this regard and made this recommendation a stipulation (shart) for licensing. Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Walīd b. Bakr al-Mālikī¹⁰¹ related such a view from Mālik (God be pleased with him). The expert Abū 'Umar [b. 'Abd al-Barr] said, "The correct view is that licensing is only permitted for the person skilled in the craft and for something specific, without problems in its isnād." God knows best.
- 3. When the licensor writes his license, he should pronounce it out loud. If he merely writes it, it is still a permissible form of licensing when it is coupled with the intention of licensing. However, it is inferior in status to the license

¹⁰⁰ Ibn Faris (d. 395/1005) was the author of numerous works on the Arabic language; Sezgin, GAS, 7:360-1, 8:209-14, 9:194.

¹⁰¹ Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Walid b. Bakr b. Makhlad al-Māliki (d. 392/1002) was a well-traveled expert in hadīth and the Arabic language; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:65-7.

¹⁰² Jāmi' bayān al-'silm wa-fadlihi, ed. 'Abd al-Karīm al-Khaṭīb (Cairo, 1975), 480.

spoken out loud. Reckoning that kind of licensing as sound is not far-fetched, because merely writing the license belongs to the same class of transmission as "recitation to the teacher" which – although the teacher does not pronounce the material recited to him – has been made tantamount to his communicating to the student the material recited to him, as was explained above. God knows best.

IV Transference (munāwala) is the fourth means of receiving and taking up hadīth. It has two forms.

(a). Transference coupled with licensing: this is the absolutely highest kind of licensing. It takes several forms. One of them is the teacher handing the student the original text of his audition or a copy collated against it, saying, "This is my audition" – or "my transmission" – "from X. Transmit it from me" – or "I hereby license you to relate it from me," and then placing it in his possession; or the teacher saying, "Take it, copy it, collate your copy against it and then return it to me," or something similar.

Another form is the student bringing the teacher a book or personal collection

of his hadith and presenting it to him. The teacher – assuming he is cognizant and alert – examines it and returns it to him, saying to him, "I have read what is in this and they are my hadith from X" – or "my transmission from my teachers is in it" – "so transmit it from me" – or "I hereby license you to transmit it from me." Several of the authorities in hadith have called this "presentation" ('ard). In our earlier discussion of "recitation to the teacher," we said that it is also called "presentation." So let us call that "presentation by recitation" ('ard al-qirā'a) and let us call this "presentation by transference" ('ard al-munāwala). God knows best.

This transference coupled with licensing occupies the place of audition in the opinion of Malik and a number of the authorities in hadith.

The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī related from many of the early scholars that the aforementioned "presentation by transference" is equal to audition. This applies as well to similar forms of transference coupled with licensing. Among those from whom al-Ḥākim related this view were a number of Medinese, including Ibn Shihāb al-Zuhrī, Rabī'at al-Ra'y, Yaḥyā b. Sa'īd al-Anṣārī and the imām Mālik b. Anas; some Meccans, including Mujāhid, Abu 'l-Zubayr [al-Makkī] and Ibn 'Uyayna; some Kūfans, including 'Alqama [b. Qays] al-Nakha'ī, Ibrāhīm al-Nakha'ī and Sha'bī; a group of Baṣrans, including Qatāda, Abu 'l-ʿĀlīya¹⁰³ and Abu 'l-Mutawakkil al-Nājī; 104 a number of Egyptians, including Ibn

¹⁰³ Abu 'l-'Aliya Rufay' b. Mihran al-Riyahi converted to Islam in the caliphate of Abu Bakr and became one of the great experts in the proper recitation of the Qur'an. The sources date his death variously between 90/709 and 106/724; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:207-13.

¹⁰⁴ Abu 'l-Mutawakkil 'Alı b. Dawud al-Najı was an obscure transmitter who died in 102/720; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:8-9.

Wahb, Ibn al-Qāsim¹⁰⁵ and Ashhab;¹⁰⁶ and others in Syria and Khurāsān. Al-Ḥākim also saw that a number of his own teachers subscribed to that view.¹⁰⁷ There is some confusion in al-Ḥākim's discussion because he has in some cases confounded remarks regarding "presentation by recitation" with comments on "presentation by transference" and treated them the same.

The correct view is that "transference by presentation" cannot take the place of audition, and that it is inferior in status to verbal transmission and communication through recitation. Indeed, al-Ḥākim himself said about this kind of presentation, "The jurists of Islam who gave opinions over the permissible and the forbidden did not regard it as equal to audition. Shāfiʿi, Awzāʿi, Buwayṭi, 108 Muzant, 109 Abū Ḥanīfa, Sufyān al-Thawrī, Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, Ibn al-Mubārak, Yaḥyā b. Yaḥyā and Isḥāq b. Rāhawayh advocated that opinion. We observed our authorities adhering and subscribing to it, and we do too." 110 God knows best.

Another form of transference with licensing is when the teacher "transfers" his book to the student and licenses him to relate it from him, and then the teacher keeps the book in his possession and does not give it to the student. Because the student does not come into possession of the material he receives and it is absent from him, this form of transference falls short of the previously mentioned ones. It will be permissible for the student to relate the material from the teacher when he takes possession of the book or a copy collated with it in a fashion which convinces him of its agreement with the material the license covered. However, this is still considered to be a form of licensing lacking actual transference. Thus, transference in a case like this hardly possesses any advantage over a case of licensing a specific text without the act of transference. Indeed, several jurists and legal theorists have concluded that it possesses no [special] efficacy or benefit. Nevertheless, the expert scholars of hadīth in early and modern times — or at least some of them — believe it to have a considerable advantage. Knowledge belongs to God (He is exalted).

Another form of transference with licensing is a student bringing a book or a personal hadith collection to a teacher and saying, "This is your transmission, so transfer it to me and license me to transmit it," and the teacher agreeing to this without looking at the text and making certain that he transmitted all of it. This

¹⁰⁵ Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Qāsim al-Utaqī (132/749-191/806) was a Mālikite legal scholar whose views are recorded in a mudawwana; Sezgin, GAS, 1:465-6.

¹⁰⁶ Abū 'Amr Ashhab b. 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Qaysī (145/762-204/819) was an important Egyptian Malikite legal scholar; Sezgin, GAS, 1:466-7.

^{107 &#}x27;Ulūm, 257-8.

¹⁰⁸ Abu Yaqub Yusuf b. Yahya al-Buwayti (d. 231/845) was one of the students of the imam Shafiq responsible for the spread of his teachings; Sezgin, GAS, 1:491.

¹⁰⁹ Abū Ibrāhīm Ismā'il b. Yaḥyā al-Muzanī (175/792-264/877) was a disciple of the imām Shāfi'i and his *Mukhtaşar* is one of the fundamental works of Shāfi'ite law; Sezgin, GAS, 1:492-3.

^{110 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 259-60.

[by itself] is impermissible and invalid. If the information and the knowledge of the student may be trusted, it is permissible to rely on him in that regard. This is a permissible form of licensing, just as reliance on a student is permissible in "recitation to the teacher" to the extent that he may be the one reciting from the original text, when he is someone whose knowledge and religion may be trusted. Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb (God bless him) said, "If the teacher were to say, 'Transmit the contents of this book from me, if they are my hadīth. I am not responsible for any error or misapprehension,' that would be permissible and good." God knows best.

(b). Transference without licensing: here the teacher transfers the book to the student – as described above in the beginning – merely saying, "These are my hadith," or "my audition," without saying, "Transmit it from me," or "I hereby license you to transmit it from me," or something similar. This is a defective form of transference and transmission by it is not permissible. More than one of the jurists and legal theorists have found fault with the transmitters of hadith who permitted it and allowed transmission by it. Al-Khatib related that a number of scholars regarded it as sound and allowed transmission by it. We will mention – God (He is praised and exalted) willing – the opinion of those who permitted transmission on the basis of the teacher's mere declaration (i'lām) to the student that a particular book is what he heard from X. This form of transference is better than the declaration by itself and it is superior because of the element of transference it contains, since the act of transference itself is not devoid of the implication that the teacher is granting permission to transmit the text. God knows best.

Remarks on the way a transmitter should express transference and licensing

It is related that some early scholars and some of those who came after them permitted the unqualified application of "He transmitted to us" (haddathanā) and "He informed us" (akhbaranā) to transmission by transference. That is related from Zuhrī, Mālik and others. It is in accordance with the doctrine of all of the scholars cited above who made "presentation by transference" coupled with licensing equivalent to audition. Something similar was also related from some people in regard to transmission by licensing. The expert Abū Nu'aym al-Iṣbahānī — the author of many works on the science of hadīth — used to apply "He informed us" without qualification to the hadīth he related by license. We heard that he said, "When I say, 'He transmitted to us' it is audition. When I say, 'He informed us,' without further qualification, it is an instance of licensing, even if I do not say, 'by licensing' (ijāzatan), 'by writing' (kitābatan), 'he wrote to me' (kataba ilayya), or, 'he granted me permission to transmit from him' (adhina lī fī 'l-riwāya 'anhu)." The historian Abū 'Ubayd Allāh

al-Marzubānī¹¹² – the author of a number of works of secular history – used to relate most of the material in his books by license without audition and he used to say for licensing, "He informed us," without further elucidation. According to al-Khatīb, that was something for which Marzubānī was criticized.¹¹³

The sound and preferred view which the majority follow in practice and which the earnest and scrupulous have adopted is to forbid the unqualified application of "He transmitted to us," "He informed us" and similar expressions to material received by transference or licensing and to designate that material with qualified versions of these expressions which indicate the true situation. The transmitter should say, "X informed us" – or "transmitted to us" – "by transference and by license" (munāwalatan wa-ijāzatan), "He informed us by license," "He informed us by transference," "He informed us by granting permission (idhnan)," "Under his grant of permission is" (fi idhnihī), "One of the things he granted me permission for is" (fīmā adhina lī fīhī), or "One of the things which he gave me leave to transmit from him is" (fīmā atlaqa lī rīmāyatahū 'anhu). Or he should say, "X licensed to me" (ajāza lī fulān), "X licensed me such and such" (ajāzanī fulān kadhā wa-kadhā), "X transferred to me" (nāwalanī fulān) and similar expressions.

Some people have designated licensing with terms which have not kept them safe from misrepresentation or at least a trace of it. Examples are someone saying for licensing, "He informed us verbally (mushahāfatan)," when the teacher had only spoken the license out loud to him; or, saying "X informed us by writing" – or "in what he wrote to me," or "in his letter" (fi kitābihī) – when the teacher had only written out the license for him. Even if a number of modern ḥadīth scholars have employed these expressions technically, they are still not free of a trace of misrepresentation on account of the ambiguity they contain and their similarity to what a student says when the teacher writes the ḥadīth themselves to him in a letter.

It is reported that Awzāʿī designated licensing by saying, "He apprised us" (khabbaranā) and he designated recitation to him by saying, "He informed us." Many modern scholars have adopted the convention of applying "He told us" (anbaʾanā) without further qualification to licensing, and that is the preference of al-Walīd b. Bakr – the author of al-Wajāza fi [tajwīz] al-ijāza (The Epitome in [declaring] Licensing [to be Valid]). In earlier times people viewed "He told us" as the same as "He informed us." The exacting expert Abū Bakr al-Bayhaqī inclined toward this when he used to say, "X told me by licensing" (anbaʾanī fulān ijāzatan), and this also complies with the convention of modern scholars. (God knows

¹¹² Abū Ubayd Allāh Muḥammad b. Imrān b. Mūsā al-Marzubāni (290/903-384/994) was a Mufazilite scholar from Baghdad who composed a vast number of books on historical and literary subjects; Brockdmann, GAL, Suppl. 1: 190; EP, 6:634-5.

¹¹³ Ta³rikh Baghdād, 3:135-6.

best.) We heard that the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim (God bless him) said, "The view I prefer and the one which I saw most of my teachers and the authorities of my age following is for the student to say, "X told me," for the material which was presented to the transmitter and which the transmitter orally licensed the student to transmit. The student should say, "X wrote to me," for the material which the transmitter sent to him from another city without orally licensing him. We heard that Abū 'Amr b. Abī Ja'far b. Ḥamdān al-Nīsābūrī'' said, "I heard my father saying, 'Whenever Bukhārī says, "X said to me," it is an instance of presentation and transference." It is reported that some transmitters expressed licensing by saying, "X informed us that (anna) Y transmitted to him" - or "Y informed him." We read that the authority Abū Sulaymān al-Khaṭṭābī preferred this or related it. This is terminology that does not even remotely indicate licensing. It is more appropriate for when the student hears only the isnad from the teacher and the teacher licenses to him the text which follows it. The word "that" in the phrase, "X informed me that Y informed him" implies the presence of the principle of communication, even if the informant treated the material collectively and did not mention it word by word.

Modern transmitters often indicate an instance of licensing which took place in the transmission of someone above the teacher who granted the audition to them with the word "from" ('an). So when one of them is given audition by a teacher on the basis of his license from his teacher, he says, "I recited to X from Y." If the student heard hadith from his teacher on the basis of his teacher's license from his teacher and there was no audition [between the student's teacher and his teacher or] the student is in doubt [as to whether the transmission between his own teacher and his teacher was audition or merely licensing li

Be aware that the impermissibility of the unqualified application of "He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" to licensing is not negated by the licensor granting permission to apply it, as some teachers are wont to do. When granting a license to someone, they say, "If the student wishes, he may say, 'He transmitted to us' and if he wishes, he may say, 'He informed us.'" So let that be known. Knowledge belongs to God (He is blessed and exalted).

V Correspondence (mukātaba) is the fifth means of conveying and taking up hadīth. It consists of the teacher sending some of his hadīth in his own handwriting

¹¹⁴ Abū 'Amr Muḥammad b. Abī Ja'far Aḥmad b. Hamdān al-Nīsābūrī (283/896-376/987) was a famous hadīth transmitter from Nishapur who was also renowned as an expert in the Arabic language; Sezgin, GAS, 1:204.

¹¹⁵ Sakhāwi, Fath al-Mughith, 2:120.

to an absent student, or the teacher writing them for him while he is present. Associated with this is the case when the teacher orders someone else to send the hadith from him to the student. This means of receiving hadith also takes two forms. One of them is correspondence without licensing. The second is correspondence coupled with licensing, with the teacher sending the hadith to the student and saying, "I hereby license to you the material I wrote for you" (ajaztu laka mā katabtuhū laka) – or "the material I sent to you" (mā katabtu bihī ilayka), or some other expression of licensing similar to this.

Many early and modern scholars, including Ayyūb al-Sakhtiyānī, Manṣūr [b. al-Mu'tamir] and al-Layth b. Sa'd, permitted transmission by means of the first type of correspondence – and it is the case when the teacher limits himself to correspondence [that is, without licensing]. A number of the Shāfi'ites subscribed to that opinion and one of them, Abu 'l-Muzaffar al-Sam'ānī, rated correspondence by itself stronger than licensing. Some legal theorists have also adopted this view. A number of others rejected it. Among the Shāfi'ites, the judge Māwardī adopted the latter view and stated it unequivocally in his book al-Hāwī. The first doctrine is the correct one and it is well known among the adherents of hadīth. Often in their hadīth collections and writings one finds them saying, "X wrote to me (kataba ilayya fulān). He said, 'Y transmitted to us,'" by which is meant correspondence. In their opinion, this is effective and the hadīth transmitted this way are connected supported (al-musnad al-mawṣūl). Correspondence itself contains a strong implication of licensing. Even if it is not explicitly coupled with licensing, it does imply the substance of licensing.

In the case of correspondence, it is enough that the student to whom the hadīth are sent recognize the handwriting of the correspondent, even if no clear proof that it actually is his handwriting exists. There are some people who say, "One person's handwriting looks like another's so it is not permissible to rely on that." This is unsatisfactory, because it is rare that one person's handwriting does resemble someone else's. The presumption is that one person's handwriting does not resemble anyone else's and that there is no ambiguity about it.

More than one of the learned and leading scholars of hadith, including al-Layth b. Sa'd and Mansur, subscribed to the doctrine that it is permissible to apply "He transmitted to us" and "He informed us" without qualification to transmission by correspondence. The preferred position is the doctrine of those who say for it, "X wrote to me. He said, 'Y transmitted to us such and such.'" This is the correct view and the one appropriate for those possessing earnestness and probity. It would be equally valid if they had said, "He informed me of it by correspondence" (mukātabatan) — or "in writing" or some other similar expression. Correspondence coupled with an explicit license is comparable to transference with licensing in terms of validity and efficacy. God knows best.

VI The sixth means of taking and conveying hadīth is the declaration of the transmitter ($i < lambda m \ al - rami$) to the student that a particular hadīth or a particular book is his audition or his transmission from X, merely saying that without going

on to say, "Transmit it from me," "I hereby give you permission to transmit it," or the like. In the eyes of many, this is a permissible way to relate and convey such material. This view was related from Ibn Jurayj and certain other transmitters of hadith, jurists, legal theorists and Zāhirites. The Shāfi'ite Abū Naṣr b. al-Ṣabbāgh stated it unequivocally and preferred it. Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Walid b. Bakr al-Ghamrī al-Mālikī supported him in his book al-Wajāza fi tajwiz al-ijāza. The judge Abū Muḥammad b. Khallād al-Rāmahurmuzī¹¹⁶ – the author of the book al-Fāşil bayn al-rāwi wa-'l-wā'i – related that one of the Zahirites subscribed to this doctrine and argued for it. He added, "If his teacher were to say to him, 'This is my relation, but do not transmit it from me,' he could still transmit it from him. Just as it would not affect him if he were to hear a hadith from him and afterward he said to him, "Do not transmit it from me," or, "I do not license it to you."17

The justification for the doctrine of these people is that they consider "declaration of the transmitter" to be equivalent to "recitation to the teacher." When the student recites some of the teacher's hadith to him and the teacher silently assents that it is his transmission from X b. Y, it is permissible for the student to transmit the hadith from him, even if he did not hear the hadith from his teacher's lips and the teacher did not say to him, "Transmit it from me" or "I hereby grant you permission to relate it from me." God knows best.

The preferred view is the one ascribed to a number of the scholars of hadith and others to the effect that transmission on this basis is not permitted. The Shāfiste teacher Abū Ḥāmid al-Ṭūsī118 stated this unequivocally and did not mention any other possibility. This is because the material may be something the teacher heard and transmitted, but he does not grant permission for it to be transmitted from him, because he does not view its transmission as permissible on account of a defect he knows it to contain. His enunciation of the material is not present, nor anything equivalent to his enunciation of it. It is because of the enunciation of the reciter to him, while he is listening and silently assenting to it, that the transmitter from him who heard that may truthfully say, "He transmitted to me," or, "He informed us," even if the teacher did not grant him permission

¹¹⁶ Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥusayn b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Khallād al-Rāmahurmuzī (d. ca. 360/970) was a judge in Khūzistān who was an expert in hadīth and literature. His most famous work, al-Muhaddith al-fasil, is generally considered to be the first comprehensive work written in the genre of usul al-haduh; Sezgin, GAS, 1:193-4.

¹¹⁷ Muhaddith al-fasil, 452.

¹¹⁸ Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad b. Muḥammad al-Ṭūsī, better known as Ghazālī, was born in Ṭūs in 450/1058. An outstanding career led him to the Nizamiya in Baghdad in 484/1091. Four years later in the midst of a spiritual crisis he resigned his post and became a mystic. In 499/1106 he returned to teaching, taking a post at the Nizāmīya in Nishapur. He died in Tūs in 505/1111; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:535-46, Suppl., 1:744-56; EP, 2:1038-41.

for that. Rather, in the case of "declaration of the transmitter" the transmitter is like a witness. When he gives testimony outside of the courtroom regarding some matter, it is not valid for someone who heard it to bear witness regarding his testimony, when he neither grants him permission to do so nor deputizes him to bear witness for him. This is one of the instances in which testifying in court and transmission are alike, because their substance unites them in that regard, even if they differ in other respects. Nevertheless, when the isnād is sound, the student must act in accordance with whatever his teacher mentions to him, even if his transmission from the teacher is not permitted. This is because the soundness of the hadīth in themselves is sufficient for that. God knows best.

VII Bequeathing books (al-waṣīya bi-'l-kutub) is the seventh of the categories of taking and receiving hadīth. It consists of a transmitter upon his death or upon his departure for a journey bequeathing to someone a book which he relates. It is related that some of the forebears (God be pleased with them) permitted the legatee to transmit such material from the bequeathing transmitter on that basis. This is highly implausible. It is either a lapse on the part of those scholars or interpreted to mean that they were referring to transmission by way of discovery (wijāda), which will be explained (God – He is exalted – willing). One scholar argued for the validity of this form of transmission and likened it to declaration and transference, 119 but that is not correct. There is a justification, which we mentioned, for the doctrine of those who permit transmission solely on the basis of declaration and transference. Nothing similar applies here and bequeathing is not close to either in that respect. God knows best.

VIII Discovery (wijāda).

Wijāda, the verbal noun of wajada – yajidu, is a neologism unknown to the early Arabs. We heard from al-Muʿāfā b. Zakarīyā al-Nahrawānī¹²⁰ – the great expert in a number of sciences – that later writers coined the word wijāda for reference to the knowledge taken from a written source (saḥīfa) without audition, licensing or transference. This was done on the basis of the distinctions the early Arabs made between the various verbal nouns of wajada to discriminate between the different meanings of the verb. That is, when the early Arabs said, "He came across his camel which had strayed," the verbal noun is wijdān; when they said, "He found the thing he was seeking," the verbal noun is wujūd; for anger, the verbal noun is mawjida; for wealth, wujd; and for love, wajd. 121

¹¹⁹ Al-Qadı Tyad does this in Ilmā', 115.

¹²⁰ Abu '1-Faraj al-Mu'afa b. Zakariya' al-Nahrawani (305/917-390/1000) was a Baghdadi judge. He was the most prominent exponent of the legal doctrines of Tabari of his time; Sezgin, GAS, 1:522-3.

¹²¹ For a more complete discussion of this, see Edward Lane, Lexicon, 8:2924.

An example of discovery is when a student comes across someone else's book in that individual's own handwriting containing some hadīth which he relates. and the student has never met him - or he did meet him but did not hear from him the hadith which he has found recorded in his handwriting – and he does not have a license from him or anything similar. He should say, "I found (wajadtu) in the handwriting of X" - or "I read in the handwriting of X," or "In the book of X in his handwriting is" - 'Y b. Z, informed us.'" Then he should mention his teacher and give the rest of the isnad and the text. Or he should say, "I found" - or "I read" - "in the handwriting of X from Y," and mention the person who transmitted to him and those above him. This has been continuously practiced in early and modern times. It falls under the heading of "interrupted" (mungatis) and "loose" (mursal), however it is tinctured with "cohesion" on account of the statement, "I found in the handwriting of X." Sometimes someone commits misrepresentation (dallasa) by saying regarding the individual whose handwriting he found, "From X," or, "X said." That is a disgraceful misrepresentation when it is such that it falsely promotes the impression that he heard the material from the teacher, as was discussed above in the Category on misrepresentation. Some people speak carelessly and unqualifiedly apply "He transmitted to us" or "He informed us" to instances of discovery. Whoever does that opens himself up for criticism.

When the student finds a hadth in someone's composition and the composition is not in the handwriting of that individual, he should say, "X mentioned" – or "X said" – 'Y informed us," or, "X mentioned from Y." This is interrupted and does not contain any trace of cohesion.¹²²

All of the above applies when the student is confident that it is the handwriting of the individual mentioned or his book. If this is not the case, then let him say, "It reached me from X" (balaghani 'an fulān), "I found from X" (wajadtu 'an fulān), or similar expressions. Or let him plainly indicate the means of transmission he relied upon in that regard by using the expressions of some earlier scholars; for example, "I read in the book of X in his handwriting and Y informed me that it was the handwriting of X" or "I found in a book which I thought was in the handwriting of X" or "In a book the writer of which says that he is X b. Y is" or "In a book which is said to be in the handwriting of X is."

When the student wishes to transmit hadith from a book ascribed to an author, let him not say, "X said such and such," until he becomes confident of the authenticity of the copy by his own collation of it – or some other trustworthy person's – with numerous other texts. We indicated this earlier at the end of

¹²² Although there can be no doubt about the accuracy of the text here, later writers tended to postpone the treatment of the point addressed in this passage to the general discussion below of texts not in their author's handwriting; e.g. Irāqi, al-Tabşira wa-I-tadhkira, ed. Muḥammad b. al-Husayn al-Irāqi al-Husayni, 3 vols (Beirut, n.d.), 2:115.

Category 1. When that, or something like it, does not happen, let the student say, "It reached me from X that he said such and such," "I found in a copy of book X," or other similar expressions.

In these times most people have become lax, applying without qualification unequivocal terminology to this kind of material without research or verification. One of them reads a book ascribed to a certain author and transmits from it hadīth from the author without becoming certain of the authenticity of the copy, saying, "X said such and such" or "X mentioned such and such." The correct course is the one presented above.

If the reader is knowledgeable and astute to the extent that, for the most part, omissions, slips and transpositions are not hidden from him, we hope that he will be permitted to apply without qualification an unequivocal expression [like "X said" or "X mentioned"] to the material he relates from that transmitter. So far as I can tell, many authors have been pleased to do that for the material they transmitted from the books of other people. Knowledge is with God (He is exalted).

All of this discussion concerns the particulars of transmitting by means of discovery. In regard to the permissibility of putting into practice the discovered material one has confidence in, we heard from one Mālikite that most of the Mālikite transmitters of hadīth, jurists and others do not regard this as valid. It is said that Shāfi and certain of his thoughtful disciples endorsed the validity of acting on the material transmitted this way. One of the thorough Shāfi te scholars in theoretical law unequivocally ruled in favor of the necessity of acting upon the material once confidence in the ascription is attained. He said, "If what we said were presented to the majority of the scholars of hadīth, they would reject it." The opinion he unequivocally gave in favor of it is the only one possible in later ages. If putting a doctrine into practice did depend on its proper transmission (riwāya), it would become impossible to act on transmitted material, because of the infeasibility of meeting the standards of transmission in our time. This was discussed earlier in Category 1. God knows best.

al-Fārisī informed us. He said, The expert Abū Bakr al-Bayhaqī informed us. He said, Abū 'l-Ḥusayn b. Bishrān⁸ informed us. He said, Abū 'Amr b. al-Sammāk⁹ informed us. He said, Ḥanbal b. Isḥāq¹⁰ transmitted to us. He said, Sulaymān b. Aḥmad¹¹ transmitted to us. He said, al-Walīd – that is, Ibn Muslim¹² – transmitted to us. He said, "Awzā'ī used to say, 'This knowledge is a noble one which men received among themselves. When it went into books, those unworthy of it came among them.'" The disagreement over writing ḥadīth has disappeared and Muslims have come to agree unanimously on allowing and permitting it. If the hadīth had not been recorded in books, they would have become obliterated in later ages. God knows best.

Those who write and collect hadith are under an obligation to focus their efforts on recording clearly the transmitted material they write down - or obtain in the handwriting of someone else – exactly as the transmitters related it, using the vowel signs and diacritical points necessary to eliminate ambiguity. Often someone confident of his intelligence and attentiveness is disdainful of this and that has unfortunate consequences. People are susceptible to forgetfulness and the first to forget was the first person. Providing the diacritical marks in a text prevents it from becoming unintelligible and marking the vowel signs prevents ambiguity. No one should concern himself with indicating those obvious things that are almost never doubtful. Indeed, whoever said, "Vocalize only what is obscure," spoke well. I read in the handwriting of 'Alī b. Ibrāhīm al-Baghdādī in his book Simāt al-khatt wa-ruqūmuhū13 (The Characteristics and Forms of Script) that scholars dislike marking the diacritical points and inflectional vowels unless the word is ambiguous. On the other hand, someone else recounted from some people that unambiguous words should be vocalized as well as the ambiguous. That is because the beginner and the non-expert in the discipline cannot distinguish an ambiguous word from an unambiguous one, or correct inflectional vowels from incorrect. God knows best.

The following is a discussion of some useful points related to the above:

⁸ Abu 'l-Ḥusayn 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Bishrān (328/939-415/1024) was a well-respected transmitter in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:227.

⁹ Abū 'Amr 'Uthmān b. Aḥmad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Daqqāq (d. 344/955), known as Ibn al-Sammāk, was a ḥadīth scholar in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:185-6.

¹⁰ Abū ʿAlī Ḥanbal b. Isḥāq b. Ḥanbal al-Shaybānī (193/809-273/886) was a cousin of the imām Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal; Sezgin, GAS, 1:510.

¹¹ Abū Muḥammad Sulaymān b. Aḥmad al-Dimashqī was a respected transmitter of ḥadīth who could count a number of very distinguished scholars as his students. Late in his life he moved to Wāsiṭ and slid into a life of dissipation; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):3; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4 (1):101.

¹² Abu 'I-'Abbās al-Walīd b. Muslim al-Umawi (119/737-195/810) lived in Damascus and was an expert in the hadith of the Syrians; Sezgin, GAS, 1:293.

¹³ I have not succeeded in identifying this individual. His book is described in Hājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 2:col. 1001.

- 1. One should take more care to clarify [with diacritical marks, vowel signs, and so forth] doubtful proper names rather than other doubtful words, because proper names cannot be figured out by the sense of the passage and their correct reading cannot be inferred from the context.
- 2. For ambiguous words, it is recommended that one clarify them first in the body of the text and then write them in unconnected letters¹⁴ in the margin opposite that place in the text. This is the fullest way to make them clear and the way furthest from ambiguity. The diacritical points and vowel signs of other words sometimes intrude from above and below on the words which one clarifies within the lines of the text, especially when the handwriting is small and the lines are narrow. This is the advice of a number of careful scholars. God knows best.
- 3. Tiny handwriting is condemned when there is no reason requiring it. We heard that Hanbal b. Ishāq said, "Aḥmad b. Hanbal saw me writing in a small hand and said, 'Do not do that. The thing you need the most will betray you.'" We read that when one teacher saw an instance of small handwriting, he said, "This is the handwriting of someone who is not convinced that God (He is exalted) will provide a replacement [for the sheet of paper he was using]." A valid excuse for writing in a small hand is, for instance, that the writer does not find enough room on the paper, or that he is a traveler who needs to make his handwriting small so that the burden of his book will be lighter for him or something like that. God knows best.
- 4. Precision, without either excessive looseness or excessive compression, ¹⁵ is preferred in the student's handwriting. We read from Ibn Qutayba¹⁶ that 'Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb (God be pleased with him) said, "The worst handwriting is scrawling and the worst recitation is spluttering. The best handwriting is the clearest." God knows best.
- 5. Just as the letters with diacritical points are made clear by pointing, so should the letters without diacritical points be fixed with a sign to indicate the absence of pointing. The way that people clarify these letters varies. Some invert the points, putting the points which belong above the pointed letters under the

¹⁴ Sakhawi explains that in this way a further degree of clarity is attained since there are a number of Arabic letters which appear similar when written in connected form but differ considerably in their unconnected form; Fath al-Mughith, 2:149.

¹⁵ For the meaning of al-mashq wa-'l-ta'liq, see Sakhāwi, Fath al-Mughith, 2:151 and Franz Rosenthal, "Abū Ḥayyān at-Tawhīdī on Penmanship," Four Essays on Art and Literature in Islam (Leiden, 1971), 29, n. 4.

¹⁶ Abū Muḥammad Abd Allāh b. Muslim b. Qutayba al-Dinawari (213/828-276/889) was a polymath who made important contributions to a number of disciplines; EP, 3:844-7; Sezgin, GAS, 8:161-5.

analogous unpointed letters. So they place points under $r\bar{a}$, $s\bar{a}d$, $t\bar{a}$, 'ayn and the other unpointed letters like them. One of the practitioners of this said that the points under the unpointed $s\bar{i}n$ should be spread out in a row while those which are over the pointed $s\bar{i}n$ should be arranged like a tripod. Some people make the sign indicating the absence of pointing over the unpointed letters in the shape of a nail paring resting on its back. Some put under the unpointed $h\bar{a}$ a small unconnected $h\bar{a}$, and do the same under the $d\bar{a}l$, $t\bar{a}$, $s\bar{a}d$, $s\bar{i}n$, 'ayn and the rest of the ambiguous unpointed letters. These are the widespread and well-known forms of the signs indicating that a letter is unpointed. There are also signs found in numerous old books which many people do not understand, like the sign of those who make a small line over an unpointed letter and that of those who put something shaped like a hamza under an unpointed letter. God knows best.

- 6. No one should employ a personal system of signs in his book which no one else can understand and which throws others into confusion. Some who collect in their book several different transmissions of a text and signal the relation of each transmitter with one or two letters from his name and the like do this. If the writer explains in the beginning or the end of his book what he means by those signs and symbols, there is no problem. Nevertheless, it is better that the writer avoid using symbols. He should write for each transmission the name of its transmitter completely in a shortened form and not merely write a sign for some of it. God knows best.
 - 7. The student should place a circle between two hadith to separate and distinguish them. We read that Abu 'l-Zinād, Ahmad b. Ḥanbal, Ibrāhīm b. Isḥāq al-Ḥarbī and Muḥammad b. Jarīr al-Ṭabarī¹¹ (God be pleased with them) were among the authorities who did that. The expert al-Khaṭīb [al-Baghdādī] recommended that the circles be hollow. When he collated the text, he put a dot in the circle that followed each hadīth he had finished collating or he drew a line through its center. He said, "Some scholars did not use to count something as part of what they had heard unless it was marked that way," or words to that effect. ¹⁸ God knows best.
 - 8. It is reprehensible for someone to write a name like "Abd Allāh, the son of X, the son of Y" with 'Abd at the end of a line and the rest at the beginning of the next line. In the same way, it is reprehensible with "Abd al-Raḥmān, the son of X" and the rest of the names containing 'Abd with a name of God (He is exalted) that the 'Abd be written at the end of a line with the name of God and the rest of the lineage at the beginning of the next line. Similarly, it is

¹⁷ Abū Jasar Muḥammad b. Jarir al-Ṭabari (ca. 224/839-310/923) was one of the greatest religious scholars in Islam. He composed significant works in a number of fields, including history, Quran commentary, law and hadith; EP, 10:11-15; Sezgin, GAS, 1:323-8.

¹⁸ Jāmic, 136.

undesirable for "The Messenger ... said" (qāla Rasūl) to be written at the end of a line with "of God, May God – He is exalted – bless and save him and his family (Allāhi ṣalla ʾllāhu taʿālā ʿalayhi wa-ālihī wa-sallama), and the like, being written at the beginning of the next line. God knows best.¹⁹

9. The student should make sure to write "May God bless and save him" upon the mention of the name of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and not tire of repeating the invocation upon each repetition of it. Indeed, that is one of the greatest benefits which the collectors and copyists of hadīth can readily accrue and whoever neglects it misses a great opportunity. We have heard some good dreams regarding those who did that. Every time someone writes the invocation it is a prayer which he invokes anew, rather than words which he just transmits. For that reason, in this matter the copyist is not bound by what he is relating and is not limited to what appears in the original text. The same is true for praising God (He is praised) upon the mention of His name with "He is mighty and great" ('azza wa-jalla), "He is blessed and exalted" (tabāraka wa-ta'ālā) and similar expressions. When it is found that one of these invocations already appears in the relation, the care to set it down it and make it clear should even be greater.

Surviving documents in the handwriting of Abū 'Abd Allāh Ahmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) show that he sometimes neglected to write the invocation after the mention of the name of the Prophet (Peace be upon him). The reason for that may have been that in this matter he preferred to to limit himself to the text as it appeared in the transmission and attaching the invocations for every transmitter who preceded him was too much for him. Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb said, "I read that he used to invoke blessings on the Prophet (Peace be upon him) verbally rather than in writing. Other early authorities disagreed with Aḥmad b. Ḥanbalin that matter. It is related that 'Alī b. al-Madīnī and 'Abbās b. 'Abd al-'Azīm al-'Anbarī²o said, 'We never gave up invoking the blessing on the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) in every ḥadīth we heard. Sometimes we were in a hurry and we would then leave spaces for the invocations in each ḥadīth in the book, so we could go back later to write them in.' "21 God knows best.

¹⁹ The fear here is that a reader could inadvertently interpret the expressions in an impious fashion. In the first case, if the reader did not see the previous line with 'Abd, he would read the blasphemous phrase, "God, the son of X, the son of Y, said" In the second case, if he did not see "The Messenger of – said," he would read the formula, "God, may God – He is exalted! – bless him and save him and his family," which at best is theologically confusing.

²⁰ Abu 'l-Fadl 'Abbās b. 'Abd al-'Azīm al-'Anbarī (d. 246/860) was a hadīth expert from al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:302-3.

²¹ Jamis, 135-6.

In writing the invocations, the student should avoid two deficiencies: (a). writing them abbreviated in form, representing them symbolically with a couple of letters, or something similar; (b). writing them abbreviated in sense, not writing "and save him" (wa-sallama), although examples of this are found in the handwriting of some early scholars.

I heard Abu 'l-Qāsim [or Abu 'l-Fath or Abū Bakr] Manṣūr b. 'Abd al-Mun'im and Umm al-Mu'ayyad bint Abi 'l-Qāsim, 'le through my recitation to them. They said, We heard Abu 'l-Barakāt 'Abd Allāh b. Muhammad al-Furāwī verbally say, I heard the Qur'ān reciter Zarīf b. Muhammad saying, I heard the expert 'Abd Allāh b. Muhammad b. Ishāq saying, I heard my father saying, I heard Hamza al-Kinānī saying, "I used to write hadīth and upon the mention of the Prophet, I wrote, 'May God bless him,' without writing 'and save him.' Then, I saw the Prophet (Peace be upon him) in a dream and he said to me, 'Why do you not complete the invocation of blessings on me?' After that I never wrote 'May God bless him,' without writing 'and save him.'" It is also detestable for the student to limit himself to writing "May peace be upon him" ('alayhi 'l-salām). God knows best.

10. The student should collate his book with the book that he actually heard (aṣl samā'shī) and the book of his teacher (aṣl shaykhihī) which he is actually relating from him, even in a case of licensing. We heard that 'Urwa b. al-Zubayr (God be pleased with them) asked his son Hishām, "You wrote?" and he answered yes. Then 'Urwa asked, 'Did you collate your book?" He answered no and 'Urwa replied, "You did not write!" We heard that the imām Shāfi and Yaḥyā b. Abī Kathīr said, "Someone who writes and does not collate is like someone who uses the privy and does not wash afterwards." We heard that al-Akhfash²6 said, "When a book is copied without collation and then another uncollated copy is made from that copy, the text stops being Arabic."

The best collation is the student's personal collation of his book against that of his teacher, in the presence of the teacher while he is transmitting the text to him from his own book, on account of the elements of care and exactitude which

²² Umm al-Mu'ayyad Zaynab bint Abi 'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Raḥmān (d. 615/1218) was transmitter of ḥadīth in Nishapur who was sought out by her contemporaries; Dhahabi, Siyar, 22:85-6.

²³ Abu 'l-Barakat 'Abd Allah b. Muḥammad al-Furawi was the grandfather of Ibn al-Ṣalaḥ's teacher Mansūr b. 'Abd al-Mun'im. He was a respected transmitter and died of starvation and exposure in 549/1155 during the attack of the Ghuzz on Nishapur; Dhahabi, Siyar, 20:227-8.

²⁴ Abu 'l-Hasan Zarif b. Muḥammad al-Hiri was a scholar of hadith from Nishapur who died in 517/1124 at the age of eighty-eight; Dhahabi, Siyar, 19:375-6.

²⁵ This appears to be Abu 'l-Hasan 'Abd Allah (or 'Ubayd Allah) b. Muhammad b. Ishaq (d. 462/1070), the son of Abu 'Abd Allah b. Manda; EP, 3:863; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:355.

²⁶ This al-Akhfash (literally, "dim-eyes") appears to have been Abū 'Abd Allāh Ahmad b. Imrān b. Salama (d. ca. 260/874), a grammarian, lexicographer and poet from Syria; Sezgin, GAS, 8:241.

that procedure brings together from the two sides [that is, the student's eyes and his ears²⁷]. The collation lacking these characteristics falls short of the level of the prescribed collation to the extent that it lacks them. What we mentioned is superior to the blanket declaration of the expert Abu 'l-Fadl al-Jārūdī al-Harawī:²⁸ "The most truthful collation is alone by yourself."

The auditors who do not possess a copy of the text should sit with someone else and look into his copy, especially if they want to transmit from it. Indeed, it has been related that Yahyā b. Maʿīn was asked about someone who did not look in the book while the transmitter was reciting: "Is it permissible for him to transmit that book from his teacher?" He said, "In my opinion it is not permissible, however the audition of the generality of teachers is that way." This is one of the dictates of those strict in transmission and their doctrine will be mentioned below (God – He is exalted – willing). The correct view is that this is not stipulated and the audition is valid, even if the student does not look in the book at all at the time of the recitation. Furthermore, it is not stipulated that the student personally collate his text. Rather, it is enough for him that his copy be collated with the original text of the transmitter, even if that does not take place at the time of the recitation and even if someone else does the collating, if that person is reliable and one may be confident that he will be accurate.

It is also permissible for the student's text to be collated against a copy (far') which had been collated in the prescribed manner with the text of his teacher, the text used in the audition. The same is true if he collates his text with the original text which the text of his teacher was collated with, because the desired aim is that the text of the student be in conformity with the text heard at his audition and the text of his teacher, irrespective of whether that occurs through an intermediary or not. This is not enough for those who say, "The student's collation with anyone but himself is not valid. He may not rely on anyone else and there must not be any intermediary between him and the book of the teacher. So let him personally collate his copy with the original, letter by letter, so that he becomes confident and certain that his copy agrees with the teacher's." This is an abandoned doctrine. It is one of the doctrines of strict scholars that is repudiated in our age. God knows best.

When the student does not collate his book against the original: the professor Abū Isḥāq al-Isfarāyīnī was asked about the permissibility of a student's relation from a text of this description and he permitted it. The expert Abū Bakr al-Khatīb also permitted it and explained his condition. He stated that it is stipulated that the student's copy must be transmitted from the original and that, when he relates

²⁷ See Sakhawi, Fath al-Mughith, 2:169-70.

Despite the inherent implausibility, the sources maintain that there were two hadith scholars named "Abu 'l-Fadl Muḥammad b. Ahmad b. Muḥammad al-Jārūdī al-Harawī" living a century apart. The first is known as "al-Shahīd" (the martyr) because he supposedly died a heroic death at the hands of the Qarmatians in Mecca in 317/930; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:538-40. The second is said to have died in 413/1023; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:384-6.

it, he must make clear that he did not collate it. He related that his teacher Abū Bakr al-Barqānī asked Abū Bakr al-Ismāʿīlī, "May someone transmit something he wrote from a teacher and did not collate with his original text?" He said, "Yes, but he must make clear that he did not collate it." Al-Khatīb said, "This is the doctrine of Abū Bakr al-Barqānī. He transmitted to us many hadīth for which he said, "X informed us, and I did not collate it with his original text." A third condition is also necessary and this is that the transmitter of the copy made from the original should not be poor in transmitting but should rather be a sound transmitter who makes few mistakes. (God knows best.) The student should also direct his attention to his teacher's book vis-à-vis those above him, in a fashion similar to what we described in reference to his own book. He should also not be like certain students who regard as valid the audition of a book recited to a teacher from any copy which happens to be at hand. God knows best.

11. The preferred method of supplying a textual omission in the margins – and it is called an "addendum" (lahaq) – is for the student to make a line going up from the spot of the omission in the line of text and then curve it for a short distance between the two lines of text in the direction of the spot in the margin where he will write the addendum. He should begin writing the addendum in the margin opposite the curved line. Let that be in the right margin. If it is near the middle of the page, let the addendum be written – if there is room for it – going up toward the top of the page, and not down toward the bottom. When the addendum is two or more lines long, the student should not begin the lines going from the bottom to the top, but rather begin them going from the top to the bottom, so that the end of the lines is in the direction of the center of the page, when the insertion is on the right margin; and when they are on the left margin, their end is toward the edge of the page. "It is correct" (sahha) should be written at the end of the addendum. Some people write "It returned" (raja^ca) with "It is correct."

At the end of the addendum, some people write the word which occurs next to it at the place of the insertion inside the text to indicate the continuity of the passage. This is the preference of some of the North African practitioners of this craft and that of an Easterner, the judge Abū Muḥammad b. Khallād³¹ – the author of the book $al-F\bar{a}sil\ bayn\ al-r\bar{a}w\bar{\imath}$ wa-' $l-w\bar{a}$ ' $\bar{\imath}$ – among certain others. That is not satisfactory, since many times words are actually repeated in a passage, so this repetition sometimes causes some people to think mistakenly that this is an instance of that. The judge Ibn Khallād also recommended in his book that the curve of

²⁹ Kifāya, 239.

³⁰ Although sāqit would appear to be the logical antecedent here, the text requires a grammatically feminine form.

³¹ Muḥaddith al-fāṣil, 606-7.

the line for supplying the omission be extended from its place in the text to connect it with the beginning of the addendum in the margin.³² This is also unsatisfactory. While it does more clearly indicate where the addendum belongs, it blackens the book and marks it up, especially if there are many addenda. God knows best.

We recommended that the addendum be written going toward the top of the page, lest another omission should have to be supplied later. If the student were to write the first addendum going down toward the bottom, he would not find the margin opposite the next omission free for its addendum. When he writes the first addendum going up, he finds the opposite margin free for whatever omissions he encounters after that. We also said that he should supply the addendum on the right side, because, if he were to put it on the left, often another omission appears further on in the same line.33 If he also puts the second addendum on the left side opposite the line, the two addenda will become mixed-up. If he supplies the second addendum on the right side, the curve for supplying the addendum on the left side and the one on the right side will meet or face each other such that it will look like the words between them are being struck out. On the other hand, when the student supplies the first addendum on the right side and then supplies the second on the left, they do not meet and no confusion is entailed. However, if the omission occurs at the end of the line, there is no reason then for not supplying it on the left, because of the proximity of the omission to the margin and because the above-mentioned justification no longer holds, since we do not fear the appearance of another omission further on in the same line. When the omission is at the beginning of the line, the necessity of supplying it on the right side is further confirmed on account of the issue of proximity we brought up, in addition to the other reasons discussed above.

Commentary, the notation of errors and variant readings from different transmissions or different copies of the text or similar material not part of the original text which is to be supplied in the margins: the expert al-Qādī Iyād (God bless him) held the view that a line of insertion should not be used for this kind of material. This way ambiguity does not arise with this foreign material being considered part of the original text. The line is to be used only for what belongs to the original text itself. However, to mark the word for which the additional material was intended, a sign like the "latch" (dabba) or the one indicating that the word is correct (tashīh)³⁴ is sometimes placed over it. 35 I say: the line of insertion is better and clearer. The character of this supplementary material inherently eliminates any ambiguity. This supplement differs from the other kind belonging to the original text in that the line of the latter comes between the two words

³² Muhaddith al-fāşil, 606-7.

³³ Arabic is written from right to left.

³⁴ For these signs, see the next section.

³⁵ Ilmā^c, 164.

bracketing the omission and the line of the former occurs over the actual word for the sake of which the supplementary material in the margin is cited. God knows best.

12. One of the concerns of skillful and exact students is to take care in "marking as correct" (taṣḥiḥ), "latching" (taḍbib) and "marking as faulty" (tamriḍ). "Marking as correct" consists of writing "It is correct" (ṣaḥḥa) above the reading or in its vicinity. This is done only for something that is correct in regard to transmission and sense but that is open to doubt or dispute. "It is correct" is written above it so that it be known that it has not been overlooked and that it has been accurately written and that it is correct in that form. 36

The symbol of "latching" - it is also termed "marking as faulty" - is placed over a reading which is established as appearing that way from the standpoint of transmission, but is corrupt in form or sense, or is weak or defective. It may, for instance, be impermissible in terms of Arabic usage or seem odd to speakers of Arabic, with most of them rejecting it. It may also have been misread (musahhaf) [by a previous copyist]; or one word or more may have been omitted from the passage; or something along those lines. A line, the beginning of which is like the letter sad, is extended above something like this. It is not written on the indicated word lest it be thought that the word is being struck out. It is like the letter $s\bar{a}d$ of the word sahha with an extension rather than the $h\bar{a}^3$. It is written in this way to distinguish between what is absolutely correct, from the standpoint of transmission and in other ways, and what is correct from the standpoint of transmission but not in any other way. For this reason, "It is correct" is not written in complete form over it. Writing the word "defective" (nāqis) over a defective word also indicates its faultiness and deficiency, despite the soundness of its transmission and relation. This alerts anyone who looks in the book that the copyist noticed the defective word and has conveyed it as he found it. Perhaps, someone else will give him a correct reading or the reading which does not now seem correct to him will seem correct later on. If he had changed the word and corrected it to the best of his understanding at the time, he would be liable to what has befallen more than one imprudent scholar who changed a text and the correct reading turned out to be the one they rejected and the corrupt reading the one they "corrected" it to.

In regard to calling that symbol a *dabba* [literally, a door latch or the piece of material patched over a crack or break; for example, in a vessel or a piece of wood], we read from the lexicographer Abu 'l-Qāsim Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad – known as Ibn al-Iflīlī³⁷ – that this is because the word

³⁶ For an instance of this which found its way into a printed text, see Sakhāwī, Fath al-Mughith, 3:13, 1. 19.

³⁷ Ibn al-Iflili (352/963-441/1050) combined expertise in grammar and lexicography with a broad knowledge of poetry and rhetoric. Qiftī, *Inbāh al-ruwāh 'alā anbā' al-nuhāh*, ed. Muhammad Abu 'l-Fadl Ibrāhīm, 4 vols (Cairo, 1369/1950-73), 1:183-4.

is "locked up" by it and not readable, as if the door latch were locked. (God knows best.) Because the <code>dabba</code> occurs over a reading containing imperfections, it is also like the piece of material which is placed over a crack or break. So the name of this symbol was taken from that word. Borrowings of this kind are not unheard of.

One of the other places for "latching" is the point in an isnād where "looseness" (irsāl) or "interruption" (inqitā') occurs. "Latching" the point of looseness and interruption is customary for scholars. That is similar to what was mentioned above about "latching" a defective reading.

In some old hadith manuscripts in isnāds containing a number of transmitters whose names are placed in conjunction with one another, one finds a symbol similar to the *dabba* between the names. Someone with little experience may mistakenly believe that it is a *dabba* when it is not. It seems that it is the symbol of connection between them which was written to confirm their conjunction for fear that "can" (from) would be put in the place of "wāw" (and). (Knowledge belongs to God. He is exalted). Some copyists occasionally abbreviate the symbol "It is correct" so that its shape comes to resemble that of the "dabba." Perspicacity is one of the best things that can be bestowed on a person. God knows best.

13. When something that does not belong occurs in a book, it is eliminated by striking out (*darb*), scratching out (*hakk*), erasure³⁸ or some other way. Striking out is superior to scratching out and erasing. We heard that the judge Abū Muhammad b. Khallād (God bless him) said, "Our colleagues say, 'Scratching out is an accusation.'" Someone informed me that al-Qādī Tyād said, "I heard our teacher Abū Baḥr Sufyān b. al-ʿĀṣī al-Asadī relating that one of his teachers used to say, 'The teachers of old used to disapprove of bringing a knife to a hadīth class, in order that nothing be peeled away, because sometimes something that is peeled away in one class is established as sound in another transmission. The book may be heard another time from a different teacher with what was peeled off or scratched away from the transmission of the first teacher being correct in the transmission of the other. So then the student needs to restore it after it was peeled off. When a line is drawn over the reading on account of the transmission of the first teacher and it is established as being correct by the second teacher, the symbol standing for the second teacher above it is enough to establish its correctness." '**

³⁸ Sakhāwi defines "mahw" as "the removal of words without scraping, where that is possible because the writing is on a tablet or on parchment or freshly written on very polished paper." This he explains is done with one's fingers or a rag; Fath al-Mughith, 2:180.

³⁹ Muhaddith al-fāșil, 606.

⁴⁰ Abu Bahr b. al-'Aşı was an Andalusian grammarian who died in 520/1126 at over eighty years of age; Dhahabı, Siyar, 19:515–16.

⁴¹ Ilmā^c, 170.

There is disagreement over the best method of striking out. We heard that Abū Muḥammad b. Khallād said, "The best striking out does not obliterate the word being struck out. Rather writing a good clear line above the word indicates that it is invalid and the word can still be read under the line."42 We heard from al-Qadi Iyad something to the effect that the preferences of precise writers differ regarding striking out.43 Most of them favor extending a line over the portion of the text to be struck out, through the struck-out words. That is also called "splitting" (shaqq). Others do not draw the line through the portion of text, but rather fix it above it. However, they curve the ends of the line over the beginning and the end of the passage to be struck out. Some others regard that as a disfigurement and view it as marking up and defacing the page. Instead, they enclose the beginning of the passage to be struck out with half a circle and do the same at the end. When the passage to be struck out is long, sometimes they do that at the beginning and the end of each line of the passage. However, sometimes it suffices entirely to enclose the beginning of the passage and its end. There are some teachers who regard both striking out and bracketing the passage with half circles as disfiguring. They are content with a small circle at the beginning and the end of the superfluous passage. They call the small circle a "zero" (sifr), as the arithmeticians do. "Occasionally some scholars wrote "no" ($l\bar{a}$) at the beginning of the passage to be deleted and "until" ($il\bar{a}$) at the end of it. Something like this works well for what is established in one relation and omitted in another. God knows best.

The striking out of unintentionally repeated words: the judge Abū Muḥammad b. Khallād al-Rāmahurmūzī (God bless him for his precedence) has anticipated us in the discussion of this. We heard that he said, "Some of our colleagues stated, 'Of the two occurrences of the word, the one more deserving of being invalidated is the second, because the first was properly written and the second was written by mistake. So the mistake is more deserving of invalidation.' Others said, 'The book is a symbol of what is to be read. The occurrence of the word more clearly indicative of what is to be read and the finer of them in terms of shape is more deserving of preservation.' Finally, al-Qāḍī ʿIyād came and made an excellent distinction. He opined that, if the repetition of the word is found at the beginning of a line, let the second occurrence be struck out, to protect the beginning of the line from markings and defacement. If the repetition is found at the end of a line, the first occurrence should be struck out, to protect the end of the line. Keeping the beginnings and the ends of the lines free from that is

⁴² Muhaddith al-fāsil, 606.

⁴³ Ilmā^c, 171.

⁴⁴ For writing the zero in Arabic as a circle instead of the more usual dot, see Franz Rosenthal, The Technique and Approach of Muslim Scholarship (Rome, 1947), 16, n. 1. This fundamental study contains a translation (pp. 8-18) of the chapter concerning books and writing from 'Almawi's al-Mu'id fi adab al-mufid wa-'l-mustafid, which amplifies many of the points discussed in this Category.

⁴⁵ Muḥaddith al-fāşil, 607.

best. If one occurrence of the repeated word comes at the end of a line and the other at the beginning of the next line, let the one at the end of the line be struck out, because it is more important to respect the beginning of a line. If the repetition occurs in the second or first term of a genitive construction, or in an adjective or the word it modifies, or something similar, we no longer take into account the beginning or the end of the line, but rather we respect the continuity between the two terms of the genitive construction, and so forth, in drawing the line. So, we do not separate them with the striking out and we strike out the outer word of the repetition, rather than the inner one.

Erasing is like scraping in regard to the treatment that was discussed above. There are various ways to do it. One of the strangest – although it is the safest – is what is related from Sahnūn b. Said al-Tanūkhi — the Mālikite authority – to the effect that he sometimes wrote something and then licked it off. What we heard about Ibrāhīm al-Nakhai (God be pleased with him) saying, "Ink on a man's clothes and lips is a sign of good character," also refers to that. God knows best.

14. For works containing differing transmissions of the same text, let the student undertake to record accurately the differences in his book and make a clear distinction between them, so that the transmissions do not become mixed up and confused and do not trip him up. The way to do this is for him to put down first the text of his book according to one particular transmission. Then, either in the margins or somewhere else, he attaches the additions from another relation, signals the omissions and records the differences. In each case he should designate everyone who related it, giving his full name. If he uses a symbol of one or more letters for the name, then he should, as was said above, explain what the symbol means at the beginning or end of his book, in case he forgets with the passage of time or his book comes into the possession of someone else who will fall into confusion and error because of his symbòls. When there are many different relations, one is sometimes compelled to limit oneself to symbols.

For discriminating between different transmissions, some scholars felt it was enough to designate the supplementary relation with red ink. The Easterner Abū Dharr al-Harawi⁴⁹ and the Westerner Abu 'l-Hasan al-Qābisī did that, as did many other early teachers and recorders of hadīth. When there is an addition

⁴⁶ Kasht, according to Sakhāwi, is "scraping the paper with a knife or something similar;" Fath al-Mughith, 2:180.

⁴⁷ This is somewhat oddly phrased since "scraping" has not been mentioned until now. Ibn al-Şalāḥ may have regarded *kashṭ* as a synonym of *hakk*.

⁴⁸ The great North African scholar Abū Saʿid ʿAbd al-Salām, nicknamed Sahnūn, (160/777–240/855) was the author of the influential legal guide al-Mudawwana al-kubrā; El², 8:843-5; Sezgin, GAS, 1:468-71.

⁴⁹ Abū Dharr 'Abd b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad al-Harawī (ca. 355/966-434/1043) was a Malikite in law and a conservative Ash'arite in theology. He composed a number of works on hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:231.

in the supplementary relation of the text of the book, the student writes it in red. If there is an omission in the supplementary relation and the additional material is in the relation recorded in the main text of the book, he brackets that material in red. Whoever does this should make clear at the beginning or the end of the book to whom the relation marked with red belongs, as was stated above. God knows best.

15. For the most part, the writers of hadīth have come to confine themselves to using symbols for haddathanā (He transmitted to us) and akhbaranā (He informed us). That has spread and taken over to the point where it is hardly ever confusing. For haddathanā the last half is written; that is, thā, nūn, alif; and sometimes only the pronoun is written; that is, nūn and alif. For akhbaranā, the aforementioned pronoun is written with an initial alif. It is not good the way certain scholars write akhbaranā with an alif in addition to the first symbol of haddathanā we mentioned, even if Bayhāqī was one of those who did this. Occasionally a rā is written after the alif in the symbol for akhbaranā and a dāl at the beginning of the symbol for haddathanā. The expert Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥākim, Abū ʿAbd al-Rahmān al-Sulamī and the expert Ahmad al-Bayhāqī (God be pleased with them) are some of those in whose handwriting I have seen a dāl in the symbol for haddathanā. God knows best.

When a hadith has two or more isnāds, at the transition from one isnād to another some scholars write a symbol shaped like a $h\bar{a}^{2}$; that is, an unpointed, unconnected letter $h\bar{a}^{2}$. We have received no [convincing] explanation for this from any reliable person.

However, I have found in the handwriting of the professor and hadīth expert Abū 'Uthmān al-Ṣābūnī, 52 the expert Abū Muslim 'Umar b. 'Alī al-Laythī al-Bukhārī 53 and the jurist and hadīth scholar Abū Sa'd al-Khalīlī 54 (God bless them) the word ṣāḥḥa (It is sound) unambiguously written in

⁵⁰ Written without pointing, the thā'-nūn-alif of haddatha'nā is identical to the bā'-nūn-alif of this abbreviation of akhbaranā. Suyūṭī (Tadrib al-rāwi, 2:87) felt that alif-bā'-nūn-alif might be mistaken for the abbreviation of haddathanā while Sakhāwī (Fath al-Mughīth, 2:190) suggested that Ibn al-Ṣalāh feared that it might be read as anba'anā or an abbreviation of it.

⁵¹ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Sulamī (325/936 or 330-412/1021) was one of the greatest of the early Sufis and the author of a number of important works on topics related to mysticism, including the biographical dictionary Tabaqāt al-Ṣūfiya (ed. J. Pedersen, Leiden, 1960); EI², 9:811-12; Sezgin, GAS, 1:671-74.

⁵² Abū Uthmān Ismāʿil b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Ahmad al-Ṣābūnī (373/983-449/1057) was a scholar famed for his piety and knowledge; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:40-44.

⁵³ Abū Muslim Umar b. 'Alī al-Laythī al-Bukhārī (d. 466/1074 or 468) composed a work entitled Musnad al-Sahīhayn; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:407-9.

⁵⁴ This may be the Abū Sa'd Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Khalīl al-Khalīlī (467/1075-548/1153) whom Abū Sa'd al-Sam'āni identifies as one of his teachers; al-Ansāb, 2:394-5; Ibn al-Athīr, al-Lubāb fi tahdhib al-Ansāb, 3 vols (Beirut, n.d.), 1:458.

place of the $h\bar{a}$, and this suggests that the $h\bar{a}$ is an abbreviation of sahha. It is good to place sahha here so that no one mistakenly believes the hadith that goes with the isnad has been omitted and to prevent the two isnāds from being combined into a single isnād. Someone whom I met on a journey in Khurāsān related to me from an Isfahani whom he described as possessing merit that it is an unpointed letter $h\bar{a}$ from the word tahwil (transition); that is, from one isnad to another. I once consulted a North African scholar about it. I related to him from a hadith scholar I had met that the unpointed har represents the word al-hadith. He said to me, "The people of North Africa – and I do not know of any disagreement among them – write it as an unpointed $h\bar{a}$ and when one of them encounters it in reciting, he says, 'al-hadith.'" He told me that he had heard a Baghdadi also say that it is an unpointed $h\bar{a}$ and that when some of them come across it in their recitation, they say, " $h\bar{a}$," and continue. I asked the well-traveled expert Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Qādir b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ruhāwī⁵⁵ (God bless him) about it. He said that it is the $h\bar{a}$ from $h\bar{a}$ il (divider); that is, it separates two isnads. He said, "Nothing is said when one comes across it in reciting." He denied that it is from the word al-hadith or the other words. He was not acquainted with anything else from any of his teachers and they included a number of the hadith experts of his day. I think it best - and God is the one who grants success – that the reciter say, " $h\bar{a}$," when he comes across it and continue. This is the most cautious way and the most equitable. Knowledge belongs to God (He is exalted).

16. The expert al-Khatīb stated "that after the phrase 'In the name of God, the Compassionate and Merciful,' the student should write the name (ism) of the teacher from whom he heard the book, his paidonymic (kunya) and lineage (nasab) and then put forth what he heard from him verbatim." Al-Khatīb went on to add: "When he comes to write the book he heard, he should write over the words 'In the name of God,' and so forth, the names of those who heard the book with him and the date of the audition. If he likes, he may alternatively write that information in the margin of the first page of the book. Our teachers did it both ways." Writing the list of auditors (tasmī) where he said is safest and most appropriate because it will not be hidden from those in need of it. There is also nothing wrong with writing it at the end of the book, on the outside of it or wherever it can be easily found. The list of auditors should be in the script of a trustworthy person whose handwriting is not unknown. There is no harm then in the teacher granting the audition not endorsing the list of auditors in his own

⁵⁵ Abū Muhammad 'Abd al-Qādir b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ruhāwī (536/1142-612/1215) was one of the greatest hadīth transmitters in the region of the Jazīrā during his lifetime; Dhahabī, Styar, 22:71-5.

⁵⁶ Jāmic, 133.

hand.⁵⁷ Likewise, there is nothing wrong with the owner of the book, if he is trustworthy, merely recording his audition in his own hand. Reliable scholars have long done this.

The teacher Abu 'l-Muzzafar, the son of the expert Abū Sa'd al-Marwazī [al-Sam'ānī] transmitted to me in Marv from his father from an inhabitant of Isfahan who transmitted to him that in Baghdad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī 'Abd Allāh b. Manda^{\$8} recited a ḥadīth monograph to Abū Aḥmad al-Faradī^{\$9} and requested that he sign it as a guarantee for it. Abū Aḥmad said to him, "My son, you must be veracious. When you become known for that, no one will call you a liar and you will be regarded as truthful in what you say and transmit. When that is not the case, if they were to say to you, 'This is not the handwriting of Abū Aḥmad al-Faradī,' what would you say to them?"

The writer of the list of auditors must be attentive and careful. He should make clear in unambiguous terms the identity of the auditor, the material heard and the teacher from whom it was heard. He must avoid laxity in recording the names of the auditors and beware of leaving out the name of any of the auditors for an impure motive. There is nothing wrong if the writer of the list of auditors (muthabbit al-samā) does not personally attend the entire audition, but draws it up relying on information from someone who was present and whose word he can trust (God – He is exalted – willing).

It is shameful for the owner of a book to conceal it from someone whose name is inscribed in the list of auditors and to prevent him from transferring the record of his audition (naql samā'ihī) and copying the book.

When the owner does lend it to him, the borrower should not be slow in returning it. We heard that Zuhrī said, "Beware of the *ghulūl* of books!" Someone asked him, "What is 'the *ghulūl* of books'?" He said, "Withholding them from their owners." We heard that al-Fudayl b. ¶yād⁶⁰ (God be pleased with him) said, "It is not the act of a pious man nor that

58 Abu 'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. Manda (381/991-470/1078), the brother of Abu 'l-Hasan 'Abd Allāh, was a controversial expert in hadith and the author of a number of books; EI², 3:863-4.

⁵⁷ The transmitter would customarily endorse the certificate of audition by personally writing hādhā ṣaḥiḥ or something to that effect and signing his name; see Ṣalāḥ al-Dīn al-Munajjid, "Ijāzāt al-samā' fī 'l-makhṭūṭāt al-qadima," Revue de l'Institut des Manuscrits Arabes, 1 (1375/1955):235-6. This article is a great aid in understanding the issues raised in this section, although it should be noted that its title is somewhat misleading for the document al-Munajjid terms ijāzat al-samā' is more properly called samā', tasmī' or ṭabaqa.

⁵⁹ Abū Aḥmad Ubayd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Faraḍi al-Muqri was a ḥadith transmitter who died in 406/1016 at more than eighty years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 17:212-14.

⁶⁰ Abū Alī al-Fudayl b. Yyād al-Tamīmī (105/723–187/802) was born in Samarqand, studied in al-Kūfa and died while resident in Mecca. Although a respected transmitter of hadīth, he is best remembered for his great piety; Sezgin, GAS, 1:636.

of a wise man to take the record of audition of a man and withhold it from him. Whoever does this harms himself" – or according to another relation: "It is not the act of a scholar to take the record of audition and book of a man and withhold it from him."

What if he does happen to forbid this to him? We heard that a man in al-Kūfa laid a claim against another man for a record of audition which he withheld from him. They took their dispute to the judge there, Ḥafṣ b. Ghiyāth. He said to the owner of the book, "Bring us your books. We impose on you the books with the record of audition of this man recorded in your hand. We exempt you from the books with the record of audition of this man recorded in his hand." Ibn Khallād said, "I asked Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Zubayrī about this and he said, 'There is no ruling better than this on that issue, because the handwriting of the owner of the book indicates that he consented to his colleague listening with him.' Someone else said, 'It is worthless.' "Le expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb related that the judge Ismā'll b. Isḥāq⁶³ was consulted about this and he lowered his head in thought for a long time and then said to the defendant, "If the record of his audition is recorded in your book in your handwriting, you are obliged to lend it to him. If his record of audition in your book is recorded in the hand of someone else, then you know best."

Hafs b. Ghiyāth is counted among the first generation of the followers of Abū Ḥanīfa. Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Zubayrī was one of the authorities of the Shāfi ʿites. Ismā ʿil b. Ishāq is the spokesman of the Mālikites and their authority. Their statements back one another up in that matter and the upshot of them is that the owner of a book which contains with his acknowledgement the audition of someone else is compelled to lend the book to that person. Originally, the reason for this was not evident to me. Then I reasoned that the owner's recording the man's name in the book is equivalent to having testimony in his favor in his possession, so he has to produce it on the basis of the substance of the testimony, even if it involves expending his own property. Similarly, the bearer of testimony in court is compelled to produce it, even if he has to put himself out by running to the court to do so. Knowledge belongs to God (He is blessed and exalted).

When the student copies a book, he should transfer the record of his audition to his copy only after a satisfactory collation. Likewise, one should not transfer a

⁶¹ Abū Umar Ḥafş b. Ghiyāth al-Nakhaʿı al-Tamimi (117/735-194/810) served as judge in Baghdad and al-Kūfa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:22-34.

⁶² Muhaddith al-fasil, 589.

⁶³ Abū Ishāq Ismā'il b. Ishāq al-Azdī (199/815-282/895) was born in al-Baṣra and served as a judge in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:475-6.

⁶⁴ Jāmi^c, 117.

list of auditors to any copy of a book until the book is collated in a satisfactory manner with the copy which was actually heard nor record the list of auditors in the copy automatically at the time of the audition. That way no one will be misled by the uncollated copy. This holds unless it is made clear that the copy is uncollated during the transfer of the record of audition and upon the inscribing it. ⁶⁵ God knows best.

⁶⁵ See Iraqi, Tabşira, 2:161.

Category 26

ON THE MANNER OF RELATING HADITH AND THE STIPULATIONS REGARDING THE CONVEYANCE OF THEM, AND RELATED MATTERS

(Fī ṣifat riwāyat al-ḥadīth wa-sharṭ adā'ihī wa-mā yata'allaqu bi-dhālika)

Many of the matters relevant to this topic have already been discussed in the previous two Categories. Some people are excessively strict in relating hadith and others are excessively lax. One example of strictness is the doctrine of those who say, "A hadith may not be cited as a proof unless the transmitter relates from his memory and recollection." That is related from Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfa (God be pleased with them). Abū Bakr al-Ṣaydalānī al-Marwazī was a Shāfīfite who subscribed to this view. Another excessively strict doctrine is that of those who permit a scholar to rely on his book when transmitting, but would not view the relation from it as valid, if he had lent it out or let it out of his possession, on account of its absence from him.²

We have already related the views of those who tolerate laxity and the refutation of them in the course of the preceding explication of the means of receiving and taking up hadith. One group of lax transmitters are those who hear certain compositions and are remiss to the extent that, when they grow old and come to be needed, ignorance and greed lead them to relate the texts from purchased or borrowed copies which are unsound and have not been collated. The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim included those people in the ranks of discredited transmitters. He said, "They mistakenly believe that they are truthful in their relation. This happens often among people. Certain leading scholars and people known for their piety practice it."

'Abd Allāh b. Lahī'a al-Miṣrī' was a lax transmitter. Despite his augustness, citing his relations as proofs was rejected on account of his laxity. It was said that Yahyā b. Hassān' saw a group of people who had a personal

¹ Abū Bakr Muhammad b. Dāwūd al-Ṣaydalānī al-Dāwūdī (fl. sixth/twelfth century) was known exclusively for his commentary on Muzanī's Mukhtaṣar; Subkī, Tabaqāt, 4:148-9.

² The fear was that, while the book was out of the transmitter's possession, it would be surreptitiously altered and he would not be able to detect the changes since he had not memorized the text; al-Khatib al-Baghdādī, Kifāya, 227; al-Qādī Iyād, Ilmā', 136.

³ Madkhal, 40 (Arabic), 39-40 (English).

⁴ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abd Allāh b. Lahī'a al-Miṣrī (97/715-174/790) served as a judge in Egypt and was a prolific transmitter, although, as the passage indicates, his reliability was questioned; Sezgin, GAS, 1:94.

⁵ Abu Zakarīyā Yaḥyā b. Ḥassān al-Baṣrī (144/761-208/823) was a student of a number of popular transmitters and died in Egypt; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:127-30.

collection of hadith (juz) which they heard from Ibn Lahi (a. He examined the text and not a single hadith in it belonged to Ibn Lahi'a. He went to Ibn Lahi'a and told him that. He said, "What can I do? They bring a book and say, 'This contains your hadith,' so I transmit it to them." Something similar occurs among the teachers of our day. A student brings a personal collection or a book to a teacher and says, "This is your relation." Then the teacher lets him recite it to him, trusting him blindly, without undertaking any investigation to ascertain the truth of the ascription.

The correct view is the one the majority adhere to and it is the middle path between excessive strictness and excessive negligence. When a transmitter meets the stipulations which were explicated above for receiving and taking up hadith and he collates his book and fixes his audition in the fashion described above, it is permissible for him to transmit from it. This holds even if he had lent his book to someone and it was out of his possession, when it is probable that the book is free from alteration and changes. In particular, this is true when the transmitter is one of those who for the most part would recognize whether the text had been altered or changed. That is because in the field of the relation of hadith, we depend on likelihood. When this obtains, it is enough and nothing more than this is stipulated. God knows best.

Some Subsidiary Issues

- When the transmitter is blind and did not memorize his hadith from the mouth of the teacher who transmitted to him, but instead sought the aid of some trustworthy people to make precise his audition and commit the book to memory and in transmitting the text asked for their help in the recitation of the book to him: his relation is sound when he takes care in that regard to the best of his ability, so that the likelihood is that there is no alteration. However, he is more liable to be challenged or forbidden from something like this than a person who can see. However, the expert al-Khatīb said, "Audition from a sighted, illiterate person who did not memorize from the transmitter the hadīth he heard from him - rather it was written down for him - is the same as audition from a blind person who did not memorize his hadith from the transmitter. Some scholars have forbidden it and some have permitted it." God knows best.
 - When a student hears a book and then wants to relate it from a copy of the text which does not contain a record of his audition and was not collated with the copy he heard, although his teacher gave audition from this copy to others: it is not licit for him to relate from that copy. The authority and jurist Abū Naṣr b. al-Sabbagh stated this unequivocally in something of his we read. In the same way, if the copy contained the record of his teacher's audition or a reliable person

⁶ Kifāya, 338.

related from it from his teacher, it would still not be permissible for the student to relate from it, relying merely on that, since he would not be certain that it did not contain additions not present in the copy which he heard. I found that al-Khaṭib has related corroboration of this from most of the scholars of hadīth. He stated that when the student finds the text of the transmitter and the student's audition is not recorded in it or he finds a copy written from his teacher the authenticity of which he has no qualms about, the generality of the scholars of hadīth forbid him to transmit from it. On the other hand, it is said that Ayyūb al-Sakhtiyānī and Muhammad b. Bakr al-Bursānī' allowed it."

That holds true unless the student has a global license (*ijāza* ... 'āmma') or something similar from his teacher for the material he relates. In this case it is permissible for him to relate from that copy, since it is nothing more than the relation of those additions [which may be found in the copy of the text the student wants to use] under the term "He informed us" or "He transmitted to me" by virtue of the license without an explanation that the additions are transmitted by license. That is a minor matter, the like of which may be tolerated. Indeed, we related above that licensing is necessary for every audition so that the words and passages which were omitted due to inattention or for some other reason may be transmitted by virtue of the license, even if they were not actually spoken.9

If the copy of the text [which the student wants to use] instead contains the record of the audition of his teacher's teacher – or it was heard from the teacher of his teacher or related from the teacher of his teacher: in that case to relate from it the student should have a comprehensive license (ijāza shāmila) from his teacher and his teacher should have a comprehensive license from his teacher. This is a good facilitation which God – and praise belongs to Him – led us to and the need for it is very pressing in our time. God knows best.

3. When someone who has memorized a text finds in his book something in conflict with what he memorized, the matter is examined. If he memorized the text from that book, let him go back to what is in his book. If he memorized it from the mouth of the transmitter, let him rely on his memory rather than on what is in his book, when he has no doubts about his recollection of it. It is a good idea for him to mention the two versions in his relation, saying, "My recollection is such and such and in my book it is such and such." That is what Shuba and others did. Likewise, when another person who memorized the text contradicts him regarding what he memorized, let him say, "My recollection is such and such and X said for it such and such," or "someone else said such and such for it," or some other phrase like that. That is what Sufyān al-Thawrī and others did. God knows best.

⁷ Abū 'Abd Allāh (or Abū 'Uthmān) Muḥammad b. Bakr al-Bursānī died in al-Başra in 203/819; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:421-2.

⁸ Kifāya, 257.

⁹ See above, p. 106.

- 4. When a transmitter finds a record of his audition in his book and he does not remember having heard it: it is reported that Abū Ḥanīfa (God bless him) and some of the followers of Shāfi'i (God bless him) did not permit the transmitter to relate the book. The doctrine of Shāfi and most of his followers, Abū Yūsufo and Muḥammad" is that he is permitted to transmit it. This disagreement ought to be addressed in the light of the disagreement just treated above over the permissibility of the transmitter relying on his book to retain (dabt) what he heard. Retaining the text used in the audition is like retaining the material actually heard. The correct view and that of the majority of the scholars of hadith is to permit reliance on a well-guarded book to retain the material heard. Thus, the transmitter is permitted to relate the contents, even if he does not recall each and every hadith in it. So let that be true, if the following condition is met: the record of audition must be in his handwriting or in the handwriting of someone he has confidence in and the book must be well guarded to the extent that it is likely to be free from the touch of forgery and alteration, in the fashion previously described for that. This applies when he has no doubts about it12 and has no qualms regarding its correctness. If he does have doubts about it, reliance on the book is not permitted. God knows best.
 - 5. When the student wants to relate what he has heard in paraphrase rather than verbatim: if he is not a scholar who is knowledgeable in words and what they mean, familiar with what changes their sense and in possession of insight into the shades of difference between them, there is no disagreement that he is not permitted to do that. He should relate what he heard only in the same terms in which he heard it, without any alteration. The permissibility of this, if he is a scholar who is knowledgeable in these matters, is one of the things the pious forebears, the scholars of hadith, and the greatest authorities in practical and theoretical law have disagreed about. Some of the transmitters of hadith, certain Shāfi'ite experts in positive and theoretical law and others permitted it. Some of them forbade it for the hadith of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and allowed it for other material. The most correct view is to permit it for everything, if the transmitter knows what we described and states plainly that he is passing on the sense of the words which reached him, because that is what the affairs of the Companions and early forebears testify to. They often used to transmit a single

¹⁰ Abū Yūsuf Ya'qūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Kūfī (113/731-182/798) was one of the most prominent students of the *imām* Abū Ḥanīfa and he served as the chief judge in Baghdad; EI^P, 1:164-5; Sezgin, GAS, 1:419-21.

¹¹ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī (132/750-189/805) was, like Abū Yūsuf, one of the most prominent early Ḥanafites. His writings form the foundation of Ḥanafite law; El², 9:392-4; Sezgin, GAS, 1:421-33.

¹² Sirāj al-Dīn al-Bulqīnī writes that the antecedent of this pronoun may be either "the record of the audition" (samā') or "the touch of forgery and alteration" (taṭarruq al-tazwir wa-'l-taghyir ilayhi); Maḥāsin al-iṣṭilāḥ wa-taḍmin Kitāb Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ, ed. 'Ā'isha 'Abd al-Raḥmān with Muqaddima, 2nd edn (Cairo, 1989), 394.

notion about a particular matter with different words and that was only because they relied on the sense rather than the wording.

We do not think that this disagreement is active any more and people do not practice transmission by paraphrase, so far as we know, with the contents of books. No one has the right to alter the wording of anything in an authored book and replace it with another word having the same meaning. Rather, those who permitted transmission by paraphrasing did so on account of the difficulty and hardship faced by the Companions and early forebears in rendering the words exactly and rigidly sticking to them. That problem does not exist for the contents of papers and books, because even if someone does possess the authority to change a spoken word, he does not possess the authority to change the composition of someone else. God knows best.

6. Someone who paraphrases a hadīth should say after it, "or however he put it" (aw kamā qāla), "or something like that" (aw naḥwu hādhā), and similar expressions. That practice was related from the Companions Ibn Masʿūd, Abu 'l-Dardā'¹¹ and Anas (God be pleased with them). Al-Khatīb said, "The Companions were masters of the language and were the people most knowledgeable in semantics. They used these expressions only out of fear of error on account of their recognition of the danger inherent in transmission by paraphrasing."¹⁴

Whenever someone reciting a text comes across something that seems obscure to him, he should read the dubious form and then say, "or however he put it." This is a good idea and it is the correct course in a matter like this, because the transmitter's licensing the student and permitting him to relate the correct form from him, when it becomes evident, are inherent in his saying, "or however he put it." It is not necessary for the transmitter to pronounce the license specifically for the doubtful reading, for the reason we just explained. God knows best.

7. Is it permissible to abridge a hadith and transmit only part of it? Scholars disagree about that. Some forbid it outright on the basis of the doctrine of absolutely forbidding transmission by paraphrasing. Some forbid it despite allowing transmission by paraphrasing, when the transmitter has not related the hadith in its complete form at some other time and it is not known that someone else related it in its complete form. Some permit it without any restrictions and make no distinctions. Indeed, we heard that Mujāhid said, "Leave out whatever you want from a hadith but never add anything to it." The correct approach is to make a distinction. Abridging is permissible for a knowledgeable and informed transmitter when what he omits can be separated from what he transmits and is not dependent on it, so that the meaning does not become defective and the legal point of what he transmits is not altered by the omission. This should be permitted,

14 Jāmi^c, 251.

¹³ Abu 'l-Dardā' al-Anṣārī al-Khazrajī (the rest of his name is disputed) was an important Companion of the Prophet who died in Damascus around 32/653; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:335-53.

even if transmission by paraphrasing is not permitted, because under these circumstances the part he omits [and the part which he relates] are tantamount to two separate reports on two matters, neither dependant on the other.

This applies if the transmitter's stature is so elevated that an accusation will not be lodged against him for transmitting it first completely and then in a shortened form or for transmitting it first in shortened form and then completely. If his stature is not so elevated, the expert al-Khatib has said that whoever relates a hadith in its complete form and is afraid that if he relates it another time with an omission he will be accused of having the first time added something he had not heard or of having the second time forgotten the rest of the hadith on account of his lack of precision and his propensity to err, must banish this suspicion from himself.15 The authoritative jurist Abu 'l-Fath Sulaym b. Ayyūb al-Rāzī said thar this constitutes an excuse to omit and conceal the addition for whoever relates part of a report and then wants to relate it completely, if he is one of those who will be accused of having added something to his hadith. In my opinion, someone like this should not, from the start, relate hadith incompletely, if he has been enjoined to give it completely. This is because if he initially relates it incompletely. he excludes the rest of it from being cited as a proof. He ends up oscillating between not relating it at all, thereby forfeiting it entirely, and relating it and being accused [of putting a false addition in it] for doing so, thereby wasting any benefit from it because of the destruction of its value as a proof. Knowledge belongs to God (He is exalted).

If an author breaks up the text of a single hadith and distributes its parts under various legal topics in his book, it is closer to being permitted and further from being forbidden. Indeed, Mālik, Bukhārī and more than one of the other authorities in hadīth did that, although it is not completely unreprehensible. God knows best.

8. A transmitter should not relate his hadīth through the recitation of someone prone to grammatical mistakes and misreading. We heard that al-Nadr b. Shumayl' (God be pleased with him) said, "Originally these hadīth came in good Arabic." Abū Bakr [or Abu 'l-Fath or Abu 'l-Qāsim] b. Abi 'l-Ma'ālī al-Furāwī informed us by recitation to him. He said, My great-grandfather, the authority Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl al-Furāwī informed us. He said, Abu 'l-Ḥusayn 'Abd al-Ghāfīr b. Muḥammad b. al-Fārisī informed us. He said, The authority

¹⁵ Kifāya, 193.

¹⁶ Abu 'l-Hasan al-Nadr b. Shumayl al-Māzinī was born in Marv around 122/740. As a boy, he traveled to al-Baṣra with his father and studied under the great grammarian Khalīl b. Ahmad. He later returned to Marv, where he served as judge. He died there either on the last day of the year 203/819 or near the beginning of 204; Sezgin, GAS, 8:59.

¹⁷ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Fadl al-Furāwi (ca. 441/1049-530/1136) was a famous transmitter of several major hadith collections; Dhahabi, Siyar, 19:615-19.

¹⁸ Abu 'l-Husayn 'Abd al-Ghāfir b. Muḥammad b. al-Fārist (ca. 350/961-448/1056) was one of the great ḥadīth transmitters of his generation; Dhahabi, Siyar, 18:19-21.

Abū Sulaymān Ḥamd b. Muḥammad al-Khaṭṭābī informed us. He said, Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Muḥamhited to me. He said, One of our teachers informed us from Abū Dāwūd al-Sinjī. 20 He said, I heard Aṣmaʿtī saying, "The greatest fear I have for a student of ḥadīth – if he does not know grammar – is that he fall under the general designation of the statement of the Prophet (Peace be upon him), 'Whoever ascribes a lie to me, let him take his seat in Hell,' because the Prophet (Peace be upon him) did not make grammatical mistakes. Whenever you relate a hadīth from him and make a grammatical mistake in it, you ascribe a lie to him."

The student of hadīth has a duty to learn enough grammar and lexicography to avoid the shame and ignominy of grammatical mistakes and miswriting. We heard that Shuba said, "Whoever studies hadīth and does not comprehend the Arabic language is like someone who wears a burnous without a hood," or however he put it. We heard that Hammād b. Salama said, "Someone who studies hadīth and does not know grammar is like a donkey wearing a nosebag with no barley in it." The way to avoid misreading is to take hadīth from the mouth of people possessing knowledge and accuracy. Whoever is deprived of that and instead takes and learns his hadīth from books is likely to corrupt the hadīth and is unable to keep from changing and misreading the text. God knows best.

9. When a grammatical mistake or an instance of misreading occurs during the relation of a hadīth: there is a disagreement over what to do. Some people used to hold the opinion that the student should relate it with the mistake, just as he heard it. The Followers Muḥammad b. Sīrīn and Abū Ma'mar 'Abd Allāh b. Sakhbara'² subscribed to that. This is an extreme manifestation of the doctrine of adhering to the exact wording of the recitation and forbidding transmission by paraphrasing. Others recommend altering and correcting the mistake and relating it in its correct form. We heard that view from Awzā'ī, Ibn al-Mubārak and others, and it is the doctrine of beginning and advanced scholars of hadīth. Advocating this for a grammatical mistake which does not change the sense of the hadīth and similar cases is a necessity according to the doctrine which permits the transmission of hadīth by paraphrase, and, as just stated, this is the doctrine of the majority.

The right way to correct and change a mistake found in both a book and the original it was copied from is to leave it and report what occurs in the original as

¹⁹ Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. Mu'adh al-Harawi was a student of Abū Dāwūd al-Sinji. He died in 316/928 at over ninety years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 14:484-5.

²⁰ Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān b. Mabad al-Sinji (d. 257/871) is described as a litterateur and poet; Ibn al-Athir, Lubāb, 2:147.

²¹ Abū Saʿid ʿAbd al-Malik b. Qurayb al-Aṣmaʿi (ca. 120/738-213/828) was a renowned philologist who served at the court of the caliph Hārūn al-Rashīd; EP, 1:717-19. Sezgin, GAS, 8:71-6; 9:66-7.

²² Abu Ma'mar 'Abd Allāh b. Sakhbara al-Azdi was a Kūfan transmitter of hadīth who was born during the lifetime of the Prophet and died during the governorship of 'Ubayd Allāh b. Ziyād; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:133-4.

it is while placing a "latch" $(dabba)^{23}$ on it and indicating the correct form on the margin of the page. That is the most useful and least damaging way.

We heard that one scholar of hadith was seen in a dream. Something passed from his lips – or from his tongue. He was asked about this and said, "It is a word from a hadith of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him and his family) which I changed on my own volition, so this was done to me."

Often we see that something which many scholars mistakenly believe to be an error – and they often change it – is correct with sound justification, even if it is obscure and may be considered peculiar. This occurs in particular in what they consider an error from the standpoint of good Arabic. That is because of the numerousness of the dialects and the sub-dialects of the Arabs.²⁴ We heard that 'Abd Allāh b. Ahmad b. Hanbal²⁵ said, "When my father came across a grievous error, he corrected it. When it was a minor mistake, he left it and said, 'So said the teacher.'" One of our teachers informed me of something to the same effect from someone who informed him from the expert al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ. ²⁶ In a word, the practice to which most of the teachers of old adhered was to transmit the relation as it reached them, without changing it in their books.

Even the variant readings of the Qur'ān continue to be transmitted in books — without being considered anomalous — in conflict with the universally accepted recitation. Some of that also occurs in the two Saḥiḥs, the Muwaṭṭa' and other works.

However, the more knowledgeable teachers point out these errors in these books when the text is heard and recited and in the margins of the books while still reporting what is in the texts in the way it reached them.

Some, including Abu 'l-Walīd Hishām b. Aḥmad al-Kinānī al-Waqqashī, zī had the audacity to alter books and correct them. Because of his wide reading, his mastery of several disciplines, his penetrating intellect and his keen intelligence, he ventured to make many corrections and he did make mistakes in some instances. The same is true of others who did the same thing.

The best way is to forbid all alteration and correction so that someone who is not competent will not venture to do it. The first way [that is, reproducing the text as found] accompanied by a clarification is the safest. So at the audition, the

²³ See below, pp. 138-9.

²⁴ The Prophet addressed the various Arab tribes in their own dialect; al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ, Ilmā̄¹, 183.

^{25 &#}x27;Abd Allah (213/828–290/903), unlike his older brother Salih, remained in Baghdad with their father, the *imām* Aḥmad; Dhahabt, *Siyar*, 13:516–26.

²⁶ Ilmāc, 185-6.

²⁷ Abu 'l-Walid Hishām b. Aḥmad al-Kinānī al-Waqqashī (408/1017-489/1096) was an Andalusian scholar praised for his expertise in a number of disciplines; Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 19:134-6.

transmitter should give the mistake as it occurs and then state the form which is correct, either with regard to sound Arabic usage or with regard to transmission. If he wants, he may recite it first in its correct form and then say, "Such and such is the way it is found with our teacher" – or "in our relation," or "by way of X." In fact this is better than the first way, since the transmitter does not ascribe to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) something he did not say.

The most appropriate procedure to rely on when making a correction is to mend the false form with something found in other hadīth. Whoever does this is safe from ascribing to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) something which he did not say. God knows best.

10. When the correction consists of adding something that was omitted: if the addition is such that it does not change the sense, then it is treated in the fashion described above. That is similar to what is related from Mālik (God be pleased with him). He was asked, "Do you view as valid a hadīth of the Prophet (Peace be upon him) to which the letters $w\bar{a}w$ and alif were added, while the meaning remains the same?" He said, "I hope that it will be considered insignificant."

If the correction by the addition entails a meaning different from what occurs in the text, the well-established verdict is for the transmitter to mention the wording as it appears in the text coupled with a notification of what was omitted. This way he will be safe both from the shame of the error [that is, the omission] and from ascribing to his teacher something he did not say. Abū Nu'aym al-Fadl b. Dukayn transmitted a hadīth from a teacher of his in which he said, "From Buhayna." Then Abū Nu'aym said, "He really is Ibn Buhayna," but my teacher said, "Buhayna." When someone below the occurrence of the omitted material is known to have provided the material and someone else later on omitted it, it is treated differently. The student should supply the omission in its correct place in the book with the phrase "that is" (ya'ni). This is what the expert al-Khaṭīb did28 when he related from Abū Umar b. Mahdī²⁹ from al-Qādī al-Mahāmilī, 30 with his isnād, from 'Urwa from 'Amra bint 'Abd al-Rahmān'1 - that is from A isha – that she said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) used to put his head near to me so that I could comb it." Al-Khatīb said, "The text of Ibn Mahdī read 'from 'Amra that she said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) used to put his head near to me ..."' So we added to it the mention of

²⁸ Kifāya, 253.

²⁹ Abū Umar 'Abd al-Wāḥid b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Mahdī (318/930-410/1019) was best known for being the principal transmitter of the ḥadīth of al-Qādī al-Maḥāmilī; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:221-2.

³⁰ Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿl al-Dabbi (235/849–330/941) served as a judgé in al-Kūfa for sixty years and held a salon for scholars of law and hadīth in his house; Sezgin, GAS, 1:180–1.

^{31 &#}x27;Amra bint 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Anṣārīya (d. ca. 100/719) was a student of 'Ā'isha and an authority on law in her own right; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:507-8.

'Ā'isha since it was indispensable and we knew that Maḥāmilī related it that way. However, the mention of 'Ā'isha was omitted in the book of our teacher Abū 'Umar [b. Mahdī]. We said, 'That is from 'Ā'isha,' in the isnād because Ibn Mahdī did not say that to us. I have seen a number of our teachers doing the same thing in similar cases." Then he mentioned, with his isnād, that Aḥmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "I heard Wakī' saying, 'In ḥadīth I call upon "that is" for help.'"

This is true when his teacher has related it to him incorrectly. When it is the case that the omission is found in the student's book and it seems likely to him that the omission occurs only in the book and is not from his teacher, then correcting it both in his book and in his relation when he transmits it is justified. Abū Dāwūd [al-Sijistānī] said that he told Ahmad b. Hanbal, "I found in my book, 'Hajjāj [b. Muḥammad al-A'war] from Jurayj from Abu 'l-Zubayr [Muḥammad b. Muslim b. Tadrūs].' Is it permissible for me to correct it to 'Ibn Jurayj'?" He said, "I hope that there is nothing wrong with doing that." God knows best.

This is similar to the case when part of an isnad or text is effaced in the student's book. It is permissible for him to supply the missing text from someone else's book, when he knows that it is correct and he has no doubts that it actually is what is missing from his book. However, there are some transmitters who do not view this as permissible. One of those who did replace missing text this way was Nu^caym b. Ḥammād,³² according to what Yaḥyā b. Ma^cin related from him. The expert al-Khatib said, "It would have been better, if he had made that clear at the time of the relation."33 The same verdict applies to the transmitter who relies on his memory who seeks to verify something he has doubts about in someone else's book or his own recollection. It is related that a number of the scholars of hadīth did that, including 'Āsim,34 Abū 'Awāna [al-Isfarāyīnī] and Ahmad b. Hanbal. Some of them used to note the material someone else verified, saying, "X transmitted the hadith to us and Y verified it for me." For example, it is related that Yazīd b. Hārūn said, "Āṣim informed us - and Shuba verified it for me – from 'Abd Allāh b, Sarjis." This is also required when the transmitter finds in the original of his book a rare Arabic word or something incompletely written which puzzles him. It is licit for the student to ask well-informed scholars about it and relate it in accordance with what they tell him. Something similar to this was related from Ishaq b. Rahawayh, Ahmad b. Hanbal and others (God be pleased with them). God knows best.

³² Abū 'Abd Allāh Nu'aym b. Ḥammād al-Khuzā'i (d. ca. 228/844) was a scholar of ḥadith and opponent of the Ḥanafites who is best known for his book *Kitāb al-Fitan*; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:104–5.

³³ Kifāya, 254.

³⁴ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Āṣim b. Sulaymān al-Tamīmī al-Baṣrī, known as 'Āṣim al-Aḥwal, was a transmitter of ḥadīth who died around 140/757; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:13-15.

³⁵ According to some standards, 'Abd Allāh b. Sarjis qualified as a Companion of the Prophet. He died in al-Başra after 80/699; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:426–7.

11. When the transmitter has a hadīth from two or more teachers and there is a difference in the wording between their relations, but the meaning is the same: he may join them together in a single isnād and then quote the hadīth according to the particular wording of one of them and say, "X and Y informed us and the wording is that of X," – or "this is the wording of X" – "he" – or "they" – "said, 'Z informed us,'" or other similar expressions. Muslim – the author of the Ṣaḥīḥ – also has another good expression for this. He says for instance, "Abū Bakr b. Abī Shayba³6 and Abū Saʿīd al-Ashajj³7 transmitted to us, both of them from Abū Khālid.³8 Abū Bakr said, 'Abū Khālid al-Aḥmar transmitted to us from al-Aʿmash," and he quoted the ḥadīth. His repetition of the reference to one of them in particular is an indication that the wording given was his.

When the transmitter does not single out one of the two teachers for mention, but instead takes portions from each and says, "X and Y informed us and they were similar in wording. They said, 'Z informed us:'" this is not forbidden, according to the doctrine which permits relation by paraphrase. The statement of Abū Dāwūd [al-Sijistānī] – author of the Sunan – "Musaddad³ and Abū Tawba⁴ transmitted the sense to us. They said, 'Abu 'l-Aḥwaṣ⁴ transmitted to us,'" and things similar to this in his book may be instances of the first method; that is, the wording is that of Musaddad and Abū Tawba agrees with him in sense. It is also possible that they are instances of the second procedure; that is, Abū Dāwūd has not given the wording of one of them in particular but rather he has related it by paraphrase from both of them. This possibility is more likely when he says, "Muslim b. Ibrāhīm and Mūsā b. Ismāʿīl¹² transmitted to us. The sense is the same. They said, 'Abān⁴³ transmitted to us.'"

When the transmitter combines a number of teachers who have given the same sense and the text he produces is not the wording of every one of them and he says nothing to clarify that: this is something which Bukhārī and others

^{36 &#}x27;Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm (159/775-235/849), known as Abū Bakr b. Abī Shayba, was best known for his hadīth collection known as *Musannaf*; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:108.

³⁷ Abū Saʿid ʿAbd Allah b. Saʿid b. Ḥuṣayn al-Ashajj (167/783-257/871) was an important early transmitter of ḥadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:134.

³⁸ Abū Khālid Sulaymān b. Ḥayyān al-Aḥmar (114/732–189/805) was considered to be a reliable transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:19–21.

³⁹ The hadith of the Başran Abu 'l-Ḥasan Musaddad b. Musarhad al-Asadi (ca. 150/767-228/843) were collected in a couple of small *musnads*; Dhahabi, *Siyar*, 10:591-5.

⁴⁰ The transmitter Abū Tawba al-Rabī b. Nāfi al-Ḥalabī (ca. 150/767–241/855) was sought out by a number of his most important contemporaries; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:653–5.

⁴¹ Abu 'l-Ahwas Sallām b. Sulaym al-Hanafi (d. 179/795) transmitted a large number of hadīth; Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:281-4.

⁴² Abū Salama Mūsā b. Ismāʿil al-Minqarī (d. 223/838), known as "Tabūdhakī," was considered one of the finest hadīth experts of his day in al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:360-5.

⁴³ Abū Yazīd Abān b. Yazīd al-'Aṭṭār was a Baṣran transmitter of ḥadīth who seems to have died around 164/781; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:431-3.

condemn. There is nothing wrong with it under the doctrine which permits transmission by paraphrase.

When the transmitter hears a composition from a number of teachers and then collates his copy with the text of just one of them and he wants to mention all of them in the isnād and say, "The wording is that of X," as stated above: on the one hand, this may be permitted like the first case because he had heard the text which he gave with that particular reading from the person to whom he attributed the wording. On the other hand, it may be forbidden because he possesses no knowledge of the particulars of the relations of the others which would enable him to provide information about those relations. This is in contrast to the earlier case where the transmitter was acquainted with the relations of the teachers other than the one whom he ascribed the wording to and he knew that they agreed in regard to the sense, and so provided that information. God knows best.

12. The student should not add to the lineage (nasab) his teacher has given for the men above him in the isnād, interpolating the additional information into the isnād without any distinguishing break. If he makes a break, saying something like, "He is the son of X, from such-and-such place" (huwa ibn fulān al-fulāni), or, "That is the son of X" (ya'ni ibn fulān); it is permissible. The hadīth expert and authority Abū Bakr al-Barqānī (God bless him) said in his Kitāb al-Luqat (Book of Gleanings), with his isnād, that 'Alī b. al-Madīnī said, "When a man transmits to you and says, 'X transmitted to us,' without providing the rest of his lineage, I think it best that you provide the rest of it. So say, 'He transmitted to us that (anna") X b. Y transmitted to him.'" God knows best.

What if his teacher gave the lineage or description of his teacher at the beginning of the book or personal hadith collection with the first hadith from him, and for the later hadith restricts himself to giving the name of his teacher or just part of his lineage? For instance, I relate a personal hadith collection from Furāwi and I say at the beginning of it, "Abū Bakr Mansūr b. 'Abd al-Mun'im b. 'Abd Allāh al-Furāwi informed us. He said, 'X informed us,'" and I say for the rest of his hadīth, "Mansūr informed us, Mansūr informed us." Is it licit for someone who heard that collection from me to relate separately from me some of the hadīth after the first hadīth and say for each of them, "X [that is, Ibn al-Şalāh] informed us. He said, 'Abū Bakr Mansūr b. 'Abd al-Mun'im b. 'Abd Allāh al-Furāwī informed us.' He said, "Y informed us," " on the basis of my having mentioned Furāwī's full name at the beginning, even if I did not give it for each of the hadīth? The expert al-Khatīb has related that most scholars permitted this and for some the preferred way was to say, "That is the son of X."

⁴⁴ In Arabic, as in English, the nominalizing particle indicates that the material which follows is not a direct quotation. By using it the transmitter shows that he is paraphrasing the words of his teacher and thus avoids falsely ascribing to him something that he did not actually say.

He related, with his isnad, that Ahmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "That is the son of X," when the name of a man appeared without the lineage. 45

Al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī related from Barqānī, with the latter's isnād, the statement we mentioned above from 'Ali b. al-Madini. Then he said that he saw Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. 'Alī al-Iṣbahāni' - the sojourner in Nishapur, and he was one of the excellent experts and a pious and religious man - doing the same. Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi asked him about many of the hadith he related to him, saying for them, "Abū 'Amr b. Hamdan informed us that Abū Ya a Ahmad b. 'Alī b. al-Muthannā al-Mawsilī informed them," "Abū Bakr b. al-Mugri? informed us that Ishaq b. Ahmad b. Nafic transmitted to them," and, "The expert Abū Aḥmad [b. 'Adī] informed us that Abū Yūsuf Muḥammad b. Sufyān al-Saffar48 informed them." He replied to him that they were hadith which he had heard by recitation to his teachers from a number of texts at the beginning of which the teachers gave the lineages of those who transmitted the hadith to them and the teachers limited themselves to mentioning just the name of the transmitters for the remainder of the hadith. 49 Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi said, "Others used to say in cases like this, 'X informed us. He said, "Y informed us" - he is the son of Z,' and then quote his lineage to its end. This is what I think is preferable because some transmitters used to say for the material licensed to them, "X informed us that Y transmitted to them."50

All of these ways are permissible and the best is to say, "He is the son of X," or "That is the son of X." Next best is to say, "That X b. Y." The third best is to provide the exact form of the name given at the beginning of the volume without any break. God knows best.

13. In writing, it has become customary to omit "He said" ($q\bar{a}la$) and the like between the transmitters of an isnād. Nevertheless, "He said" must be pronounced out loud when the text is recited. One of the things like this which is neglected is when "It was recited to X. Y informed you" appears in the course of an isnād. The person reciting should say for it, "[It was recited to X.] 'Y informed you,' was said to him." "It was recited to X. Y informed us," is sometimes found. "He said" should be included in this. What should be said is, "It was recited to X. He said, 'Y transmitted to us.'" Indeed, this appears clearly written in some of the texts which have been related to us. When the word "He said" is repeated – as in the book of Bukhārī, "Ṣāliḥ b. Ḥayyān transmitted to us. He said, 'Āmir al-Sha'bī said'" (haddathanā Ṣāliḥ ibn Ḥayyān qāla qāla 'Āmir al-Sha'bī) – they

⁴⁵ Kifaya, 215.

⁴⁶ Abu Bakr Ahmad b. 'Ali al-Işbahāni (347/958-428/1036), known as Ibn Manjuwayh, composed a number of books based on the major hadith collections; Sezgin, GAS, 1:230.

⁴⁷ Abū Muḥammad Isḥāq b. Aḥmad b. Isḥāq b. Nāfī' al-Khuzā' died in Mecca in 308/921; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:289.

⁴⁸ I have not succeeded in finding any information about this individual.

⁴⁹ Kifāya, 215-16.

⁵⁰ Kifāya, 216.

omit one of them in writing. Nevertheless, the reciter must pronounce both of them. God knows best.

14. The famous nuskhas containing a number of hadith with a single isnād, like the nuskha of Hammām b. Munabbih from Abū Hurayra, by the relation of 'Abd al-Razzāq from Ma'mar [b. Rāshid] from Hammām, and similar nuskhas and personal hadīth collections: some people mention the isnād anew at the beginning of each hadīth from these works. That is found in many old texts and it is the safest course. Others are satisfied to mention the isnād at the beginning of the text with the first hadīth or in the beginning of each of the sessions of audition, subsuming the rest of the hadīth under it and saying for each succeeding hadīth, "With the same isnād" (bi-'l-isnād), or "With it" (bi-hi). That is the more common and predominant way.

When someone who heard the hadith this way wants to separate those hadith and relate each with the isnād given at the beginning of the text: he is allowed to do this in the view of most people, including Waki b. al-Jarrāh, Yaḥyā b. Maʿin and Abū Bakr al-Ismāʿilī. This is because all of the hadīth are attached to the first hadīth so the isnād given in the beginning of the text is as good as mentioned for each hadīth. It is tantamount to dividing the text of a single hadīth between several subject headings under the isnād given at the beginning of the hadīth. (God knows best.) Some transmitters of hadīth reject presenting individually any of those hadīth subsumed under an isnād mentioned in the beginning and regard it as misrepresentation. One scholar of hadīth asked the professor Abū Ishāq al-Isfarāyīnī – the expert in practical and theoretical law – about this and he said that it is impermissible.

The person who heard something this way should also follow the same procedure. The correct way is for him to explain and relate the hadith as it came. Muslim did this in his Sahih for the sahifa of Hammam b. Munabbih, saying, "Muhammad b. Rāfi' informed us. He said, 'Abd al-Razzāq informed us. He said, Ma'mar told us from Hammam b. Munabbih. He said, 'This is what Abū Hurayra transmitted to us,' and he mentioned some of the hadith, including 'The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "The lowest seat of one of you in heaven is that He says to him, 'Hope for something,'"' and so forth." Many compilers did that. God knows best.

15. When the transmitter gives the text of a hadīth – or the text of the hadīth and part of the isnād – before the isnād and then gives the isnād immediately after:

⁵¹ Nuskha and şaḥifa appear to have been more or less interchangeable terms for the primitive hadth collections bearing a single isnād; see M.M. Azami, Studies in Early Ḥadīth Literature (Indianapolis, 1978), 29-30.

⁵² This famous nuskha (or saḥīfa) was the hadīth collection of Abū Uqba Hammām b. Munabbih al-Ṣan anī (ca. 40/660-ca. 101/719); Sezgin, GAS, 1:86.

⁵³ Jāmi' al-Şaḥiḥ, 1:114 (K. al-Imān, B. Ma'rifat ṭarīq al-ru'ya).

for instance, the transmitter says, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said such and such," or "Amr b. Dīnār related from Jābir from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) such and such," and he then says, "X informed us of it. He said, 'Y informed us,'" and gives the isnād to the point where it connects with what he gave before.

That is related to the case where the student places the isnād [which his teacher gave after the text⁵⁴] before the text so that with the isnād he makes the text "supported" rather than "loose."

It has been reported that one of the earlier scholars of hadith permitted someone who heard a hadith from his teacher in this way to put the entire isnad in front of the text, putting the pieces together in that fashion, if he were to desire that.

It is natural that there is a difference of opinion over this like the one over placing part of the text ahead of another part of the text. Indeed, al-Khaṭīb related⁵⁵ that some people forbid that on the basis of the doctrine that relation by paraphrase is impermissible and that other people permit it on the basis of the doctrine that relation by paraphrasing is permissible – for there is no difference in that regard between [rearranging the elements of the text of a ḥadīth and moving the isnād given after the text in front of the text]. God knows best.

The practice of some of scholars of repeating the isnād at the end of the book or volume after giving it in the beginning: this does not stir up the controversy discussed above in connection with giving the isnād individually with each hadīth when it is related, because here the isnād is not connected with each one of them. Rather, it serves as a confirmation and safety measure [in case the first page of the text becomes lost] and enjoys a full authorization of the highest type. God knows best.

16. When a transmitter relates a hadith with an isnād, follows it with another isnād and says at the end of that isnād, "A text like the previous one" (mithluhū): if his student wants to give only the second isnād and provide the wording of the hadīth given after the first isnād, this is most obviously forbidden. We heard that the expert Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb (God bless him) said, "Shuba used not to permit that. Some scholars said that that is permitted, when it is known that the original transmitter was accurate, mindful and believed in recognizing differences in wording and comparing texts letter for letter. If he is not known to be so, it is not permitted. More than one scholar, when they related something like that, used

⁵⁴ This would happen when the transmitter recited the hadith without an isnād and his auditors then asked him for the isnād; see al-Khatib al-Baghdādī, Kifāya, 211-12.

⁵⁵ Kifāya, 170-1. For al-Khaṭtb's discussion of rearranging the words in the text of a hadīth, see Kifāya, 175-7.

to give the isnād and say, 'A hadīth like the previous one. Its text is such and such,' and then provide the text. This also applies when the transmitter says, 'A text similar to the previous one' $(nahwuh\bar{u})$. This is the procedure I prefer." ⁵⁶

Abū Aḥmad 'Abd al-Wahhāb b. Abī Manṣūr 'Alī b. 'Alī al-Baghdādī⁵⁷ – the leading teacher in Baghdad – informed us through my recitation to him there. He said, My father (God bless him) informed me. He said, Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Ṣarīfīnī⁵⁸ informed us. He said, Abu 'l-Qāsim b. Ḥabāba⁵⁹ informed us. He said, Abu 'l-Qāsim 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Baghawī⁶⁰ transmitted to us. He said, 'Amr b. Muḥammad al-Nāqid⁶¹ transmitted to us. He said, Wakī' transmitted to us. He said, "Shuba said, "X from Y: A text like the previous one" does not work.'" Wakī' also said, "Sufyān al-Thawrī said, 'It does work.'"

When a transmitter says, "a text similar to the previous one," in this situation, some regard it as if he had said, "a text like the previous one." It was communicated to us, with an isnād, that Wakī's said, "Sufyān [al-Thawrī] said, 'When a transmitter says, "a text similar to the previous one," it is a valid hadīth,' while Shu'ba said, "a text similar to the previous one" contains doubt.' "62 Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn permitted what we stated above in regard to "a text like the previous one" and did not permit it for "a text similar to the previous one." Al-Khaṭīb said, "This statement is in accordance with the doctrine of those who do not permit relation by paraphrase. According to the doctrine of those who permit it, there is no difference between 'a text like the previous one' and 'a text similar to the previous one.' "63

This has a connection to what we heard from Mas'ūd b. 'Alī al-Sijzī⁶⁴ to the effect that he heard the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim saying, "One of the forms of accuracy and exactitude which is incumbent on a

⁵⁶ Kifāya, 212.

⁵⁷ Diyā al-Dīn Abū Aḥmad Abd al-Wahhāb b. Abī Manṣūr Alī b. Alī al-Baghdādī (519/1125–607/1210), known as Ibn Sukayna, was, as indicated in the passage, a teacher of Ibn al-Şalāḥ. During his lifetime, he was famed for his elevated hadīth transmissions and his piety; Dhahabī, Siyar, 21:502–5.

⁵⁸ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Ṣarīfinī (384/994—469/1076) was a preacher in the mosque of Ṣarīfīn, a village near Baghdad. Many students sought him out as a transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:330–2.

⁵⁹ Abu 'l-Qāsim 'Ubayd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. Isḥāq b. Sulaymān b. Ḥabāba al-Baghdādī (300/913-389/999) was a poorly known transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:548-9.

⁶⁰ Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Baghawī (214/829-317/929), also known as "Ibn bint [Aḥmad b.] Manī'," was a prominent transmitter of hadīth in Baghdad. He was a nephew of 'Alī b. 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Makkī; Sezgin, GAS, 1:175.

⁶¹ Abū Uthmān 'Amr b. Muḥammad al-Nāqid al-Baghdādi died in Baghdad in 232/847; Dhahabi, Siyar, 11:147–8.

⁶² Kifāya, 320.

⁶³ Kifāya, 213-14.

⁶⁴ Abū Saʿīd Masʿūd b. ʿAlī al-Sijzī was a transmitter of hadīth praised for his accuracy who died in Nishapur in 477/1084; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:532-5.

hadīthologist (hadīthī) is to differentiate between using 'a text like the previous one' and 'a text similar to the previous one.' It is not licit for him to say 'a text like the previous one,' until he learns that the texts are identical in wording while he may say 'a text similar to the previous one,' if they have the same sense." God knows best.

17. When a teacher gives the isnad of a hadith, provides only the beginning of its text and then says, "And he [that is, his teacher] mentioned [the rest of] the hadīth" (wa-dhakara 'l-ḥadīth) or, "And he mentioned the hadīth in its entirety" (wa-dhakara 'l-hadīth bi-tūlihī): if his student wants to relate the hadīth from him in its full and complete form, it is more properly forbidden than the aforementioned case of the transmitter saying, "a text like the previous one," or, "a text similar to the previous one." The correct course is to make the situation clear by fully recounting what his teacher said, saying, "He said, 'And he gave the hadith in its entirety," and then say, "The hadith in its entirety is such and such," quoting it to its end. One of the scholars of hadith asked Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad al-Shāfi [al-Isfarāyīnī] - the prominent expert in positive law and legal theory - about this. He said, "It is not permissible for someone who heard a hadith in this fashion to relate the hadith with its full wording." Abū Bakr al-Bargani, the hadith expert and jurist, asked the hadith expert and jurist Abū Bakr al-Ismā'ilī about someone who recited the isnād of a hadīth to a teacher and then said, "And he mentioned [the rest of] the hadīth." Is it permissible for him to relate the entire hadith? He said, "When the transmitter and the reciter know that hadith, I hope it will be permitted. The evidence is best that he would give it accurately." When we permit this, the reasoning is that the portion which the teacher did not mention is being transmitted by licensing. However, it is a certain and strong licensing in many respects. Therefore, in combination with the audition of the beginning of the text, the student is permitted to insert the remainder of the text without a license being specifically pronounced for it. God knows best.

18. It is obvious that it is not permissible to change "from the Prophet" ('an al-Nabī) to "from the Messenger of God" ('an Rasūl Allāh) (Peace be upon him), and the reverse is also true, even if transmission by paraphrase is permitted. In transmission by paraphrase, it is stipulated that the meaning [of the original wording and the paraphrased version] should not differ, but the meaning in this case is different. It is established that when "the Prophet" was in a book and the transmitter said, "from the Messenger of God" (Peace be upon him), 'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal saw his father strike out "the Prophet" and write "from the Messenger of God" (Peace be upon him). Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb said, "This is unnecessary. Aḥmad preferred adhering to the wording of the transmitter. However, his doctrine actually was to allow people to change the terms." Then

⁶⁵ Kifāya, 244.

al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī stated, with his isnād, that Ṣāliḥ b. Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal said, "I said to my father, 'What do you think when "the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said" appears in a ḥadīth and someone changes it to "the Prophet (Peace be upon him) said"? 'He said, 'I hope that there will be nothing wrong with it.'" On the other hand, al-Khaṭīb stated, with his isnād, that Ḥammād b. Salama was transmitting ḥadīth while 'Affān and Bahz' were before him. They began changing "the Messenger of God" (Peace be upon him) to "the Prophet" (Peace be upon him) and Ḥammād said to them, "You two will never understand." God knows best.

19. When the audition of a student is in some way defective: he must state this during his subsequent transmission of that material, and neglecting to do so is a form of misrepresentation. We have examples of this from the past. One of these is when the teacher transmits to the student from memory in the course of an informal session. In that case, let the transmitter say, "X transmitted to us as a part of an informal session" (haddathanā fulān mudhākarartan), or, "X transmitted to us in the course of an informal session" (haddathanā fi 'l-mudhākara). More than one of the earlier scholars used to do this. A number of experts, including 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī and Abū Zur'a al-Rāzī, "0 used to forbid that anything be taken from them in an informal session. We also heard that from Ibn al-Mubārak and others. That is because of the laxity which prevails in an informal session, coupled with the fact that the human memory is treacherous. For this reason, a number of prominent experts, including Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with all of them), used their books to transmit the material they had memorized. God knows best.

20. When a hadīth is from two men, one of whom is discredited – for instance, a hadīth from Thābit al-Bunānī and Abān b. Abī 'Ayyāsh' from Anas – omitting the discredited transmitter from the isnād and mentioning only the reliable one is not condoned. This is out of fear that the hadīth contains something from the discredited transmitter which the reliable transmitter did not give. Ahmad b. Hanbal and later Abū Bakr al-Khatīb said something similar to this. Al-Khatīb said, "In cases like this, Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj sometimes omitted the discredited

⁶⁶ Kifāya, 244.

⁶⁷ This seems to be a reference to the transmitter Abu 'l-Aswad Bahz b. Asad al-Baṣrī, who died in 197/813; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:192.

⁶⁸ Kifāya, 244-5.

⁶⁹ Mudhākara: an informal session where scholars would try to outdo one another in reciting hadith from memory; see George Makdisi, The Rise of Colleges, (Edinburgh, 1981) 103-4.

⁷⁰ Abū Zur'a 'Ubayd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Karīm al-Rāzī (200/815-264/878) was a prominent authority in hadīth from the city of al-Rayy; Sezgin, GAS, 1:145.

⁷¹ Abū Ismā'il Abān b. Abī 'Ayyāsh Fayrūz was a Baṣran transmitter of ḥadīth from the second/eighth century. He was the less reliable transmitter of this pair; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 1(1):454; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):295-6.

transmitter from the isnād, mentioning the reliable transmitter and then saying, 'And someone else' (wa-ākhar), in allusion to the discredited transmitter. This declaration is valueless."⁷²

In the same way, when the hadīth is from two reliable transmitters, the student should not omit one of them, because of the aforementioned possibility affecting it, even if in this case the danger posed by the omission is less. Refraining from the omission of a transmitter in these two scenarios is not the same as refraining from something absolutely forbidden, because the presumption is that the two relations do agree and the aforementioned possibility [that one transmission contains material not included in the other] is remote and unlikely. It is a kind of interpolation the intentional commission of which is not permitted, as was discussed above in the Category on the interpolated hadīth. God knows best.

21. When the student hears one part of a hadith from one teacher and the other part from another, confounds them so that he is no longer able to distinguish between the two parts and ascribes the hadith to both of them, explaining that part of it is from one of them and part from the other: this is permissible.

Zuhrī did this in the Ḥadīth of the Lie (ḥadīth al-ifk)⁷³ which he related from 'Urwa, Ibn al-Musayyib, 'Alqama b. Waqqāṣ al-Laythī and 'Ubayd Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Utba⁷⁴ from 'Ā'isha (God be pleased with her). He said, "All of them transmitted to me a portion of her ḥadīth. They said, 'She said ...'"

The entire contents of that kind of hadith is to be treated as if the teacher had related it ambiguously from one of the two men, so that when one of them is discredited, it is not permissible to cite any part of the hadith. It is also impermissible for anyone after the mixing of the contents of the hadith to omit the mention of either of the two transmitters and relate the hadith solely from the other. Rather, it is necessary to mention them both and make a clear declaration that part of the hadith is from one of them and part from the other. God knows best.

⁷² Kifāya, 378.

⁷³ For this famous story, see Alfred Guillaume, The Life of Muhammad: A Translation of Ibn Ishāq's Strat Rasūl Allah (Oxford, 1955), 494.

⁷⁴ Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Ubayd Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Utba al-Hudhalī (d. 98/717) was a prominent legal scholar in Medina" Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:475–9.

Category 27

Guidelines for the Transmitter of Hadith

(Ma^crifat ādāb al-muḥaddith)

Some of these guidelines have already been treated where required in the previous Categories. The science of hadīth is a noble science consistent with good morals and virtuous habits and incompatible with bad morals and shameful habits. It is one of the sciences of the hereafter and not of this world. Let whoever seeks to take up teaching hadīth and lecturing on some of its sciences first make his intention sound and pure and cleanse his heart of earthly aims and their stains. Furthermore, let him be on guard against the affliction which comes from the love and heedlessness of rank.

There used to be disagreement over the age when it becomes suitable for a transmitter to take up teaching hadīth and to be appointed to relate them. Our view is that, when the need arises for the hadīth in his possession, it becomes desirable for him to take up relating and spreading them, no matter what his age is. We heard that the excellent judge Abū Muḥammad b. Khallād [al-Rāmahurmuzī] (God bless him) said, "On the basis of report and reflection, it seems correct to me that the appropriate age for a transmitter to begin teaching hadīth is fifty years because that is the end of middle age and when physical maturity is realized. Suhaym b. Wathīl' said,

[I am] fifty years old, at the height of my powers. The trickery of events has given me experience.

There is nothing wrong with his transmitting at forty because that is the threshold of maturity and the apogee of perfection. The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) was informed of his mission when he was forty. At forty a person's resolution and strength reach their peak and his intellect becomes abundant and his judgement is improved." Al-Qādī 'Iyād disagreed with Ibn Khallād over this and said, "How many of the early pious forebears and other transmitters never reached that age, dying before then, but still spread hadīth and knowledge beyond calculation? Umar b. 'Abd al-'Azīz' died before forty and Sa'īd b. Jubayr' did not reach fifty,

l. The famous poet Suḥaym b. Wathīl al-Riyāḥī was born before the advent of Islam and died around the year 40/661; Sezgin, GAS, 2:202-3.

² Rāmahurmuzī, Muhaddith al-fāşil, 352-3.

^{3 &#}x27;Umar b. 'Abd al-'Azīz, sometimes referred to as 'Umar II, was the sole representative of the Umayyad Dynasty respected by later religious scholars. He ruled in Damascus from 99/717 until his death in 101/720 at about thirty-eight lunar years of age; El', 3:977-9; Sezgin, GAS, 1:594.

⁴ Abū 'Abd Allāh Saʿid b. Jubayr al-Asadī was a Follower and expert on Qurʾānic commentary. He was born in 45/665 and executed by the Umayyad governor al-Hajjāj in 95/714; Sezgin, GAS, 1:28-9.

and the same is true of Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿī. Mālik b. Anas conducted classes for the people in his early twenties – some say at seventeen years – and the people in his class were numerous, and this was while his own teachers were still alive. Likewise, Muḥammad b. Idrīs al-Shāfiʿī taught at a young age and was appointed to do that." (God knows best.) What Ibn Khallād said is not objectionable. It should be understood that he said it regarding those who take up the transmission of hadīth without the necessary proficiency in the science on their own impulse which prematurely came upon them before the age he mentioned. In that case, they should teach hadīth only after attaining the age mentioned, for that is the time when there will probably be a need for their hadīth. As for those whom ʿIyād mentioned who transmitted before that, it is obvious that this was because of their precocious proficiency in this science. In combination with this early proficiency, the need for them to teach became manifest to them or they were asked to do so, either by an explicit request or through the concatenation of circumstances, so they came to transmit before the stipulated age.

The age a transmitter should desist from relating hadith: it is the age when it is feared that he may be senile or doting and that he will confuse hadith and relate hadith which are not his own. People differ according to personal circumstances as to when they reach this age. Likewise, let the transmitter who goes blind and fears that hadith that are not his own will be imposed on him desist from transmitting. Ibn Khallad said, "What pleases me the most is that he desist at eighty, because that is the threshold of senility. However, if his mind remains firm and his judgement intact, and if he knows his hadith and still occupies himself with them, taking care to transmit them in expectation of a heavenly reward; I wish the best for him." The reason for what he said is that in most cases the condition of an eighty-year-old has become weakened, and confusion and erring are to be feared from him, and this will not be detected in him until after he has confounded his hadith. This happened to more than one reliable transmitter, including 'Abd al-Razzāq and Saʿīd b. Abī 'Arūba.' Many people have taught hadith after surpassing this age and good fortune aided them and well-being accompanied them. These include the Companions Anas b. Mālik, Sahl b. Sa'd, and 'Abd Allah b. Abi Awfa; as well as Malik [b. Anas], al-Layth [b. Sa'd], [Sufyān] b. 'Uyayna and 'Alī b. al-Ja'd, 10 among a large number of early

⁵ Ilmāc, 200-4.

⁶ Rămahurmuzi, Muḥaddith al-fāșil, 354.

⁷ Abu 'l-Nadr Saʿid b. Abī 'Arūba Mihrān al-'Adawī (ca. 70/689=156/773) was a Follower and renowned transmitter of ḥadīth in al-Baṣra until his reliability declined; Sezgin, GAS, 1:91-2.

⁸ Abu 'l-'Abbās Sahl b. Sa'd b. Mālik al-Khazrajī (d. ca. 90/709) was the last Companion of the Prophet to die in Medina; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:422-4.

^{9 &#}x27;Abd Allāh b. Abī Awfā was the last living Companion in al-Kūfa. He died around the year 86/705 at nearly one hundred years of age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:428-30.

¹⁰ Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Baghawī collected the hadīth of the long-lived Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b. al-Ja'd b. 'Ubayd al-Jawharī al-Baghdādī (ca. 134/751–230/845) in the popular al-Ja'dīyāt (ed. Rif'at Fawzī 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib, 2 vols, Cairo, 1415/1994); Sezgin, GAS, 1:105.

and late scholars. Their number includes several who transmitted hadīth after attaining the age of one hundred, including al-Hasan b. 'Arafa,' Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Baghawī, Abū Ishāq al-Hujaymī' and the judge Abu 'l-Ṭayyib al-Ṭabarī (God be pleased with all of them). God knows best.

A transmitter should not transmit in the presence of someone more deserving of that than he. When Ibrāhīm [al-Nakha¶] and Shaħī met, Ibrāhīm did not say anything. Someone added: "He disliked relating ḥadīth in a city where there was a transmitter more deserving than he on account of age or some other reason." We heard that Yaḥyā b. Maħn said, "When I transmit ḥadīth in a city containing someone like Abū Mus'hir, 13 my beard should be shaved off [that is, his status as a scholar should be revoked]." We also heard from him, "Whoever transmits ḥadīth in a town containing someone more deserving to transmit than him is stupid." When a transmitter is asked for something which he knows to be in the possession of someone else in his city or elsewhere with a more elevated isnād than his or an isnād preferable for some other reason, he should tell the student about that person and guide him to him. Giving good advice is part of religion.

The transmitter should not refrain from relating hadīth to someone on account of that person having an impure motive for learning hadīth, for it is to be hoped that he will obtain the necessary intention later on. We heard that Ma'mar said, "People used to say, 'Knowledge should be refused to the man who seeks it for something other than the sake of God in order that knowledge be for God (He is great and exalted).'" On the contrary, let the transmitter eagerly spread his knowledge, striving for a rich reward. Some of the pious forebears used to attract people to their hadīth, including 'Urwa b. al-Zubayr (God be pleased with them). God knows best.

Let the transmitter follow the example of Mālik (God be pleased with him), about which Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Furāwī [that is, Abū Bakr or Abu 'l-Fatḥ Manṣūr b. 'Abd al-Munʿim al-Ṣāʿidī] informed us in Nishapur. He said, Abu 'l-Maʿalī [Muḥammad b. Ismāʿil] al-Fārisī transmitted to us. He said, The expert Abū Bakr [Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn] al-Bayhaqī informed us. He said, The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh [al-Hākim] informed us. He said, Ismāʿīl b. Muhammad b. al-Fadl b.

¹¹ The collection of the hadith of Abū 'Alī al-Ḥasan b. 'Arafa (150/767-257/871), known as Juz' Ibn 'Arafa, was one of the most popular works during the Ayyūbid era. At one point in his life he claimed to be 110 years old, although none of the birthdates or deathdates given for him allow this; Sezgin, GAS, 1:134.

¹² When 'Abd al-Raḥīm b. Aḥmad al-Bukhārī found the Baṣran Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm b. 'Alī al-Hujaymī (ca. 252/866–351/963), he was wearing a turban made up of a cloth wrapped around his head 103 times, indicating that he was 103 years old. He claimed that Hujaymī did not even begin to transmit until he reached the age of one hundred. Obviously there are problems with his dates; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:525–6.

¹³ Abū Mus-hir 'Abd al-Aqā b. Mus'hir al-Dimashqī (140/757-218/833) was one of the great critics of hadīth of his day; Sezgin, GAS, 1:100-1.

Muḥammad al-Sha'rānī14 informed me. He said, My grandfather15 transmitted to us. He said, Ismā'il b. Abī Uways transmitted to us. He said, "When Mālik b. Anas wanted to transmit, he used to perform his ablutions, sit on the edge of his bed and comb his beard. He sat erect, displaying gravity and reverence. and transmitted. He was asked about that and said, 'I like to honor the hadith the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). I transmit only in a state of ritual purity and sitting up straight.' He used to dislike transmitting hadith in the street or while standing or in haste. He said, 'I like to try to understand what I transmit from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)." It is also related that before teaching hadith he used to perform the major ablution, furnigate his beard with incense and put on scent. If someone raised his voice in his class, he scolded him saying, "God (He is exalted) said, 'Those of you who believe do not raise your voice over that of the Prophet.'16 Whenever someone raises his voice during the recitation of the hadith of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), it is as if he raised his voice over that of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)."

We heard, or read, that the jurist Muhammad b. Ahmad b. 'Abd Allāh' said, "When the reciter of the hadīth of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) stands up for anyone [out of special respect, when that person enters the room], a sin is recorded against him." It is better for him, as well as the participants in his class, to follow what was reported from Habīb b. Abī Thābit, "Who said, "It is a sunna for a person to receive people, all of them, in a kindly fashion when he transmits hadīth to them." God knows best.

The transmitter should not reel off the hadith in such a fashion that some of them cannot be grasped.

Let the transmitter open and close his class with an invocation and prayer appropriate for the occasion. One of the most eloquent ways to open a class is by saying, "The most perfect praise belongs to God, Lord of the Worlds, under all circumstances. The most complete prayers and peace on the chief of the Messengers, whenever the heedful remember him and whenever the heedless neglect to remember him. God, pray over him, his family, the rest of the prophets, the family of each of them and the rest of the righteous to the greatest extent that it is right to ask for."

¹⁴ Abu 'l-Hasan Ismā'il al-Sha'rānī was best known for his hadīth transmissions from his father and grandfather. He died in Bayhaq in 347/958; Sam'ānī, *Ansāb*, 3:433.

¹⁵ Abū Muhammad al-Fadl b. Muhammad al-Sha'rānī (d. 282/895) claimed that he had studied hadīth in every part of the Islamic world, with the exception of al-Andalus. He was a chief transmitter of a number of significant works; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:317-19.

¹⁶ Quran 49:2.

¹⁷ Abū Zayd Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Marwazī (301/914-371/982) was an important figure in the history of the Shāfi'ite law school; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:313-15.

¹⁸ Abū Yaḥyā Ḥabīb b. Abī Thābit al-Qurashī (d. ca. 120/738) was one of the chief hadīth transmitters in al-Kūfa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:288-91.

It is desirable for a knowledgeable transmitter to convene a session for the dictation of hadith, for it is one of the highest classes of transmitters.19 Audition in such a session is one of the best and strongest ways to take up hadith. When the crowd is large, let him take on a repetitor (mustamli) to broadcast from him. That is the custom of the greatest transmitters who undertook something like this. It was related that Mālik, Shuba, Wakī', Abū 'Āṣim, 20 Yazīd b. Hārūn and a large number of other prominent earlier figures did that. Let his repetitor be educated and alert, in order that what we heard about Yazīd b. Hārūn not befall him. Yazīd was asked about a ḥadīth and said, "Several ('idda) transmitted it to us." His repetitor shouted to him, "Abū Khālid, who is 'several's' father?" He replied, "Several, son of May I lose you!" Let the repetitor do his repeating elevated on a chair or something similar. If he cannot find anything suitable, let him perform his duty standing. He has to adhere to the wording of the transmitter and pass it on completely without any divergence. The benefit of the action of the repetitor is that someone who hears the words of the dictator from a distance attains an understanding and confirmation of what he is saying through the announcing of the repetitor. Those who hear only the speech of the repetitor [that is, those who cannot hear the dictator at all] do not thereby gain permission; to relate the material directly from the dictator without explaining the circumstances. A discussion of that appeared above, in Category 24.

It is recommended that the class be opened with someone reciting part of the exalted Qur³ān. When he finishes, if there is some noise, the repetitor should ask the participants in the class to be silent. Then he should say, "In the name of God, the Compassionate and Merciful," praise God (He is blessed and exalted) and invoke blessings on the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), trying to be as eloquent as possible in that, and turn to the transmitter and say, "Whomever you mention or whatever you mention, may God bless you" – or, "may God have mercy on you" or something similar. God knows best.

Every time the transmitter mentions the Prophet (Peace be upon him), he should invoke blessings upon him. Al-Khaṭīb said that he should raise his voice for that.²¹ When he comes across a mention of a Companion, he should say, "God be pleased with him" (raḍiya ʾllāhu ʿanhu).

It befits the transmitter to praise his teacher appropriately when he relates from him. Indeed, several of the pious forebears and scholars did that. For example, it is related that when 'Aṭā' b. Abī Rabāḥ transmitted from ['Abd Allāh] b. 'Abbās (God be pleased with them), he said, "The sea [of knowledge] (al-baḥr) transmitted to me;" and that Wakī' said, "Şufyān [al-Thawrī], the prince of the

¹⁹ Fa-innahū min a'lā marātib al-rāwīn: the meaning of his clause is unclear to me. Nawawī replaced al-rawīn with al-rīwāya (transmission) in this passage; al-Taqrīb li-'l-Nawawī (Cairo, 1388/1968), 38.

²⁰ Abū 'Āṣim al-Daḥḥāk b. Makhlad b. al-Daḥḥāk al-Shaybānī al-Nabīl (122/740-ca. 212/827) was a respected transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:480-5.

²¹ Jămic, 297.

believers in hadith, transmitted to us." More important than that is the prayer for the teacher at the mention of his name. Let the transmitter not neglect that,

There is nothing wrong with the transmitter referring to someone he relates from with a nickname (lagab) he is known by, as Ghundar (troublemaker) is the nickname of Muhammad b. Jaffar, 22 the student of Shuba, and Luwayn (party-colored) is the nickname of Muhammad b. Sulaymān al-Miṣṣīṣī. 23 Or he may refer to his teacher by a maternal reference he is known by. For instance, Munya was the mother - or, it was said, the paternal grandmother - of the Companion Yaqā b. Munya,24 and his father was Umayya. He may also refer to his teacher by reference to a bodily infirmity he was known for, for instance Sulaymān al-A'mash (Bleary-eyed Sulaymān) and 'Āṣim al-Aḥwal (Cross-eyed (Āsim). All of this may be done unless the person referred to dislikes it. That was the case with Ismā'll b. Ibrāhīm, 25 known as "Ibn Ulayya." Ulayya was his mother - or, it was said, his maternal grandmother. We heard that Yahva h Main used to say, "Ismāil b. Ulayya transmitted to us," and Ahmad b. Hanbal forbade it. He said, "Say, 'Ismā'il b. Ibrāhīm.' I read that he did not use to like being referred to by the name of his mother."26 Yahyā b. Ma'in replied, "We accept that from you, teacher of what is good."

It has been recommended that the transmitter dictate hadīth from a number of his teachers, giving first the one with the most elevated isnād or the best for some other reason. He should dictate a single hadīth from each of his teachers, choosing the hadīth with elevated isnāds and short texts. That is best and most appropriate procedure. He should be discriminating in the hadīth he dictates and carefully consider the material he is to teach. He should draw attention to the lesson and elevation of the hadīth and what makes it special. He should avoid those things which the intellect of those present cannot grasp and those things he fears will introduce a misconception into their thinking.

It was the custom of some of those we have mentioned to conclude the session of dictation with stories, humorous tales and verses, with their isnads; and that is fine. God knows best.

When the transmitter finds himself incapable of bringing forth a hadith he wants to dictate, there is nothing wrong with his asking one of the experts present at that moment for help and his bringing it forth for him. Al-Khaṭīb said, "A number of our teachers used to do that."

²² Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hudhalī al-Baṣrī (ca. 110/728–193/809) studied with Shuba for twenty years; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:98–102. The story behind his nickname is discussed in Category 52.

²³ Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. Sulaymān was a scholar of ḥadīth who died in Adana around 245/859 at, it is said, over one hundred years of age; Sezgin, GAS, 1:112.

²⁴ Yaqā b. Munya (d. ca. 60/680) transmitted about twenty ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:100-1, s.n. Yaqā b. Umayya.

²⁵ Abū Bishr Ismā'il b. Ibrāhīm b. Miqsam al-Asadī (110/728–193/809) was one of the greatest transmitters of his era; Dhahabī, *Styar*, 9:107-20.

²⁶ When a person was referred to with the name of his mother, there was sometimes an implication that his birth was illegitimate and the identity of his father was unknown.

²⁷ Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī discusses this question in Kifāya, 216-17.

When the session of dictation is finished, there is no dispensing with collating the material, checking that it is accurate and correcting what became corrupted by a slip of the pen or an ink blot.

These are the key guidelines for the transmitter. We will be content with them in order to avoid prolixity by discussing matters which are either less important or obvious and unambiguous. God is the one who grants success. He knows best.

GUIDELINES FOR THE STUDENT OF HADITH

(Ma'rifat ādāb ţālib al-ḥadīth)

Some of these have already been treated above. The first thing incumbent on the student is to achieve true sincerity and to be on guard against taking up the study of hadīth as a means to gain some worldly aim. We heard that Ḥammād b. Salama (God be pleased with him) said, "Whoever studies hadith for a reason other than for the sake of God is deluded." We heard that Sufyan al-Thawri (God be pleased with him) said, "I know of no deed better than studying hadith for someone who seeks God through it." We also heard something similar from Ibn al-Mubārak (God be pleased with him). One of the most likely reasons for making correct one's intention in the study of hadith is the one we heard from Abū 'Amr Ismā'il b. Nujayd.' He asked Abū Ja'far Ahmad b. Hamdan - and they were both righteous men - "With what intention should I write hadith?" He said, "Do you not see that blessings come down at the mention of righteous people?" He said yes and Abū Ja'far said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) is the chief of the righteous people." So let the student ask God (He is blessed and exalted) for help, support, success and guidance. Let him adopt for himself pure morals and pleasing manners. Indeed, we heard that Abū 'Āsim al-Nabil said, "Whoever studies these hadith studies the most elevated of religious matters. He needs to be the best kind of person."

There is a difference of opinion which was discussed above, at the beginning of Category 24, over the recommended age to begin hearing and writing hadīth. When the student starts to study hadīth, let him buckle down and work hard. He should begin by hearing hadīth from the teacher in his city with the best isnād and the one most worthy from the standpoint of knowledge, fame, nobility, and so forth, and then the next most worthy. When he finishes hearing the elevated and important hadīth of his own town, let him travel to other lands. We heard that Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn said, "There are four people in whom you cannot detect any proper behavior: the watchman of a street, the herald of a judge, the son of a hadīth transmitter and the man who writes hadīth in his own land and does not travel to study hadīth." We heard that Ahmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with him) was asked, "Should a man travel to acquire elevation in hadīth?" He said, "Yes, by God! Energetically!" Hadīth from 'Umar [b. al-Khatṭāb] (God be pleased with him) used to reach 'Alqama [b. Qays] and al-Aswad' and they were not satisfied with them until they went to 'Umar and heard them from him directly."

¹ Abū 'Amr Ismā'il b. Nujayd al-Sulamī (272/885-365/975) was a transmitter of ḥadīth known for his piety; Sezgin, GAS, 1:183.

² Abū Sallām al-Aswad b. Hilāl al-Kūfi (d. 84/703) was a Follower born in pre-Islamic times; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:257.

God knows best. We heard that Ibrāhīm b. Adham³ (God be pleased with him) said, "God (He is exalted) deflects adversity from this Community through the traveling of the scholars of hadīth."

Acquisitiveness and greed should not cause the student to become lax in audition and taking up hadīth and fail to achieve what is stipulated for him in that regard in the fashion explained above.

Let the student put into practice the hadith he hears pertaining to prayer, praising God and other virtuous acts. That is the tithe of hadith, according to what we heard from the righteous man Bishr b. al-Ḥārith al-Ḥāfi¹ (God be pleased with him). We also heard that he said, "Scholars of hadīth, pay the tithe of these hadīth! For every two hundred hadīth, put five into practice." We heard that 'Amr b. Qays al-Mulā¹i⁵ (God be pleased with him) said, "When you read about something good, do it. Even if you do it only once, you will be a practitioner of it." We heard that Wakī¹ said, "If you want to learn hadīth, put them into practice!"

Let the student exalt his teacher and whomever else he hears hadith from. That is part of the glorification of hadith and knowledge. He should not pester his teacher, nor take up so much of his time that he annoys him. It is feared that whoever does that will be kept from receiving any benefit. Indeed, we heard that Zuhrī said, "When the class goes on too long, the Devil takes part in it."

The student who succeeds in acquiring the audition of a particular teacher and conceals it from others so that he alone will be distinguished by it is unworthy of benefiting from it. That is one of the forms of wickedness into which ignorant and low students fall.

Teaching is one of the first benefits of studying hadīth. We heard that Mālik (God be pleased with him) said, "One of the blessings of hadīth is teaching one another." We heard that Isḥāq b. Ibrāhīm b. Rāhawayh said to one member of a group that heard hadīth from him, "Copy the hadīth I have recited from their book." The student replied, "They will not let me." Ibn Rāhawayh said, "Then, by God, they will not prosper. We have seen many people who forbade this audition, and, by God, they did not prosper or find success!" We too have seen people who forbade audition and they did not prosper or find success. We ask God for well-being. God knows best.

Let the student not be one of those whom bashfulness or pride keep from collecting large numbers of hadīth. We heard that Mujāhid (God be pleased with him) said, "The bashful person and the prideful person cannot acquire knowledge." We heard that Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb and his son (God be pleased

³ Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm b. Adham al-Tjli was a famous early mystic. He was born in Balkh around 112/730 and is said to have been a prince. He moved to Syria and led a wandering existence living off the fruits of his own labor until his death around 161/778; EP, 3:985-6.

⁴ Abū Naṣr Bishr b. al-Ḥārith al-Ḥāfī (ca. 150/767-ca. 227/841) was an influential early mystic; *EI*², 1:1244-6; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:638.

^{5 &#}x27;Amr b. Qays al-Mulā'ī al-Bazzāz instructed Sufyān al-Thawrī in the Qur'ān and the inheritance laws; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:250-1.

with them) said, "Whosoever's face is soft [that is, whosoever is bashful], his knowledge is soft." He should not be too haughty to write hadīth from which he will derive benefit from someone inferior to him. We heard that Wakīc b. al-Jarrāḥ (God be pleased with him) said, "A scholar of ḥadīth does not become noble until he writes ḥadīth from someone superior to him, someone of the same rank and someone inferior to him.

Someone who wastes any of his time trying to study with many teachers merely to acquire the reputation of having had many teachers and the fame that comes with that will not be successful. The words of Ibn Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī do not refer to this; that is, "When you write ḥadīth, take everything. When you transmit, be selective."

Let the student write and hear the books and personal collections that he comes across in their entirety, not just excerpts. Ibn al-Mubārak (God be pleased with him) said, "I never made excerpts of a scholar's hadith without regretting it later." We heard that he also said, "Someone cannot take excerpts from the transmission of a scholar without it being a sin." We heard or read that Yaḥyā b. Ma@n said, "Those who excerpt hadith will come to regret it later when their regret will do them no good." If circumstances make it difficult for the student to take all of a transmitter's hadith and he is compelled to be selective and make excerpts, he should take that on personally, if he is qualified, discriminating and knowledgeable of the hadith suitable for selection and excerpting. If he is not up to that, he should seek the help of one of the experts to make the excerpts for him. A number of experts used to engage in selecting the hadith of their own teachers while the students listened to and recorded their selections, including Ibrāhīm b. Urama al-Isbahānī, 6 Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Husayn b. Muhammad – known as 'Ubayd al-Til7 - Abu 'l-Hasan al-Dāraqutnī and Abū Bakr al-Jiabī8 among others. The prevailing custom was for the expert to draw a sign on the selected hadith in the text of the teacher. Abu 'l-Hasan al-Nu'aymī9 used to mark his selections with an elongated letter sād; Abū Muhammad al-Khallāl10 with an elongated letter tā) and Abu 'l-Fadl al-Falaki" with the shape of two hamzas. All of them made their

⁶ Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm b. Urama (or Ūrama) al-Iṣbahānī was an early expert in ḥadīth who died at the end of 266/880; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:145-6.

⁷ Ubayd al-Ijl was a student of Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn who died in 294/907 in his eighties. Other sources give his kunya as Abū 'Alī; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:90–1.

⁸ Abu Bakr Muḥammad b. Umar b. Muḥammad al-Jiʿabi (284/897-355/966) was a Shiite scholar of hadith who served as a judge in Mosul; Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:88-92.

⁹ Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b. Aḥmad b. al-Ḥasan al-Nu'aymī was an inhabitant of Baghdad renowned for his expertise in ḥadīth, theology and literature who died in 443/1051 in his eighties; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:445-7.

¹⁰ Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥasan b. Abī Ṭālib Muḥammad al-Khallāl al-Baghdādī (352/963-439/1047) was a Baghdadi transmitter who worked extensively in the field of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:232.

¹¹ Abu 'l-Faḍl 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Aḥmad al-Hamadhānī al-Falakī (d. 427/1036) wrote a number of books, including a tabaqāt-work entitled al-Muntahā fi ma'rifat al-rijāl; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:502-4.

signs in ink in the right margin of the page. Dāraquṭnī marked the choosen ḥadīth with a broad line of red ink in the left margin and the expert Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Lālakā³I¹² used to mark them with a short penstroke in red at the beginning of the isnād of the selected ḥadīth. There is no problem with any of that: to each his own.

The student of hadīth should not limit himself to hearing hadīth and writing them down, without trying to gain knowledge from them and understand them. Then he would have worn himself out without accomplishing anything and without entering into the ranks of the scholars of hadīth. Rather, he would not become anything more than one of the deficient imitators who attempt to adorn themselves with something they actually lack. Abu 'l-Muzaffar, the son of the expert Abū Sa'd al-Sam'ānī (God bless him), personally declaimed to me in the city of Marv. He said, My father declaimed to us, either personally or by recitation to him. He said, Muḥammad b. Nāṣir al-Salāmī himself declaimed to us. He said, The surpassing litterateur Fāris b. al-Ḥusayn¹³ himself declaimed to me

Student whose time has come to transmit, In transmitting, pay attention to transmitting and understanding Transmit only a few hadith and husband them carefully for knowledge is infinite.

Let the student first direct his attention to the two Sahihs [that is, those of Bukhārī and Muslim], then Abū Dāwūd's Sunan, Nasā'ī's Sunan and the book of Tirmidhī, mastering their difficulties and attempting to understand their obscurities. He should not be misled about Bayhaqī's Kitāb al-Sunan al-kabīr, for we do not know the like of it in its field. Then, he should devote himself to the rest of the works a scholar of hadīth has need of, including the musnads, like Musnad Ahmad [ibn Hanbal]; the comprehensive collections arranged by legal topic containing supported and unsupported hadīth and Mālik's Muwaṭṭa' is the foremost of these; the books on the defects in hadīth ('slal al-hadīth') – Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal's Kitāb al-'slal' (The Book of Defects) and Dāraquṭnī's Kitāb al-'slal' are among the finest of these; the books containing information about transmitters and when they lived – Bukhārī's al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr (Great History) and Ibn Abī Ḥātim's Kitāb al-Jarh wa-'l-ta'dīl (Book of Personality Criticism) are among the best of these; and the books on clarifying

¹² Abu 'l-Qāsim Hibat Allāh b. al-Ḥasan al-Lālakā'ī (d. 418/1027) composed a famous collection of credal statements entitled *Sharḥ uṣūl i'tiqād ahl al-sunna wa-'l-jamā'a* (ed. Aḥmad Sa'd Ḥamdān, 2nd edn, 4 vols, Riyadh, 1411); Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:501.

¹³ The sources I consulted take no notice of this poet.

¹⁴ Kitāb al-'Ilal wa-ma'rifat al-rijāl, 2 vols (Istanbul, 1987).

¹⁵ Kitāb al-'Ilāl al-wārida fi 'l-aḥādīth al-Nabawīya, ed. Maḥfūẓ al-Raḥmān Zayn Allāh al-Salafī (Riyadh, 1405/1985).

problematic names – the most complete of these is al-Ikmāl (Perfection) of Abū Nasr b. Mākūlā.¹⁶

Whenever the student encounters a problematic name or difficult word in a hadīth, let him research it and commit it to memory. That way he will easily gain a good deal of knowledge.

Let him memorize hadīth gradually, little by little, over several days and nights. That is the best way for him to master the material to be learned. That practice is reported from a number of early experts in hadīth, including Shuba, Ibn 'Ulayya and Ma'mar. We heard that Ma'mar said, "I heard Zuhrī saying, 'Whoever seeks knowledge as a whole, loses it as a whole. Knowledge is acquired only a hadīth or two at a time.'"

Let exactitude be the student's concern. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mahdī said, "Learning is exactitude."

Repeating to others hadīth one is trying to memorize is one of the most powerful ways to master them. We heard that 'Alqama [b. Qays] al-Nakha'ī said, "Repeat the hadīth amongst yourselves! They live through being spoken," and "Whoever is pleased to learn hadīth, let him transmit them; even if he has to transmit them to someone who does not desire them."

Let the student occupy himself with compiling, composing and arranging, when he becomes ready and qualified for that. As the expert al-Khatīb said, "It makes the memory firm, kindles the heart, hones one's character, improves clarity, uncovers the unclear, brings good repute and immortalizes one to the end of time. Rarely does one become adept in the science of hadīth, fathom its obscurities and discern its hidden points without doing that."¹⁷ The expert Ṣūrī—Muḥammad b. 'Alī¹8—transmitted, saying, "I saw the expert Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Saʿīd in a dream and he said to me, 'Abū 'Abd Allāh, compile and arrange before it becomes impossible for you. This is my case. You see that it has become impossible for me.'"¹⁹

The scholars of hadith followed two courses in arranging the material.

1. Arrangement by legal topic (${}^{c}ala {}^{a}l-abw\bar{a}b$): this is the inclusion of hadīth according to the legal issue, and so forth that they address, and grading them by type, 20

¹⁶ Abu Naṣr 'Alī b. Hibat Allāh b. Mākūlā (422/1031-486/1093 or 487) was a Baghdadi scholar of hadīth who wrote a number of works, including al-Ikmāl fī raf al-irtiyāb 'an al-mu talif wa-'l-mukhtalif min al-asmā' wa-'l-kunā wa-'l-ansāb (ed. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Yaḥyā al-Mu'allimī al-Yamanī, 6 vols, Hyderabad, 1962-7). His slaveboy robbed and killed him in al-Ahwāz; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:569-78.

¹⁷ Jāmic, 415.

¹⁸ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Şūrī (ca. 376/986-441/1049) instructed al-Khaṭib al-Baghdādī in the science of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:233.

¹⁹ This is a curious anecdote. The implication would seem to be that 'Abd al-Ghani b. Sa'id died without writing anything, although that was far from being the case.

²⁰ Wa-tanwī'uhū anwā'an: it is very difficult to divine what the anwā' are supposed to be here. This section is closely based on al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī's Jāmi' (pp. 417-18), where naw' is

bringing together the relevant material for each legal issue and each type, subject by subject.

2. Arrangement of the hadīth by musnad ('ala 'l-masānīd) and the collection of the hadīth of each Companion individually, even if the types of the hadīth differ: those who choose this approach may order the Companions alphabetically by name. He may also order them by tribe, beginning with the Banū Hāshim [that is, the tribe of the Prophet], and then the closest in regard to blood ties to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and then the next closest. He may also order the Companions by the various measures of precedence applied to them. So he should begin with the Ten [who were granted the glad tidings of their acceptance into Paradise];²¹ then the Muslim participants in the battle of Badr; then the people of al-Hudaybīya;²² then those who converted to Islam and went to Medina after the time of al-Hudaybīya and before the conquest of Mecca. He should conclude with the youngest Companions, like Abu 'l-Tufayl²³ and his peers, and finally the women. This is the best way, and the first [that is, alphabetical arrangement] is the easiest. There are also other arrangements.

One of the most elevated ways to arrange hadith is the analytical (mu'allal) which collects the chains of transmission for each hadith and notes the differences in the transmitters of it. Ya'qūb b. Shayba did this in his Musnad.²⁴

Another kind of composition with which some occupy themselves is the collection of teachers $(jam^c al-shuy\bar{u}kh)$; that is, the collection of the hadith of particular teachers, each one of them separately. Uthmān

used both as a synonym of $b\bar{a}b$ (legal topic) and in reference to the quality of the isnad attached to the hadith; that is, he says that the two naw^c s are supported (musnad) and unsupported ($mawq\bar{u}f$ and mursal).

²¹ In addition to the four caliphs, Abū Bakr, Umar, Uthmān and Alī, the Ten included Ṭalḥa, al-Zubayr, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Awf, Sa'd b. Abī Waqqāş and Sa'id b. Zayd. There was a disagreement as to whether the tenth was Abū Ubayd b. al-Jarrāḥ or the Prophet himself; EP, 1:693.

²² In the year 6/628, during the negotiations with the pagans at the village of al-Ḥudaybīya outside of Mecca, the Muslims made the Pledge of Good Pleasure (bay'at al-ridwān), promising to obey the Prophet; E1, 3:539.

²³ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:798-9, 4:1696-7.

²⁴ This work is described in al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, Tarīkh Baghdādī, 14:281 and Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 2:cols. 1678-9 (as Musnad Ibn Shayba Yasqūb al-ḥāfiz). If it had been completed, it would have been enormous. The portion which has survived was published in Beirut in 1940. According to Sakhāwī, the purpose of this approach was to facilitate the exposure of anomalies in the lines of transmission; for instance, hidden cases of irsāl (looseness); Fath al-Mughith, 2:342-3.

b. Sa'īd al-Dārimī²⁵ said, "It is said that whoever does not collect the hadīth of these five is bankrupt in hadīth: Sufyān [al-Thawrī], Shu'ba, Mālik, Ḥammād b. Zayd and [Sufyān] b. Uyayna. They are the bases of right religion." The scholars of hadīth collect the hadīth of many people beside those Dārimī mentioned, including Ayyūb al-Sakhtiyānī, Zuhrī and Awzā'ī.

They also collect "headings" (tarājim) and these are isnāds, singling out the texts they appear with and collecting them in book form, like the heading of Mālik from Nāfi from ['Abd Allāh] b. 'Umar, the heading of Suhayl b. Abī Ṣāliḥ from his father [that is, Abū Ṣāliḥ Dhakwān b. 'Abd Allāh al-Sammān] from Abū Hurayra, the heading of Hishām b. 'Urwa from his father ['Urwa b. al-Zubayr] from 'Ā'isha and there are many similar ones.

They also collect individual chapters from the comprehensive books arranged according to legal issue, for instance the chapter on seeing God (He is great and exalted) in the hereafter (ru²yat Allāh), the chapter on raising the hands in prayer (raf¹ al-yadayn), the chapter on recitation behind the prayer leader (al-qirā²a khalfa 'l-imām), and so forth. Then they put them separately in book form, so they become individual monographs.²⁶

They also treat certain hadith separately, collecting their lines of transmission in individual monographs, like the lines of transmission of the hadith regarding the taking away of knowledge (qabd al-'sim') and the hadith regarding the major ablution on Friday (al-ghusl yawma 'l-jum'a), and so forth.

Earlier scholars collected and arranged in monographs the hadīth belonging to many of the Categories treated in this book of ours.

In all of this, the student must make his purpose sound and be on guard against the aim of merely striving for large numbers and the like. We read that Ḥamza b. Muḥammad al-Kinānī published a single ḥadīth with about two hundred lines of transmission and was pleased with that. He saw Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn in a dream and mentioned that to him. Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn replied, "I fear this comes under the heading of 'Your god is competition in quantity.'"

²⁵ Abū Saʿid ʿUthmān b. Saʿid al-Dārimī (ca. 200/816-280/894) was a scholar of ḥadīth best known today for two theological works, al-Naqd ʿala 'l-Marisi al-ʿanid (ed. M.H. Fiqī, Cairo, 1358) and al-Radd ʿala 'l-Jahmiya (ed. G. Vitestam, Leiden, 1960); Sezgin, GAS, 1:600-1; EIr, 7:31-2.

²⁶ Sakhāwī lists a number of such books in Fatḥ al-Mughīth, 2:343.

²⁷ Qur³ān, 102:1.

Let the student be careful to bring forth his compositions to the public only after he has polished, refined and reviewed them again and again.

Let the student be on guard against collecting something he is not yet qualified for in an attempt to reap the fruit and seize the profit of collecting it. This way the verdict against him will not be the one we heard from 'Ali b. al-Madīnī, "When you see a youth who, the first time he records hadīth, collects the hadīth regarding the major ablution (hadīth al-ghusl) and the hadīth 'Whoever ascribes a lie ...' (hadīth man kadhaba) write on the nape of his neck, 'He will not prosper.'"

This book is an entryway into the study of hadith, an attempt to make clear its main and secondary issues and to explain the terminology, aims and concerns of its practitioners. Ignorance of these matters greatly impairs a transmitter. This book is — God willing — worthy of receiving attention before any other. We beseech God (He is praised) for His great grace. He knows best.

ELEVATED AND LOW ISNADS

(Ma'rifat al-isnād al-'ālī wa-'l-nāzil)

In the first place, the principle of the isnād is an excellent characteristic of this community and an outstanding example of a confirmed sunna. I heard from more than one source that 'Abd Allāh b. al-Mubārak (God be pleased with him) said, "The isnād is part of religion. If it were not for the isnād, whoever wanted would have said whatever he wanted." Seeking elevation ('ulūw) in hadīth is also a sunna. For that reason, undertaking journeys was recommended, as stated above. Ahmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "Seeking an elevated isnād is a sunna from those who came before." Indeed, we heard that in his final illness Yaḥyā b. Ma'ın (God be pleased with him) was asked, "What do you desire?" He replied, "An empty house and an elevated isnād."

Elevation keeps defectiveness away from the isnad, because it is possible for defectiveness to come, either inadvertently or deliberately, from every transmitter in the isnad. Therefore, a small number of transmitters represents a small number of sources of defectiveness and a large number of men represents a large number of sources of defectiveness. This much is patently clear. The elevation sought in the transmission of hadith has five subcategories:

- 1. Proximity (qurb) to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) through a clean, unweak isnād:² that is one of the most noble types of elevation. We heard that the ascetic and scholar Muḥammad b. Aslam al-Tūsī³ (God be pleased with him) said, "Proximity in the isnād is proximity" or "a means to gain proximity" "to God (He is exalted and great)." He is right, because proximity in the isnād is proximity to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and proximity to him is proximity to God (He is exalted and great).
- 2. The second subcategory is the one the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim mentioned,' that is, proximity to one of the authorities of ḥadīth, even if there are a large number of intermediaries between that authority and the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him): when that is found in an isnād, it is described as elevation in view of its proximity to that authority, even if it is not elevated in relation to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). The remarks of al-Ḥākim

¹ See p. 175.

² Bi-isnād nazīf ghayr da'if: This does not seem to have been a technical formulation, although its basic significance seems clear.

³ Abu 'l-Ḥasan Muḥammad b. Aslam al-Ṭūsī (ca. 180/796-242/856) was an early mystic; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:195-207.

^{4 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadith, 11.

create the false impression that proximity to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) is not counted as a desirable form of elevation at all. This is an error regardless of who says it, because proximity to the Prophet (Peace be upon him) with a clean, unweak isnad is more deserving of being called "elevation" and no one who possesses a grain of knowledge can dispute that. It would seem that al-Hākim sought by these remarks of his to establish the validity of elevation in an isnad based on its proximity to an authority, even if the isnad is not close to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), and to censure those who in this regard pay attention to the mere proximity of the isnad to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), even if it is a weak isnad. For that reason, he gave the hadith of Abū Hudba, Dīnār, al-Ashajj and their peers5 as examples of proximity to the Prophet. God knows best.

Elevation in relation to the transmission of one or both of the Sahihs [that is, those of Bukhārī and Muslim] or the other famous, authoritative books: the "agreements" (muwāfaqāt), "substitutions" (abdāl), "equivalence" (musāwāh) and "hand-shaking" (muṣāfaha) which have lately become famous refer to this. Later transmitters of hadith have come to pay a good deal of attention to this type of elevation. Some of those whom I found mentioning this type of elevation in their remarks are the expert Abu Bakr al-Khatib, some of his teachers, Abu Naşr b. Mākulā, Abū Abd Allāh al-Humaydī and others of their generation as well as those who came after them.

Agreement (muwāfaqa): it is a when a hadīth comes to you from the teacher who transmitted it to Muslim, for instance, with an elevated isnad with fewer intermediaries than the hadith has when you relate it from Muslim from his teacher.

Substitution (badal): an example of this would be that for a particular hadith the same elevation described above comes to you from a teacher other than the teacher of Muslim.6 Sometimes "substitution" is called

6 This, of course, is rather vague and later writers on the subject do not offer much help. As in the example Ibn al-Şalāh provided, it appears that this term was most often applied to cases where the student's and the compiler's isnads intersect at the level of the teacher of the compiler's teacher. An example cited by Suyūṭī seems to indicate that the intersection could also occur earlier in the line of transmission; Tadrib al-rāwi, 2:166.

⁵ This is a reference to a passage in 'Ulum al-hadith (pp. 9-10). All of these individuals were shameless liars who claimed to be much older than they actually were in order to make their hadith attractive to gullible students seeking short isnāds. Abū Hudba Ibrāhīm b. Hudba al-Fārist died shortly after the year 200/816 and claimed to be a student of the Companion Anas b. Mālik (d. ca. 90/709); Dhahabī, Mizān, 1:71-2; Ibn Hajar, Lisān, 1:119-21. Similarly, in the year 240/855 Abu Mikyas Dınar b. 'Abd Allah (not "'Abd Allah b. Dinar" as in 'Ulum al-hadith) al-Habashī was transmitting directly from Anas; Dhahabī, Mīzān, 2:30-1; Ibn Ḥajar, Lisān, 2:434-5. According to al-Hākim, Abu 'l-Dunyā 'Uthmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ashajj al-Maghribi maintained that he had been a servant of the caliph Ali and was kicked by his mule.

"agreement" so the example we cited may be said to be "an elevated agreement in respect to the teacher of Muslim's teacher." Even if the isnād had not been elevated, it still would have been an instance of "agreement" and "substitution." However, [in practice] these terms would not be applied to a unelevated isnād, because there is no interest in that kind of ḥadīth.

Equivalence (musāwāh): in our day, it is when the number of intermediaries in your isnād is fewer, not to the teacher of Muslim and his peers nor to the teacher of his teacher, but rather to someone more remote than that, like the Companion or someone near him – and this may even be to the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) – so that the number of intermediaries between you and, for instance, the Companion is the same as the number between Muslim and that Companion. So you are therefore "equal" (musāwiyan) to, for instance, Muslim in regard to proximity of isnād and the number of transmitters in the isnād.

Hand-shaking (muṣāfaḥa): This is when the "equivalence" we described belongs to your teacher rather than to you. So you have "hand-shaking" because it is as if you had in that hadīth "met" Muslim and "shook hands with him" through it, on account of your having met your teacher who is "equal" to Muslim. If the "equivalence" belongs to the teacher of your teacher, the hand-shaking goes to your teacher. So you can say, "It is as if my teacher heard Muslim and shook his hand." If the "equivalence" belongs to the teacher of your teacher's teacher, then the hand-shaking belongs to your teacher's teacher. Then you can say regarding it, "It is as if the teacher of my teacher heard Muslim and shook his hand." It is better that you do not mention any connection to yourself for that, but rather say, "It is as if X heard it from Muslim," without saying for it, "My teacher" or "The teacher of my teacher."

It will not remain hidden to someone who gives it some thought that, in a case of "equivalence" and "hand-shaking" accruing to you, your isnad and the isnad of Muslim, or someone like him, will converge only at a distance from the teacher of Muslim; for example, on the Companion or someone close to him. If the "hand-shaking" which you mention does not belong to you, but rather to one of the transmitters above you in your isnad, the convergence of the two isnads can happen at the teacher of Muslim or his peers and the "hand-shaking" then is mixed with "agreement." The sense of "agreement" refers to a special form of "equivalence" and "hand-shaking" since it means that one of the earlier transmitters of your elevated isnad was "equal" to or "shook hands" with Muslim or Bukhari, because that transmitter heard the hadith from someone who heard it from the teacher of Bukhari or Muslim [in the case of "hand-shaking"], despite the posteriority of that transmitter's generation in relation to theirs. For the reason we gave, one finds instances of "hand-shaking" along with the

"agreements" and "substitutions" in many of the elevated hadith supplied by those who first spoke about this Category and their contemporaries.

Be aware that this type of elevation is elevation dependant on lowness (nuzūl), since if it were not for the lowness of the authority in his isnād, you would not be elevated in your isnād. In Marv I had recited to our prolific teacher Abu 'I-Mazaffar 'Abd al-Raḥīm – the son of the author Abū Sa'd al-Sam'anī (God bless them) – from the Collection of Forty Hadīth (arba'i) of Abu 'I-Barakāt al-Furāwī a ḥadīth regarding which Furāwī claimed that it was as if he himself – or his teacher – had heard it from Bukhārī. The teacher Abu 'I-Muzaffar said, "It is not elevated in relation to you, rather it is low in relation to Bukhārī." This is a good and elever response which takes this type of elevation down a notch. God knows best.

4. One of the types of elevation is the elevation derived from a transmitter dying early. An illustration of this is a hadith I relate from a teacher who informed me from someone else from the expert Bayhaqī from the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim. It is more elevated than my relation of that same hadīth from a teacher who informed me from someone from Abū Bakr b. Khalaf' from al-Ḥākim, despite both isnāds having the same number of intermediaries, because Bayhaqī predeceased Ibn Khalaf. Bayhaqī died in 458 [1066 AD] and Ibn Khalaf in 487 [1094 AD]. We heard that the expert Abū Yaʿlā al-Khalīl b. 'Abd Allāh al-Khalīli (God – He is exalted – bless him) said, "Sometimes one isnād is more elevated than another because its transmitter died earlier, even if both are equal in the number of intermediaries." As an example of this he cited one of his own hadīth similar to the one I mentioned above. This is a discussion of elevation based on priority of death derived from the comparison of one teacher with another and the measuring of one against another.

As for elevation derived solely from your teacher dying early without regard to measuring him against another transmitter, one of the people concerned with this made the threshold fifty years. That is what we heard from Abū 'Alī al-Ḥāfiẓ al-Nīsābūrī. He said, "I heard Aḥmad b. 'Umayr al-Dimashqīº – and he was one of the pillars of ḥadīth – saying, 'The isnād of fifty years from the death of the teacher is an isnād possessing elevation." One of the things that we hear from the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Manda is that he said, "When thirty years elapse on an isnād, it is elevated." This threshold is broader than the first. God knows best.

⁷ Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh al-Shirāzī al-Nīsābūrī (398/1008-487/1094), known as Ibn Khalaf, was an expert in literature and hadīth, Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:478-9.

⁸ Kitāb al-Irshād fi 'ulamā' al-hadīth, ed. Muhammad Sa'ld b. Umar Idris, 3 vols (Riyadh, 1409/1989), 1:179.

⁹ Abu 'l-Hasan Ahmad b. 'Umayr al-Dimashqi (d. 320/932), popularly known as Ibn Jawsa, was the most important transmitter of his day in Damascus; Dhahabi, Siyar, 15:15-21.

5. Elevation derived from earliness of audition: we were informed from the expert Muhammad b. Nāṣir that the expert Muhammad b. Ṭāhir said, "Priority of audition is part of elevation." Much of this comes under the previously mentioned category, but there are some aspects that do not and indeed they distinguish it. For instance, two people hear a hadith from a single teacher. The audition of one of them was, let us say, sixty years ago and the audition of the other forty years ago. When the isnāds up to the two people contain the same number of transmitters, the isnād to the first – the one whose audition was earlier – is more elevated.

These are the types of elevation fully explained and satisfactorily clarified. All praise belongs to God (He is praised and exalted). (God knows best.) As for the verse of Abū Ṭāhir al-Silafī (God bless him) we heard:

Rather, among those possessing retention and exactitude elevation in hadīth consists of soundness in the isnād.

and the remark we heard from the vizier Nizām al-Mulk," "In my opinion the elevated hadīth is the one established as sound from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), even if the number of its transmitters [that is, intermediaries] reaches one hundred;" these and other statements like them do not refer to elevation as the scholars of hadīth commonly use the term. It is elevation only in the everyday sense of the word [that is, in the sense of "excellence"]. God knows best.

Section: Lowness (nuzūl) is the opposite of elevation. Each of the subcategories of elevation has as its opposite a subcategory of lowness. There are therefore five subcategories of lowness and a detailed understanding of these may be derived from the classification of the subcategories of elevation given above.

Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim said, "Someone may say, 'Lowness is the opposite of elevation and whoever knows elevation also knows its opposite.' It is not so. Lowness has levels known only to the students of this discipline," and so forth. This does not refute the claim that lowness is the opposite of elevation in the way I mentioned. Rather, it is a refutation of the claim that lowness may be known though knowledge

¹⁰ Abu 'l-Fadl Muhammad b. Ţāhir al-Maqdisi (448/1056-507/1113), known as Ibn al-Qaysarāni, was an expert in Sufism and hadīth and wrote several works; Dhahabi, Siyar, 19:361-71.

¹¹ The Saljukid vizier Abū 'Alī al-Ḥasan b. 'Alī al-Ṭūsī, known as Niẓām al-Mulk, was born in a village near Ṭūs in 408/1018 and is best known for the colleges he founded to teach Shāfi'ite law and Ash'arite theology in Baghdad and other cities. He was assassinated in 485/1092; EP, 8:69-73.

^{12 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 12.

of elevation. That warning is appropriate for what he mentioned regarding elevation, for he was brief in his explanation and short on details. That is not the case regarding what we said about elevation, because ours is a detailed explication which also clarifies the levels of lowness. Knowledge belongs to God (He is blessed and exalted).

Lowness is inferior and undesirable. Superiority belongs to elevation, as was explained and demonstrated above. Ibn Khallād related that one insightful person said, "Being low (tanazzul) in an isnād is best," and he gave as proof an argument to the effect that personal exertion and study are needed to accredit and discredit each transmitter. When the number of intermediaries increases, the exertion is greater and the reward is therefore greater. This is a weak doctrine, weakly supported. Indeed, we heard that 'Alī b. al-Madīnī and Abū 'Amr al-Mustamlī al-Nīsābūrī¹⁴ said, "Lowness is a calamity." This and similar statements condemning lowness are directed at certain aspects of lowness. However, when lowness is specified instead of elevation as a means to obtain a benefit superior to the benefit of elevation, it is to be preferred and is not to be scorned. God knows best.

¹³ Muhaddith al-fāsil, 216.

¹⁴ In addition to being a repetitor, Abū 'Amr Ahmad b. al-Mubārak al-Nīsābūrī taught hadīth on his own. He died in Nishapur in 284/897; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:373–5.

FAMOUS HADITH

(Ma'rifat al-mashhūr min al-ḥadīth)

The meaning of the word "fame" (shuhra) is commonly understood. Famous hadith fall into the subcategories of sound – for instance the statement of the Prophet (Peace be upon him), "Actions are judged by intentions," and the like – and unsound – like the hadith, "Seeking knowledge is a duty incumbent upon every Muslim."

Likewise, we read that Ahmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "There are four hadith from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) circulating in the marketplaces which have no authenticity, 'Whoever gives me the glad tidings of the departure of the month of Ādhār I will give glad tidings of heaven,' 'On the Day of Resurrection, I will be the enemy of whoever harms a protected non-Muslim,' 'Your sacrifice is the day of your fast,' and 'The supplicant has a right [to alms], even if he comes riding on a horse.'"

Alternatively, famous hadīth fall into the subcategories of the hadīth famous among the scholars of hadīth and others – for instance the statement of the Prophet (Peace be upon him), "A Muslim is someone from whose tongue and hand other Muslims are safe," and the like – and the hadīth famous exclusively among the scholars of hadīth and no one else – like the hadīth we heard from Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Anṣārī¹ from Sulaymān al-Taymī² from Abū Mijlaz³ from Anas [b. Mālik] to the effect that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) made a personal prayer (qunūt) after the inclinations (rukū¹) for a month, invoking curses on the tribes of Ri⁴l and Dhakwān. This hadīth is famous among the scholars of hadīth and included in the Ṣaḥīḥ.¹ Other transmitters beside Abū Mijlaz transmitted it from Anas; others beside Taymī transmitted it from Abū Mijlaz; others beside Anṣārī transmitted it from Taymī. Only the practitioners of the craft know that. Others sometimes consider it unusual because

¹ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Anṣārī (118/736–215/830) served as a judge in al-Baṣra and Baghdad. The famous collection of elevated ḥadīth known as Juz' al-Anṣārī goes back to him; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:532–8.

² Abu 'l-Mu'tamir Sulaymān b. Ţirkhān al-Taymī was a pious and well-respected transmitter of hadīth who died in al-Baṣra in 143/761; Sezgin, GAS, 1:285-6.

³ According to Bukhārī, Abū Mijlaz Lāḥiq b. Ḥamīd (or Ḥumayd) al-Sadūsī al-Baṣrī died shortly before 100/728; al-Ta²rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):258-9; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):124.

⁴ Ḥadīth to this effect are in fact found in both Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Jāmi^c al-ṣaḥiḥ, 1:254 (K. al-Witr, B. al-Qunūt qabl al-rukū^c wa-ba^cdahū) and Muslim, al-Jāmi^c al-ṣaḥiḥ, 2:136 (K. al-Ṣalāh).

Taymi [normally] relates directly from Anas while here he relates from Anas through an intermediary.

One kind of famous hadith is the "widespread," which the scholars of practical and theoretical law mention. The scholars of hadith do not give it a special name indicating its particular meaning. Although the expert al-Khatib al-Baghdādī did mention it, there are indications in what he said which show that he was not following the scholars of hadīth in doing so. That may be because [the concept] is something which their craft does not include and [hadīth of this type] are rarely found in their relations. The widespread hadīth consists of a report conveyed by transmitters knowledge of whose veracity reaches the level of incontrovertibility (\$\darule arau n \text{.} This condition must be met continuously in its transmitters from the beginning of its isnād to its end.

Anyone asked to produce an example of a widespread hadith from among the hadith in circulation will be severely taxed by its requirements. For instance, the hadith, "Acts are judged by intentions," is not by any means of that kind, even if it is transmitted by more than the number of individuals required to meet the standard for being widespread (tawāṭur). This is because the required number of transmitters occurs in the middle of its isnād and it is not found in its early stages in the fashion discussed above. To be sure, we do view as an example of that the hadith, "Whoever deliberately ascribes a lie to me, let him find a seat for himself in hell." Many Companions (God be pleased with them) convey it and in the two Ṣaḥiḥs it is related from a number of them. The exalted expert Abū Bakr al-Bazzār in his Musnad stated that around forty of the Companions related it from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). One of the hadīth experts said that sixty-two Companions related it from the Messenger of God

⁵ Mutawātir: traditionally, the principal feature of this kind of hadīth was that its transmitters were so numerous in each generation that under normal circumstances it would have been impossible for all of them to enter into a conspiracy to put a lie in circulation; see, for instance, al-Khatīb al-Baghdādl, Kifāya, 16; Ibn Ḥajar, Nuzhat al-nazar, 9-17. Edward E. Salisbury translated "mutawātir" as "reiterated" ("Contributions from original sources to our knowledge of the science of Muslim tradition," Journal of the American Oriental Society, 7 [1862]:103) and Marçais followed him with "répété" (Taqrib, 201). A more literal translation would be "consecutive," although that does not accord well with the common understanding of the term. This may suggest that its original sense was close to that of muttaṣil and mawṣūl.

⁶ It is true that the discussion he presents in Kifāya (pp. 16-18) owes a good deal to the early opponents of the ashāb al-hadith.

⁷ This would seem to be the only possible meaning of this sentence, and Nawawi (Taqrib, 41) understood it this way. However, other scholars stressed that knowledge of the veracity of the hadith - rather than of its transmitters - was what was obtained through tawātur; e.g., al-Khatib al-Baghdādī, Kifāya, 16.

⁸ For a detailed study of this hadith and its lines of transmission, see G.H.A. Juynboll, Muslim Tradition: Studies in Chronology, Provenance and Authorship of early Hadith (Cambridge, 1983), 108-33.

⁹ Abu 'l-Faraj b. al-Jawzi said that sixty-one Companions transmitted this hadith from the Prophet; Kitāb al-Mawdū'āt, 1:56.

(Peace be upon him), including the Ten to whom the Prophet bore witness of their entrance into Paradise. This expert said, "This is the only hadith the transmitters of hadith have in the world which all of the Ten transmit and the sole hadith known to have been related from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) by more than sixty Companions." Some scholars of hadith count even more Companions than that — even a fraction of this constitutes the number required for widespreadness — and the number of its transmitters increased continually from then on with each successive level of transmission. God knows best.

RARE AND SCARCE HADITH

(Macrifat al-gharīb wa-'l-cazīz min al-ḥadīth)

We heard that the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Manda al-Iṣbahānī said, "The rare hadīth is like those of Zuhrī, Qatāda and the other authorities whose hadīth are collected. When a single transmitter is alone in relating a particular hadīth from them, it is called 'rare.' When two or three transmitters relate from them and they all have a single hadīth, it is called 'scarce.' When a group relates a hadīth from them, it is called 'famous' $(mashh\bar{u}r)$." The hadīth which one transmitter $(ba'd\ al-ruw\bar{a}t)$ is alone in transmitting is described as "rare." The same is true for the hadīth which one of them is alone in transmitting with features, whether in its text or in its isnād, which others do not give. Not everything that is considered isolated $(min\ anm\bar{a}'\ al-afr\bar{a}d)$ is considered rare, this being the case with the isolated hadīth ascribed to certain lands discussed above.

Rare hadīth are divided into the subcategories of sound – for instance, the isolated hadīth included in the Sahīh – and unsound, and these predominate among the rare hadīth. We heard that Ahmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with him) said more than once, "Do not write down these rare hadīth. They are rejected (manākir) and the generality of them are from weak transmitters."

The rare hadīth can also be subcategorized in another way. Some are rare in regard to both the text and the isnād. This is the hadīth the text of which a single transmitter is alone in transmitting. Some are rare in regard to the isnād, but not the text; for instance, a hadīth the text of which is well known and related from a group of Companions. When someone is alone in relating it from another Companion, it is rare in regard to that line of transmission, although its text is not rare. Of that type are the sound texts which the great teachers have with rare isnāds. This is the kind Tirmidhī calls "rare in regard to this line of transmission" (gharīb min hādha 'l-wajh).

I do not think that the reverse of this type can exist. There is therefore nothing rare in respect to the text and not rare in respect to its isnād, except when an isolated hadīth is famous from someone who was alone in transmitting it and a large number of students then related it from him. So it becomes a famous rare hadīth (gharīb mashhūr), rare in respect to its text and not rare in respect to its isnād – rather in regard to one of the two ends of its isnād. This is because its isnād is characterized by rarity in its beginning and by fame in its other end, like the hadīth, "Acts are judged by intentions," and the rest of the rare hadīth which the famous compositions contain. God knows best.

RARE WORDS IN THE HADITH

(Ma'rifat gharīb al-ḥadīth)

Rare words in the hadīth consist of words that occur in the texts of some hadīth which are obscure and difficult to understand because they are rarely used. This is an important discipline, ignorance of which is shameful for students of hadīth in particular and scholars in general. Immersion in it is no light matter and those who would undertake it should investigate scrupulously and proceed with care.

We heard from Maymūnī' that Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal was asked about one of the rare words of the ḥadīth. He said, "Ask the experts in rare words. I dislike discussing the utterances of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) supposititiously for fear that I will make a mistake." We read that Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Malik al-Ta'rīkhī' said, Abū Qilāba 'Abd al-Malik b. Muhammad' transmitted to me. He said, "I said to Aṣma'ī, 'Abū Sa'īd, what is the meaning of the statement of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), "The neighbor has a greater right to his sabaq"'? He said, 'I do not comment on the hadīth of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). However, the ancient Arabs assert that sabaq is the same as lazīq [that is, the adjacent property]."

Scholars have composed excellent books on this subject. We heard that the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim said, "The first in the history of Islam to compose a work on rare words was al-Nadr b. Shumayl." Some disagreed with him and said, "The first to compose a book on this subject was Abū 'Ubayda Ma'mar b. al-Muthannā." Both of their books are short. Later, Abū 'Ubayd al-Qāsim b. Sallām composed his famous book. He brought the material together and thoroughly examined it in an excellent fashion and so attained an exalted reputation among scholars and became a model in this matter. Qutaybī then tracked down the material Abū 'Ubayd missed and composed his famous book

¹ Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Abd al-Malik b. 'Abd al-Ḥamīd al-Maymūnī (d. 274/887) was a student of the imām Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal and acted as mufit in the city of al-Raqqa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:89-90.

² Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik al-Sarrāj was a third/ninth-century litterateur who was called "Tarīkhī" because of his interest in dates; al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, *Tarīkh Baghdād*, 2:348.

³ Abū Qilāba 'Abd al-Malik b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Raqāshī (190/806-276/890) was a mistake-prone ḥadīth transmitter from al-Başra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:177-9.

^{4 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 88.

⁵ The philologist Abū Ubayda Mamar b. al-Muthannā (110/728- ca. 210/825) wrote a number of important books. The work referred to here was entitled Gharib al-hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 8:67-71.

on the subject. Then Abū Sulaymān al-Khatṭābī tracked down what they both missed and wrote his famous book on this matter. These three books are the principal works composed on the subject. After them, other compilations appeared which contain many additions and useful remarks on the subject. However, these are not to be accepted unquestioningly unless their authors were exalted authorities.

In explicating the rare words in hadīth, the greatest reliance should be placed upon what is explicated in one of the relations of the hadīth.

For instance, it was related in the hadith of Ibn Şayyad8 that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) said to him, "I have hidden a secret for you. What is it?" and Ibn Şayyad replied, "The dukhkh." The meaning of the word is obscure and problematic and many have explicated it incorrectly. In al-Hākim's Ma'rifat 'ulūm al-hadīth,' we read that it is dakhkh with the sense of zakhkh, which is sexual intercourse. This is an egregious mix-up which exasperates both the scholar and the ordinary believer. Rather, the sense of the hadith is that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) said to him, "I have concealed a hidden thing for you. What is it?" and he replied, "The dukhkh," meaning "smoke" (dukhān). Dukhkh means "smoke" in one Arabic dialect since one of the versions of the hadith contains something specifying that. The Messenger of God said, "I have hidden a secret for you," and he hid for him "a day the sky will be filled with visible smoke,"10 and Ibn Şayyad said, "It is the dukhkh." Then the Messenger of God said, "Scram! You will never exceed your capacity." This hadith is well established and sound. Tirmidhi and others included it in their hadith collections. In accordance with the custom of the soothsayers of wresting only part of a thing from the devils, Ibn Şayyad took from [the verse of the Quran] this word alone, without understanding the whole statement. For that reason, the Prophet said to him, "Scram! You will never exceed your capacity," meaning: You have no more capacity to understand than the soothsayers. God knows best.

\$

⁶ This is a reference to Ibn Qutayba's Kitāb Gharib al-ḥadith (ed. 'Abd Allāh al-Jubūri, Baghdad, 1977).

⁷ Khattābi's Kitāb Gharīb al-ḥadīth has survived in several manuscripts; Sezgin, GAS, 1:211.

⁸ The primary question regarding Saf b. Sayyad (or Ibn Sa'id) was whether he was the antichrist. See Muslim, al-Jami' al-sahih, 8:189-94 (K. al-Fitan); Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, Kitāb al Sunan, 4 vols, ed. Muḥammad Muḥyī al-Dīn 'Abd al-Ḥamīd (Beirut, n.d.), 4:120-1 (K. al-Malāhim, B. Khabar Ibn Ṣā'id).

⁹ This passage does not appear in the text of the Cairo edition of al-Ḥākim's book. See the comments of Prof. 'Abd al-Raḥmān in Muqaddima, 460, n. 2.

¹⁰ Quran 44:10.

Category 33 ENCHAINED HADITH

(Ma^crifat al-musalsal min al-hadīth)

Enchainment (tasalsul) is one of the attributes of isnāds and consists of the consecutive succession of the transmitters of the isnād and their common adherence, one after another, to a single state or condition. It is subcategorized into enchainment in the manner of relating and taking up the hadīth and enchainment in regard to the state and condition of the transmitters. Taking into consideration words, actions and the like, the states and conditions of transmitters can be divided into innumerable subcategories for that purpose. The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim listed eight types.' However, the instances he mentioned are only eight basic forms or examples. They cannot, as we have said, be limited to eight.²

An example of enchainment in regard to the manner of relating and taking up the hadith is the isnād which is enchained with "I heard X say, 'I heard Y say,'" to the end of the isnād; or with "He transmitted to us" – or "He informed us" – to its end; or with "X, by God, informed us. He said, 'Y, by God, informed us,'" to its end.³ An example of enchainment in reference to the states of the transmitters, their words and the like, is the isnād of the hadith, "God, make me remember to thank you, think of you and worship you well," enchained with the transmitters saying, "I love you, so say, ['God, make me remember ...']." Another example is the hadith of joining hands and the hadith of counting on the fingers. We relate several things similar to that and many are in circulation. The best of them are those containing an indication of the continuity of audition and the lack of misrepresentation. One of the special merits of enchainment is that it implies a greater precision on the part of the transmitters.

Rarely are the enchained hadith totally free from weakness, I mean, in respect to the enchainment, not the authenticity of the text. In some enchained hadith, the enchainment is interrupted in the middle of the isnād and that is a defect in it. This is the case with the hadith enchained with, "[This is] the first hadith I heard [from X]," according to the correct view on the subject. God knows best.

^{1 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 29-34.

² This sentence is missing from the edition of Prof. Abd al-Raḥmān.

³ See, for example, Muḥammad 'Abd al-Bāqī al-Ayyūbī, al-Manāhil al-salsala fi 'l-aḥādīth al-musalsala (Beirut, 1403/1983), 182.

⁴ Ayyūbī, Manāhil, 24-7.

⁵ Ayyubi, Manāhil, 58-62.

⁶ Ayyūbī, Manāhil, 63-5.

⁷ Ayyūbi, Manāhil, 6-11.

ABROGATING AND ABROGATED HADITH

(Ma'rifat nāsikh al-ḥadīth wa-mansūkhihī)

This discipline is important and regarded as difficult. We heard that Zuhrī (God be pleased with him) said, "It wears out legal scholars and makes it impossible for them to distinguish the abrogating hadith of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) from the abrogated." Shāfi (God be pleased with him) had great influence and precedence in this subject. We heard that Ahmad b. Ḥanbal said to Muhammad b. Muslim b. Wāra¹ - one of the authorities in hadīth - as soon as the latter arrived from Egypt, "Did you copy the books of Shāfis?" He said no. Ibn Hanbal replied, "You were remiss. We did not learn to distinguish the general from the particular, or the abrogating hadith of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) from the abrogated until we studied with Shāfi'i." Some of the scholars of hadith who have occupied themselves with this discipline have included in it what does not properly belong, because of the obscurity of the meaning of abrogation and what constitutes it. It consists of the Legislator [that is, the Prophet Muhammad] abolishing an early ruling of his with a later ruling from him. This is a definition I came across which is free from some of the objections that are lodged against others.

The abrogating and abrogated hadith fall into various subcategories. One of these consists of the instances of abrogation recognized through an explicit declaration from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). An example of this is the hadith of Burayda³ in Muslim's Sahih⁴ in which the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "I had forbidden you to visit graves. Visit them!" and similar instances.

Another kind consists of the instances of abrogation recognized on the basis of a statement of a Companion. Examples of this are the hadīth which Tirmidhī⁵ and others related in which Ubayy b. Ka⁶b (God be pleased with him) said, "The major ablution was optional in the case of a seminal emission in the beginning of Islam and then the option was forbidden;" and the hadīth Nasā³ī⁶ included in which Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh said, "The last of the two orders from the Messenger

¹ Ibn Wara (d. 270/884) was one of the great critics of hadith in the city of al-Rayy; Dhahabi, Siyar, 13:28-32.

² Presumably in Baghdad.

³ Burayda b. al-Husayb al-Aslamī (d. ca. 62/682) was a Companion who transmitted about one hundred and fifty hadīth from the Prophet; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:469-71.

⁴ Al-Jāmic al-şaḥiḥ, 3:65 (K. al-Janā)iz).

⁵ Şaḥiḥ al-Tirmidhī, 1:124 (K. al-Ṭahāra, B. Mā jā'a fī anna al-mā' min al-mā').

⁶ Sunan al-Nasā²i, 13 vols (Cairo, 1350/1931-1352/1934) 1:199-200 (K. al-Ţahāra).

of God (Peace be upon him) was abandoning the ablution for what is touched by fire." There are other similar instances.

Another subcategory consists of the instances of abrogation recognized by dates. This is the case with the hadith of Shaddad b. Aws and others in which the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "The cupper and the cupped break their fast," and the hadith of Ibn 'Abbās depicting the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) being cupped while fasting. Shāfi'i explained that the second hadith abrogated the first, because it is related in the hadith of Shaddād that he was with the Prophet (Peace be upon him) at the time of the conquest of Mecca. The Prophet saw a man being cupped in the month of Ramadan and said, "The cupper and the cupped break their fast." It was related in the hadith of Ibn 'Abbās that the Prophet (Peace be upon him) was cupped while he was in the state of ritual consecration for entering the precincts of Mecca (muhrim) and fasting. It thereby became clear that the first hadith was from the time of the conquest in year 8 [629 AD] and the second was during the Farewell Pilgrimage in year 10.

Another subcategory consists of the instances of abrogation made known through consensus. An example is the hadīth prescribing the execution of the wine drinker for his fourth offense. [The ruling in this hadīth] is abrogated and its abrogation became known through the achievement of a consensus on the abandonment of the practice. Consensus [by itself] may neither abrogate nor be abrogated. However, it does indicate the existence of another agency of abrogation. God knows best what is correct.

MISREADINGS IN THE ISNADS AND TEXTS OF HADITH

(Ma'rifat al-muşaḥḥaf min asānīd al-aḥādīth wa-mutūnihā)

This is a noble discipline which only the most skillful experts undertake. Daraquini is one of them and he has a useful book on it. We heard that Aḥmad b. Hanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "Who is safe from error and misreading?"

An example of misreading in an isnād is the hadīth of Shuba from al-'Awwām b. Murājim' from Abū 'Uthmān al-Nahdī' from 'Uthmān b. 'Affān in which the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Convey rights to those who deserve them, and so forth." Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn misread it and said, "Ibn Muzāḥim," and he was refuted. It is really "Ibn Murājim." Another example is what we heard from Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal. He said, Muḥammad b. Ja'far [that is, "Ghundar"] informed us. He said, Shuba transmitted to us from "Mālik b. 'Urfuṭa" from 'Abd Khayr' from 'A'isha, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) forbade the gourd and skin smeared with pitch." Aḥmad said, "Shuba misread it. 'Mālik b. 'Urfuṭa' is supposed to be Khālid b. 'Alqama." Indeed, Zā'ida b. Qudāma and others have related it in accordance with what Aḥmad said. We read from Dāraquṭnī that Ibn Jarīr al-Tabarī said, "Among the members of the Banū Sulaym who related from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) is 'Utba b. al-Budhdhar,' " and he related a ḥadīth of his. The name is supposed to be Ibn al-Nuddar.'

An example of misreading in a text is the hadīth Ibn Lahī'a related from the letter of Mūsā b. 'Uqba' to him, with the latter's isnād, from Zayd b. Thābit that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) ihtajama (was cupped) in the mosque. Instead, it should read: "He ihtajara (made an enclosure) in the mosque," with a shanty or a mat, in which he prayed. Ibn Lahī'a misread it because he had taken it from a written source [that is, the letter of Mūsā b. 'Uqba] without audition. Muslim mentioned this in his Kitāb al-Tamyīz.

¹ This apparently is a reference to Dāraquṭnī's now-lost Kitāb taṣḥif al-muḥaddithin mentioned in Ibn Khayr al-Ishbili, Fihrist mā rawāhu 'an shuyūkhihi, 2nd edn (Cairo, 1382/1963), 204.

² Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 4(1):66-7; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):22.

³ Abū Uthmān 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Mull (the vocalization of "Mull" is variously given) al-Nahdī was a Follower who died in 100/719; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:175–8.

⁴ Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 3(2):133-4; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(1):37-8.

⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):173; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):343.

⁶ Utba b. al-Nuddar al-Shāmī was a Companion who died in 84/703; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:417.

⁷ Abū Muḥammad Mūsā b. Uqba (d. 141/758) is best known for his Kitāb al-Maghāzi treating the military campaigns of the Prophet. This work has not survived intact; Sezgin, GAS, 1:286-7. EI.

^{8 (}Riyadh, n.d.), 139-40.

[Other examples of misreading:]

We read from Dāraquṭnī regarding the ḥadīth of Abū Sufyān⁹ in which Jābir [b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥarāmī al-Anṣārī] said, "On the Day of the Parties [that is, the Battle of the Trench], *Ubayy* was wounded on the vein on the back of his hand and the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) cauterized it," that Ghundar said in it, *abī* (my father). Rather, it is "*Ubayy* b. Kab."

We also read from Dāraquṭnī regarding the ḥadīth of Anas, "Whoever says, 'There is no God but God,' and has in his heart as much goodness as a *dharra* (atom) weighs will then leave hell," that Shuba said for it *dhura* (kernel of corn). This pronunciation was ascribed to misreading.

In addition, we read from Dāraquṭnī regarding the ḥadīth of Abū Dharr, ¹⁰ "So you will help the $s\bar{a}ni^c$ (skillful person)," that Hishām b. 'Urwa pronounced it $d\bar{a}^{j}i^{(1)}$ (poor man). It is a case of misreading. The correct form is what Zuhrī related, $s\bar{a}ni^c$, the opposite of clumsy.

We read from Abū Zur'a al-Rāzī that Yaḥyā b. Sallām¹² – he is the Qur'ān commentator – transmitted regarding the passage from the Qur'ān, "I will show you the abode of wrongdoers,"¹¹ from Saʿīd b. Abī 'Arūba that Qatāda said, "That is Miṣr" (Egypt). Abū Zur'a was shocked and disgusted by this. He said that in the commentary of Saʿīd from Qatāda it is maṣīrahum (their fate).

We read from Dāraquṭnī that Abū Mūsā Muḥammad b. al-Muthannā al-ʿAnazī¹¹ transmitted the ḥadīth of the Prophet (Peace be upon him), "On the Day of Resurrection, none of you will come with a lowing cow," and he said in it, "or a sheep which tanʿaru (grunts)." It is supposed to be tayʿaru (bleats).

We also read from Dāraquṭnī that 'Anazī said to them one day, "We are a people who possess nobility. We belong to the tribe of 'Anaza. The Prophet (Peace be upon him) prayed toward us," having in mind what was related regarding the Prophet (Peace be upon him) praying toward

⁹ Abū Sufyān Ṭalḥa b. Nāfi^c al-Iskāf was a Follower who claimed to have spent several months with Jābir in Mecca; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:293-4.

¹⁰ Abū Dharr Jundub b. Junāda (his ism and nasab are disputed) al-Ghifārī (d. 32/653) was a Companion and prominent transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:46-78.

¹¹ According to al-Qāḍī Yyāḍ, this is the form which Ibn 'Urwa misread; Mashāriq al-anwār 'alā sahīh al-āthār, 2 vols (Beirut, n.d.), 2:47.

¹² Abū Zakariyā Yahyā b. Sallām al-Taymī (124/742–200/815) was a well-traveled scholar best known for his knowledge of the Qur'ān; Sezgin, GAS, 1:39.

^{13 7:145.}

¹⁴ Abū Mūsā Muḥammad b. al-Muthannā al-Anazī (167/783-252/866) was a respected scholar of ḥadīth in al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:123-6.

an 'anaza. He mistakenly believed that he prayed toward their tribe. The 'anaza here is a spear which was stuck in the earth in front of him and which he prayed toward.¹⁵

More humorous than that is what we heard from Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim¹6 regarding a Bedouin who claimed that when the Prophet (Peace be upon him) prayed, a sheep – that is, an 'anza – was set in front of him. That is, the Bedouin misread 'anaza (spear) by not pronouncing the letter nūn with a vowel.

We also read from Dāraquṭnī that Abū Bakr al-Ṣūlī¹⁷ dictated in the congregational mosque¹⁸ the ḥadīth of Abū Ayyūb,¹⁹ "Whoever fasts the month of Ramadan and follows it for *sitt* (six [days]) from the month of Shawwāl," and said *shay*³ (some).

In addition, we read from him that the authority Abū Bakr al-Ismāʿilī – according to what they read about him – used to say in the hadīth of ʿĀʾisha from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) regarding the soothsayers, "the pouring out (qarr) of a zujjāja (glass bottle)," and it should be, "[the cackling] of a dajjāja (hen)."

We also read in regard to the hadīth related from Mu'āwiya b. Abī Sufyān,²¹ "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) cursed those who carefully enunciate their sermons (yushaqqiqūna al-khuṭab) in the manner of poetry," that Dāraquṭnī said that on one occasion Wakī' [b. al-Jarrāḥ] pronounced khuṭab as haṭab [that is, making the phrase mean "those who split firewood"]. Abū Nu'aym [al-Fadl b. Dukayn] was present and he repeated it to Wakī' with khuṭab. I read in the handwriting of one author that in the Mosque of al-Manṣūr in Baghdad Ibn Shāhīn²² said

¹⁵ In examining these alleged misreadings, we should heed the warning of Prof. Rosenthal, "Strange cases of *tashif* were invented in order to entertain the reader or to warn him of possible pitfalls"; *Technique*, 24.

^{16 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 148-9.

¹⁷ Abu Bakr Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā al-Ṣūlī (d. 335/946) was a historian and litterateur with a dominating interest in poetry. A number of his works have survived and been published; Sezgin, GAS, 1:330-31.

¹⁸ This would seem to be a reference to the Mosque of al-Manşūr in Baghdad.

¹⁹ The Companion Abū Ayyūb Khālid b. Zayd al-Anṣārī died around the year 50/670; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:402–13.

²⁰ The question is not as simple as the author represents it. See Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Jāmi^c al-ṣaḥiḥ, (K. al-Adab, B. Qawl al-rajul li-'l-shay' laysa bi-'l-shay') and (K. al-Tibb, B. al-Kahāna).

²¹ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Mu'āwiya b. Abī Sufyān was a Companion of the Prophet and the founder of the Umayyad dynasty. He ruled in Damascus from 41/661 until 60/680; *EF*, 7:263–9.

²² Abū Ḥafṣ Umar b. Aḥmad (297/909-385/995), known as Ibn Shāhīn, was the author of a number of important works in the field of hadīth, Sezgin, GAS, 1:209-10.

regarding that hadith, "The Prophet (Peace be upon him) prohibited the splitting of firewood," and one wit said, "Folks, what will we do when this is necessary?"

Instances of misreading fall into two subcategories. The first of them is misreading in the text and the second in the isnad. Alternatively, they fall into two other subcategories. One is visual misreading (tashif al-basar) - like the hadith cited above from Ibn Lahi'a - and this is more common, and the second is aural "misreading" (taṣḥīf al-sam'), as was the case in the ḥadīth of 'Āṣim al-Ahwal. One person related it, saying, "from Wāşil al-Ahdab." Dāraquṭnī said that this is a case of aural, and not visual, misreading. It seems that he maintained - and God knows best - that aural misreading refers to words which are not written similarly. Rather, the sense of hearing of the person who related it caused the mistake. By a third subdivision, misreading falls into the subcategories of misreading of the wording, and it is the more common, and "misreading" pertaining to the sense, not the wording, as was the case with the hadith cited above from Muhammad b. al-Muthanna on the praying toward an canaza.23 Calling some of what we mentioned "misreading" is figurative. (God knows best) For much of the misreading transmitted from the greatest of the splendid scholars, there are excuses which those transmitting the misreading did not pass on. We ask God for success and protection. God knows best.

²³ That is, 'anaza was the correct word, but Abū-Mūsā al-'Anazī chose the wrong meaning of it.

Category 36 Contradictory Ḥadith

(Ma^crifat mukhtalif al-ḥadīth)

Only the authorities who bring together the the crafts of hadith and positive law and profoundly study the subtle shades of meaning of different hadith can completely master the study of contradictory hadith. Note that the hadith mentioned in this chapter fall into two subcategories.

1. It is possible to combine the two hadith and it is not impossible to bring out some aspect which removes their mutual negation: in that case, it is obligatory to direct one's attention to that aspect and endorse both of the hadith. An example of this is the hadith, "There is no contagion nor evil fortune," along with the two hadith, "The owner of sick camels should not take his camels for watering where the owner of well camels is present," and, "Flee from a leper as you would from a lion." The two [views on contagion; that is, the one represented in the first hadith and the one in the second and third hadith] may be combined because these illnesses are not by their nature infectious, but God (He is blessed and exalted) made a person sick with these illnesses coming into contact with a well person a means of infecting the well person with his sickness. Sometimes this fails to cause the sickness, as is the case with the rest of the causes. In the first hadith, the Prophet (Peace be upon him) refuted the belief of the ignorant that illness is contagious by nature and for that reason he said, "Who infected the first person [to have the disease]?" In the second [that is, the latter two hadith] note that God (He is praised) made contact a means of infection and warned of the harm which is likely to take place through the action of God (He is praised and exalted) where the illness occurs. Of this there are many examples in the hadith.

Ibn Qutayba's Kitāb Mukhtalif al-ḥadīth' (Book of Contradictory Ḥadīth) treats this topic. Although in a certain respect he has done a good job, he handled poorly other things which he was not up to. Others produced better and stronger works.

We heard that the authority [Abū Bakr] Muḥammad b. Isḥāq b. Khuzayma said, "I am not aware that two [genuinely] antithetical (mutadāddayn) ḥadīth with sound isnāds were related from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). Whoever has [seemingly contradictory ḥadīth], let him bring them to me for me to harmonize.

¹ Ta'wil mukhtalif al-hadith, ed. Muhammad Zuhri al-Najjār (Cairo, 1386/1966); trans. Gérard Lecomte, Le Traité des divergences du hadit d'Ibn Qutayba (Damascus, 1962).

2. The hadith are so antithetical that they cannot be combined: that takes two forms: (a). it appears that one of the hadith is abrogating and the other is abrogated. The abrogating one is acted upon and the abrogated is ignored, and (b). There is no indication which hadith is the abrogating and which is the abrogated. Recourse is then made to determining which is to be preferred and the more preferred and better established is the one to be put into practice. The determination of preference may be made, for instance, on the basis of one of the hadith having a greater number of transmitters or on the basis of the characteristics of the transmitters. There are fifty or more ways of determining preference and the task of detailing them is best left for another place. God (He is praised) knows best.

ADDITIONS TO COHESIVE ISNADS

(Ma^crifat al-mazīd fī muttașil al-asānīd)

An example of this is the hadith related from 'Abd Allah b. al-Mubarak. He said, Sufyān informed us from 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Yazīd b. Jābir.' He said, Busr b. Ubayd Allah² transmitted to me. He said, I heard Abū Idrīs³ saying, I heard Wāthila b. al-Asqa⁽⁴⁾ saying, I heard Abū Marthad al-Ghanawi⁵ saying, I heard the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) saying, "Do not sit on graves and do not pray toward them." The mention of Sufyān6 in this isnād is an addition and a mistake, just as the mention of Abū Idrīs is. The mistake in mentioning Sufyān was made by someone after Ibn al-Mubārak, because a number of reliable transmitters related it from Ibn al-Mubārak directly from Ibn Jābir himself. Some of these transmitters make explicit use of the phrase "He informed us" between them there. The mention of Abū Idrīs in the isnād is a mistake attributable to Ibn al-Mubārak. This is because a number of reliable transmitters related it from Ibn Jābir and they did not mention Abū Idrīs between Busr and Wāthila. Some of them explicitly mention there the audition of Busr from Wāthila. Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī said, "They hold the opinion that Ibn al-Mubārak made a mistake in this. Busr often transmits from Abū Idrīs. Ibn al-Mubārak erred when he supposed that this was something that was related from Abū Idrīs from Wāthila. Indeed, Busr heard this hadlth directly from Wathila himself."7

The expert al-Khaṭīb has composed a book on this Category which he called Kitāb Tamyīz al-mazīd fī muttaṣil al-asānīd (Detecting Additions in Cohesive Isnāds). There is some doubt regarding much of what he discussed. This is because an isnād lacking the additional transmitter should be judged to contain looseness (irsāl), if the transmission is marked by the word "from" ('an). Furthermore, with the isnād in which the additional transmitter is mentioned, the hadīth should be regarded as defective (mu'allal), in accordance with what is

.

¹ Abū Utba Abd al-Raḥmān b. Yazīd b. Jābir (d. ca. 153/770) was a transmitter of ḥadīth in Damascus; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:176-7.

² Very little seems to be known about the Damascene religious scholar Busr b. Ubayd Allāh al-Ḥaḍramī (d. ca. 110/728); Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:592.

³ Abū Idrīs 'Ā'idh Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh al-Khawlānī (d. 80/699) was a prominent figure in early Muslim Damascus; Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 4:272–7.

⁴ Wathila b. al-Asqa (his *kunya* was disputed) was the last surviving Companion in Damascus. He died around 85/704; Dhahabi, *Siyar*, 3:383-7.

⁵ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:1333-4.

⁶ In this context, it is impossible to determine whether Sufyān al-Thawrī or Sufyān b. Uyayna is meant.

⁷ Ibn Abi Hātim al-Rāzi, 'Ilal al-hadith, 2 vols (Cairo, 1343-4), 1:80.

known about the Category of the defective hadith, as will be mentioned – God (He is exalted) willing – in the following Category.

If there is a clear statement of audition or informing, as in the example we gave above, it is possible that the transmitter heard it from someone else from his [alleged] teacher and then heard it directly from the teacher himself. So it may be that Busr heard this hadith from Abū Idrīs from Wāthila and then he met Wāthila and heard it directly from him. Something like this is made explicit in other instances. This applies unless an indication is found which shows that this is a mistake, along the lines of what Abū Hātim mentioned in the example above. The obvious course of action for someone to whom something like that has occurred would be to mention the two auditions [that is, the audition directly from the teacher and the one from an intermediary from the teacher]. When he says nothing of this kind, we interpret it as the aforementioned kind of addition. God knows best.

⁸ That is, Ibn al-Mubārak is alleged to have said, "Sufyān informed us," and Busr, "I heard Abū Idrīs saying."

HADITH WITH HIDDEN LOOSENESS

(Ma'rifat al-marāsīl al-khafī irsāluhā)

This is an important and extremely useful Category which is mastered through collecting many hadīth and gathering their lines of transmission in combination with a good deal of experience. The expert al-Khaṭīb wrote Kitāb al-Tafṣil li-mubham al-marāṣil (Clarification of Obscure Loose Ḥadīth) about it. Mentioned in this regard are the ḥadīth recognized as loose through the knowledge that a transmitter in the isnād did not hear or meet his alleged teacher. This is the case with the ḥadīth related from al-'Awwām b. Ḥawshab' from ('an) 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Awfā in which he said, "When Bilāl said, 'It is time to pray!' the Prophet (Peace be upon him) rose and said, 'God is great!'" It is related that Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal said regarding it, "Al-'Awwām did not meet Ibn Abī Awfā."

Another kind is the hadīth judged to be loose due to its appearance in another line of transmission with the addition of one individual or more in the spot where the looseness is alleged to be. An example is the hadīth mentioned in Category 10 from 'Abd al-Razzāq [b. Hammām] from [Sufyān] al-Thawrī from Abū Ishāq [Sulaymān b. Abī Sulaymān al-Shaybānī]. Interruption and looseness were judged to exist between 'Abd al-Razzāq and Thawrī, because it is related that 'Abd al-Razzāq said, "Al-Nu'mān b. Abī Shayba al-Janadī transmitted to me from Thawrī from Abū Ishāq." It is also judged to be loose between Thawrī and Abū Ishāq, because the same ḥadīth is also related from Thawrī from Sharīk [b. 'Abd Allāh al-Nakha'ī] from Abū Ishāq.

This Category and the preceding one are in opposition, because each of them can be raised as an objection against the other, as was indicated above. God knows best.

THE COMPANIONS (GOD BE PLEASED WITH ALL OF THEM)

(Macrifat al-Şaḥāba)

This is a vast science on which people have written many books. If it had not been for Ibn 'Abd al-Barr's disgraceful inclusion of a large amount of material concerning the disputes that flared up between the Companions and his relations from the secular historians (akhbārīyīn), rather than the transmitters of hadīth, his Kitāb al-Istī'āb [fi ma'rifat al-Aṣḥāb] (Comprehensive Book [of Companions]) would have been one of the most pleasant and useful works on the subject. Prolixity and confusion prevail in what the secular historians relate. I will present here some useful points (God – He is exalted – willing) which the authors of the books on the Companions should have at the outset turned their attention to in the introduction to their books.

- 1. Scholars disagree over what constitutes a Companion (saḥābī). The wellknown practice of the adherents of hadith is to regard every Muslim who saw the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) as a Companion. Bukhārī said in his Sahīh, "Every Muslim who associated with (sahība) the Prophet (Peace be upon him) or saw him is one of his Companions." We read that Abu 'l-Muzaffar al-Sam'ani al-Marwazi şaid, "The scholars of hadith apply the term 'Companion' without qualification to all of those who related from the Prophet a hadith or even a single word, and they allow so much latitude in this that they count as a Companion anyone who saw the Prophet even just once. It is on account of the nobility of the status of the Prophet (Peace be upon him) that they grant Companionship to all of those who saw him." He said that the term "Companion" from the standpoint of common parlance and literal sense applies only to those who associated with the Prophet (Peace be upon him) for an extended length of time, studied extensively under him as a follower of his and took many hadith from him. This is the doctrine of the experts in legal theory.
 - Indeed, we heard that Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib did not regard as a Companion anyone who had not stayed with the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) for at least a year or two and fought with him on one or two campaigns. It seems that the meaning of this if it is related correctly from him refers to what is related from the legal theorists. However, there is some difficulty in his choice of expression because it necessitates that we not regard as a Companion Jarīr b. 'Abd Allāh

al-Bajalī² and others like him who fall short of fulfilling the letter of what he stipulated, including some whose inclusion among the Companions has never to our knowledge been challenged. We heard from Shuba that Mūsā al-Sabalānī³ – and he was highly spoken of – said, "I went to Anas b. Mālik and said, 'Are any of the Companions of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) beside you still alive?' He said, 'Some Bedouins who saw him are still alive. As for those who associated with him, no.'" The isnād of the report is good and Muslim related it in the presence of Abū Zurca [al-Rāzī].

In some cases an individual is known to be a Companion by means of universal acknowledgement $(bi-'l-taw\bar{a}tur)$; in some cases by numerous testimonies $(bi-'l-istif\bar{a}da)$ falling short of universal acknowledgement; in some cases by a few of the Companions relating that he is a Companion; and in some cases by his own statement or report – after his integrity is established – that he is a Companion. God knows best

2. The Companions, all of them, possess the special trait that the integrity of none of them may be questioned. Rather, it is a settled matter, because of their being declared upright without qualification in texts from the Quran and the sunna and by the consensus of those who are taken into consideration in the consensus of the Community. God (He is blessed and exalted) said, "You were the best community which was brought forth to men," and so forth.4 It is said that the commentators agree that this verse refers to the Companions of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). God (He is exalted) said, "Thus, we made you a Community in the middle so you can be witnesses against the people,"5 and this is speech addressed to those living at that time. He (I praise Him) also said, "Muḥammad, the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), and those who are with him are severe against the infidels," and so forth. Many of the texts of the sunna also testify to that, including the hadith of Abū Saʿīd [al-Khudrī] - which is agreed to be sound - that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Do not insult my Companions! By the One who holds my life in His hand, if one of you were to spend a pile of gold the size of Uhud⁷ [on good works], he would still not attain a small measure of [the honor of] one of the Companions or even a half of that measure." The Community agrees unanimously on declaring all of the Companions to be upright. On the basis of the consensus of those

² Abū 'Amr (or Abū 'Abd Allāh) Jarīr b. 'Abd Allāh al-Bajalī converted to Islam only forty days prior to the death of the Prophet. He died around 50/670; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istīšāb*, 1:236-40.

³ I have not been able to identify this person.

⁴ Qur³ān 3:110.

⁵ Qur³ån 2:143.

⁶ Quran 48:29.

⁷ Uhud is a mountain a short distance to the north of Medina where the Muslims suffered a setback at the hands of the pagan Meccans in the year 3/625; EP, 3:970-1.

scholars who are taken into account in determining a consensus, the same is held to be true of those who were involved in the discords (fitan) on account of their high esteem and the glorious deeds which were set out for them. It would seem that God (He is praised and exalted) ordained the consensus on that because they were the conveyers of the Holy Law (al-sharia). God knows best.

3. The most prolific of the Companions in terms of transmitting hadith from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) was Abū Hurayra. That judgement was related from Saʿīd b. Abi 'l-Ḥasan³ and Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, and it is an obvious fact not hidden from any ḥadīthologist. He was the first scholar of ḥadīth (sāḥib ḥadīth). We read that Abū Bakr b. Abī Dāwūd al-Sijistānī said, "I saw Abū Hurayra in a dream while I was in Sijistān arranging his ḥadīth. I said, 'I love you.' He said, 'I was the first scholar of ḥadīth in the world.'" We also heard that Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal (God be pleased with him) said, "Six of the Companions of the Prophet (God bless him) related much from him and were granted a long life, Abū Ḥurayra, Ibn ʿUmar, ʿĀʾisha, Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh, Ibn 'Abbās and Anas. Abū Ḥurayra was the most prolific of them in terms of ḥadīth and reliable transmitters took ḥadīth from him."

The most prolific of the Companions in terms of the legal responsa (futyā) related from him is Ibn 'Abbās. We read that Aḥmad b. Hanbal said, "None of the Companions of the Prophet (Peace be upon him) has more legal opinions related from him than Ibn 'Abbās." We also heard that Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal was asked, "Who are the 'Abd Allāhs'?" and he replied, "Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās, 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar, 'Abd Allāh b. al-Zubayr' and 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr." He was asked, "And Ibn Mas'ūd?" He said, "No, 'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd is not one of the 'Abd Allāhs.'" The expert Aḥmad al-Bayhaqī — in something that we heard from him and I read in his own handwriting — said, "That is because Ibn Mas'ūd died early. Those others lived until their knowledge was needed. When they agree on something, it is said, 'This is the doctrine of the 'Abd Allāhs,' or, 'This is what they did.'" Ibn Mas'ūd shares this status with the rest of the Companions named "'Abd Allāh," and they number about two hundred and twenty. God knows best.

We heard that 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh al-Madīnī said, "Only three of the Companions of the Prophet had students who took up their doctrine in law, 'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd, Zayd b. Thābit and Ibn 'Abbās (God be pleased with them)." Each of them had students who took up his doctrine and gave legal opinions to the people. We heard that Masrūq¹¹ said, "I found that the knowledge of the

⁸ Sa'id b. Abi 'I-Ḥasan Yasar al-Baṣrī (d. 100/719) was the brother of the famous al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:588-9.

⁹ Abū Khubayb 'Abd Allāh b. al-Zubayr b. al-'Awwām al-Qurashī (2/624-73/692) was the grandson of the caliph Abū Bakr. He led an uprising against the Umayyads and died in the siege of Mecca; EP, 1:54-5.

^{10 &#}x27;Ilal, 42.

¹¹ Abū 'Ā'isha Masrtīq b. al-Ajda' al-Hamdānī (d. ca. 63/683) was a prominent Follower who converted to Islam during the lifetime of the Prophet; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:63-9.

Companions of the Prophet (Peace be upon him) ended up in the hands of six of them, 'Umar, 'Alī, Ubayy, Zayd, Abu 'l-Dardā' and 'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd. Then the knowledge of these six went to two, 'Ali and 'Abd Allah." We heard something similar from Mutarrif¹³ from Sha^tbī from Masrūq, but he mentioned Abū Mūsā instead of Abu 'l-Dardā'. We heard that Sha'bī said, "Knowledge was taken from six of the Companions of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). 'Umar, 'Abd Allah [b. Mas'ūd] and Zayd were similar in knowledge and they used to borrow from one another. The knowledge of 'Alī, [Abū Mūsā] al-Ash'arī and Ubayy was similar and they used to borrow from one another."14 We heard that the expert Ahmad al-Bayhaqī said that Shāfi mentioned the Companions in the original version of his Treatise (Risālatihī al-qadīma), praised them appropriately and then said, "They are above us in every branch of knowledge, in personal striving (ijtihād), in piety, in intelligence and in any matter through which knowledge is attained and derived. To us their legal opinions are the most praiseworthy and theirs are better for us than the ones we have for ourselves." God knows best.

4. We heard that Abū Zurʿa al-Rāzī was asked how many people transmitted from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and said, "Who can determine that exactly? Forty thousand witnessed the Farewell Pilgrimage¹⁵ with the Prophet (Peace be upon him) and seventy thousand witnessed Tabūk¹6 with him." We also heard that Abū Zurʿa was asked, "Is it not said that the ḥadīth of the Prophet (Peace be upon him) number four thousand?" He said, "May God loosen the canine teeth of whoever says that! This is a doctrine of the heretics. Who can count the ḥadīth of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him)? [Through his death] the Prophet was taken from 114,000 Companions who related from him and heard hadīth from him." — or according to another relation, "who saw him and heard hadīth from him." He was asked, "Abū Zurʿa, Those? Where were they? Where did they hear hadīth from him?" He said, "The inhabitants of Medina, the inhabitants of Mecca, those living between the two cities, the Bedouin and those who witnessed the Farewell Pilgrimage with him — that is, everyone who saw him and heard hadīth from him at Mt. 'Arafa."

People differ over the number of the levels and types of Companions. For that, precedence in conversion to Islam, participation in the Emigration and witnessing the other great scenes with the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) – by our

^{12 &#}x27;Alī b. al-Madīnī, al-'Ilal, ed. 'Abd al-Mu'tī Amīn Qal'ajī (Aleppo, 1400/1980), 42.

¹³ Abū Bakr (or Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān) Muṭarrif b. Ṭarif al-Kūfī (d. ca. 143/760) was a highly respected transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:127-8.

^{14 &#}x27;Ali b. al-Madini, al-'Ilal, 41.

¹⁵ In the year 10/632, the Prophet led the pilgrimage to Mecca and set down its rites for later generations; *EP*, 3:33.

¹⁶ In the year 9/630 Muhammad led an expedition from Medina to the town Tabūk to subdue the local Arab tribes; EI², 10:50–1.

fathers, mothers and ourselves he (Peace be upon him) is the Messenger of God! – are taken into consideration. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim put them into twelve ranks' and others have added more. We will not take the time to set that out in detail.

5. The best of the Companions in absolute terms was Abū Bakr followed by Umar. At this point, the majority of the forebears put Uthmān before 'Alī. The Sunnite inhabitants of al-Kūfa gave 'Alī precedence over 'Uthmān. A number held this doctrine, including Sufyān al-Thawrī at first. He then went back to granting precedence to 'Uthmān. Khaṭṭābī related that from Sufyān al-Thawrī and from the other Sunnites of al-Kūfa. [Abū Bakr] Muḥammad b. Isḥāq b. Khuzayma is one of the adherents of ḥadīth who was reported to have given 'Alī precedence over 'Uthmān. The doctrines of the scholars of ḥadīth and the Sunnites have settled on granting precedence to 'Uthmān.

In regard to the best Companions as a type, Abū Manṣūr ['Abd al-Qāhir b. Tāhir] al-Baghdādī al-Tamīmī said, "Our teachers unanimously agreed that the best of them were the four Caliphs, 18 then the remaining six who make up the Ten [who were granted the glad tidings that they would enter Paradise], then the Muslims present at the battle of Badr, 19 then those who participated in the battle of Uhud, then those who took the Pledge of Good Pleasure at al-Hudaybīya." In the text of the Qur'ān, preference was granted to "the first Muhājirs and Ansarites having precedence." 20 According to the statement of Saʿīd al-Musayyib and certain others, the people referred to in the verse were those who prayed to the two qiblas. 21 According to the statement of Shaʿībī they were the Companions who witnessed the Pledge of Good Pleasure. It is reported that Muhammad b. Kaʿīb al-Qurazīt²² and ʿAtāʾ² b. Yasār²³ said they were the participants in the battle of Badr. Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr related that from them in something I found from him. 24 God knows best.

6. The forebears disagreed over who was the first of the Companions to convert to Islam. Some said Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq, and this was related from Ibn 'Abbās,

^{17 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 22-5.

¹⁸ That is, Abū Bakr, Umar, Uthman and Alī.

¹⁹ In the year 2/624 the Muslims under Muhammad defeated a much larger force of pagan Meccans; *EI*, 1:867–8.

²⁰ This is a reference to Qur'an, 9:100.

²¹ The *qibla* is the direction to which Muslims orient themselves during their prayers. They originally prayed toward Jerusalem and in the year 2/623 were enjoined to pray in the direction of Mecca; EP, 5:82-3.

²² Muhammad b. Kab b. Sulaym al-Qurazi was an expert commentator on the Quram who died surrounded by his students in an earthquake around the year 120/738; Sezgin, GAS, 1:32.

^{23 &#}x27;Ata' b. Yasar, the brother of Sulayman, died around 100/719; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:448-9.

²⁴ Isticāb, 1:14.

Ḥassān b. Thābit,25 Ibrāhīm al-Nakha4 and others. Some said that 'Alī was the first to convert to Islam, and this was related from Zayd b. Arqam, 26 Abū Dharr [al-Ghifārī], al-Miqdād27 and others. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim said, "I know of no disagreement among the writers of histories (ashāb al-tawārikh) that 'Ali b Abī Ṭālib was the first of them to convert to Islam."28 That is to be rejected from al-Hākim. Some said that the first to convert to Islam was Zayd b. Ḥāritha.29 For instance, Macmar [b. Rāshid] mentioned something similar to this from Zuhri Some said that the first to convert to Islam was Khadija, 30 the Mother of the Believers. That is related through several lines of transmission from Zuhri. It is also the doctrine of Qatāda, Muḥammad b. Ishāq b. Yasār and a number of others, in addition to being related from Ibn 'Abbas. The Qur'an commentator Tha abi - according to what we heard or read from him - claimed that scholars agreed that the first to convert to Islam was Khadīja and that their disagreement pertained only to who was the first to convert after her. The most scrupulous course is to say that the first free man to convert was Abū Bakr, the first boy or youth was 'Ali, the first woman was Khadija, the first client was Zayd and the first slave was Bilal. God knows best.

7. The last of the Companions to die in absolute terms was Abu 'l-Tufayl 'Āmir b. Wāthila. He died in the year 100 after the Emigration [719 AD]. In regard to specific regions, the last Companion to die in Medina was Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh. Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal related this from Qatāda. Others said Sahl b. Sa'd or al-Sā'ib b. Yazīd. The last to die in Mecca was 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar. Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh is also named. 'Alī b. al-Madīnī said that Abu 'l-Tufayl died in Mecca and therefore he was the last one there. The last Companion to die in al-Baṣra was Anas b. Mālik. Abū 'Umar b. 'Abd al-Barr said, "I do not know of anyone, other than Abu 'l-Tufayl, who saw the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) who

²⁵ Ḥassān b. Thābit b. al-Mundhir b. Ḥarām al-Anṣārī (d. ca. 60/680) was a poet who employed his gift to promote Islam; *EI*², 3:271-3.

²⁶ The Companion Zayd b. Arqam died in al-Kūfa around the year 66/686; Dhahabi, Siyar, 3:165-8.

²⁷ The Companion al-Miqdād b. 'Amr b. Tha laba al-Kindī (d. 33/654), known as al-Miqdād b. al-Aswad, was an important transmitter of hadīth from the Prophet; Dhahabī, Siyar, 1:385-9.

^{28 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 22-3.

²⁹ Zayd b. Hāritha was the freedman and adopted son of the Prophet. He served as a commander in the early Muslim armies and died in action in the year 8/629 at about the age of fifty-five; EI, 4:1194.

³⁰ Khadija (d. 19/640) was the first wife of the Prophet. She was one of the first and staunchest supporters of his mission; *EP*, 3:898–9.

³¹ Abū Ishāq Ahmad b. Muḥammad al-Thaʿlabī (d. 427/1035) was an expert in Qurʾānic commentary and the Arabic language; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:350; Suppl., 1:592.

³² Abū 'Abd Allāh (or Abū Yazīd) al-Sā'ib b. Yazīd al-Kindī al-Madanī is said to have died around 94/713; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:437-9.

died after him."³³ The last of them to die in al-Kūfa was 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Awfā and in Syria, 'Abd Allāh b. Busr³⁴ – and Abū Umāma³⁵ is also named.

One author went further, saying, "The last of the Companions of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) to die in Egypt was 'Abd Allāh b. al-Ḥārith b. Jaz' al-Zubaydi; in Palestine, Abū Ubayy b. Umm Ḥarām; in Damascus, Wāthila b. al-Asqa'; in Homs, 'Abd Allāh b. Busr; in al-Yamāma, al-Hirmās b. Ziyād; in al-Jazīra [that is, northern Iraq], al-Urs b. 'Amīra; in Ifrīqīya, Ruwayfa' b. Thābit; and among the Bedouins in the desert, Salama b. al-Akwa' (May God be pleased with all of them)." There are disagreements over some of what we said here which we have passed over. The statement about Ruwayfa' in Ifrīqīya is not correct. He died in the city of Barqa and his grave is there. Salama came to Medina a few nights before his death and died there. God knows best.

³³ Isti\(\bar{a}b\), 1:111.

³⁴ Abū Şafwān 'Abd Allāh b. Busr al-Māzinī is said to have died around the year 90/709; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:430-3.

³⁵ Abū Umāma al-Bāhilī died in Homs around the year 86/705; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:359-63.

³⁶ Abu 'l-Hārith 'Abd Allāh b. al-Hārith b. Jaz' al-Zubaydī was present at the conquest of Egypt and settled there until his death around the year 85/704; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:387-8,

³⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti\(\bar{a}b\)*, 4:1592-3.

³⁸ Abū Ḥudayr al-Hirmās b. Ziyād b. Mālik al-Bāhilī seems to have still been alive around the year 90/709; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:450-1.

³⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti\(\bar{a}b\)*, 3:1062.

⁴⁰ Ruwayfa b. Thabit al-Anṣārī died in the year 56/676; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:36.

⁴¹ Abū ʿĀmir (or Abū Muslim or Abū Iyās) Salama b. ʿAmr b. al-Akwaʿ al-Aslamī died in the year 74/693; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:326-31.

⁴² Historically, there was no precise delineation of the boundaries of the geographical designations Ifrīqīya and al-Maghrib. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ may have been one of those who considered Barqa (that is, Barca, modern-day al-Marj in Libya) to be part of al-Maghrib.

Category 40 The Followers

(Ma'rifat al-Tābi'īn)

This and knowledge of the Companions form a fundamental source of reference for distinguishing loose (mursal) and supported hadīth (musnad). The expert al-Khatīb said, "A Follower is someone who associated with (sahiba) a Companion." Its unqualified application is specific to "the Follower who performs good deeds." A single Follower may equally be called tābic or tābicī. The remarks of Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hākim and others indicate that to be a Follower it is enough to hear hadīth from a Companion or meet him, even if what is commonly understood to be companionship never existed between them. Mere meeting and seeing are more likely to suffice for attaining the status of a Follower than that of a Companion in view of the requirements of the two terms.

These are some important points regarding this Category.

1. The expert Abū 'Abd Allāh [al-Ḥākim] said that the Followers have fifteen levels. The first is those who had contact with the Ten [who were given the glad tidings of their entrance into Paradise], [that is,] the Followers Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib, Qays b. Abī Ḥāzim, Abū 'Uthmān al-Nahdī, Qays b. 'Ubād, 'Abū Sāsān Ḥuḍayn b. al-Mundhir, 'Abū Wāʾil [Shaqīq b. Salama al-Kūfī], Abū Rajāʾ al-ʿUtāridī¹ and others. B

He is wrong about some of these. Sa'id b. al-Musayyib does not belong here, because he was born in the Caliphate of 'Umar [b. al-Khaṭṭāb] and did not hear hadīth from most of the Ten. Indeed, someone said, "His relation from none of the Ten, except Sa'd b. Abī Waqqāṣ, 'is established." Sa'd was the last of them to die. Before his remarks mentioned above,

¹ Kifāya, 22.

² Quran 9:100.

³ It would appear that Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ regarded being a "companion" of someone as more demanding than being a "follower."

^{4 &#}x27;Ulum al-hadith, 42.

⁵ Abū Abd Allāh Qays b. Ubād al-Minqarī al-Qaysī came to Medina during the time of Umar and met a number of the important Companions there; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 3(2):101.

⁶ Abū Sāsān Ḥuḍayn b. al-Mundhir al-Raqāshī transmitted from a number of Companions; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 2(1):128-9; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(2):311-12.

⁷ Abū Rajā Imrān b. Milḥān (or Taym) al-Uṭāridī died around 105/723 at about one hundred and twenty years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:253-7.

^{8 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 42.

⁹ The prominent Companion Sa'd b. Abī Waqqāş (d. ca. 55/675) played a leading role in most of the important events of the early days of Islam; El², 8:669-70.

al-Ḥākim said that Saʿīd lived at the same time as 'Umar and those after him, through the last of the Ten [to die]. He also said, "Saʿīd and Qays b. Abī Ḥāzim were the only Followers who lived at the same time as all of the Ten and heard ḥadīth from them." That does not gibe with what we quoted from him above. True, Qays b. Abī Ḥāzim did hear ḥadīth from the Ten and related from them. He was the only Follower to relate from all of the Ten. The expert 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Yūsuf b. Khirāsh'² said that. We also heard or read from him — and from Abū Dāwūd al-Sijiṣtānī — that he said that Qays b. Abī Ḥāzim related from nine of them and did not relate from 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Awf.'³

After those Followers come the children of Companions who were born during the lifetime of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), like 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Ṭalḥa,'¹⁴ Abū Umāma As'ad b. Sahl b. Ḥunayf,¹⁵ Abū Idrīs al-Khawlānī and others.

2. The "severed" Followers (al-mukhadramūn min al-Tābiʿīn) were those who lived in both pre-Islamic times (al-jāhilīya) and during the lifetime of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), converted to Islam and did not have Companionship. One of them is called a mukhadram, as if he khudrima; that is, "were cut off" from his peers who attained Companionship and other things. Muslim mentioned them and counted twenty of them, including Abū 'Amr al-Shaybānī, ¹⁶ Suwayd b. Ghafala al-Kindī, ¹⁷ 'Amr b. Maymūn al-Awdī, ¹⁸ 'Abd Khayr b. Yazīd al-Khaywānī, Abū 'Uthmān 'Abd al-Rāḥmān b. Mull al-Nahdī and Abu 'l-Halāl Rabī 'a b. Zurāra al-'Atakī. ¹⁹ Abū Muslim 'Abd Allāh b. Thuwab

^{10 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 25.

¹¹ That is, because Saad b. al-Musayyd was not born until the caliphate Umar, he was not alive during the lifetime of Abū Bakr.

^{*12} Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Yūsuf b. Saʿīd b. Khirāsh al-Marwazī (d. 283/896) was an expert in ḥadīth who is reported to have had Shiite leanings; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:508–10.

¹³ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Awf (d. ca. 32/653) was a prominent early convert to Islam who fought with the Prophet at most of his major battles; EP, 1:84.

^{14 &#}x27;Abd Allāh b. Abi Ṭalḥa Zayd was the half-brother of the famous Companion Anas b. Mālik; Dhahabi, Siyar, 3:482-4.

¹⁵ Some were of the opinion that Abū Umāma As'ad b. Sahl b. Ḥunayf al-Anṣārī saw the Prophet. He is said to have died in 100/719; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:517-19.

¹⁶ Abū 'Amr Sa'd b. Iyās al-Shaybānī was a Kūfan who died around 91/710; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:173-4.

¹⁷ Abū Umayya Suwayd b. Ghafala b. 'Awsaja al-Kindī al-Kūfī was born about the same time as the Prophet and died around 81/700. He transmitted hadīth from a number of the most important Companions; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:69–73.

¹⁸ Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Amr b. Maymūn al-Awdī settled in al-Kūfa and died in 75/694; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:158-61.

¹⁹ Abu 'l-Ḥalāl Rabī'a b. Zurāra al-ʿAtakī died at the age of one hundred and twenty; Bukhārī, al-Taʾrīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):285-6; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):474.

al-Khawlānī²⁰ and al-Aḥnaf b. Qays²¹ are among those whom Muslim did not mention. God knows best.

- 3. "The Seven Jurists of Medina" (al-fuqahā' al-sab'a min ahl al-Madīna) namely Sa'īd b. al-Musayyib, al-Qāsim b. Muḥammad, Urwa b. al-Zubayr, Khārija b. Zayd, Abū Salama b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān, 'Ubayd Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Utba and Sulaymān b. Yasār²¹ were among the older Followers (akābir al-Tābi'ān). We heard that the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh [al-Ḥākim] said, "In the opinion of most of the Ḥijāzī scholars, these are the Seven Jurists. We heard that Ibn al-Mubārak said, "The Medinese jurists whose legal views they promulgate were seven in number," and he named these. However, he gave Sālim b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar instead of Abū Salama b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān. We heard Abu 'I-Zinād's enumeration of them in his book about them and he mentioned these. However, he gave Abū Bakr b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān²s instead of Abū Salama and Sālim.
- 4. It is reported that Ahmad b. Hanbal said, "Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib was the best Follower." When he was asked, "And 'Alqama [b. Qays] and al-Aswad?" he replied, "Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib, 'Alqama and al-Aswad." It is also reported that he said, "I do not know of another Follower like Abū 'Uthmān al-Nahdī and Qays b. Abī Ḥāzim." It is also reported that he said, "The best Followers were Qays, Abū 'Uthmān, 'Alqama and Masrūq. These were excellent and in the upper stratum of Followers." I liked what I found in a book of the teacher and ascetic Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Khafīf al-Shīrāzī. "People disagree over the best of the Followers. The Medinese say, 'Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib.' The Kūfans say, 'Uways al-Qaranī."

We read that Ahmad b. Hanbal did say, "Al-Hasan and 'Atā' [b. Abī Rabāh] gave the most legal judgements;" that is, from among the

²⁰ Abū Muslim al-Khawlānī was a Yemenite who entered Medina during the caliphite of Abū Bakr. He died in 62/682 while campaigning against the Byzantines; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:7-14.

²¹ Abū Baḥr al-Aḥnaf b. Qays b. Muʿawiya al-Tamīmī (his ism is disputed) was one of the first inhabitants of al-Baṣra. He fought in the eastern conquests and died in 67/687 fighting the Shiite rebel al-Mukhtār; EP, 1:303-4.

²² Al-Qāsim b. Muḥammad (ca. 37/657-ca. 110/728) was the grandson of the caliph Abū Bakr. He was famed for his piety and expertise in hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:279.

²³ Abū Zayd Khārija b. Zayd b. Thābit al-Anṣārī died around the year 100/719; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:437-41.

²⁴ Sulaymān b. Yasār (d. ca. 109/727) was a client of the Prophet's wife Maymūna; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:444–8.

²⁵ Abū Bakr b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Ḥārith b. Hishām al-Makhzūmī (d. ca. 95/714) was, among other things, a prolific transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:416–19.

²⁶ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Khafīf al-Shīrāzī (ca. 268/882–371/982) was a well-traveled Sufi; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:663–4.

²⁷ Abū 'Amr Uways b. 'Āmir al-Qaranī (d. 37/657) was a famous early ascetic; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:19–33.

Followers. He also said, "'Aṭā' was the legal expert who was consulted in Mecca (mufti Makka) as al-Ḥasan was in al-Baṣra. The people often solicited their legal judgements as well as their opinions."

We read that Abū Bakr b. Abī Dāwūd said, "The two leading women of the Followers were Ḥafṣa bint Sīrīn² and 'Amra bint 'Abd Al-Raḥmān and the third – and she was not the equal of the first two – was Umm al-Dardā'. 29 God knows best.

5. We heard that Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim said,³⁰ "There is also a level the people consider to be Followers although none of them has an established audition from the Companions. They include the jurist Ibrāhīm b. Suwayd al-Nakhaʿ¹¹ – and he is not the jurist Ibrāhīm b. Yazīd al-Nakhaʿ¹ – Bukayr b. Abi 'l-Samīṭ³² and Bukayr b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Ashajj,''³³³ and he mentioned others.

Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim added,3 "Furthermore, there is a level the people consider to be followers of the Followers although they met some of the Companions. These include Abu 'l-Zinād 'Abd Allāh b. Dhakwān, who met 'Abd Allāh b. Umar, Anas [b. Mālik] and Hishām b. Urwa. He was brought before 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar, Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh and Mūsā b. 'Uqba and was alive during the lifetime of Anas b. Mālik and Umm Khālid bint Khālid b. Sa'īd b. al-'Āṣī." "35

Comments can be made about some of what Abū Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim has said. There are also some people who are considered Followers while they are really Companions. The most surprising instance of that is Abū Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim regarding al-Numān³6 and Suwayd,³7 the sons of Muqarrin al-Muzanī, as Followers, when he mentions the Brothers who were Followers.³8 They are well known to be Companions and frequently mentioned among the Companions. God knows best.

²⁸ Umm al-Hudhayl Hafşa (d. after 100/719) was the sister of the famous Muḥammad b. Sīrīn. She was a pious woman respected for her knowledge of ḥadīth, law and the Quran; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:198.

²⁹ Hujayma (or Juhayma) al-Waṣṣābīya, known as Ümm al-Dardā' al-Ṣughrā, was the wife of Abu 'l-Dardā' and an expert in religious law. She died after 81/700; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:277-9.

^{30 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 45.

³¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta²rīkh al-kabīr, (1):290-91; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):103.

³² Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):116; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):406.

³³ Bukayr b. 'Abd Allah b. al-Ashajj (d. ca. 127/745) was a transmitter of hadith who seems to have ended his days in Egypt; Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:170-74.

^{34 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 45-6.

³⁵ According to Dhahabi, Umm Khālid bint Khālid (d. ca. 90/709) was the last of the female Companions to die; Dhahabi, Siyar, 3:370-71.

³⁶ Abū Ḥakīm (or Abū ʿAmr) al-Nuʿmān b. Muqarrin b. ʿĀʾidh (d. 21/642) was the standard bearer of his tribe at the conquest of Mecca; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:356–8.

³⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:680.

^{38 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 154.

Older People Transmitting Ḥadīth from Younger Ones

(Ma'rifat al-akābir al-ruwāt 'an al-aṣāghir)

One benefit from this Category is that it will not be mistakenly assumed that the person being transmitted from is older than or superior to the person transmitting on the basis that in most instances that is the case. [If that is automatically assumed], their true status will not be known. It has been established that 'A'isha (God be pleased with her) said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) ordered us to put people in their proper place." Such cases take several forms.

One is that the transmitter is older and belongs to an earlier generation than the person from whom he transmitted hadīth. That was the case of Zuhrī and Yahyā b. Saʿīd al-Anṣārī in their relation from Mālik. It was also the case of the later scholar Abu 'l-Qāsim ʿUbayd Allāh b. Ahmad al-Azharī¹ – one of the teachers of al-Khaṭīb [al-Baghdādī] – who transmitted from al-Khaṭīb in some of his works, and al-Khaṭīb was at that time in the prime of his youth and his days as a student.

Another form is that the transmitter is greater in stature than the person from whom he related hadīth, because he is a knowledgeable expert and the person he transmits from is only a teacher (shaykh) who just transmits. This was the case with Mālik in his relation from 'Abd Allāh b. Dīnār; and Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal and Isḥāq b. Rāhawayh in their relation from 'Ubayd Allāh b. Mūsā. There are many instances of that.

Another form of this is that the transmitter is greater in both respects. Examples of this are the many scholars and experts who relate from their students and pupils; for instance, the relation of the expert 'Abd al-Ghanī [b. Sa'īd] from Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Ṣūrī, the relation of Abū Bakr al-Barqānī from al-Khaṭīb,' the relation of al-Khaṭīb from Abū Naṣr b. Mākūlā. Similar cases are numerous.

Subsumed under this Category are the instances of a Companion transmitting hadith from a Follower which are cited, like the relation of the 'Abd Allāhs and other Companions from Ka'b al-Ahbār.³ The same is true of the relation of a Follower from a follower of a Follower, for instance the relation of Zuhrī and [Abū Sa'īd Yahyā b. Sa'īd] al-Anṣārī from Mālik, which we mentioned above. The same was true of 'Amr b. Shu'ayb b. Muhammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr b.

¹ Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Azharī (355/966-435/1043) was a respected and prolific scholar of ḥadīth; al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, *Ta'rikh Baghdād*, 10:385; Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 17:578.

² Needless to say, few would agree with the author that Abū Bakr al-Barqānī, for all of his merits, was of greater stature than al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī.

³ The authority on the Bible Abū Ishāq Kab b. Māṭic (d. 32/652 or 34/654), popularly known as Kab al-Ahbār, was a Yemenite Jew who converted to Islam; EP, 4:316–17; Sezgin, GAS, 1:304–5.

è

al-Aṣ. He was not a Follower, yet more than twenty Followers transmitted from him. The expert Abd al-Ghanī b. Sa'īd collected the names of those Followers in a small book. I read in the handwriting of the expert Abū Muḥammad al-Ṭabasī in one of his compilations that he said, "Amr b. Shu'ayb was not a Follower, yet some seventy Followers transmitted ḥadīth from him." God knows best.

⁴ Abū Ibrāhīm 'Amr b. Shu'ayb was an expert in law and hadīth who lived in al-Ta'if. He was a member of the generation after the Followers, although his exact dates do not seem to have been recorded; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:165-80.

⁵ This appears to be a reference to Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Abi Jafar al-Tabasi, whose kunya is usually given as "Abu 'l-Fadl." He was a Sufi who died in Tabas in 482/1089; Brockelmann, GAL, 1:496; Suppl., 1:907.

SYMMETRICAL TRANSMISSIONS, AND OTHER INSTANCES OF PEERS TRANSMITTING FROM ONE ANOTHER

(Ma'rifat al-mudabbaj wa-mā 'adāhu min riwāyat al-aqrān ba'dihim 'an ba'd)

Peers are those who are alike in regard to age and [their relative position] in isnāds. Occasionally Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim was satisfied with their being alike in regard to isnāds, even if there was no similarity in regard to their age. Be aware that the relation of one peer from another falls into different subcategories.

One is the "symmetrical," and this is two peers relating from each other. An example of this from the Companions is 'Ā'isha and Abū Hurayra, each of whom related from the other. An example from the Followers is the relation of Zuhrī from 'Umar b. 'Abd al-'Azīz and the relation of 'Umar from Zuhrī. An example from the followers of the Followers is the relation of Mālik from Awzā'ī and the relation of Awzā'ī from Mālik. An example from the followers of the followers [of the Followers] is the relation of Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal from 'Alī b. al-Madīnī and the relation of 'Alī from Aḥmad. Al-Ḥākim gave as an example of the last the relation of Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal from 'Abd al-Razzāq [b. Hammām] and the relation of 'Abd al-Razzāq from Aḥmad, and that is not satisfactory.'

An example of the relation of peers which is not symmetrical consists of one of the peers relating from the other while the other – so far as we know – did not relate from him. An example of this is the relation of Sulaymān al-Taymī from Misʿar.³ They were peers and we do not know of a case of Misʿar relating from Taymī. There are many examples like this. God knows best.

¹ This translation is based on the derivation of mudabbaj Ibn Ḥajar proposes in Nuzhat al-nazar,

^{2 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadith, 218. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ may have been objecting to regarding them as peers because 'Abd al-Razzāq was already thirty-eight lunar years old at the time of Aḥmad's birth. This would then be an example of al-Ḥākim ignoring ages.

³ Abū Salama Mis'ar b. Kidām b. Zuhayr al-Kūfi (d. 155/772) was considered by some to be the greatest transmitter of his day in al-Kūfa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:163-73.

Brothers and Sisters AMONG SCHOLARS AND TRANSMITTERS

(Ma'rifat al-ikhwa wa-'l-akhawāt min al-'ulamā' wa-'l-ruwāt)

This is one of the branches of knowledge which the scholars of hadith have treated in independent works. 'Alī b. al-Madīnī,' Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Nasawī [that is, Nasā'ī], Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Sarrāj' and others composed books on it.

Examples of two siblings from the Companions are the brothers 'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd and 'Utba b. Mas'ūd,³ the brothers Zayd b. Thābit and Yazīd b. Thābit,⁴ and the brothers 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ.⁵ and Hishām b. al-'Āṣ.⁶ Examples from the Followers are Abū Maysara 'Amr b. Shuraḥbīl and his brother Arqam b. Shuraḥbīl,⁷ both of whom were among the finest students of ['Abd Allāh] b. Maṣ'ūd. Huzayl b. Shuraḥbīl⁸ and Arqam b. Shuraḥbīl were two other brothers who were also students of Jbn Maṣ'ūd.

¹ This appears to be a reference to Ibn al-Madīnī's Tasmiyat man ruwiya 'anhu min awlād al-'ashara wa-ghayrihim min Ashāb Rasūl Allāh, which contains a section on brothers and sisters. This work has been published with Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī's Tasmiyat al-ikhwa alladhina ruwiya 'anhum al-hadīth in a volume entitled al-Ruwāt min al-ikhwa wa-'l-akhawāt, ed. Bāsim Fayşal al-Jawābara (Riyadh, 1408/1988).

² Muḥammad b. Ishāq al-Thaqafi al-Sarrāj al-Nisābūrī (216/831-313/925) was a famous scholar of hadīth and a prolific author. Among his works was a musnad and a ta'rikh, which Bukhārī is said to have used; Sezgin, GAS, 1:173.

³ Dhahabi, Siyar, 1:500.

⁴ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 4:1572.

⁵ Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ al-Sahmī was a Qurayshite from Mecca who converted to Islam in the year 8/629. He led the invasion of Egypt which culminated in the capture of Alexandria in 21/642 and then served as the governor of Egypt until the caliph

[•] Uthmān removed him. He aided the founder of the Umayyad dynasty Mu^cawiya in defeating the caliph 'Alī and resumed his position in Egypt until his death around 42/663; El², 1:451.

⁶ Hishām was an early convert to Islam who participated in a number of the famous battles and died in combat; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:77-9.

⁷ Ibn al-Şalāḥ seems to have been under the impression that there were two Arqam b. Shuraḥbils, one the brother of 'Amr and the other the brother of Huzayl. The sources only know a single Arqam b. Shuraḥbil – e.g., Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 1(2):46; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):310 – and the notion of two Arqams was explicitly rejected by later commentators; e.g., Iraqi, Taqyid, 337-8.

⁸ Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 4(2):245.

Examples of three brothers are Sahl, 'Abbād¹¹ and 'Uthmān,¹¹ the sons of Ḥunayf; and 'Amr b. Shu'ayb, 'Umar¹² and Shu'ayb,¹³ the sons of Shu'ayb b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ.¹⁴

An example of four brothers is Suhayl b. Abī Ṣāliḥ al-Sammān al-Zayyāt and his brothers 'Abd Allāh¹⁵ – who was also called 'Abbād – Muḥammad¹⁶ and Sāliḥ.¹¹

An example of five is what we hear from Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim. He said, "I heard the expert Abū 'Alī al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī more than once say, 'Ādam b. 'Uyayna, 18 'Imrān b. 'Uyayna, 19 Muḥammad b. 'Uyayna, 20 Sufyān b. 'Uyayna and Ibrāhīm b. 'Uyayna 11 transmitted ḥadīth from up to the last of them.' "22

An example of six are the children of Sīrīn, six Followers. They are Muḥammad, Anas,²³ Yaḥyā,²⁴ Ma'bad,²⁵ Hafṣa and Karīma.²⁶ Abu 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Nasawī gave them thus – and I transmitted it from a copy of his book which was written in what I think is the handwriting of Dāraquṭnī. That is also related from Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn and al-Ḥākim gave them thus in Kitāb Ma'rifa [that is, Kitāb ma'rifat 'ulūm al-ḥadīth].²⁷ However, he said in what we hear from his Ta'rīkh,²⁸ through our isnād from him, that he heard the expert Abū 'Alī [al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī] mention the Banū Sīrīn as five siblings: Muḥammad b. Sīrīn; the eldest of them, Ma'bad b. Sīrīn; Yaḥyā b. Sīrīn; Khālid b. Sīrīn;²⁹ Anas b.

- 10 I have not succeeded in locating 'Abbād in any of the sources I consulted.
- 11 Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Uthmān (d. ca. 50/670) served as a governor under 'Umar and 'Alī and played an important role in the development of the taxation policy of the Muslim empire; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:320-2.
- 12 I have not found any information about Umar.
- 13 Bukhāri, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):218; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):347.
- 14 Dhahabi suggested that the father Shu'ayb died after the year 80/699; Siyar, 5:181.
- 15 Bukhārī, *al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr*, 3(1):83-4, 3(2):38 (s.n. 'Abbād); Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(2):50, 3(1):78-9 (s.n. 'Abbād).
- 16 Bukhārī, al-Ta⁹rīkh al-kabīr, 1(1):79; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):252.
- 17 Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(2):279, 283-4; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 2(1):400-1.
- 18 Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):267.
- 19 Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 3(2):427; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(1):302.
- 20 · Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabīr, 1(1):204; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(1):42.
- 21 Ibrāhīm (ca. 120/738–199/815) was a good man and an average transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:475.
- 22 'Ulūm al-hadith, 155.
- 23 Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:622-3.
- 24 Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):275; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):153.
- 25 Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):280.
- 26 I have not located her in the sources.
- 27 Anas is given as "Anis" (or "Unays") in the printed text of 'Ulūm al-ḥadith, 153.
- 28 This would appear to be a reference to al-Ḥākim's *Ta³rikh Nīsābūr*, although it is not clear why the Baṣran Ibn Sīrīn family would be discussed in that book.
- 29 I have not found Khālid in the sources.

⁹ Sahl b. Ḥunayf b. Wāhib al-Anṣārī (five different kunyās are suggested for him), like his brother Uthmān, was considered a Companion. He served as a lieutenant of 'Alī and died in al-Kūfa in 38/658; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:325-9.

Sīrīn; and the youngest of them, Ḥafṣa bint Sīrīn." It was related from Muḥammad from Yaḥyā from Anas from Anas b. Mālik that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "God, we respond in all sincerity, subservient like slaves!" This is an oddity which someone made into a riddle by asking, "Which three brothers related from one another?"

An example of seven is al-Numan b. Muqarrin and his brothers Maqil, ³⁰ 'Aqīl, ³¹ Suwayd, Sinān, ³² 'Abd al-Raḥmān ³³ and a seventh who is not named for us. The Banū Muqarrin al-Muzanīyūn are seven brothers who participated in the Emigration from Mecca to Medina and associated with the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). According to Ibn 'Abd al-Barr ³⁴ and a number of others, no one else shared this excellent distinction with them. It has been said that all of them were present at the Battle of the Trench.

Sometimes there is some disagreement regarding the exact number of the siblings. We will not lengthen our book with groups of siblings numbering more than seven, because of their rarity and the irrelevance of that to our present purpose. God knows best.

³⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1432.

³¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:1079.

³² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:659.

³³ I have not uncovered any information about Abd al-Raḥmān.

³⁴ Isti ab, 3:1432.

THE TRANSMISSION BY FATHERS FROM THEIR SONS

(Ma'rifat riwāyat al-ābā') 'an al-abnā')

Al-Khaṭīb has a book on this.¹ As an example of this, we heard the hadīth from al-ʿAbbās b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib² from his son al-Fadl³ (God be pleased with them) regarding the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) combining the two prayers at al-Muzdalifa.

We also heard in this regard from Wa'il b. Dāwūd from his son Bakr b. Wā'il – and they are both reliable – several hadīth, including the hadīth from [Sufyān] b. Uyayna from Wā'il b. Dāwūd from his son Bakr from Zuhrī from Sa'īd b. al-Musayyib from Abū Hurayra. He said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, 'Delay your religous duties, our hands are suspended and our feet tied.'" Al-Khaṭīb said, "So far as we know, this ḥadīth is not related from the Prophet (Peace be upon him) by anyone but Bakr and his father."

We heard that Mu'tamir b. Sulaymān al-Taymī⁶ said, "My father transmitted to me, saying, 'You transmitted to me from me from Ayyūb [al-Sakhtiyānī] from al-Hasan [al-Baṣrī]. He said, "'Woe' is a word of blessing."'" This is an unusual report which embraces several Categories. We heard around sixteen hadīth from Abū 'Umar Ḥafṣ b. 'Umar al-Dūrī al-Muqri' from his son Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. Ḥafṣ³ and that is the most we heard a father have from his son.

The last and most recent instance of this Category is the hadith Abu 'l-Muzaffar 'Abd al-Rahīm, the son of the expert Abū Sa'd ['Abd al-Karīm al-Sam'ānī] al-Marwazī, (God bless them) personally transmitted to me in Marv. He said, My father informed me from me in what I read in his handwriting. He said, My son Abu 'l-Muzaffar 'Abd al-Rahīm transmitted to me personally and from his written original. Abū Sa'd gave it with his isnād from Abū Umāma [As'ad b. Sahl b. Ḥunayf] that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "Supply your table with cabbage for it drives away the devil when 'In the name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate' is spoken."

¹ Riwāyat al-ābā³ 'an al-abnā'; Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:col. 914.

² Al-'Abbās (d. 32/653) was the paternal uncle of the Prophet; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:78-103.

³ Abu Muḥammad al-Fadl (d. 18/639) was the eldest child of al-Abbās; Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 4(1):114; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):63.

⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):176; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):43.

⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):95-6; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 1(1):393.

⁶ Mu'tamir, the son of the famous Sulaymān b. Tarkhān al-Taymī al-Baṣrī, was born in 106/724 and died in al-Baṣra in 187/803; Dhahabī, Siyan, 8:477-9.

⁷ Abū Umar al-Dūrī (d. ca. 246/860) was an expert in the Quran; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:541-3.

⁸ Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 3(2):236-7.

2

The hadith which we heard from Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq from [his daughter] 'Āʾisha in which the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "In the black seed is a cure for every disease," is an error from one of those who related it. Rather, it is from Abū Bakr b. Abī 'Atīq from 'Āʾisha, and this Abū Bakr is 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Bakr al-Ṣiddīq.' Those people are the ones about whom Mūsā b. 'Uqba said, "We do not know of four – they and their descendants – who lived at the same time as the Prophet (Peace be upon him) except those four," and he named Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq, his father, "Abū Bakr's son 'Abd al-Raḥmān" and the last's son Abū 'Aṭīq Muḥammad' [sɪc]. God knows best.

⁹ Ibn Abi 'Atiq was known for his sharp wit; EP, 3:682.

¹⁰ Abū Quḥāfa Uthmān b. Amir converted to Islam upon the conquest of Mecca and is considered a Companion. He died in 14/635 at the age of ninety-seven; Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istišab, 4:1732-3.

¹¹ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān, the son of Abū Bakr and the brother of the Prophet's wife 'Ā'isha, died in 53/673; Dhahabī; Siyar, 2:471-3.

¹² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1374.

THE TRANSMISSION BY SONS FROM THEIR FATHERS

(Ma'rifat riwāyat al-abnā) (an al-ābā)

The expert Abū Naṣr al-Wā'ilī [al-Sijzī] has a book on this. The most critical instances are when the actual name of the father or grandfather is not given. They fall into two categories.

1. The relation of a son from a father from a grandfather: an example is "Amr b. Shu'ayb from his father from his grandfather." 'Amr has a large nuskha with this isnād, which consists mostly of excellent hadīth on legal topics. Shu'ayb is the son of Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ.' Most of the scholars of hadīth cite his hadīth as proofs, interpreting the unqualified designation of "grandfather" in the isnād as a reference to the Companion 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ, rather than 'Abd Allāh's son Muḥammad, the father of Shu'ayb, on account of the instances of 'Abd Allāh being designated as that person which they came across.

Similar to this is "Bahz b. Ḥakīm from his father from his grandfather." Bahz related a fine large *nuskha* with this isnād. "His grandfather" is Mu'āwiya b. Hayda al-Qushayrī.⁶

A further example is "Ṭalḥa b. Muṣarrif" from his father from his grandfather" and "his grandfather" is 'Amr b. Kab al-Yāmī, or, it is said, Kab b. 'Amr.⁸

The most amusing example of that is the relation of the Hanbalite jurist Abu 'l-Faraj 'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Tamīmī' – and he had a circle for

¹ Kitāb Riwāyat al-abnā' an ābā'ihim; Kattānī, Risāla, 163.

² Muhammad al-Sahmī seems to have been primarily known for his transmissions from his father; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:181-3.

³ The question here revolves around the correct interpretation of the Arabic word 'jadd, which commonly means "grandfather" but may validly be applied to more remote ancestors as well.

⁴ If they did not take the Companion 'Abd Allah to be the "grandfather," they would not have been able to cite the hadith because they would have been "loose."

⁵ That is, 'Abd Allāh is actually named in some of the isnāds attached to these ḥadīth; see Bulqīnī, Maḥāsin, 541.

⁶ Mu'awiya b. Ḥayda al-Qushayrī was a Companion of the Prophet. He was the grandfather of Bahz's father; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabir, 4(1):329; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(1):376.

⁷ Abū Muḥammad Ṭalḥa b. Muṣarrif al-Yāmī al-Hamdānī was an unusual Kūfan who liked the caliph Uthmān and regarded nabidh as forbidden; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:191–3. According to the nasab of Ṭalḥa given by Bukhārī, Ka'b was his grandfather; al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 2(2):346.

⁸ This Companion appears both ways in isnads; Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istrāb, 3:1199, 1322-3.

⁹ Abu 'l-Faraj died in 425/1034; Ibn Abī Yaqā, Tabaqāt al-Ḥanābila, ed. Muḥammad Hamīd al-Fiqī, 2 vols (Cairo, 1371/1952), 1:182.

preaching and issuing legal opinions in the Mosque of Mansūr in Baghdad - from his father with nine of his ancestors in succession. The teacher Abu 'l-Ḥasan Mu'ayyad b. Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Nīsābūrī10 informed me of it through my recitation to him in Nishapur. He said, Abū Manşūr 'Abd al-Rahman b. Muhammad al-Shaybānī" informed us in his letter to us. He said, the expert Abū Bakr Ahmad b. 'Alī sthat is, al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī] informed us. He said, 'Abd al-Wahhāb b. 'Abd al-'Azīz b. al-Hārith b. Asad b. al-Layth b. Sulaymān b. al-Aswad b. Sufyān b. Yazīd b. Ukayna b. 'Abd Allāh al-Tamīmī personally transmitted to us. He said, I heard my father saying, I heard 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib. He was asked about "the Merciful Giver" (al-hannān al-mannān). He said, "'Merciful' is the one who turns toward someone who turns away from him. 'Giver' is the one who gives a gift before it is requested." The last of them is Ukayna, and he is the one who heard 'Alī (God be pleased with him).12

Abu 'l-Muzaffar 'Abd al-Raḥīm, the son of the expert Abū Sa'd al-Sam'ānī, transmitted to me in Marv al-Shāhjān from Abu 'l-Naṣr 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Abd al-Jabbār al-Fāmī.' He said, I heard the descendent of the Prophet Abu 'l-Qāsim Manṣūr b. Muḥammad al-'Alawī' saying, "Part of a [good] isnād is elevated transmitters and part of it is noble features. A man saying, 'My father transmitted to me from my grandfather,' is one of the noble features."

2. The relation of a son from his father without the grandfather: this is a vast topic. An example is the relation of Abu 'l-'Usharā' al-Dārimī from his father from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him). His hadīth are well known but his identity has been disputed. The best-known view is that Abu 'l-'Usharā' is Usāma b. Mālik b. Qiḥṭam. According to what I transmitted from the handwriting of Bayhaqī and others, the name of his grandfather is pronounced "Qiḥṭam." "Qiḥṭam" is also given. It is also said that Abu 'l-'Usharā' al-Dārimī is 'Uṭārid b. Barz. It is also pronounced "Baraz." Some instead say "Ibn Balz." There are also other disagreements concerning his name and the name of his father. God knows best.

¹⁰ Abu 'l-Ḥasan Mu'ayyad b. Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Ṭūsī al-Nīsābūrī (524/1130–617/1220) received several major collections of hadīth at an early age and then lived long enough to become a sought-after transmitter of them; Dhahabī, Siyar, 22:104–7.

¹¹ Known as al-Qazzāz (453/1061-535/1141), he transmitted Tarīkh Baghdād from al-Khaṭīb; Dhahabī, Siyar, 20:69-70.

¹² Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Ta'rīkh Baghdād, 11:32.

¹³ Abu 'l-Naşr 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Abd al-Jabbār al-Harawi al-Fāmi (472/1080–546/1152) was a good man who studied ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 20:297–9.

¹⁴ I have not been able to identify this individual.

¹⁵ See Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):21-2; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 7arḥ, 1(1):283, 3(2):33.

THOSE FROM WHOM TWO TRANSMITTERS, ONE EARLY AND ONE LATE WITH A GREAT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEIR DATES OF DEATH - SO THERE IS A GREAT DIFFERENCE IN THE TIME BETWEEN THEM - BOTH RELATE, EVEN IF THE LATER ONE OF THEM IS NOT REGARDED AS A CONTEMPORARY OF THE FIRST OR A MEMBER OF HIS GENERATION

(Ma'rifat man ishtaraka fi 'l-riwāya 'anhu rāwiyān mutaqaddim wa-muta'akhkhir tabāyana waqt wafātayhim tabāyunan shadīdan fa-ḥaṣala baynahum amad ba'īd wa-in kāna al-muta'akhkhir minhumā ghayr ma'dūd min mu'āṣiri 'l-awwal wa-dhawī ṭabaqatihī)

One of the uses of this Category is to fix the sweetness of elevated isnāds in human hearts. Al-Khaṭīb devoted a fine book to this subject called Kitāb al-Sābiq wa-'l-lāḥiq' (The First and Last Students of Transmitters). An example of this is Muḥammad b. Isḥāq al-Thaqafī al-Sarrāj al-Nīsābūrī. The authority Bukhārī related from him in his Ta'rīkh and Abu 'l-Ḥusayn Aḥmad b. Muḥammad al-Khaffāf al-Nīsābūrī' also related from him. There is a span of 137 [lunar] years or more between their deaths, since Bukhārī died in 256 [870 AD] and al-Khaffāf died in either 393 [1002 AD], 394 or 395.

Another example is the *imām* Mālik b. Anas. Zuhrī and Zakarīyā³ b. Duwayd al-Kindī³ transmitted ḥadīth from him and there is a span of 137 [lunar] years or more between their deaths. Zuhrī died in 124 [742 AD]. Mālik was favored with many transmissions belonging to this Category. God knows best.

¹ Hajji Khalifa, Kashf, 2:col. 973.

² Al-Khaffaf was one of the most elevated transmitters of hadith of his era; Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:481-2.

³ According to Ibn Hibbān, "he used to tour Syria, transmitting [his forgeries] to the inhabitants and claiming that he was one hundred and thirty-five years old;" Majrūhin, 1:314-15.

COMPANIONS, FOLLOWERS AND LATER FIGURES (GOD BE PLEASED WITH THEM) FROM WHOM ONLY A SINGLE TRANSMITTER RELATED HADITH

(Ma'rifat man lam yarwi 'anhu illā rāwin wāḥid min al-Ṣaḥāba wa-'l-Tābi'īn fa-man ba'dahum)

Muslim has a book on this subject which I have not seen. The following are some examples from the Companions:

Wahb b. Khanbash² was a Companion from whom Shabī alone related ḥadīth. He appears as "Harim b. Khanbash" in the books of al-Ḥākim³ and Abū Nuʿaym al-Iṣbahānī¹ on the sciences of ḥadīth and this form of his name is an error originating in the relation of Dāwūd al-Awdī⁵ from Shaʿbī.

The same is true of 'Amir b. Shahr,' 'Urwa b. Muḍarris,' Muḥammad b. Ṣafwān al-Ansārī' and Muḥammad b. Ṣayfī al-Anṣārī' – these last two are not the same person, although some people said that they were. These were also Companions from whom only Shabī related ḥadīth.

Qays b. Abī Ḥāzim was alone in relating ḥadīth from his father, ¹⁰ Dukayn b. Sa^cīd al-Muzanī, ¹¹ al-Ṣunābiḥ b. al-A^csar¹² and Mirdās b. Mālik al-Aslamī, all of whom were Companions.

Qudāma b. 'Abd Allāh al-Kilābī' is also one of them. Only Ayman b. Nābil' related hadīth from him.

¹ Kitāb al-Munfaridāt wa-'l-wuḥdān, ed. 'Abd al-Ghaffār Sulaymān al-Bundārī (Beirut, 1408/1988).

² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1560.

^{3 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 158.

⁴ According to Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalānī, Abū Nu'aym wrote a mustakhraj on al-Ḥākim's work;

⁻ Nuzhat al-nazar, 4.

⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(1):239-40; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):427-8.

⁶ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:792.

⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1067.

⁸ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1370.

⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1371.

^{10 &#}x27;Awdh (or 'Awf) b. al-Ḥārith b. Rifā'a al-Anṣārī; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī'āb*, 3:1225-6, s.n. 'Awf b. 'Afrā'.

¹¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:462.

¹² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti ab, 2:740.

¹³ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):178; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 7arḥ, 3(2):127.

¹⁴ Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:309-10.

Among the Companions there is a group from whom only their sons related hadith, including

Shakal b. Ḥumayd:15 his son Shutayr16 is the only one who related ḥadīth from him.

One of them is al-Musayyib b. Hazn al-Qurashi¹⁷ his son Sa^cid b. al-Musayyib was the only one to relate hadīth from him.

Mu'awiya b. Hayda: his son Hakim, 18 the father of Bahz, was the only one to relate hadith from him.

Qurra b. Iyās:19 no one related ḥadīth from him beside his son Mu'āwiya.20

Abū Laylā al-Anṣārī:21 his son 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Laylā22 was the only one to relate ḥadīth from him.

Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hākim in al-Madkhal fī Kitāb al-Iklīl²³ (Introduction to The Book of the Crown) expressed the opinion that Bukhārī and Muslim did not include in their Ṣaḥīḥs the hadīth of any individual of this type. He was criticized for that claim and it is refuted by Bukhārī's including in his Ṣaḥīḥ the hadīth of Qays b. Abī Hāzim from Mirdās al-Aslamī, "The righteous will disappear one by one." Qays was the only transmitter from Mirdās al-Aslamī. It is also refuted by Bukhārī's inclusion²⁵ – rather the inclusion by both Bukhārī and Muslim²⁶ – of the ḥadīth of al-Musayyib b. Hazn on the death of Abū Tālib, although his son [that is, Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib] was the sole transmitter from him. It is further refuted by Bukhārī's inclusion of the hadīth of al-Hasan al-Baṣrī from 'Amr b. Taghlib, "I give to a man ... and the one I omit is dearer to me." Al-Hasan was the only one who related hadīth from 'Amr. Similarly, Muslim

¹⁵ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:710.

¹⁶ Bukhari, al-Tabrikh al-kabir, 2(2):265; Ibn Abi Hatim, Jarh, 2(1):387

¹⁷ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabîr, 4(1):406-7; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 4(1):294-5.

¹⁸ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 2(1):12; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 1(2):207.

¹⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istisāb, 3:1280.

²⁰ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):330; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):378-9.

²¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istisāb, 4:1744.

^{22 &#}x27;Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Laylā (d. ca. 83/702) was a prominent religious scholar in the city of al-Kūfa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:262-7.

²³ P. 11 (Arabic), 14-15 (English).

^{24 4:215 (}K. al-Riqāq, B. Dhahāb al-ṣāliḥīn).

^{25 2:341-2 (}K. al-Jana'iz, B. Idha qala al-mushrik 'inda 'l-mawt).

^{26 1:40 (}K. al-Imān).

²⁷ Abū Ṭālib was the Prophet's uncle and the father of 'Alī. As the chief of the clan of Hāshim, he protected the Prophet until his death around 619, although he does not seem to have converted to Islam; EP, 1:152-3.

²⁸ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti ab, 3:1166-7.

^{29 4:494 (}K. al-Tawhīd, B. Qawl Allāh inna al-insān khuliga halū'an ḍajūra).

included in his Ṣaḥiḥ the ḥadīth of Rāfis b. 'Amr al-Ghifārī' while 'Abd Allāh b. al-Ṣāmit' was the only one to relate ḥadīth from him. Muslim also included the ḥadīth of Abū Rifā'a al-'Adawī' despite the fact that Humayd b. Hilāl al-'Adawī' was the only one to relate ḥadīth from him. Muslim also included the ḥadīth of al-Agharr al-Muzanī' "My heart is covered" and Abū Burda' was the only one to relate ḥadīth from him. Bukhārī and Muslim have many ḥadīth of this type in their books. That indicates their belief that a transmitter sometimes emerges from being unknown and rejected (majhūl mardūd) through the relation of a single person from him. I discussed this earlier in Category 23. [Nevertheless] I have read through discovery (wijādatan) that Abū 'Umar b. 'Abd al-Barr al-Andalusī said, "They consider everyone from whom only a single person transmits ḥadīth unknown, unless that person is famous for something other than transmitting ḥadīth, as Mālik b. Dīnār's was famous for asceticism and 'Amr b. Ma'dī Karib's for valor."

Regarding some of those whom we mentioned as having only a single transmitter, be aware that there is sometimes a dispute over whether that transmitter was truly alone. This is the case with Qudāma b. 'Abd Allāh, Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said⁴⁰ that Ḥumayd b. Kullāb⁴¹ also related ḥadīth from him. God knows best.

An example of this Category from the Followers is Abu 'l-Usharā' al-Dārimī. So far as is known, no one but Hammād b. Salama related hadīth from him. Al-Ḥākim cited Muḥammad b. Abī Sufyān al-Thaqafī⁴² as an example of a

³⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istisab, 2:482.

³¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabīr, 3(1):118; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):84.

³² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1657-8.

³³ Abū Naṣr Humayd b. Hilāl b. Suwayd al-'Adawi (d. ca. 120/738) was one of the most respected hadīth transmitters in al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:309-11.

³⁴ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:102.

^{35 8:72 (}K. al-Dhikr wa-'l-du'a').

³⁶ The ism of Abū Burda, the son of the famous Companion Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī, is said to have been ʿĀmir (see below, Category 50). He served as judge in al-Kūfa and died around 104/722; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:343-6, 5:5-7.

³⁷ As Prof. 'Abd al-Rahmān points out, the examples in this paragraph were taken from Ibn al-Qaysarānī, Shurūţ al-a'imma al-sitta (bound with Ḥāzimī, Shurūṭ al-a'imma al-khamsa), ed. Muḥammad Zāhid al-Kawtharī (Cairo, n.d.), 17.

³⁸ Abū Yaḥyā Mālik b. Dīnār al-Sāmī al-Najī (d. 131/748) was, as indicated here, a famous early ascetic; Sezgin, GAS, 1:634.

³⁹ Abū Thawr 'Amr al-Zubaydi converted to Islam in the year 9/630 (or 10) when he accompanied a delegation to the Prophet. He distinguished himself in the conquest of Iraq, where he died around the year 21/642; Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 3(2):312; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 3(1):260; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1201-5.

⁴⁰ Istrab, 3:1279.

⁴¹ I could not locate this transmitter in any of the sources I consulted.

⁴² Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 1(1):103; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 3(2):275.

Follower in this Category and said that, so far as he knew, only Zuhrī related hadīth from him. He said, "In the same way, Zuhrī was alone in transmitting hadīth from some twenty Followers from whom no one else transmitted. The same was true of 'Amr b. Dīnār who was also alone in transmitting hadīth from a number of Followers. It was also true of Yaḥyā b. Saʿīd al-Anṣārī, Abū Isḥāq al-Sabīʿī, Hishām b. 'Urwa and others.'' Al-Ḥākim elsewhere named some of the Followers from whom they alone transmitted. Among those from whom 'Amr b. Dīnār was alone in transmitting ḥadīth were 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Maʿbadʿī and 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Farrūkh. Amr b. Abān b. 'Uthmān and Sinān b. Abī Sinān al-Duʾalīʿā were among those from whom Zuhrī was alone in transmitting hadīth. 'Abd Allāh b. Unays al-Anṣārī was someone from whom Yaḥyā was alone in transmitting ḥadīth.

Abū Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim cited al-Miswar b. Rifā a al-Qurazī as an example of a follower of a Follower and said that only Mālik related hadīth from him. In the same way, Mālik was alone in relating from about ten of the teachers of Medina. I fear that al-Ḥākim was relying on surmise and misapprehension in his placing some of the transmitters he mentioned in the position he put them. God knows best.

^{43 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 160.

⁴⁴ What al-Ḥākim says in 'Ulūm al-ḥadith (p. 160) is, "It is too much to mention them in this place."

⁴⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 3(1):350; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(2):285.

⁴⁶ Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabīr, 3(1):337; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(2):275.

⁴⁷ Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 3(2):315; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(1):220.

⁴⁸ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabir, 2(2):162-3; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):250-1:

⁴⁹ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 3(1):45.

⁵⁰ Bukhārī, *al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr*, 4(1):411; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, *Jarḥ*, 4(1):297–8.

^{51 &#}x27;Ulūm al-ḥadīth, 160.

THOSE WHO ARE REFERRED TO BY DIFFERENT NAMES OR VARYING EPITHETS, SO THAT SOMEONE LACKING EXPERIENCE WITH THEM SUPPOSES THAT THESE NAMES OR EPITHETS REFER TO A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT PEOPLE

(Ma^crifat man dhukira bi-asmā^r) mukhtalifa aw nu^cūt muta^caddida fa-zanna man lā khibra lahū bihā anna tilka 'l-asmā^r) aw al-nu^cūt li-jamā^cat mutafarriqīn)

This is a difficult discipline, although the need for it is pressing. Through it misrepresentation (tadlis) is exposed and, indeed, most instances of it stem from attempts to misrepresent. The expert 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Sa'īd al-Miṣrī² and others have composed books on this topic. An example of this is Muḥammad b. al-Sā'ib al-Kalbī, the author of the Qur'ān commentary. He is the "Abu 'l-Naḍr" from whom Muḥammad b. Ishāq b. Yasār related the ḥadīth of Tamīm al-Dārī¹ and 'Adī b. Baddā'. He is the "Ḥammād b. al-Sā'ib" from whom Abū Usāma6 related the ḥadīth, "A hide becomes purified when it is tanned." He is also the "Abū Sa'īd" from whom 'Aṭīya al-'Awfī¹ related Qur'ān commentary. He misrepresented Kalbī by giving the false impression that he was Abū Sa'īd al-Khuḍrī.

¹ Scholars frequently referred to transmitters by varying forms of their name, either to disguise an unreliable transmitter's identity or to give the impression that they were quoting a number of different sources, when they depended heavily on a single transmitter; Ibn Daqiq al-Id, al-Iqtirāḥ fi bayān al-iṣṭilāḥ, ed. 'Āmir Ḥasan Ṣabrī (Beirut, 1417/1996), 218.

² This would seem to be a reference to his *Īḍāḥ al-ishkāl fi 'l-riwāyāt* mentioned in Brockelmann, *GAL*, *Suppl.*, 1:950.

³ Kalbī (d. 146/763) was considered an unreliable transmitter, in fact a liar. Therefore those who found in his commentary an interpretation they wanted to use were tempted to conceal its connection to him so that it would not automatically be discredited. For Kalbī, see *EP*, 4:494–5; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:34–5.

⁴ The Companion Abū Ruqayya Tamim b. Aws al-Dārī (d. 40/660) was best known for his expertise in the Qur³ān; Dhahabī, Siyar, 2:442-8.

⁵ Ibn Ḥajar, Iṣāba, 2:467.

⁶ Ḥammād b. Usāma b. Zayd al-Kūfi (ca. 120/738-201/817) was a highly respected transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:277-9.

⁷ That Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Aṭīya b. Sa'd b. Junāda al-Awfī (d. 111/729) was a student of Kalbī is inherently improbable, although not impossible, given that 'Aṭīya, according to most authorities, predeceased Kalbī by three decades and appears as a major source in Kalbī's work. It may be worth noting that Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī (in his son's Jarḥ, 3[1]:383) introduces the assertion that 'Aṭīya took the commentary from Kalbī with the somewhat circumspect balaghanī. He does not, by the way, mention that 'Aṭīya called him "Abū Saʿīd." For 'Aṭīya, see Sezgin, GAS, 1:30-1.

Another example is "Sālim," the transmitter from Abū Hurayra, Abū Saʿīd al-Khuḍrī and ʿĀʾisha (God be pleased with them). He is "Sālim Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Madīnī;" "Sālim, the client of Mālik b. Awṣ b. al-Ḥadathān al-Naṣrī" and "Sālim, the client of Shaddād b. al-Ḥād al-Naṣrī." In some relations he is called "Sālim, client of the two Naṣrīṣ," in others "Sālim, the client of Mahrī;" in others "Sālim Sabalān;" in others "Abū ʿAbd Allāh, the client of Shaddād b. al-Ḥād;" in others "Sālim Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Dawsī;" and in some "Sālim, the client of the Daws." 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Saʿīd mentioned all of that.

The expert al-Khaṭīb [al-Baghdādī] relates in his books from "Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Azharī," "Ubayd Allāh b. Abi 'l-Fatḥ al-Fārisī" and "Ubayd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. 'Uthmān al-Ṣayrafī," all of whom were a single teacher of his. Similarly, he relates from "al-Ḥasan b. Muḥammad al-Khallāl," "al-Ḥasan b. Abī Ṭālib" and "Abū Muḥammad al-Khallāl," and all of these names represent a single person. He also relates from "Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Tanūkhī," "'Alī b. al-Muḥassin al-Tanūkhī," "the judge Abu 'l-Qāsim 'Alī b. al-Muḥassin al-Tanūkhī," and "the witness verifier (muʿaddil) 'Alī b. Abī 'Alī," all of whom were the same person. Al-Khaṭīb did that often. God knows best.

⁸ Sālim is described as "one of the scholars of the city of Medina;" Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:595-6.

⁹ Abu 'l-Qāsim al-Tanūkhī (365/976-447/1055) was a hadīth expert who served as a judge in a number of cities; al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, Τωrikh Baghdād, 12:115.

Unique Names, Nicknames and Paidonymics of the Companions, Transmitters of Hadith, and Other Scholars

(Ma'rifat al-mufradāt al-āḥād min asmā) al-Ṣaḥāba wa-ruwāt al-ḥadīth wa-'l-'ulamā) wa-alqābihim wa-kunāhum)

This is an estimable and interesting Category found in the books the experts composed on hadīth transmitters. They collected this material separately at the ends of the chapters of these books¹ and they also wrote monographs on it.

The book of Ahmad b. Hārūn al-Bardījī al-Bardha'ī entitled al-Asmā' al-mufrada² (Unique Names) is one of most famous works on the topic. More than one expert, including Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Bukayr,' objected and made corrections to much of it. One criticism is that many of the names Bardījī claimed were unique were in reality borne by two, three or more people. According to our understanding of his aim, he intended to occupy himself only with the names (asmā) of the Companions, scholars and transmitters of hadith. So he received criticism for some of the unique appellations he cited on the basis that they are nicknames ($ala\bar{a}b$) rather than actual names. For instance, "al-Ajlah al-Kindi" (the bald member of the tribe of Kinda) was a nickname given to that man on account of his baldness and his name is Yaḥyā, and there are many Yaḥyās. Another example is Şughdī b. Sinān.5 His name is Umar, and "Sughdi" [a Sughdian; that is, a man from the town of Sughd, near Samarqand] is a nickname. Furthermore, there are other Sughdis, therefore this instance does not properly come under the heading of this Category. The truth is that this is a discipline in which it is difficult to make definitive statements and whoever does so runs the risk of error and criticism, because it is a vast and diffuse subject.

¹ In the early biographical dictionaries, like Bukhārī's al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr and Ibn Abī Ḥātim's Kitāb al-Jarḥ wa-'l-ta'dīl, the more common names are treated first within the sections devoted to each letter of the alphabet.

² The title of this work is given as al-Ṭabaqāt fi 'l-asmā' al-mufrada min asmā' al-ʿulamā' wa-aṣḥāb al-ḥadith in Sezgin, GAS, 1:166-7.

³ Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn b. Aḥmad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Bukayr al-Baghdādi (327/938–388/998) composed a response to Bardījī's book entitled al-Radd wa-'l-ziyādāt 'ala 'l-Ṭabaqāt fi 'l-asmā' al-mufrada min asmā' al-'ulamā' wa-aṣḥāb al-ḥadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:211–12.

⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabir, 1(2):68; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):346-7.

⁵ Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(1):453-4.

Some of the useful examples of this are

Ajmad b. 'Ujyān al-Hamdānī:' He was a Companion whom Ibn Yūnus' mentioned. We used to think it was "Ijjiyān," following the pattern of "Illiyān." Then I found it in the handwriting of Ibn al-Furāt' – and he is authoritative – as "Ujyān," on the pattern of "Sufyān."

Amsat b. 'Amr al-Bajalī:' A Follower.

Tadūm b. Ṣubayḥ al-Kalāʿī: he transmitted ḥadīth from Tubayʿ b. ʿĀmir al-Kalāʿī.¹⁰ His name is also given as "Yadūm," although the correct form is "Tadūm."

Jubayb b. al-Ḥārith:11 a Companion.

Abu 'l-Jald Jīlān b. Farwa al-Akhbārī:12 a Follower.

Abu 'l-Ghuṣn al-Dujayn b. Thābit:¹³ it was claimed that he was the well-known Juḥā.¹⁴ It is more likely that he was somebody else.

Zirr b. Hubaysh:15 the early Follower.

Su'ayr b. al-Khims:16 he was alone in regard to his name and the name of his father.

Sandar al-Khasi, 17 the client of Zinbā al-Judhāmī: 18 he was a Companion.

The Companion Shakal b. Humayd.

- 6 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti ab, 1:144.
- 7 Abū Saʿid 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Aḥmad b. Yūnus b. 'Abd al-Aʿiā al-Ṣadafi (281/894-347/958) wrote on the history of Egypt; Sezgin, GAS, 1:357-8.
- 8 This seems to be a reference to the hadīth scholar Abu 'l-Ḥasan Muḥammad b. al-ʿAbbās b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Furāt al-Baghdādī (310/922–384/994). Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī described him as "authoritative in correct transmission and excellence of accuracy;" Ta'rikh Baghdād, 3:122–3; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:495–6.
- 9 Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):64; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(1):346. For the pronunciation of the nisba "Bajalī"; see Ibn al-Athīr, Lubāb, 1:121-2.
- 10 He was better known as Tubay b. Imra at Kab al-Ahbār; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4: 413-14.
- 11 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 1:271.
- 12 Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):251, s.n. Jīlān b. Abī Farwa; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):547.
- 13 Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:172-3.
- 14 Juḥā is the central figure in innumerable humorous stories; EI² 2:590-92.
- 15 The contemporaries of Zirr (d. ca. 81/700) regarded him as an expert in the Arabic language and the Qur'an; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:166-70.
- 16 Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):213; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):323.
- 17 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:688.
- 18 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:564-5.

Abū Rayḥāna Sham'ūn b. Zayd: his name is also given as "Shamghūn." Abū Sa'īd b. Yūnus said, "To my mind, 'Shamghūn' is more likely to be correct." He was one of the great Companions.

The Companion Abū Umāma Şudayy b. 'Ajlān.20

The Companion Sunābiḥ b. al-A'sar. Whoever called him "Sunābiḥī" has erred.21

Abu 'l-Salīl *Durayb* b. Nuqayr b. Sumayr al-Qaysī al-Baṣrī:²² he related from Muʿadha al-ʿAdawīya²³ and others. Nuqayr was his father. His name is also given as "Nufayr" and "Nufayl."

'Azwān b. Zayd al-Raqāshī:24 a good man and Follower.

Qartha^c al-Dabbī.²⁵

Kalada b. Ḥanbal:26 a Companion.

The Companion Lubayy b. Labā al-Asadī:²⁷ the first name is on the pattern of "Ubayy." The second name is on the pattern of the word ' $as\bar{a}$ (stick). Make a note of this name, for it is sometimes given incorrectly.

Mustamirr b. al-Rayyān:28 he saw Anas [b. Mālik].

Nubayshat al-Khayr:29 a Companion.

Namf al-Bikālī:30 a Follower belonging to the Bikāl, one of the subtribes of the Ḥimyar. Most of the scholars of ḥadīth [erroneously] pronounce it "Bakkālī."

The Companion Wābişa b. Ma'bad.31

Hubayb b. Mughfil:32 a Companion.

¹⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:711-12, s.n. Sham'ūn b. Yazid.

²⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:736.

²¹ For this question, see Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istřāb, 2:740; Suyūṭī, Tadrīb al-rāwī, 2:272-3.

²² Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabīr, 2(2):342; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):470.

²³ Mu'adha bint 'Abd Allah (d. ca. 83/702) was famed for her piety; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:508-9.

²⁴ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 4(1):89; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 3(2):41.

²⁵ Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):147.

²⁶ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1332-3.

²⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istī'āb, 3:1340.

²⁸ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):68; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 7arh, 4(1):430-1.

²⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī'āb*, 4:1523-4.

³⁰ Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabīr, 4(2):129; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):505.

³¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1563.

³² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1548.

Hamadhān,³³ the agent (barīd) of 'Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb: Ibn Bukayr and others gave it as "Hamadhān" and some of those who wrote about the book of Bardījī gave it as "Hamdān."

Some unique paidonymics are

Abu 'l-'Ubaydayn: 4 his name is Mu'awiya b. Sabra and he was one of the students of Ibn Mas'ūd. He has two or three hadīth.

Abu 'l-'Usharā' al-Dārimī: he was mentioned above.

Abu 'l-Mudilla: His name is not known. Al-A'mash, Ibn 'Uyayna and a number of others related ḥadīth from him. We do not know of anyone who agrees with the contention of Abu Nu'aym [al-Iṣbahānī?] that his name is 'Ubayd Allāh al-Madanī.

Abū Murāya al-Ijlī: his name is Abd Allāh b. Amr. He was a Follower from whom Qatāda related hadīth.

 $Ab\bar{u}$ $Mu^{c}ayd$:³⁷ he is Ḥafṣ b. Ghaylān al-Hamdānī. He related ḥadīth from Makḥūl³⁸ and others.

Some examples of unique nicknames are

Safīna,³⁹ the client and Companion of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him): it is a unique nickname. The claim that his name is Mihrān is disputed.

Mindal b. 'Alī: 40 this is the correct pronunciation according to al-Khaṭīb and others. Some also often give it as "Mandal." It is a nickname and his name is 'Amr.

Saḥnūn b. Sa'īd al-Tanūkhī al-Qayrawānī: he is author of the al-Mudawwana ([Legal] Register) on the school of Mālik. This is a unique nickname and his name is 'Abd al-Salām.

³³ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):255-6, s.n. Hamdān; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, farḥ, 4(2):121, s.n. Hamdān.

³⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):329-30; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):378.

³⁵ Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Kunā (Hyderabad, 1360), 74; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):444. Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ's claim that al-A'mash and Ibn Uyayna transmitted from Abu 'l-Mudilla was regarded as a mistake; see Suyūṭi, Tadrīb al-rāwī, 2:277.

³⁶ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 3(1):154; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):118.

³⁷ Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 1(2):364; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 1(2):186.

³⁸ The Follower Makḥūl b. Abī Muslim Shurāb al-Dimashqī (d. ca. 112/730) was considered the greatest Syrian scholar of his day; Sezgin, GAS, 1:404.

³⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 2:684-5.

⁴⁰ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabir, 4(2):73; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):434-5.

Also of that ilk are Muţayyan al-Ḥaḍramī, 11 Mushkadāna al-Ju'fī 12 and others whom we will mention in the Category on nicknames (God – He is exalted – willing). He knows best.

⁴¹ Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Sulaymān al-Ḥadramī (202/817-297/909) composed a musnad and ta'rikh, neither of which seems to have survived. Abū Nu'aym al-Fadl b. Dukayn is said to have given him this nickname, meaning "muddy," when he was a child; Sezgin, GAS, 1:163.

⁴² In Category 52, Ibn al-Şalāḥ explains that the nickname of Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar (d. 239/853) means "grain of musk" or "musk holder" in Persian. He is said to have received this name because he attended the class of Abū Nu'aym wearing perfume; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:155-6.

NAMES AND PAIDONYMICS

(Ma'rifat al-asmā' wa-'l-kunā)

There are many books on names and paidonymics, including the works of 'Alī b. al-Madīnī,' Muslim,' Nasā'i' and the expert Abū Aḥmad al-Ḥākim al-Kabīr.' Ibn 'Abd al-Barr has several excellent short books on various aspects of this subject.' What is meant by the title of this Category is the identification of the names of the bearers of paidonymics. Writers on this topic arrange their books by paidonymic, identifying the names of the bearers of each. This is a much-needed discipline to which those knowledgeable in hadīth still direct their attention. They study it, discuss it amongst themselves and inveigh against those who are ignorant of it. I have formulated a fine new analysis of the material. I say: the bearers of paidonymics fall into several subcategories.

- 1. Those who are named by their paidonymic so that their name is their paidonymic and they have no other name. These fall into two subcategories.
 - (a). Those who have a paidonymic other than the paidonymic which is their name, so that it is as if the paidonymic has a paidonymic: that is interesting and surprising. This is like the case of Abū Bakr b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Ḥārith b. Hishām al-Makhzūmī, one of the seven legal experts of Medina. He used to be called "the monk of the tribe of Quraysh." His name is Abū Bakr and his paidonymic is Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān. The same is true of Abū Bakr b. Muḥammad b. 'Amr b. Ḥazm al-Anṣārī. His name is said to have been Abū Bakr

¹ Kitāb al-Asmā' wa-'l-kunā; Ibn al-Nadīm, Kitāb al-Fihrist, ed. Riḍā Tajaddud (n.p., n.d), 286.

² Kitāb al-Kunā wa-'l-asmā' (Damascus, 1984).

³ Ḥajjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:col. 87.

⁴ Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad (ca. 290/903-378/988), known as "al-Ḥākim al-Kabīr,"

was recognized as the greatest scholar of hadith of his era. His Kitāb al-Asmā' wa-'l-kunā seems to be the work referred to here; Sezgin, GAS, 1:203-4.

⁵ This description of Ibn 'Abd al-Barr's contribution to this discipline puzzled later writers (for example, Bulqīnī, Maḥāsin 570). His Kitāb al-Istighnā fi ma'rifat al-mashhūrīn min ḥamalat al-'ilm bi-'l-kunā (ed. 'Abd Allāh Marḥūl al-Sawālima, 3 vols, Riyadh, 1405/1985) is anything but laṭīf and it seems to be the only work he wrote on the subject. As the editor of the Istighnā has suggested (1:51), the confusion probably resulted from Ibn 'Abd al-Barr's adoption of the conceit of presenting each of the three sections of the work as a separate kitāb with its own introduction.

⁶ Abū Bakr b. Ḥazm (d. ca. 120/738) served as the governor and judge in the city of Medina; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:313-14.

and his paidonymic was Abū Muḥammad. Al-Khatīb said that there were no others like these two in that regard. It has also been said that [the aforementioned] Ibn Hazm had no paidonymic other than the one which was his name.

- (b). Those who have no paidonymic other than the one which is their name: An example of this is $Ab\bar{u}$ $Bil\bar{u}l$ al-Ash'arī, the transmitter from Sharīk and others. It was related from him that he said, "I do not have a name. My name and my paidonymic are the same." This is also the case of $Ab\bar{u}$ Hasin b. Yaḥyā b. Sulaymān al-Rāzī. A number of transmitters related hadīth from him, including $Ab\bar{u}$ Hatim al-Rāzī. Ab \bar{u} Hatim asked him, "Do you have a name?" and he replied, "No, my name and my paidonymic are the same."
- 2. Those who are known by their paidonymic and their name is not known and it is not known whether this appelation is their paidonymic or something else: one Companion exemplifying this is $Ab\bar{u}$ $An\bar{a}s$ al-Kinānī.

He is also given the gentilic "Dīlī," from the tribe of Abu 'l-Aswad al-Dīlī. "Dīlī" occurs as "Du'alī" in the lineage (nasab) given by some of the experts in the Arabic language and others anomalously give it as "Du'ilī."

Other examples from the Companions are $Ab\bar{u}$ Muwayhiba,¹⁰ the client of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him), and $Ab\bar{u}$ Shayba al-Khudrī,¹¹ who died in the siege of Constantinople and was buried there.

Examples from after the Companions are

Abu 'l-Abyad, 12 the transmitter from Anas b. Mālik.

Abū Bakr b. Nāfi^{ci3} – Nāfi^c was the client of Ibn 'Umar: Mālik and others transmitted from him.

Abu 'l-Najīb,14 the client of 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ: his paidonymic is alternatively given as Abu 'l-Tujayb.

Abū Harb b. Abi 'l-Aswad al-Dīlī.15

⁷ Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 4(2):350.

⁸ Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):364.

⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1605, s.n. Abū Iyās.

¹⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti'āb*, 4:1764-5.

¹¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 4:1690.

¹² Bukhārī, Kunā, 8; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):336, 3(1):293, s.n. Tsā.

¹³ Bukhārî, Kunā, 14; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):343.

¹⁴ Mizzī, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl fi asmā al-rijāl, ed. Bashshār 'Awwād Ma'rūf, 35 vols (Beirut, 1406/1985-1413/1992), 34:340-1.

¹⁵ Bukhārī, Kunā, 23; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):358-9.

Abū Ḥarīz al-Mawqifī¹⁶ and al-Mawqif is a place in Egypt. Ibn Wahb and others transmitted hadīth from him. God knows best.

3. Those whose nickname is a paidonymic [in form] and who also bear other paidonymics and names. For example

'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib (God be pleased with him): he was nicknamed Abū Turāb (father of earth) and his paidonymic was Abu '1-Ḥasan.

Abu 'l-Zinād 'Abd Allāh b. Dhakwān: his paidonymic was Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān, and Abu 'l-Zinād (father of the fire sticks) was a nickname. The expert Abu 'l-Faḍl al-Falakī, according to what we read from him, said that 'Abd Allāh b. Dhakwān used to be angered by the nickname "Abu 'l-Zinād." He was a versatile scholar.

Abu 'l-Rijāl Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Anṣārī: 'l' his paidonymic was Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān, and Abu 'l-Rijāl (father of the men) is a nickname he was given because he had ten children, all of them "men."

Abū Tumayla Yaḥyā b. Wāḍiḥ al-Anṣārī al-Marwazī: his paidonymic was Abū Muḥammad, and Abū Tumayla was a nickname. Yaḥyā b. Maʿīn and others endorsed his reliability and Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī criticized Bukhārī for including him in his book of weak transmitters.

The expert Abu 'l-Ādhān 'Umar b. Ibrāhīm:²⁰ he had the paidonymic Abū Bakr and he was nicknamed Abu 'l-Ādhān (father of the ears) because he had big ears.

The expert Abu 'l-Shaykh 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Isbahānī: his paidonymic was Abū Muḥammad, and Abu 'l-Shaykh was a nickname.

The expert Abū Ḥāzim 'Umar b. Aḥmad al-'Abdūwī:²¹ his paidonymic was Abū Ḥāfṣ, and Abū Ḥāzim was a nickname. We learned that from Falakī's book on nicknames.²² God knows best.

¹⁶ Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):362.

¹⁷ Bukhārī, Kunā, 87; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):317.

¹⁸ Abū Tumayla died around the year 190/806; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:210-11.

¹⁹ Ibn Abi Hatim, Jarh, 4(2):194.

²⁰ Abu 'l-Ādhān died in the year 290/903 at the age of sixty-three years; Dhahabi, Siyar, 81-2.

²¹ Abū Ḥāzim was a prominent scholar of hadīth who died in 417/1026; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:333-6. The nisba "Abdūwi" is also pronounced "Abdawi" and "Abdūyi;" see Ibn al-Athīr, Lubāb, 2:313.

²² Ma'rifat alqāb al-muḥaddithīn; Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 2:col. 1739.

4. Those who have two or more paidonymics. Some examples are

'Abd al-Malik b. 'Abd al-'Azīz b. Jurayj: he had two paidonymics: Abū Khālid and Abu 'l-Walīd.

'Abd Allāh b. Umar b. Ḥafṣ al-Umarī,²³ the brother of 'Ubayd Allāh: it is related that he had the paidonymic *Abu 'l-Qāsim*. He renounced it and adopted *Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān* as his paidonymic.

Our teacher Manṣūr b. Abi 'l-Ma'āli al-Nisābūrī, the grandson of Furāwī, had three paidonymics: Abū Bakr, Abu 'l-Fatḥ and Abu 'l-Qāsim. God knows best.

5. Those whose name is well known, but whose paidonymic is disputed so that two or more different paidonymics are given for them. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Aṭā' al-Ibrāhīmī al-Harawī²⁴ – one of the later scholars – has a brief work on this.

Usāma b. Zayd, the dear friend of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him): his paidonymic is variously given as $Ab\bar{u}$ Zayd, $Ab\dot{u}$ Muḥammad, $Ab\bar{u}$ 'Abd Allāh and $Ab\bar{u}$ Khārija.

Ubayy b. Kab: Abu 'l-Mundhir and Abu 'l-Tufayl are given.

Qabīṣa b. Dhu'ayb:25 Abū Isḥāq and Abū Sa'īd are given.

Al-Qāsim b. Muḥammad b. Abī Bakr al-Ṣiddīq: Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān and Abū Muḥammad are given.

Sulaymān b. Bilāl al-Madanī: Abū Bilāl and Abū Muḥammad are given.

Some of those mentioned in this subcategory could in actuality also be placed in the previous one.

6. Those whose paidonymic is known and whose name is disputed. Examples of this from among the Companions are

^{23 &#}x27;Abd Allāh (d. 171/787), unlike his brother Ubayd Allāh, was not unreservedly endorsed as a transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:339–41.

²⁴ Ibrāhīmī (d. 476/1083) was a transmitter of hadīth and a preacher; Ibn al-Athīr, Luhāb, 1:24.

²⁵ Qabişa was born in the year 8/629 and rose to hold high offices under the Umayyad caliph 'Abd al-Malik: He was a prolific transmitter of hadīth and died in 86/705 (or 87 or 88); Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:282-3.

²⁶ Sulayman (100/719-172/788) was an expert in the hadith of the Medinese; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:425-7.

Abū Baṣra al-Ghifarī:" his paidonymic is pronounced in the same way as the city "al-Baṣra." It is said that his name is Jamīl b. Baṣra. Ḥumayl is also given and it is more likely to be correct.

Abū Juḥayfa al-Suwā'ī.28 his name is variously given as Wahb b. 'Abd Allāh and Wahb Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh.

Abū Hurayra al-Dawsī: his name and the name of his father are hotly disputed, more than the name of anyone else before or after the advent of Islam. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr²9 said that there are about twenty claims about his name and that of his father and that, because of the great confusion, in his opinion nothing reliable can be established regarding his name, except that one can feel confidence that 'Abd Allāh or 'Abd al-Raḥmān was his name during the Islamic era. It is said on the authority of Muḥammad b. Isḥāq that his name was 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Şakhr and a number of those who have written on names and paidonymics relied on that. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim said, "To our mind, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Şakhr is the opinion regarding the name of Abū Hurayra which is most likely to be correct."

Among those who lived after the Companions are

Abū Burda b. Abī Mūsā al-Ashʿarī: Most experts believe that his name was ʿĀmir. [Yaḥyā] b. Maʿīn said that his name was al-Ḥārith.

Abū Bakr b. 'Ayyāsh,³⁰ the transmitter of the Qur'an recension of 'Āṣim: his name is disputed and eleven opinions regarding it are given. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said that if it is true that he had a name, it was Shu'ba and none other; and this is the one which Abū Zur'a [al-Rāzī] regarded as correct.³¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said, "It was said that his name was his paidonymic. That – God willing – is the view most likely to be correct because it is related from Abū Bakr al-'Ayyāsh himself that he said, 'I have no name other than Abū Bakr.'" God knows best.

7. Those whose paidonymic and name are both disputed, and that is uncommon. An example of this is Safina, the client of the Messenger of God (Peace be

²⁷ Bukhārī, *al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr*, 2(1):123–4, s.n. Ḥumayl; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, *Jarḥ*, 1(1):436–7, s.n. Baṣra; 1(1):517, s.n. Jamīl; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī'āb*, 1:184, s.n. Baṣra; 1:405–6, s.n. Ḥumayl; 4:1611–12, s.n. Abū Baṣra.

²⁸ İbn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī*'āb, 4:1619-20.

²⁹ Isti āb, 4:1768-72.

³⁰ Abū Bakr al-'Ayyāsh (95/714 or 97–193/809) was respected as an expert in the Qur'ān, but is said to have made many mistakes in his hadīth transmissions; Sezgin, GAS, 1:10–11.

³¹ Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):349.

³² Istighnā, 1:445.

upon him). 'Umayr, Ṣāliḥ and Mihrān are variously given as his name. His paidonymic is given variously as Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān and Abu 'l-Bakhtarī. God knows best.

- 8. Those whose paidonymic and name are not disputed and are both known and famous. Examples of this, among many others, are the imāms of the law schools, those bearing the paidonymic Abū 'Abd Allāh, [that is,] Mālik, Muḥammad b. Idrīs al-Shāfi'i and Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal; and Abū Ḥanīfa al-Nu'mān b. Thābit.
- 9. Those who are famous under their paidonymic, rather than their name, despite the fact that their name is not unknown to those knowledgeable in hadith. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr has a fine work concerning people like this who lived after the generation of the Companions.³³ Examples of this are

Abū Idrīs al-Khawlānī: His name is Ā'idh Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh.

Abū Īshāq al-Sabī'i: His name is 'Amr b. 'Abd Allāh.

Abu 'l-Ash'ath al-Ṣan'ānī³⁴ – his gentilic refers to the village of Ṣan'ā² near Damascus: his name is Sharāḥīl b. Āda. Some pronounce his father's name as "Udda."

Abu 'l-Duḥā Muslim b. Şubayh.35

The ascetic Abū Hāzim al-A'raj, the transmitter from Sahl b. Sa'd and others: his name is Salama b. Dīnār.

The examples of this are innumerable. God knows best.

³³ This appears to be a reference to the third section of his Istighnā.

³⁴ Abu 'l-Ash'ath is said to have died after the year 100/719; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:357-9.

³⁵ Abu 'l-Duḥā died around the year 100/719; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:71.

THE PAIDONYMICS OF THOSE BETTER KNOWN UNDER THEIR NAME, RATHER THAN THEIR PAIDONYMIC

(Ma'rifat kuna 'l-ma'rūfīn bi-'l-asmā' dūna 'l-kunā)

In one respect, this Category is the opposite of the previous one. This material, in contrast, is typically arranged by name and then the paidonymics of the people bearing that name are identified. In another respect, this Category does accord with the previous one because it is [sometimes] treated as one of the subcategories of that Category since this Category is one of the subcategories of the bearers of paidonymics. Rarely does anyone write about this topic on its own, although we did read that Abū Ḥātim b. Ḥibbān al-Bustī composed a book on it. By way of providing examples, let us gather groups of transmitters under a single paidonymic to clarify the basic concept.

Some of the Companions (God be pleased with all of them) of this type bearing the paidonymic "Abū Muḥammad" are

Ţalḥa b. ʿUbayd Allāh al-Taymī² ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. ʿAwf al-Zuhrī al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib al-Ḥāshimī Thābit b. Qays b. al-Shammās al-Anṣārī³ ʿAbd Allāh b. Zayd al-Anṣārī,⁴ who was known for the call to prayer Kaʿb b. ʿUjra⁵ al-Ashʿath b. Qays⁶ Maʿqil b. Sinān al-Ashjaʿū² ʿAbd Allāh b. Jaʿfar b. Abī Ṭālib⁶ ʿAbd Allāh b. Buḥayna⁶ ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAmr b. al-ʿĀṣ ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Bakr al-Ṣiddīq Jubayr b. Muṭʿim¹⁰ al-Faḍl b. al-ʿAbbās b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib

¹ Kitāb Kunā man yu'rafu bi-'l-asāmî; Yāqūt, Mu'jam al-buldān, 1:616.

² Talha was a very early convert to Islam and a central figure in the early struggles. He died while leading a revolt against the caliph 'Alt in 36/656; EP, 10:161-2.

³ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:200-3.

⁴ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:912-13.

⁵ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti ab, 3:1321.

⁶ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 1:133-5.

⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istivāb, 3:1431-2.

⁸ Îbn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:880-2.

⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:871.

^{10:} Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī\ab*, 1:232-3.

Huwaytib b. 'Abd al-'Uzzay'' Maḥmūd b. al-Rabī' 'Abd Allāh b. Thaʿaba b. Suʿayr'²

Some of the Companions bearing the paidonymic "Abū 'Abd Allāh" are

al-Zubayr b. al-'Awwām¹³
al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib
Salmān al-Fārisī
'Āmir b. Rabī'a al-'Adawī¹⁴
Ḥudhayfa b. al-Yamān
Ka'b b. Mālik¹⁵
Rāfī' b. Khadīj¹⁶
'Umāra b. Ḥazm¹७
al-Nu'mān b. Bashīr
Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh
'Uthmān b. Ḥunayf
Hāritha b. al-Nu'mān¹৪

The following seven are Ansarites:

Thawbān, 19 the client of the Messenger of God (God be pleased with him) al-Mughīra b. Shu'ba
Shuraḥbīl b. Ḥasana²0
'Amr b. al-'Āṣ
Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Jaḥsh
Ma'qil b. Yasār al-Muzanī²¹
'Amr b. 'Āmir al-Muzanī²²

Some of the Companions bearing the paidonymic "Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān" are

'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd

- 11 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī*'āb, 1:399-400.
- 12 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:876.
- 13 Al-Zubayr was a cousin of the Prophet and a nephew of his wife Khadīja. Like Ṭalḥa, he died in the Battle of the Camel in 36/656; EP, 4:1235-6.
- 14 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti*'āb, 2:790-91.
- 15 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:1323-6.
- 16 Rāfi^c b. Khadīj was a prominent Medinese religious authority who died in the year 74/693 at the age of eighty-six; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:181-3.
- 17 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istī'āb, 3:1141.
- 18 Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istiab, 1:306-7.
- 19 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:218.
- 20 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:698-9.
- 21 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1432-3.
- 22 There seems to have been no such person. Prof. 'Abd al-Raḥmān suggests that Abū 'Abd Allāh 'Amr b. 'Awf al-Muzanī (Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī* 'āb, 3:1196) was meant; *Muqaddima*, 582.

Mu^cādh b. Jabal²³ Zayd b. al-Khaṭṭāb,24 the brother of Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb 'Abd Allāh b. Umar b. al-Khattāb Muḥammad b. Maslama al-Anṣārī²⁵ 'Uwaym b. Sāqda26 Zayd b. Khālid al-Juhanī²⁷ Bilāl b. al-Hārith al-Muzanī²⁸ Mu^cawiya b. Abī Sufyān al-Ḥārith b. Hishām al-Makhzūmī29 al-Miswar b. Makhrama³⁰

There are other opinions regarding the paidonymic of some of those whom we have mentioned above. God knows best.

²³ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti\(^ab*\), 3:1402-7.

²⁴ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:550-3.

²⁵ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1377-8.

²⁶ Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istiab, 3:1248.

²⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istr'āb, 2:549-50.

²⁸ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti ab, 1:183.

²⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:301-5.

³⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:1399-1400.

NICKNAMES OF TRANSMITTERS OF HADITH AND OTHER PEOPLE MENTIONED WITH THE TRANSMITTERS

(Ma^crifat alqāb al-muḥaddithīn wa-man dhukira ma^cahum)

There are many instances of this and someone not acquainted with them may almost come to think that the nicknames are names (asāmī) and thus place a person referred to by his name in one place and the same person referred to by his nickname in another place, as two separate individuals. This befell many writers. The expert Abū Bakr Ahmad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Shīrāzī¹ and the expert Abu 'l-Fadl b. al-Falakī² were among those who composed works on this subject. Nicknames are subcategorized into those which may permissibly be applied – these are the ones the person nicknamed does not object to – and those which are impermissible – and these are the ones the person nicknamed dislikes. The following is an illustrative sample of both types.

We heard that the expert 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Saʿīd said, "Two distinguished men to whom ugly nicknames stuck were Muʿāwiya b. 'Abd al-Karīm al-Dāll (the misguided)' – he went astray only on the road to Mecca – and 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Daʿif (the weak)' – he was only physically weak and not weak in his ḥadīth." A third is 'Ārim (vicious) Abu 'l-Nuʿmān Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl al-Sadūsī and he was a pious man who was far from vicious.

Al-Daʿif [that is, 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad] is Abū Muḥammad al-Ṭarsūsī. He heard ḥadīth from Blind Abū Muʿāwiya⁵ and others. Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī wrote ḥadīth from him⁶ and Abū Ḥātim b. Ḥibbān claimed that he was [antiphrasistically] called "al-Daʿif" on account of his exactitude and accuracy.8

Ghundar (troublemaker) was the nickname of Abū Bakr⁹ Muḥammad b. Ja^cfar al-Baṣrī. The reason for it which we heard was that Ibn Jurayj came to al-Baṣra

¹ The title of the book of Abū Bakr al-Shīrāzī (d. 407/1016) is given as Alqāb al-ruwāt in Hājjī Khalifa, Kashf, 1:col. 157 and Kitāb Alqāb al-muḥaddithīn in Sezgin, GAS, 1:225.

² Muntahā al-kamāl fi ma'rifat al-rijāl; Ḥājjī Khalifa, Kashf, 2:col. 1858.

³ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):337; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):381-2. The epithet dāll often refers to irregularity in religious doctrine.

⁴ Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(2):163.

⁵ Abū Mu'āwiya Muḥammad b. Khāzim al-Kūfi (113/731–194/810 or 195) was considered to be particularly strong in the ḥadīth of his teacher al-Amash; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:73–8.

⁶ Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):163.

⁷ Suyūtī, Tadrib al-rāwi, 2:290.

⁸ Thiqāt, 8:362.

⁹ The other sources I consulted give his kunya as "Abū 'Abd Allāh."

and transmitted the hadīth of al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī to the Baṣrans. They censured him for that and stirred up trouble. Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar agitated a lot and Ibn Jurayj said to him, "Be quiet, ghundar!" The Hejazians term someone who incites discord ghundar. There were other "Ghundars" after him, each of whom bore it as a nickname, including

Abu 'l-Ḥusayn Muḥammad b. Ja'far al-Rāzī, Ghundar:" he transmitted ḥadīth from the expert Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī and others.

Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar al-Baghdādī, Ghundar,¹² the widely traveled expert: the expert Abū Nuʿaym [al-Iṣbahānī] and others transmitted ḥadīth from him.

Abu 'l-Țayyib Muḥammad b. Ja'far b. Durrān al-Baghdādī, Ghundar: ¹³ he transmitted ḥadīth from Abū Khalīfa al-Jumaḥī ¹⁴ and others.

There were others who bore that nickname who were not named "Muḥammad b. Ja'far."

 Ghunjār (Persian: ghanjār, rouge) was the nickname of an early transmitter, Abū Aḥmad Tsā b. Mūsā al-Taymī al-Bukhārī.¹⁵ He transmitted ḥadīth from Mālik, [Sufyān] al-Thawrī and others. He was nicknamed "Ghunjār" because of his rosy cheeks.

Another "Ghunjār" was a later transmitter, namely the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Bukhārī. ¹⁶ He was the author of *Tarīkh Bukhārā* ¹⁷ (*History of Bukhara*) and died in the year 412 [1021 AD]. God knows best.

• Ṣāʿiqa (thunderbolt): he was the expert Abū Yaḥyā Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Raḥīm. Bukhārī and others transmitted ḥadīth from him. The expert Abū ʿAlī [al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī al-Nīsābūrī] said that he was nicknamed

¹⁰ Apparently the Başrans felt that it was presumptuous for an out-of-towner to try to teach them the hadith of their local hero.

¹¹ Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:217, no. 149.

¹² Ghundar al-Warraq died in 370/981; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:214-15, no. 145.

¹³ He was a Sufi who moved to Egypt and died there in 357/968 or 358; Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:215-16, no. 146.

¹⁴ Abū Khalīfa al-Fadl b. al-Ḥubāb al-Jumaḥī (206/821–305/917) was an expert in ḥadīth as well as secular literature; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:7–11.

¹⁵ He was a prominent transmitter in Bukhara who died at the end of 186/802; Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:487–8.

¹⁶ Not much seems to have been recorded about this Ghunjār (337/948-412/1021). He is said to have received his nickname on account of his great interest in the hadīth of the earlier Ghunjār, although he was not, of course, a student of his; Sezgin, GAS, 1:353.

¹⁷ Hājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:col. 286.

¹⁸ Şāsiqa (185/801–255/869) was a respected transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:295–6.

- "Ṣā'iqa" because of his learning and the intensity of his study and pursuit [of hadīth].
- Shabāb (youthfulness) was the nickname of Khalīfa b. Khayyāṭ al-Uṣfurī, 19 the author of al-Ta³rīkh (History). He heard ḥadīth from [the earliest] Ghundar and others.
- Zunayj (little black man) was the nickname of Abū Ghassān Muḥammad b. 'Amr al-Rāzī.²⁰ Muslim and others related hadīth from him.
- Rusta (Persian: sprout) was the nickname of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Umar al-Iṣbahānī.²¹
- Sunayd was the nickname of al-Ḥusayn b. Dāwūd al-Miṣṣīṣī,²² the author of the Qur³ān commentary. The experts Abū Zur⁴a [al-Rāzī], Abū Ḥātim [al-Rāzī] and others related ḥadīth from him.
- Bundār (Persian: wholesaler) was the nickname of Muḥammad b. Bashshār al-Baṣrī.²³ Bukhārī, Muslim and many others transmitted ḥadīth from him. Ibn al-Falakī said that he was given this nickname because he was the "wholesaler" of ḥadīth.
- Qayşar (caesar) was the nickname of the well-known Abu 'l-Nadr Hāshim b. al-Qāsim. 24 Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal and others transmitted ḥadīth from him.
- Al-Akhfash (dim-eyes) was the nickname of several people, including the grammarian Aḥmad b. Imrān al-Baṣrī. An early scholar of ḥadīth, he transmitted from Zayd b. al-Ḥubāb²⁵ and others. He has a book entitled Gharīb al-Muwaṭṭaʾ (Rare Words in Mālik's Muwaṭṭaʾ). There were three famous Akhfashes who were grammarians.

The earliest was Abu 'l-Khaṭṭāb 'Abd al-Ḥamīd b. 'Abd al-Majīd² and he was the one Sībawayhi² mentioned in his $Kit\bar{a}b$ (Book).

- 19 Abū 'Amr Khalifa b. Khayyāṭ al-Uşfurī (d. 240/854) is best known for the *Taʾrīkh* (ed. Suhayl Zakkār, 2 vols, Damascus, 1967-8), which Ibn al-Şalāḥ mentioned, and his *Kitāb al-Ṭabaqāt* (ed. Suhayl Zakkār, Damascus, 1966; ed. Akram Diyāʾ al-Umarī, Baghdad, 1967); Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:110; *EI*², 3:838-9.
- 20 Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):34.
- 21 Abu 'l-Faraj 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar al-Iṣbahānī (d. 250/864) was an important student of 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Mahdī; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:242-3.
- 22 Sunayd died in 226/841; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:627-8. "Sunayd" would appear to be the diminutive form of sanad, prop, support, etc. Nothing I have read sheds any light on the origin or exact sense of this unusual nickname.
- 23 Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Bashshār al-Baṣrī (167/784-252/866) was one of the most prominent transmitters of ḥadīth in his day; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:144-9.
- 24 Abu 'l-Nadr Hāshim b. al-Qāsim al-Laythi al-Khurāsānī (134/752-207/822) was an important transmitter in Baghdad; Dhahabi, *Siyar*, 9:545-9.
- 25 Zayd (ca. 130/748–203/819) left his hometown of Marv and traveled extensively to collect hadīth. It is said that he even reached al-Andalus; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:393–5.
- 26 Little seems to have been recorded about the grammarian known as al-Akhfash al-Akbar; Qiftī, *Inbāh al-ruwāt*, 2:158–9.
- 27 Sibawayhi (d. ca. 180/796) was the father of Arabic grammar; *EI*², 9:524–31; Sezgin, *GAS*, 9:51–63.

The second was Abu 'l-Ḥasan Saʿīd b. Masʿada²s and he is the one who related *Kitāb Sībawayh* (*The Book of Sībawayh*) and was a student of Sībawayh.

The third is Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b. Sulaymān,² the student of the two grammarians bearing the paidonymic "Abu 'l-'Abbās," namely Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā,³ nicknamed "Thaʿab," and Muḥammad b. Yazīd,³ nicknamed "al-Mubarrad."

- Murabba^c (man with bushy eyebrows) was the expert Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm al-Baghdādī.³²
- Jazara (carrot) was the nickname of the expert Ṣālih b. Muḥammad al-Baghdādī. He was nicknamed this because he heard from one of his teachers what was related from 'Abd Allāh b. Busr to the effect that he used to employ a kharaza (bead) as a magical charm [to cure sick people]. He misread it, saying, jazara, and it stuck to him. He was a wag about whom humorous stories are related.
- 'Ubayd al-'Ijl (little believer, the calf) was the nickname of the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad b. Ḥātim al-Baghdādī.
- Kīlaja (a unit of weight equaling about five-and-three-quarter pounds) is the expert Muḥammad b. Ṣāliḥ al-Baghdādī.³⁴
- $M\bar{a}$ Ghammahū (he or it? did not sadden him), consisting of the negation [that is, $m\bar{a}$] and the verb ghamma, was the nickname of 'Allān b. 'Abd al-Ṣamad. He is the expert 'Alī b. al-Ḥasan b. 'Abd al-Ṣamad al-Baghdādī.' The two nicknames are combined and he is called "'Allān Mā Ghammahū." We heard that Yaḥyā b. Ma'in gave these five Baghdadis' their nicknames and they were early students of his and experts in ḥadīth.

²⁸ Known as al-Akhfash al-Awsat (d. 215/830), he wrote works on the vocabulary of the hadith and Qur³ān; Sezgin, GAS, 8:80; 9:68-9.

²⁹ Al-Akhfah al-Aşghar (ca. 235/849-315/927) lived in Baghdad and was an expert in grammar and lexicography; Sezgin, GAS, 8:174; 9:161.

³⁰ Thaqab (200/815-291/904) was one of the most celebrated grammarians of his age; Sezgin, GAS, 8:141-7; 9:140-2.

³¹ The grammarian al-Mubarrad (210/826-285/898) was born in al-Başra and spent most of his life teaching in Baghdad, where he and Tha lab competed for dominance; *EP* 7:279-82.

³² Murabba^c (d. 256/870) was a prominent student of Yahyā b. Ma^cin; al-Khaṭib al-Baghdādi, Ta^rrikh Baghdād, 1:388-9. Lane writes that the epithet "murabba^c" is applied to "a man whose eyebrows have much hair; as though he had four eyebrows;" Lexicon sv.

³³ Jazara was born in Baghdad in 205/821. He moved to Bukhara in 266/880 and lived there until his death in 293/906; Dhahabi, Siyar, 14:23-33.

³⁴ Kılaja (or perhaps Kaylaja) died in Mecca in 291/904; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:524-6.

³⁵ He was a minor transmitter of hadīth who died in 289/902. He is said to "have had many hadīth but little character." His nickname is sometimes given as "Mā Ghammahā," Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:429.

³⁶ That is, Murabba', Jazara, 'Ubayd al-Ijl, Kīlaja and Mā Ghammahū; see al-Khatib al-Baghdādi, Ta'rikh Baghdād, 1:388.

- The famous Sajjāda (prayer rug) was al-Ḥasan b. Ḥammād.³⁷ He heard hadīth from Wakī^c and others.
- Mushkadāna its meaning in Persian is "grain of musk" or "musk holder"
 was the nickname of 'Abd Allāh b. 'Umar b. Muhammad b. Abān.
- Muṭayyan (muddy) is the nickname of Abū Ja'far al-Ḥaḍramī. Abū Nu'aym al-Faḍl b. Dukayn addressed Mushkadāna and Muṭayyan with these nicknames and they stuck.
- 'Abdān (two "'Abds") is the nickname of a number of transmitters, the earliest of whom was 'Abd Allāh b. 'Uthmān al-Marwazī,' the student of Ibn al-Mubārak and the main transmitter from him. We heard from Muḥammad b. Tāhir al-Maqdisī that he was called "'Abdān" because his paidonymic was Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān and his name was 'Abd Allāh, so the two "'Abds" came together in his paidonymic and name. That is not correct. Rather, his nickname is due to the way the common people alter and fracture the name of a person when he is young and similar practices. In the same way, for "'Alī," they say "'Allān; for Aḥmad b. Yūsuf al-Sulamī' and others, they say "Hamdān;" and for Wahb b. Baqīya al-Wāsiṭī, they say "Wahbān." God knows best.

³⁷ Abū 'Alī al-Ḥasan b. Ḥammād al-Baghdādī (d. 241/855) seems to have been a well-respected scholar of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:392–3.

^{38 &#}x27;Abdān (ca. 140/757-221/836) was one of the greatest hadīth scholars of his day in Marv; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:270-72.

³⁹ Hamdan (182/798-264/878) was a well-traveled transmitter of hadith from Khurasan; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:384-8.

⁴⁰ Wahban was a scholar of hadith who died in Wasit in 239/854; Dhahabi, Siyar, 11:462-4.

HOMOGRAPHIC NAMES AND GENTILICS, AND RELATED MATTERS

(Ma'rifat al-mu'talif wa-'l-mukhtalif min al-asmā' wa-'l-ansāb wa-yaltaḥiqu bihā)

This Category concerns those names and gentilics which are uniform – that is, agree – in regard to their written form but differ in their pronunciation. This is an exalted discipline and those transmitters who are ignorant of it stumble often and never want for someone to make them feel shame. This information is diffuse and there is no general rule to which one can make recourse for most of it. Exactness is attained only by mastering specific data. Many useful books have been written on this Category and one of the most complete, despite its shortcomings, is Abū Naṣr b. Mākūlā's Ikmāl. The following are some of the oft-mentioned things which come under the heading of accurately recording words. Accuracy in this area consists of two subcategories, the general and the particular.²

Subcategory 1

Examples are Sallām and Salām. All of the instances of this name which you will encounter will be "Sallām," except five and they are

- Salām, the father of the Companion 'Abd Allāh b. Salām al-Isrā⁷īlī.³
- Salām, the father of Muḥammad b. Salām al-Bīkandī al-Bukhārī, the teacher of Bukhārī: Al-Khatīb [al-Baghdādī] and Ibn Mākūlā mention him solely as "Salām." The author of al-Maṭālic (The Rising Places) said, "Some pronounce it 'Salām' and others pronounce it 'Sallām,' and the latter is more common." "Salām" is better established and it is what Ghunjār [that is, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Bukhārī] mentioned in his Ta'rīkh Bukhārā, and he knew the inhabitants of his region best.

¹ That is, the instances where the basic consonantal skeletons of the words are the same, or very similar, so the words have to be differentiated by close attention to vocalization and pointing.

² It will be seen that by "the particular" Ibn al-Şalāh means the instances of homography which occur in the *Muwaţţa*² of Mālik and the *Şaḥāḥ*s of Bukhārī and Muslim.

³ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istī'āb, 3:921-3.

⁴ Muḥammad b. Salām was a sedulous student of ḥadīth who died in 225/830; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:628-30.

⁵ This is an allusion to Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm b. Yūsuf, known as Ibn Qurqūl (505/1111-569/1174); Brockelmann, GAL, 1:370-1; Suppl. 1:633. His Maṭālic al-anwār was an abridgement of al-Qādī Yaād's Mashāiq al-anwār; see Hājjī Khalifa, Kashf, 2:cols. 1687, 1715.

- Salām b. Muḥammad b. Nāhiḍ al-Maqdisī: the expert Abū Ṭālib⁶ and Tabarānī related ḥadīth from him and Tabarānī called him "Salāma."⁷
- Salām, the grandfather of the Muʿtazilite speculative theologian Abū ʿAlī Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Wahhāb b. Salām al-Jubbāʾī. 8
- Al-Mubarrad said in his *Kāmil*, "There is no Arab named 'Salām,' except the father of [the Companion] 'Abd Allāh b. Salām and Salām b. Abi 'l-Ḥuqayq." Others add Salām b. Mishkam, ¹⁰ a wine merchant who lived in pre-Islamic times. However, the well-known form of his name is "Sallām." God knows best.

'Umāra and 'Imāra: we do not have an "Imāra" except the Companion Ubayy b. Imāra" and some even pronounce his name "Umāra." Everyone else is "Umāra." God knows best.

Karīz and Kurayz: Abū 'Alī al-Ghassānī¹² related in his book Taqyīd al-muhmal (Fixing Unpointed Words) from Muḥammad b. Waḍḍāḥ¹³ that "Karīz" occurs in the tribe of Khuzā'a and "Kurayz" is used in the tribe of 'Abd Shams b. 'Abd Manāf. "Kurayz" is also found elsewhere. We do not add Ayyūb b. Kurayz,¹⁴ the transmitter from 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Ghanm,¹⁵ to the "Karīzes" on the basis that 'Abd al-Ghanī [b. Sa'īd] rendered his father's name as "Karīz," since it was actually "Kurayz," as Dāraquṭnī and others gave it.

Hizām is the form used among the tribe of Quraysh and Harām among the Ansārites. God knows best.

⁶ Abū Ṭālib Aḥmad b. Naṣr b. Ṭālib al-Baghdādī was a teacher of Dāraquṭnī. He died in Baghdad in 323/935 at over seventy years of age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:68.

⁷ Ţabarānī calls this obscure individual "Salāma b. Nāhiḍ al-Tiryāqī al-Maqdisī" in al-Muʿjam al-saghir (ed. Kamāl Yūsuf al-Ḥūt, Beirut, 1406/1986), 191.

⁸ Jubbā (235/849-303/915) was one of the most celebrated Mutazilite theologians of the third/ninth century; El², 2:569-70; Sezgin, GAS, 1:621-2.

⁹ See Ibn Ishaq, The Life of Muhammad, 482-4.

¹⁰ Ibn Ishāq said that he was the chief of the Jewish tribe of the Banu 'l-Nadir during the time of the Prophet's mission; The Life of Muhammad, 361.

¹¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:70.

¹² Al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad (427/1035–498/1105), perhaps better known as Abū 'Ali al-Jayyānī, was an Andalusian expert in the Arabic language; Dhahabī, Siyar, 19:148–51. His Taqyīd al-muhmal wa-tamyīz al-mushkil set down the correct pronunciation of the problematic names in Bukhārī and Muslim; see Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 1:col. 420, Sezgin, GAS, 1:141. The section of the work concerned with nicknames has recently been published as Kitāb al-Alqāb, ed. Muḥammad Abu 'l-Faḍl (al-Muḥammadīya, 1416/1996).

¹³ Muḥammad b. Waddāḥ (199/815-286/899) was a scholar from Cordova; Sezgin, GAS, 1:474-5.

¹⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(1):421; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):256.

¹⁵ The Caliph Umar sent 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Ghanm (d. 78/697) to Syria to teach religious law. Scholars disagreed as to whether he was a Companion or a Follower; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:45-6.

Abū 'Alī b. al-Burdānī¹⁶ stated that he heard the expert al-Khaṭīb saying, "The 'Ayshīs are Baṣrans, the 'Absīs Kūfans and the 'Ansīs Syrians." Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim had said this before him.¹⁷ This does hold true in most cases. God knows best.

Abū 'Ubayda is always "'Ubayda." We read that Dāraquṭnī said, "We do not know of anyone with the paidonymic 'Abū 'Abūda.'"

The following are some things the exact pronunciation of which I worked hard to determine in the course of my investigation of those mentioned by Dāraquṭnī, 'Abd al-Ghanī and Ibn Mākūlā.

Al-Safr and al-Safar: I found that as a paidonymic it is "al-Safar" and elsewhere "al-Safr." Nevertheless, some North Africans pronounce it "al-Safr" for Abu 'l-Safar Saʿid b. Yuḥmid.¹8 That is contrary to the opinion of the scholars of ḥadīth as recorded by Dāraquṭnī.

'Isl and 'Asal: I found that all of them are of the first kind – including 'Isl b. Sufyān'9 – except the secular historian 'Asal b. Dhakwān al-Baṣrī. 20 He is "'Asal" and Dāraquṭnī and others give it that way. I did find his name as "Isl" in the handwriting of the authority Abū Manṣūr al-Azharī in his book Tahdhīb al-lugha. I do not think that he recorded it accurately. God knows best.

Ghannām and 'Aththām: we do not know of any instance of the second form except 'Aththām b. 'Alī al-'Āmirī al-Kūfī, the father of ascetic 'Alī b. 'Aththām.²² The rest belong to the first form, including Ghannām b. Aws,²³ a Companion who fought in the battle of Badr. God knows best.

Qumayr and Qamīr: all of them are "Qumayr" – including Makkī b. Qumayr,²⁴ who transmitted hadīth from Ja'far b. Sulaymān²⁵ – except the wife of Masrūq b. al-Ajda', Qamīr bint 'Amr.²⁶ God knows best.

- 16 Abū 'Alī Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Burdānī (or al-Baradānī, 426/1035–498/1105) was an expert in the field of ḥadīth who composed a book on dreams of the Prophet; Dhahabī, Siyar, 19:219–21.
- 17 'Ulūm al-hadīth, 221.
- 18 Abu 'l-Safar died in 113/731; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:70.
- 19 Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 4(1):93; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 3(2):42-3.
- 20 I have not found any information about this person.
- 21 Abū Mansūr al-Azharī (282/895-370/980) wrote a number of lexicographical works; EI², 1:822.
- 22 'Alī b. 'Aththam died in 228/843 in Tarsus; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:569-71.
- 23 This appears to be the Ghannām Ibn 'Abd al-Barr identifies as "rajul min al-Ṣaḥāba madhkūr fi ahl Badr;" Istī 'āb, 3:1255-6.
- 24 I have not located this transmitter in any of the sources I consulted.
- 25 This appears to be a reference to the prominent Shiite transmitter of hadith Abū Sulaymān Ja'far b. Sulaymān al-Duba'i al-Baṣrī (d. 178/794); Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:197-200.
- 26 I have not succeeded in uncovering any information about this woman.

Musawwar and Miswar: "Musawwar" is the form of the name of the Companion Musawwar b. Yazīd al-Mālikī al-Kāhilī²⁷ and Musawwar b. 'Abd al-Malik al-Yarbū'ī, ²⁸ from whom Ma'n b. 'Īsā²⁹ related ḥadīth. Bukhārī mentioned him. So far as we know, the rest are "Miswar." God knows best.

Al-Ḥammāl (porter) and al-Jammāl (camel driver): we do not know among the transmitters of ḥadīth – or at least those mentioned in the books of ḥadīth in general circulation – of an instance of "al-Ḥammāl" as either an attribute or a name, except in the case of Hārūn b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥammāl, ³⁰ the father of the expert Mūsā b. Ḥārūn al-Ḥammāl.

The expert 'Abd al-Ghanī related that Hārūn was a dealer in hawks (bazzāz) and, when he renounced the world, he became a porter. Khalīlī³¹ and Ibn al-Falakī claimed that Hārūn was nicknamed "al-Ḥammāl" because of the great amount of knowledge he "carried." I do not regard what they said as correct.

The others are "al-Jammāl," including Muḥammad b. Mihrān al-Jammāl,³² from whom Bukhārī, Muslim and others transmitted ḥadīth. God knows best.

Sometimes in this Category one encounters names in which it is impossible to make a mistake and one is correct no matter how one pronounces them, as is the case, for example, with ¶sā b. Abī ¶sā al-Ḥannāṭ.³³ He is also called "al-Khabbāṭ" and "al-Khayyāṭ;" however, he is famous as "¶sā al-Ḥannāṭ." He was a tailor (khayyāṭ) and then abandoned that and became a dealer in wheat (hannāṭ). Later, he left that too and became a camel-fodder merchant (khabbāṭ), selling the mixture of leaves (khabaṭ) which camels eat. The same is true of Muslim al-Khabbāṭ³³ in whom the three attributes are also united. The authority Dāraquṭnī related that these attributes came together in these two individuals. God knows best.

²⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti 'āb, 3:1400.

²⁸ I have not succeeded in identifying this Musawwar.

²⁹ Ma'n (d. 198/814) was one of the most prominent students of the *imām* Mālik; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:304-6.

³⁰ Hārūn al-Ḥammāl (171/788 or 172-243/858) was regarded as a reliable transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabi, Siyar, 12:115-16.

³¹ Irshād, 2:599-600.

³² Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. Mihrān al-Jammāl al-Rāzī (d. 239/853) was considered one of the greatest ḥadīth transmitters of Khurāsān in his day; Dhahabi, Siyar, 11:143.

³³ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 3(2):404-5, no. 2793, s.n. Tsā b. Maysara al-Ghifārī; 405, no. 2794, s.n. Tsā b. Abī Tsā (see also note 3 on that page regarding Tsā b. Maysara b. Hayyān); Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 3(1):290, no. 1606, s.n. Tsā b. Maysara al-Ghifārī.

³⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):260, no. 1097, s.n. Muslim al-Khayyāṭ al-Makki; 272, no. 1152, s.n. Muslim b. Abī Muslim al-Khayyāṭ al-Makkī; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):196, no. 857, s.n. Muslim b. Abī Muslim al-Khayyāṭ al-Makkī.

Subcategory 2

The accurate pronunciation of homographs in the two Saḥiḥs or in those two books and Mālik's Muwaṭṭa' in particular. For instance,

Bashshār the father of Bundār, Muḥammad b. Bashshār. The rest of those in the two books are "Yasār." Abū 'Alī al-Ghassānī said this in his book. Sayyār b. Salāma³⁵ and Sayyār b. Abī Sayyār Wardān³⁶ appear in both of the Ṣaḥīḥs. However, "Sayyār" is not in this exact shape, although it is similar.³¹ God knows best.

Everything in the two Ṣaḥiḥs and the Mumaṭṭa² with the form of "Bishr" is pronounced "Bishr," with four exceptions and they are "Busr." They are the Companion 'Abd Allāh b. Busr al-Māzinī, Busr b. Saʿqd,³ Busr b. 'Ubayd Allāh al-Ḥaḍramī and Busr b. Miḥjan al-Dīlī.³ For Ibn Miḥjan, "Bishr" is also given. Aḥmad b. Ṣāliḥ al-Miṣrī related the pronunciation "Bishr" from a number of Ibn Miḥjan's children and members of his tribe. Mālik and the majority of the experts gave the first version of the name [that is, "Busr"]. God knows best.

Everything in these books with the form of "Bashīr" is "Bashīr" with four exceptions. Two of them are "Bushayr" and they are Bushayr b. Ka'b al-'Adawī' and Bushayr b. Yasār. ⁴¹ The third is Yusayr b. 'Amr, ⁴² who is also called "Usayr." The fourth is Qaṭan b. Nusayr. ⁴³ God knows best.

Everything in these books with the form "Yazīd" is "Yazīd," with three exceptions:

- 1. Burayd b. 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Burda.44
- 2. Muḥammad b. 'Ar'ara b. al-Birind: '5 In Kitāb 'Umdat al-muḥaddithīn' (The Ḥadīth Transmitters' Guide) and elsewhere it is given as "Barand."
- 35 Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabīr, 2(2):160; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):254.
- 36 Sayyār b. Wardān (d. 122/740) was famed for his piety; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:391-2.
- 37 That is, the consonantal outline of "Sayyār" differs slightly from that of "Bashshār" and "Yasār."
- 38 The ascetic Busr b. Said died in the year 100/719; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:594-5.
- 39 Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):124; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):423-4.
- 40 Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:351, no. 131.
- 41 Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:591-2.
- 42 The Follower Yusayr died around the year 85/704. Shuba was the one who called him "Usayr"; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):422; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):308.
- 43 Abū 'Abbād Qaṭan b. Nusayr al-Ghubarī al-Baṣrī was known as "al-Dhārio" (the wine skin); Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):138.
- 44 Burayd (d. ca. 140/757) was a controversial transmitter of hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:251-2.
- 45 Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 1(1):203; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 4(1):50-1.
- 46 The anthropomorphist Hanbalite Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ghanī b. 'Abd al-Wāḥid al-Maqdisī (541/1146-600/1203) was the author of this work; Ḥājjī Khalīfa, Kashf, 2:col. 1171.

The first pronunciation is more common and Ibn Mākūlā mentioned nothing else.

3. 'Alī b. Hāshim b. al-Barīd.47 God knows best.

Everything appearing in the books with the form of "al-Barā" is "Barā'," except Abū Ma'shar al-Barrā' and Abu 'l-'Āliya al-Barrā'. A "barrā" is someone who fashions (yabrī) lutes. God knows best.

In the two Sahīhs and Muwaṭṭa', there is no "Jāriya," except Jāriya b. Qudāma⁵⁰ and Yazīd b. Jāriya.⁵¹ The others are "Hāritha." God knows best.

In these books there is no "Harīz," except Ḥarīz b. 'Uthmān al-Raḥabī al-Ḥimṣī⁵² and the judge Abū Ḥarīz 'Abd Allāh b. al-Ḥusayn, ⁵³ the transmitter from Ikrima and others. The others are "Jarīr." Often they are confused with "Ḥudayr," and in these books the Ḥudayrs are the father of Imrān b. Ḥudayr⁵⁴ and the father of Zayd b. Ḥudayr⁵⁵ and Ziyād b. Ḥudayr. God knows best.

In these books there is no "Hirāsh," except the father of Ribi b. Ḥirāsh. The rest of those whose name takes this form are "Khirāsh." God knows best.

In these books there is no "Ḥaṣīn," except Abū Ḥaṣīn Uthmān b. 'Āṣim al-Asadī. The rest are "Ḥusayn." All of these are "Ḥusayn," except Abū Sāsān Ḥuḍayn b. al-Mundhir. God knows best.

Everything in these books with the form of "Hāzim" and "Abū Ḥāzim" is pronounced "Ḥāzim," except Abū Muʿawiya Muḥammad b. Khāzim al-Darīr. God knows best.

⁴⁷ The Shiite transmitter 'All b. Hashim died around 180/796; Dhahabi, Siyar, 8:342-5.

⁴⁸ Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 4(2):385; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):234-5.

⁴⁹ Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):365-6, s.n. Ziyād; id., Kunā, 89; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):365-6, s.n. Ziyād.

⁵⁰ Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 1(2):237; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 1(1):520.

⁵¹ Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):255, no. 1071; 2(1):558, no. 2526, s.n. Zayd b. Jāriya.

⁵² Although Hariz (80/699-163/780) was rated a reliable transmitter of hadith, there was a suspicion that he hated the caliph 'Ali; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:79-81.

⁵³ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 3(1):72; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):34-5.

⁵⁴ Imrān b. Ḥudayr was a Baṣran transmitter of ḥadīth who died in 149/766; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:363-4.

⁵⁵ In the works I consulted, I could find no entry on Ziyād's brother Zayd.

⁵⁶ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 2(1):348-9; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(2):529.

⁵⁷ It is said that the Follower Ribi b. Hirash never told a lie; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:359-62.

⁵⁸ Abū Ḥaṣīn (d. ca. 128/746) was a highly regarded Kūfan transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:412-17.

Those who appear in these books as "Habbān" are Ḥabbān b. Munqidh⁵⁹ – who was the father of Wāsiʿ b. Ḥabbān,⁶⁰ the grandfather of Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā b. Ḥabbān⁶¹ and the grandfather of Ḥabbān b. Wāsiʿ b. Ḥabbān⁶² – and Ḥabbān b. Hilāl,⁶³ who is referred to with and without his lineage and who was a transmitter from Shuʿbaʿ [b. al-Ḥajjāj], Wuhayb [b. Khālid], Hammām b. Yaḥyā,⁶⁴ Abān b. Yazīd, Sulaymān b. al-Mughīra⁶⁵ and Abū ʿAwāna.⁶⁶ Those in these books who are "Ḥibbān" are Ḥibbān b. ʿAṭīya⁶⁷ and Ḥibbān b. Mūsā;⁶⁸ that is, the "Ḥibbān" who is referred to without a lineage as transmitting from ʿAbd Allāh – and "ʿAbd Allāh" here is ʿAbd Allāh b. al-Mubārak. The name of Ibn al-ʿAriqa⁶⁹ is also "Ḥibbān." The rest are "Hayyān." God knows best.

Those who appear in these books as "Khubayb" are Khubyab b. 'Adī, ⁷⁰ Khubayb b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Khubayb b. Yasāf⁷¹ – and he is the "Khubayb" referred to without any lineage as a transmitter from Ḥafṣ b. 'Āṣim⁷² and from 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. Ma'n⁷³ – and Abū Khubayb 'Abd Allāh b. al-Zubayr. The rest are "Habīb." God knows best.

In these books there is no "Ḥukaym" except Ḥukaym b. 'Abd Allāh⁷⁴ and Ruzayq b. Ḥukaym.⁷⁵ God knows best.

Everything in these books written as " $Rab\bar{a}h$ " is "Rab $\bar{a}h$," except Ziy $\bar{a}d$ b. $Riy\bar{a}h^{76}$ and he is Ab \bar{u} Qays, the transmitter from Ab \bar{u} Hurayra on the portents of the Day of Judgement and the dissolution of the unity of the Community. The

- 59 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istī'āb, 1:318.
- 60 Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 4(2):190; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):48.
- 61 Muhammad b. Yahyā died in 121/739; Dhahabî, Siyar, 5:186-7.
- 62 Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 2(1):112; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(2):296-7.
- 63 Abū Ḥabbā Ḥabbān b. Hilāl al-Bāhilī (ca. 130/748-216/831) was a respected Baṣran transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:239-40.
- 64 Abū Bakr (or Abū 'Abd Allāh) Hammām b. Yaḥyā b. Dīnār al-'Awdhī (d. 164/781) was a controversial Başran transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:296–301.
- 65 Sulaymān b. al-Mughīra (d. 165/782) was also a prominent transmitter of ḥadīth in al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:415-19.
- 66 Abū 'Awāna al-Waḍḍāḥ b. 'Abd Allāh (d. 176/792) also transmitted ḥadīth in al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:217-22.
- 67 I have not found an entry on this Hibban in the sources I consulted.
- 68 Hibbān b. Mūsā b. Hibbān al-Dimashqī died in 231/846; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:11.
- 69 I have not found any information about Ibn al-'Ariqa.
- 70 Khubyab b. 'Adi was a Companion; Dhahabi, Siyar, 1:246-9.
- 71 Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):209; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):387.
- 72 Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 1(2):359-60; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):184.
- 73 Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 3(1):187; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(2):155.
- 74 Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(1):94; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):286-7.
- 75 Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):318-19; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 1(2):504.
- 76 Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabīr, 2(1):351, s.n. Ziyād b. Rabāḥ; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):531.

majority of scholars pronounce it "Riyāḥ," although Bukhārī did give it both ways, "Rabāḥ" and "Riyāḥ." God knows best.

"Zubayd" and "Zuyayd" do not appear in the two Ṣaḥiḥs, with the exception of Zubayd b. al-Ḥārith al-Yāmī.⁷⁷ In the Muwaṭṭa³, there is only Zuyayd and he is Zuyayd b. al-Ṣalt.⁷⁸ God knows best.

There is a single "Salīm" in these books and he is Salīm b. Ḥayyān. The rest are "Sulaym." God knows best.

In these books are *Salm* b. Zarīr, ⁸⁰ Salm b. Qutayba, ⁸¹ Salm b. Abi 'l-Dhayyāl⁸² and Salm b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān. ⁸³ The rest are "*Sālim*." God knows best.

In these books are *Surayj* b. Yūnus,⁸⁴ Surayj b. al-Nu^cmān⁸⁵ and Aḥmad b. Abī Surayj.⁸⁶ The rest are "*Shurayh*" in these books. God knows best.

In these books are Salmān al-Fārisī, Salmān b. 'Āmir,⁸⁷ Salmān al-Agharr⁸⁸ and 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Salmān.⁸⁹ The rest are "Sulaymān." Abū Hāzim al-Ashja'ī⁹⁰ – the transmitter from Abū Hurayra – and Abū Rajā⁹¹ – the client of Abū Qilāba – both bore the name "Salmān," but are referred to by their paidonymic. God knows best.

In these books "Salima" is 'Amr b. Salima al-Jarmī, 92 the prayer leader of his people. The Banū Salima were a tribe of the Anṣārites. The rest are "Salama."

⁷⁷ Zubayd (d. 122/740) was famous for his asceticism; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:296-8.

⁷⁸ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 2(1):447-8; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 7arh, 1(2):622.

⁷⁹ Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):213; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(1):314.

⁸⁰ Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabir, 2(2):158; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):264.

⁸¹ The hadith transmitter Abū Qutayba Salm b. Qutayba al-Khurāsānī died in 200/816; Dhahabi, Siyar, 9:308-9.

⁸² Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):159; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(1):265-6.

⁸³ Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):156, no. 2310; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 2(1):263-4.

⁸⁴ Abu 'l-Hārith Surayi b. Yūnus al-Marwazī died in 235/849; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:146-7.

⁸⁵ Abu 'l-Husayn (or Abu 'l-Ḥasan) Surayj b. al-Nu'mān b. Marwān al-Baghdādī died in 217/833; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:219-20:

⁸⁶ Abū Ja'far Aḥmad b. Abī Surayj 'Umar al-Rāzī died around the year 240/854 at over 80 years of age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:552.

⁸⁷ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):136; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):297.

⁸⁸ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):137; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):297.

⁸⁹ Bukhāri, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 3(1):294; Ibn Abî Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):241-2.

⁹⁰ Abū Ḥāzim al-Ashjaʿi (d. ca. 100/719) was a prolific transmitter from Abū Hurayra; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:7–8.

⁹¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):139; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):299.

⁹² The Companion Abū Burayd (or Abū Yazīd) Amr b. Salima al-Jarmī settled in al-Baṣra where he died in 85/704; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:523-4.

However, "'Abd al-Khāliq b. Salama" in the book of Muslim is given there as both "Salima" and "Salama." God knows best.

These books include *Sinān* b. Abī Sinān al-Du'alī, Sinān b. Salama, ⁹⁴ Abū Rabī a Sinan, ⁹⁵ Aḥmad b. Sinān, Umm Sinān ⁹⁶ and Abū Sinān Dirār b. Murra al-Shaybānī. ⁹⁷ The others are "*Shaybān*." God knows best.

There is no "Abīda" in these three books, except 'Abīda al-Salmānī, 'Abīda b. Humayd, '8 'Abīda b. Sufyān' and 'Āmir b. 'Abīda al-Bāhilī.' Everyone else is "'Ubayda." God knows best.

"(Ubayd" is pronounced "Ubayd" wherever it occurs in these books.

Likewise, "'Ubāda" is pronounced "Ubāda" wherever it occurs, except in the case of Muḥammad b. 'Abāda al-Wāsiṭī, 101 one of the teachers of Bukhārī. God knows best.

"'Abda" is pronounced "'Abda" wherever it occurs in these books, except in the case of 'Āmir b. 'Abada¹⁰² – who is mentioned in the introduction to Muslim's book – and Bajāla b. 'Abada.¹⁰³ However, there is disagreement regarding them. Some also give them as "'Abda." Some of the transmitters of Muslim's book have "'Āmir b. 'Abd' and that is incorrect. God knows best.

In these books "Abbād" is pronounced "Abbād," except in the case of Qays b. 'Ubād. God knows best.

There is no "'Uqayl' in these books, except 'Uqayl b. Khālid, ¹⁰⁴ Yaḥyā b. 'Uqayl, ¹⁰⁵ and Banū 'Uqayl for the tribe. The rest are "'Aqīl." God knows best.

⁹³ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 3(2):125; Ibn Abi Hātim, 7arh, 3(1):36-7.

⁹⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(2):162; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 2(1):250.

^{95:} Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(2):164; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 2(1):251-2.

⁹⁶ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1941.

⁹⁷ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(2):339; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 7arh, 2(1):465.

⁹⁸ Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abida b. Ḥumayd b. Ṣuhayb al-Kūfi (107/725-190/806) was a highly regarded transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:508-10.

⁹⁹ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 3(2):82; Ibn Abi Hātim, 7arh, 3(1):91.

¹⁰⁰ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabîr, 3(2):455; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 7arh, 3(1):327.

¹⁰¹ Dhahabi, al-Kāshif fi ma'rifat man lahū riwāya fi 'l-kutub al-sitta, 3 vols (Cairo, 1392/1972), 3:57-8.

¹⁰² Bukhārī, al-Ta²rikh al-kabir, 3(2):452, no. 2963; id., Kunā, 86, s.n. Abū Iyās al-Bajalī; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 3(1):327.

¹⁰³ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 1(2):146, s.n. Bajāla b. Abd; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):437, s.n. Bajāla b. Abd.

¹⁰⁴ The respected transmitter Abū Khālid Uqayl b. Khālid al-Aylī died in al-Fusṭāṭ in Egypt around the year 144/761; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:301-3.

¹⁰⁵ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):292; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):176.

There is no "Wāfid" at all in these books. Every instance in them is "Wāqid." God knows best.

Gentilics

The expert al-Qādī Iyād said that these books do not contain an "Ubullī" and everything with this form is to be pronounced "Aylī." In fact, Muslim related many ḥadīth from Shaybān b. Farrūkh¹⁰⁶ and he is "Ubullī." However, as he nowhere in Muslim's work appears with the gentilic, Iyād cannot be faulted. God knows best.

In the two Ṣaḥiḥs we do not know of an "al-Bazzār," except Khalaf b. Hishām al-Bazzār¹⁰⁷ and al-Ḥasan b. al-Ṣabbāḥ al-Bazzār. Muḥammad b. al-Ṣabbāḥ al-Bazzāz¹⁰⁹ and everyone else in the two books are "al-Bazzāz." God knows best.

There are only three people with the gentilic "Naṣrī" in the two Ṣaḥiḥs and the Muwaṭṭa². They are Mālik b. Aws b. al-Hadathān al-Naṣrī, 110 ʿAbd al-Wāḥid b. ʿAbd Allāh al-Naṣrī 111 and Sālim, the client of the Naṣrīs. The rest of the names in those books with this form are "Baṣrī." God knows best.

In these books there is only a single "Tawwazī" and he is Abū Yaqā Muḥammad b. al-Ṣalt al-Tawwazī, 112 who appears in the book of Bukhārī in the chapter on apostacy. Everyone else is "Thawrī," including Abū Yaqā Mundhir b. Yaqā al-Thawrī, 113 whose ḥadīth both Bukhārī and Muslim included. God knows best.

Saʿīd al-Jurayri, 114 ʿAbbās al-Jurayrī 115 and the Jurayrī who is not further named but who transmitted from Abū Naḍra 116 are the only ones having this gentilic in

¹⁰⁶ Abū Muḥammad Shaybān b. Abī Shayba Farrūkh (140/757–236/851) was one of the most elevated transmitters of his day; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:101–2.

¹⁰⁷ Khalaf b. Hishām (150/767-229/844) was an expert in the Qur'ān; Dhahabt, Siyar, 10:576-80.

¹⁰⁸ Bukhārī says that al-Ḥasan b. al-Ṣabbāḥ died in 249/863; Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):295; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):19.

¹⁰⁹ Abū Jasar Muḥammad b. al-Ṣabbāḥ al-Dūlābī (151/768-227/841) is best known for the Sunan he composed; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:670-72.

¹¹⁰ Mālik b. Aws was born before the advent of Islam and died in the year 92/711; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:171-2.

¹¹¹ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 3(2):55; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(1):22.

¹¹² Bukhārī, al-Tarikh al-kabīr, 1(1):118; Ibn Abi Hātim, Jarh, 3(2):289.

¹¹³ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 4(1):357; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):242.

¹¹⁴ Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal described Abū Mas'ūd Sa'id b. Iyās al-Jurayrī (d. 144/761) as "the ḥadīth transmitter of al-Baṣra;" Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:153-6.

¹¹⁵ Abū Muḥammad 'Abbās b. Farrūkh al-Jurayrī al-Başrī; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):4; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(1):211-12.

¹¹⁶ Dhahabi claimed that Saʿid al-Jurayri transmitted hadith from Abū Nadra al-Mundhir b. Mālik al-ʿAbdī (d. 108/726 or 107); Siyar, 4:529–32.

these books. These works also contain a "Ḥarīrī," namely Yaḥyā b. Bishr,¹¹⁷ the teacher of Bukhārī and Muslim. God knows best. They also contain a "Jarīrī," namely Yaḥyā b. Ayyūb al-Jarīrī¹¹⁸ in the book of Bukhārī, one of the descendants of Jarīr b. 'Abd Allāh [al-Bajalī].

"Jārī" in these books is a single individual and he is the Sa'd" whose gentilic refers to al-Jār, a seaport on the coast of Medina, by Judda [that is, modern-day Jidda]. God knows best. Everyone else is "Hārithī." God knows best.

"Ḥizāmī" is pronounced "Ḥizāmī" wherever it occurs. God knows best.

"Salamī," when it is applied to an Anṣārite, is "Salamī," a gentilic referring to the Banū Salima. Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh and Abū Qatāda are representatives of them. The experts in the Arabic language pronounce the gentilic form "Salamī," like "Namarī," "Ṣadafī" and similar ones. Most of the scholars of ḥadīth pronounce it "Salimī" – in accordance with the original name [that is, Salima] – and that is a solecism. God knows best.

"Ḥamadhānī" does not occur in the two Ṣaḥīḥs and the Muwaṭṭā. Everything in them with this shape is "Hamdānī." Abū Naṣr b. Mākūlā has said, "Hamdānī: Among the ancients 'Hamdānī' predominated and among the moderns 'Ḥamadhānī' is more common." He is right. God knows best.

This is merely an outline. If a student were to travel to study this material, it would be a worthwhile journey, God – He is exalted – willing. It is the duty of the hadīthologist to commit these homographs to the inmost part of his being. Regarding some of them there is a threat of undoing some of the previously mentioned unique names. I relied on the book of al-Qāḍī ʿYyāḍ¹²⁰ for some of them. I seek refuge in God for this and for all of my affairs. He – be He praised – knows best.

¹¹⁷ Abū Zakarīyā⁹ Yaḥyā b. Bishr al-Ḥarīrī was a merchant who died in al-Kūfa in 229/844 (or 227); Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 10:647-8.

¹¹⁸ Yaḥyā b. Ayyūb al-Jarīrī died around the year 160/777; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:10.

¹¹⁹ Bukhāri, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):66; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(1):96.

¹²⁰ This would seem to be a reference to Mashāriq al-anwār.

HOMONYMIC NAMES, GENTILICS, AND SO FORTH

(Ma'rifat al-muttafiq wa-'l-muftariq min al-asmā' wa-'l-ansāb wa-naḥwihā)

This Category concerns the names and gentilics which are pronounced and written the same. This is in contrast to the previous Category, in which the names and gentilics had the same written form but differed in pronunciation. In the study of theoretical law, this phenomenon comes under the rubric of what is called "shared" (mushtarak). More than one of the greats has erred because of it and "sharing" remains a breeding ground of mistakes in every discipline. Al-Khaṭīb has a book on it, Kitāb al-Muttafiq wa-'l-muftariq (Homonyms), which, despite its ample size, does not exhaustively treat the subcategories which I will mention, God – He is exalted – willing.

1. Different people who share the same name and whose fathers share the same name: an example of this is "al-Khalīl b. Aḥmad." There are six of them and al-Khatīb missed the last four.

The first of them was the Başran grammarian and inventor of prosody. He transmitted hadith from 'Āṣim al-Aḥwal and others. Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Mubarrad said, "If someone searched, he would not find anyone after our Prophet (Peace be upon him) whose name was 'Aḥmad' before the father of al-Khalīl b. Aḥmad." The historian Abū Bakr [b. Abī Khaythama] stated that he still heard the genealogists and secular historians saying that they do not know of anyone earlier. "Abu 'l-Safar Saʿīd b. Aḥmad" was cited against al-Mubarrad – on the basis of the statement of Yaḥyā b. Maʿīn regarding the name of his father – and he was earlier. Al-Mubarrad responded that most scholars say that he was "Saʿīd b. Yuḥmid." God knows best.

The second was Abū Bishr al-Muzanī,¹ also a Baṣran. He transmitted ḥadīth from al-Mustanīr b. Akhḍar² from Muʿāwiya b. Qurra. Al-ʿAbbās [b. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīm] al-ʿAnbarī and a number of others related from him.

The third was an Isfahani³ who related hadīth from Rawh b. Ubāda.⁴

¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabîr, 2(1):200; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):380, no. 1735.

² Although both Bukhārī and Ibn Abī Hātim mentioned this individual in their entry on this al-Khalīl b. Ahmad, they do not seem to have provided an entry for him.

³ This would appear to be the Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Khalīl b. *Muḥammad al-'Ijlī who transmitted ḥadīth from Rawh b. 'Ubāda; Abū Nu'aym al-Iṣbahānī, Kitāb Dhikr akhbār Iṣbahān, 1:307-8.

⁴ Abū Muḥammad Rawh b. Ubāda al-Qaysī al-Baṣrī (d. 205/820) knew an enormous number of hadīth and wrote several works on religious subjects; Sezgin, GAS, 1:39-40.

The fourth was Abū Saʿid al-Sijzī, the famous Ḥanafite judge and legal scholar in Khurāsān. He transmitted ḥadīth from [Abū Bakr] b. Khuzayma, Ibn Ṣāʿid, [Abu 'l-Qāsim ʿAbd Allāh b. Muḥammad] al-Baghawī and other expert transmitters of ḥadīth.

The fifth was the judge Abū Saʿīd al-Bustī al-Muhallabī.⁷ He was an excellent man who related hadīth from the aforementioned al-Khalīl al-Sijzī. He transmitted Ibn Abī Khaythama's *Taʾrīkh* (*History*) from Aḥmad b. al-Muzaffar al-Bakrī⁸ as well as ḥadīth from other scholars. The expert Bayhaqī related ḥadīth from him.

The sixth was also an Abu 'l-Saʿid al-Bustī but he was "al-Shāfiʿi." He was an excellent man and active in several disciplines. He traveled to Andalusia and transmitted hadīth. He was born in 360 [971 AD]. He related from Abū Ḥāmid al-Isfarayīnī¹o and others. Abu 'l-ʿAbbās al-ʿUdhrī¹¹ and others transmitted hadīth from him. God knows best.

2. Different people who share the same name, whose fathers share the same name and whose grandfathers or even earlier ancestors share the same name: one example of this is "Ahmad b. Ja'far b. Ḥamdān." There were four of them, all living in the same era.¹²

One of them was Abū Bakr al-Qaṭīʿī al-Baghdādī, the transmitter from ʿAbd Allāh b. Ahmad b. Ḥanbal.

The second was Abū Bakr al-Saqaṭī al-Baṣrī, 14 who also relates ḥadīth from an "Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad," but in this case he is 'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm al-Dawraqī. 15

⁵ This al-Khalil b. Ahmad was born in 289/902 and died in Farghana in 378/988. He served as judge in Samarqand and was one of the most prominent Hanafite figures of his day; Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:437-9.

⁶ Abū Muḥammad Yaḥyā b. Muḥammad b. Ṣāʿid al-Baghdādī (228/842–318/930) was one of the great ḥadīth experts in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 1:176.

⁷ I could not find this al-Khalil b. Ahmad in the sources I consulted.

⁸ I have been unable to locate any information about this individual.

⁹ I have found no information about this figure.

¹⁰ Abū Ḥāmid Ahmad b. Abī Ṭāhir Muḥammad al-Isfarayīnī (344/955–406/1016) was the head of the Shāfi ites in Baghdad; Dhahabī, Siyar, 17:193–7.

¹¹ Abu 'l-Abbās Aḥmad b. 'Umar b. Anas al-'Udhrī (393/1003-478/1085) was an Andalusian scholar who spent eight years in Mecca; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:567-8.

¹² Contemporaries sharing the same name were accorded special attention because they could not be distinguished by their relative place in isnāds.

¹³ Ahmad b. Mālik al-Qaṭī¹¹ (d. 368/979) was best known for transmitting Ahmad b. Ḥanbal's Musnad from his son 'Abd Allāh; Sezgin, GAS, 1:200.

¹⁴ I could not find this Ahmad b. Mālik in the sources I consulted.

¹⁵ The hadith transmitter Ibn al-Dawraqi died in 276/889; Dhahabi, Siyar, 13:153-4.

The third was a Dīnawari¹⁶ who related hadīth from 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. Sinān¹⁷ from Muḥammad b. Kathīr, the student of Sufyān al-Thawrī.

The fourth was a Tarsūsi¹⁸ who related the *Ta²rīkh* (*History*) of Muḥammad b. ʿĪsā [b.] al-Ṭabbā⁽¹⁹ from ʿAbd Allāh b. Jābir al-Ṭarsūsī.²⁰

Another example of this is "Muḥammad b. Ya'qūb b. Yūsuf al-Nīsābūrī." There were two of them, both in the same era. Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim and others relate hadīth from both of them. One of them was known as Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Aṣamm²¹ and the other was Abū 'Abd Allāh b. al-Akhram al-Shaybānī, and he – not the first – is known as "al-Ḥāfiz." God knows best.

3. Instances of different people sharing both the same paidonymic and gentilic: an example of this is "Abū 'Imrān al-Jawnī." There were two. One of them was the Follower 'Abd al-Malik b. Ḥabīb²² and the name of the second was Mūsā b. Sahl, ²³ a Baṣran who lived in Baghdad and related ḥadīth from Hishām b. 'Ammār and others. Daʿaj b. Aḥmad²¹ and others transmitted from him.

"Abū Bakr b. 'Ayyāsh" is a similar case. There were three of them.

The first is the Qur³ān reciter and transmitter of hadīth. The difference of opinion regarding his name (ism) has already been mentioned.²⁵

The second is Abū Bakr b. 'Ayyāsh al-Ḥimṣī, from whom Ja'far b. 'Abd al-Wāḥid al-Ḥāshimī² transmitted ḥadīth. He is "unknown" and Ja'far was not reliable.

The third is Abū Bakr b. 'Ayyāsh al-Sulamī al-Bājaddā'ī, 27 the author of Kitāb Gharīb al-ḥadīth (Rare Words in the Hadīth). His name is

¹⁶ I have not located this person.

¹⁷ I have not located 'Abd Allah b. Muhammad b. Sinan in any of the sources I consulted.

¹⁸ I have no information on this individual.

¹⁹ Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. Isā al-Ṭabbā' al-Baghdādī (d. 224/839), the brother of Ishāq, is said to have known around forty thousand hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:386–9.

²⁰ I have not located any information on this person.

²¹ As a boy, Abu 'l-'Abbās al-Aṣamm (247/861-346/957) traveled extensively with his father to study hadīth and eventually became one of the foremost experts of his era; Sezgin, GAS, 1:186.

²² The hadith of this Abū Imrān al-Jawnī (d. 123/741 or 128) are found in most of the major collections; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:255-6.

²³ Mūsā b. Sahl died in 307/919 at an advanced age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:261.

²⁴ Abū Muḥammad Daqaj b. Aḥmad al-Sijistānī (ca. 259/873-351/962) was a wealthy merchant who gained an impressive reputation in the field of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:188.

²⁵ See above, p. 253.

²⁶ Ibn Abi Hātim, 7arh, 1(1):483-4.

²⁷ Abū Bakr al-Ḥusayn b. 'Ayyāsh al-Sulami al-Bājaddā'i (d. 204/820) was a poorly known transmitter of ḥadith; Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib*, 12 vols (Ḥyderabad, 1325-7), 2:362-3.

Ḥusayn b. 'Ayyāsh and he died in 204 [820 AD] in Bājaddā [a village near Baghdad]. 'Alī b. Jamīl al-Raqqī²⁸ and others related ḥadīth from him. God knows best.

4. The reverse of the previous type: an example of this is "Ṣāliḥ b. Abī Ṣāliḥ." There were four of them.

One29 of them was the client of al-Tawama bint Umayya b. Khalaf.30

The second was the son of Abū Ṣāliḥ Dhakwān al-Sammān [al-Zayyāt], the transmitter from Abū Hurayra.

The third was Ṣāliḥ b. Abī Ṣāliḥ al-Sadūsī. He related ḥadīth from 'Alī and 'Ā'isha and Khallād b. 'Umar's transmitted from him.

The fourth was Ṣāliḥ b. Abī Ṣāliḥ,³³ the client of 'Amr b. Ḥurayth.³⁴ He related ḥadīth from Abū Hurayra and [the Qur'ān reciter and transmitter of ḥadīth] Abū Bakr b. 'Ayyāsh transmitted from him. God knows best.

- 5. Different people who share their name, the name of their father and their gentilic: an example of this is "Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Anṣārī." There were two in nearly the same generation. One of them was the famous Anṣārite, the judge Abū 'Abd Allāh, from whom Bukhārī and many others related ḥadīth. The paidonymic of the second³⁵ was Abū Salama and he was weak in ḥadīth. God knows best.
- 6. Cases of "sharing" in regard to the name or the paidonymic alone, which are nevertheless equivocal because these individuals are not referred to by any other part of their name: an example of this is what we heard from the expert and judge Ibn Khallād: "When 'Ārim [that is, Abu 'l-Nu'mān Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl al-Sadūsī] and Sulaymān b. Ḥarb³6 say, 'Ḥammād transmitted to us,' it is Ḥammād b. Zayd. When [Mūsā b. Ismā'il] al-Tabūdhakī and al-Ḥajjāj

²⁸ This is another name I was unable to identify.

²⁹ The ism of his father was Nabhān; Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):291-2; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(1):416-18.

³⁰ She seems to have been known only for her relationship to Ṣāliḥ.

³¹ Bukhārī, al-Tarīkh al-kabīr, 2(2):283, no. 2824; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(1):406.

³² I have not found this person in the works I consulted.

³³ The ism of his father is given as Mihrān; Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 2(2):283, no. 2823; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 2(1):413, no. 1814.

³⁴ The Companion Abū Saʿīd 'Amr b. Hurayth died in al-Kūfa in 85; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1172.

³⁵ Ibn Ḥibbān, Majrūḥīn, 2:266-7.

³⁶ For about five years, Abū Ayyūb Sulaymān b. Ḥarb al-Wāshiḥī (140/757-224/839) served as a judge in Mecca; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:330-4.

b. Minhāl³¹ say, 'Ḥammād informed us,' it is Ḥammād b. Salama. When 'Affān says, 'Ḥammād informed us,' it can be either of them."³в Then I found the report from Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā al-Dhuhlī³¹ in which 'Affān [b. Muslim] said, "When I say to you, 'Ḥammād informed us,' and I do not give the name of his father, it is Jbn Salama." With the exception of the remarks on Tabūdhakī, Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā said what Ibn Khallād said.

Similar to that is what we heard regarding Salama b. Sulaymān⁴⁰ to the effect that he transmitted ḥadīth one day and said, "Abd Allāh told us." He was asked, "The son of whom?" He said, "God be praised! Will you not be satisfied until I say for every ḥadīth, 'Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Abd Allāh b. al-Mubārak al-Ḥanẓalī, who lived in Sikkat Ṣughd, informed us'?" Then Salama said, "When 'Abd Allāh' is mentioned in Mecca, it is Ibn al-Zubayr. When 'Abd Allāh' is mentioned in Medina, it is Ibn 'Umar. When 'Abd Allāh' is mentioned in al-Kūfa, it is Ibn Mas'ūd. When 'Abd Allāh' is mentioned in al-Baṣra, it is Ibn 'Abbās. When 'Abd Allāh' is mentioned in Khurāsān, it is Ibn al-Mubārak." The expert Abū Yaqā al-Khalīlī al-Qazwīnī said, "When an Egyptian says, 'from 'Abd Allāh,' without naming the man's father, it is Ibn 'Amr; that is, ['Amr] b. al-'Āṣ. When a Meccan says, 'from 'Abd Allāh,' without naming the father, it is Ibn 'Abbās."

Another case is "Abu 'l-Ḥamza" from Ibn 'Abbās, when the paidonymic is given without further specification. One expert said that Shuba related from seven "Abū Ḥamzas" from Ibn 'Abbās. All of them are "Abū Ḥamza" except one and he is Abū Jamra Naṣr b. ʿImrān al-Dubaʿū. 'l They can be distinguished when Shuba says just, "from Abū Ḥamza [that is, Jamra] from Ibn 'Abbās." Then it is from Naṣr b. ʿImrān. When he relates from the other "Abū Ḥamzas," he mentions their names and lineages. 'L God knows best.

7. Homonyms in regard to the gentilic alone: one example of this is "Āmulī." It may be the gentilic referring to the city of Āmul in Ṭabarastān. Abū Sa'd al-Sam'ānī said, "Most of the scholars of Ṭabarastān are from Āmul." Or it may be the gentilic referring to Āmul by the river Jayḥūn. The gentilic of 'Abd Allāh b. Ḥammād al-Āmulī" refers to this Āmul. Bukhārī related ḥadīth from him

³⁷ Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥajjāj b. Minhāl al-Anmāṭī was a Baṣran ḥadīth transmitter who died in either 216/831 or 217; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:352-4.

³⁸ Muhaddith al-fāşil, 284.

³⁹ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā al-Dhuhlī al-Nīsābūrī (ca. 170/787-258/872) was called "the leader of the hadīth scholars of Khurāsān;" Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:273-85.

⁴⁰ Very little seems to have been known about Salama b. Sulaymān (d. ca. 200/816); Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:433.

⁴¹ Abū Jamra was a Baṣran ḥadīth transmitter who died around 127/745; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:243-4.

⁴² The consonantal skeletons of "Abū Ḥamza" and "Abū Jamra" are identical; thus, when the student encountered these paidonymics in a written text, he could not distinguish them. Of course, when Shu^oba originally recited the hadīth, he pronounced them differently.

⁴³ Ansāb, 1:67.

^{44 &#}x27;Abd Allāh b. Ḥammād died in either 273/886 or 269; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:611.

in his Ṣaḥāḥ. The North Africans Abū 'Alī al-Ghassānī and later al-Qāḍī 'Iyād are incorrect in saying that his paidonymic refers to Āmul in Ṭabarastān. God knows best.

Another instance of that is "Ḥanafi." This may be the gentilic referring to the tribe of the Banū Ḥanīfa. Or it may be the gentilic referring to the legal school of Abū Ḥanīfa. The bearers of both of these gentilics are numerous and well known. Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir al-Maqdisī [that is, Ibn al-Qaysarānī], many scholars of ḥadīth and others used to make a distinction between the two meanings of the gentilic, saying in reference to the legal school, "Ḥanīfī." I have only come across one grammarian, the authority Abū Bakr b. al-Anbārī, " who endorsed that. He did so in his book al-Kāfī. Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir [that is, Ibn al-Qaysarānī) has a book entitled Kitāb al-Ansāb al-muttafiqa (Homonymic Gentilics) on this type of homonym.

Beyond these types there are others which we need not mention. Homonyms do not exist without something to clarify them. The intended individual is sometimes uncovered by the examination of his transmissions. Often he is distinguished in some of them. Sometimes his identity is uncovered by examination of the condition of his student and his teacher. Occasionally scholars venture an opinion in that regard on the basis of a shaky guess.

One day al-Qāsim al-Muṭarriz⁴⁷ transmitted a ḥadīth from Abū Hammām⁴⁸ – or someone else – from al-Walīd b. Muslim from Sufyān. The expert Abū Ṭālib b. Naṣr asked him, "Who is this 'Sufyān'?" He said, "This is Sufyān al-Thawrī." Abū Ṭālib said to him, "On the contrary, he is Sufyān b. 'Uyayna." Al-Muṭarriz asked him, "On what basis do you say that?" He said, "Because al-Walīd related only a few well-documented ḥadīth from Thawrī while he was 'full' of Ibn 'Uyayna." God knows best.

⁴⁵ Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. al-Qāsim al-Anbārī (271/885–327/939) was a renowned grammarian who lived in Baghdad; Sezgin, GAS, 8:151-4; 9:144-7.

⁴⁶ Kitāb al-Ansāb al-muttafiqa fi 'l-khaṭṭ al-mutamāthila fi 'l-naqṭ wa-'l-ḍabṭ, ed. Kamāl Yūsuf al-Hūt (Beirut, 1411/1991), 57.

⁴⁷ Abū Bakr al-Qāsim b. Zakarīyā al-Baghdādī al-Muṭarriz (ca. 220/835-305/917) composed several works on ḥadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:170.

⁴⁸ The transmitter Abū Hammām al-Walīd b. Abī Badr Shujā^c (d. 243/857) is said to have collected a hundred thousand hadīth from reliable transmitters; Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 12:23–4.

A CATEGORY COMPOSED OF THE TWO PREVIOUS CATEGORIES

(Naw yatarakkabu min al-nawayn alladhayni qablahu)

This Category consists of the instances in which the homonymy mentioned in the Category which we just finished occurs in the names of two individuals or the paidonymics which they are known by and the homography discussed in the Category before that occurs in their lineages or gentilics; or it consists of the reverse, with their names being homographic while their gentilics or their lineages, either in terms of names or paidonymics, are homonymic. In this regard, words which are similar and resemble one another are counted as homographs, even if they differ in some of their letters when written. Al-Khaṭīb composed a book on this subject, which he entitled Kitāb Talkhīṣ al-mutashābih fi 'l-rasm' (Summary of the Names which Resemble One Another in Written Form), and it is one of his finest books. However, the title he gave his book does not indicate as clearly as ours what its subject is.

One of the examples of the first form:

Mūsā b. 'Alī and Mūsā b. 'Ulayy: there are a number of the first, including Abū Isā al-Khuttalī² from whom the Qur³ān reciter Abū Bakr b. Miqsam,³ Abū 'Alī [b.] al-Ṣawwāf⁴ and others related ḥadīth. The second is Mūsā b. 'Ulayy b. Rabāḥ al-Lakhmī al-Miṣrī,⁵ whose father's name is known to be "'Ulayy." On the other hand, we heard that he forbade people to call him "'Ulayy." It is said that the Egyptians used to pronounce it "'Alī" for that reason and the Iraqis used to pronounce it "'Ulayy." One expert used to say that "'Alī" was his name and "'Ulayy" (little 'Alī) was his nickname. God knows best.

An example of homonymy in the name or paidonymic with homography in the gentilic:

Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Mukharrimī' was a famous transmitter of ḥadīth. His gentilic refers to the Mukharrim section of Baghdad.

¹ Ed. Sukayna al-Shihābī, 2 vols (Damascus, 1985).

² Not much seems to have been known about this transmitter. See Sam'ani, Ansāb, 2:322.

³ Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan b. Ya'qūb al-Baghdādī al-'Aṭṭār (265/879–354/965), known as Ibn Miqsam, wrote a number of books on the Qur'ān; Brockelmann, GAL, Suppl., 1:183

⁴ Abū 'Alī Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Baghdādī (270/884-359/970), known as Ibn al-Şawwāf, was a highly praised transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:184-6.

⁵ Mūsā b. Ulayy b. Rabāh (ca. 90/709–163/780) ruled Egypt for the Abbāsid caliph Manṣūr; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:411–12.

⁶ Al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī described Mukharrimī (ca. 170/787-254/868) as "one of the most knowledgeable people in reports and most learned in hadīth"; Tarīkh Baghdād, 5:423.

Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Makhramī' was not famous. He related ḥadīth from the imām Shāfi'ī. God knows best.

A case in which the names are similar and resemble each other despite some difference in their shape:

Thawr b. Yazīd al-Kalāʿi al-Shāmī¹ and Thawr b. Zayd al-Dīlī al-Madanī:¹ the second is the one from whom Mālik related and his ḥadīth are present in both of the Ṣaḥīhs. The ḥadīth of the first are found only in Muslim. God knows best.

An example of homonymy in the paidonymic and homography in the gentilic:

Abū 'Amr al-Shaybānī and Abū 'Amr al-Saybānī were two Followers who differ in that the first is "Shaybānī" and the second is "Saybānī." The name of the first is "Sa'd b. Iyās" and the lexicographer Abū 'Amr al-Shaybānī, that is, Isḥāq b. Mirār, ¹⁰ shares his paidonymic and gentilic. The name of the second is "Zur'a" and he is the father of Yaḥyā b. Abī 'Amr al-Saybānī al-Shāmī. ¹¹ God knows best.

Various examples of the second subcategory – which is the reverse of the first:

'Amr b. Zarāra and 'Umar b. Zarāra: there are a number of the first, including Abū Muḥammad al-Nīsābūrī, 12 from whom Muslim related ḥadīth. The second is known as "al-Ḥadathī" and he is the one from whom [Abu 'l-Qāsim] al-Baghawī al-Ḥadathī" transmits ḥadīth. We read in Dāraquṭnī that he was from a city on the Byzantine frontier called "al-Ḥadath." We heard from the expert Abū Aḥmad al-Ḥākim [al-Kabīr] that he was one of the inhabitants of the town of al-Ḥadītha [in northern Iraq] and his gentilic refers to it. God knows best.

'Ubayd Allāh b. Abī 'Abd Allāh and 'Abd Allāh b. Abī 'Abd Allāh: the first is the son' of Abū 'Abd Allāh Salmān al-Agharr, the student of Abū Hurayra from whom Mālik related ḥadīth. There are a number of the second, including the Qur'an reciter 'Abd Allāh b. Abī 'Abd Allāh

⁷ I have not found any information about this scholar. The gentilic "Makhrami" was applied to the descendants of al-Miswar b. Makhrama b. Nawfal al-Qurashī; Ibn al-Athīr, Lubāb, 3:178.

⁸ Contemporaries suspected that the otherwise excellent transmitter Thawr (d. 153/770 or 155) was a Qadarite; Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:344-5.

⁹ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 1(2):181; Ibn Abī Hātim, 7arh, 1(1):468.

¹⁰ Ishāq b. Mirār (or Marār) died in 210/825; al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, Tarīkh Baghdād, 6:329-32.

¹¹ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabīr, 4(2):293; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):177.

^{12 &#}x27;Amr b. Zarāra died in the year 238/852; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:406-7.

¹³ Abū Ḥafṣ Umar b. Zarāra al-Ḥadathī taught ḥadīth in Baghdad in the first half of the third/ninth century; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:407-8.

¹⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 3(1):384; Ibn Abī Hātim, Jarh, 2(2):316.

al-Işbahānī from whom Abu 'l-Shaykh al-Işbahānī transmitted ḥadīth. God knows best.

Ḥayyān al-Asadī and Ḥanān al-Asadī. An example of the first is Ḥayyān b. Ḥuṣayn,¹⁵ the Follower who related ḥadīth from 'Ammār b. Yāsir. The second is Ḥanān al-Asadī,¹⁶ a member of the tribe of the Banū Asad b. Shurayk. He is the uncle of Musarhad, the father of Musaddad. Dāraquṭnī said this. He transmits ḥadīth from Abū 'Uthmān al-Nahdī. God knows best.

¹⁵ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 2(1):53-4; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):243.

¹⁶ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 2(1):112; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(2):299.

TRANSMITTERS RESEMBLING ONE ANOTHER IN NAME AND LINEAGE WHO ARE DISTINGUISHED BY THE RELATIVE POSITION OF THE NAMES OF THE SON AND FATHER

(Maʿrifat al-ruwāt al-mutashābihīn fi 'l-ism wa-'l-nasab al-mutamāyizīn bi-'l-taqdīm wa-'l-ta'khīr fi 'l-ibn wa-'l-ab)

An example of this is Yazīd b. al-Aswad and al-Aswad b. Yazīd. The first is the Companion Yazīd b. al-Aswad al-Khuzāʿ¹¹ and Yazīd b. al-Aswad al-Jurashī,² who was born in pre-Islamic times, converted to Islam, lived in Syria and enjoyed a reputation for piety so great that [the Umayyad caliph] Muʿawiya asked him to pray for rain for the sake of the people of Damascus. Muʿawiya said, "God, today we beseech you for intercession through the best and most excellent of us [that is, Yazīd b. al-Aswad]." It started raining immediately, almost before they could get back to their homes. The second was the excellent Follower al-Aswad b. Yazīd al-Nakhaʿſ.³

Al-Walid b. Muslim and Muslim b. al-Walid are also an example of this. The first is the Follower al-Walid b. Muslim al-Baṣrī, the transmitter from Jundub b. 'Abd Allāh al-Bajalī; and the renowned al-Walīd b. Muslim al-Dimashqī, the student of Awzāʿi, from whom Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal and many other people related ḥadīth. The second is Muslim b. al-Walīd b. Rabāḥ al-Madanī, who transmitted ḥadīth from his father and others. 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Darāwardī and others related from him. Bukhārī mentioned him in his Taʾrīkh (History) and reversed his name and lineage, saying "al-Walīd b. Muslim," and he was reproached for that.

Al-Khaṭīb composed a book on this Category which he called Kitāb Rāfi^c al-irtiyāb fi 'l-maqlūb min al-asmā' wa-'l-ansāb (The Dispeller of Doubt in Cases of Reversed Names and Lineages). Several times this title has given people the mistaken impression that its contents exclusively concern errors like the one mentioned in the second example [that is, Bukhārī's mistake]. That was not stipulated for the book and most of its contents do not concern that. Therefore the title we have given to this Category is more appropriate. God knows best.

¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1571.

² The Follower Jurashī lived in the countryside near Damascus; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:136-7.

³ Al-Aswad b. Yazīd al-Nakha⁹ (d. ca. 75/694) was the uncle of the famous Kufan jurist Ibrāhīm al-Nakha⁹; Dhahabī, *Siyar*, 4:50-3.

⁴ Bukhāri, al-Ta³rikh al-kabir, 4(2):152, no. 2530; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(2):16, no. 68.

⁵ The Companion Jundab (d. ca. 70/689) is said to have lived in al-Kūfa and al-Baṣra; Dhahabī, Siyar, 3:174-5.

⁶ Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 4(1):197-8.

⁷ Al-Ta\rikh al-kabir, 4(2):153-4.

⁸ That is, the word maqlāb in al-Khatīb's title implies that the elements of the names were erroneously reversed.

THOSE WHOSE LINEAGE REFERS TO SOMEONE OTHER THAN THEIR FATHER

(Ma'rifat al-mansūbīn ilā ghayr ābā'ihim)

There are several varieties of this.

1. Those whose lineage refers to their mother. These include

Mu'adh b. 'Afræ', 'Mu'awwidh b. 'Afræ' and 'Awdh b. 'Afræ': she was their mother and their father was al-Ḥārith b. Rifā'a al-Anṣārī. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said that the name of 'Awdh was actually "'Awf' and that that form is found more often in hadīth transmissions. God knows best.

The muezzin Bilāl b. Ḥamāma: Ḥamāma was his mother and his father was Rabāḥ.

Suhayl b. Baydā²⁴ (literally, a fair-complexioned woman) and his two brothers Sahl⁵ and Ṣafwān:⁶ she was their mother and her name (ism) was "Da'd." Their father's name was "Wahb."

Shuraḥbīl b. Ḥasana: she was his mother and his father was 'Abd Allāh b. al-Muṭā' al-Kindī.

'Abd Allāh b. *Buḥayna*: she was his mother and his father was Mālik b. al-Qishb al-Azdī al-Asdī.

Sa'd b. *Ḥabta* al-Anṣārī:⁷ she was his mother and his father was Baḥīr b. Mu'āwiya. Sa'd was an ancestor of the judge Abū Yūsuf [Ya'qūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Kūfī].

The preceding were Companions (God be pleased with all of them). Other examples are

Muḥammad b. al-Ḥanafiya (literally, the woman belonging to the tribe of the Banū Ḥanīfa): she was his mother and her name was "Khawla." His father was 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib (God be pleased with him).

¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:1408-10.

² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1442.

³ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:1225-6.

⁴ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istī'āb, 2:667-8.

⁵ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istī*'āb, 2:659-61.

⁶ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:723.

⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:584-5.

Ismā'īl b. 'Ulayya: she was his mother and his father was Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm.

Ibrāhīm b. Hirāsa:8 'Abd al-Ghanī' b. Sa'īd said, "She was his mother and his father was Salama." God knows best.

2. Those whose lineage refers to their grandmother.

The Companion Ya¶ā b. Munya: according to al-Zubayr b. Bakkār, she was his paternal grandmother and his father was Umayya.

Another is the Companion Bashīr b. al-Khaṣāṣiya. 10 He was Bashīr b. Mabad and al-Khaṣāṣiya was the mother of his great-great-grandfather.

A more recent example of that was our teacher Abū Ahmad 'Abd al-Wahhāb b. 'Alī al-Baghdādī, who was known as "Ibn Sukayna." She was his grandmother on his father's side. God knows best.

3. Those whose lineage refers to their grandfather.

Abū 'Ubayda b. al-Jarrāh, " one of the Ten [given the glad tidings of their acceptance into heaven]: Abū 'Ubayda was 'Āmir b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Jarrāḥ.

The Companion Ḥamal b. $al-N\bar{a}bigha$ al-Hudhalī: 12 he was Ḥamal b. Mālik b. al-Nābigha.

The Companion Mujammi^c b. Jāriya:¹³ he was Mujammi^c b. Yazīd b. Jāriya.

Ibn Jurayj: he was 'Abd al-Malik b. 'Abd al-'Azīz b. Jurayj.

The "sons" of al-Mājishūn, including Yūsuf b. Ya'qūb b. Abī Salama al-Mājishūn: Abū 'Alī al-Ghassānī said, "'Al-Mājishūn' was the

⁸ Bukhāri, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 1(1):333; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):143. The vocalization "Harāsa" has also been suggested for the name of Ibrāhīm's mother; Muḥammad Ṭāhir al-Fattanī, al-Mughni fi ḍabṭ asmā al-rijāl (Beirut, 1402/1982), 269.

⁹ The judge Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Zubayr b. Bakkār al-Asadī (172/788–256/870) was an expert in genealogy; Sezgin, GAS, 1:317–18.

¹⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Istivāh*, 1:173-4. "Al-Khaṣāṣiya" is also vocalized as "al-Khaṣāṣiya"; Muḥammad Ṭāhir al-Fattanī, *Mughnī*, 92.

¹¹ Abū Ubayda b. al-Jarrāḥ was one of the most prominent of the early converts to Islam. He died in the year 18 at fifty-eight years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 1:5-23.

¹² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:376.

¹³ According to Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Mujammi' b. Jāriya and Mujammi' b. Yazīd b. Jāriya were two different people; Istī'āb, 3:1362-3.

¹⁴ Yūsuf b. Yasqūb was a Medinese scholar of hadith who died in 185/801 at the age of eighty-eight; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:371-3.

nickname of Ya'qūb b. Abī Salama¹⁵ and it was also applied to his sons and the sons of his brother 'Abd Allāh b. Abī Salama.¹⁶" The preferred interpretation is that "*mājishūn*" means "rosy-cheeked" [either in the dialect of the people of Medina or in Persian¹⁷].

Ibn *Abī Dhi'b*: he was Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Mughīra b. Abī Dhi'b.

The jurist Ibn Abī Laylā: 18 he was Muḥammad b. Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Laylā.

Ibn Abī Mulayka: 19 he was 'Abd Allāh b. 'Ubayd Allāh b. Abī Mulayka.

The imām Aḥmad b. Hanbal: he was Abū Abd Allāh Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Hanbal.

The "sons" of Abū Shayba; that is, the hadīth experts Abū Bakr and Uthmān²⁰ and their brother al-Qāsim.²¹ Abū Shayba was their grandfather. His name was "Ibrāhīm b. Uthmān" and he was an inhabitant of Wāsit. Their father was Muḥammad b. Abī Shayba.

A later example was Abū Saʿīd b. Yūnus, the author of Taʾrikh Miṣr (The History of Egypt). He was ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Aḥmad b. Yūnus b. ʿAbd al-Aʿā al-Sadafī. God knows best.

4. Those whose lineage refers to a man other than their father on the basis of a non-blood tie. These include

Al-Miqdād b. al-Aswad: he was al-Miqdād b. Amr b. Tha alba al-Kindī – and it is said, "al-Bahrānī." He was one of the kin of al-Aswad b. Abd Yaghūth al-Zuhrī, who adopted him. Al-Miqdād's lineage refers to him.

Al-Ḥasan b. Dīnār: he is actually Ibn Wāṣil and Dīnār was the husband of his mother. It seems that this was unknown to Ibn Abī Ḥātim when he called him "al-Ḥasan b. Dīnār b. Wāṣil," making Wāṣil his grandfather. 22 God knows best.

¹⁵ Abū Yūsuf Ya'qūb b. Abī Salama Dīnār (or Maymūn) died around 120/738; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:370.

¹⁶ Bukhārī, al-Ta'rikh al-kabir, 3(1):100; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 2(2):70.

¹⁷ Sam'ānī, Ansāb, 5:157.

¹⁸ This, the most famous, Ibn Abi Laylā (74/693–148/765), the son of the hadith transmitter 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abi Laylā, is best known for his contributions to the field of law; Sezgin, *GAS*, 1:518.

¹⁹ The hadith transmitter Ibn Abi Mulayka died in 117/793 at over eighty years of age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:88–90.

²⁰ Abū Bakr's brother Uthmān (d. 239/853) was a highly respected transmitter of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:151-4.

²¹ I was unable to locate any information about this brother.

²² Jarh, 1(2):11-12.

GENTILICS THE ACTUAL SIGNIFICANCE OF WHICH DIFFERS FROM THE APPARENT ONE WHICH FIRST COMES TO MIND

(Ma'rifat al-nisab allatī bāṭinuhā 'alā khilāf zāḥirihā alladhī huwa al-sābiq ila 'l-fahm minhā)

For example:

Abū Mas'ūd 'Uqba b. 'Amr al-Badrī:' the majority of experts hold that he did not fight at the battle of Badr. Instead, he settled in the vicinity of the well of Badr and was given the gentilic on that basis.

Sulaymān b. Tirkhān *al-Taymi*: he lived with the tribe of Taym, but he was not one of them. He was a client of the Banū Murra.

Abū Khālid Yazīd b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Dālānī:' he was an Asadī; that is, a client of the Banū Asadī. He lived with the Banū Dālān, a subtribe of the Hamdān, and was given the gentilic in reference to them.

Ibrāhīm b. Yazīd *al-Khūzī*: he was not from the region of al-Khūz. Rather, he lived on the pass of al-Khūz in Mecca.

'Abd al-Malik b. Abī Sulaymān al-Arzami: he lived in the cemetery of the 'Arzam in al-Kūfa. The 'Arzam were a tribe considered part of the Fazāra and it is said that the gentilic is pronounced "'Arzamī" rather than "'Azramī."

Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Sinān al-'Awaqī al-Baṣrī: he was a Bāhili who lived among the al-'Awaqa, and they are a subtribe of the 'Abd al-Qays. He was given his gentilic in reference to them.

Aḥmad [that is, Ḥamdān] b. Yūsuf al-Sulamī: he was a noble individual from whom Muslim and others related ḥadīth. He was actually an Azdī. He was known as "al-Sulamī" because, as he himself said, his mother was named "Sulayma" [that is, he had no connection to the Banū Sulaym].

¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Istisab, 3:1074-5.

² Abū Khālid was considered to be a very unreliable transmitter of hadīth; Sam'ānī, Ansāb, 2:450.

³ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 1(1):336; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 1(1):146-7.

⁴ Abū 'Abd Allāh (or Abū Muḥammad or Abū Sulaymān) 'Abd al-Malik b. Abī Sulaymān Maysara al-'Arzamī was a transmitter of ḥadīth who died in 145/762; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:107-9.

^{5 &#}x27;Awaqi died in 223/838 at over ninety years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 10:385-6.

Abū 'Amr [Ismā'il] b. Nujayd al-Sulamī: he was known as "al-Sulamī" for the same reason. He was the grandson of Ahmad b. Yūsuf al-Sulami.

Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Sulamī, the writer of books for Sufis: his mother was the daughter of the aforementioned Abū 'Amr so he was given the gentilic "Sulami." He was also an Azdi. His grandfather was the paternal cousin of Ahmad b. Yūsuf.

Similar to that phenomenon and connected with it are

Miqsam, mawlā Ibn 'Abbās:6 he was actually the client (mawlā) of 'Abd Allāh b. al-Hārith b. Nawfal.7 He was inseparable from ['Abd Allāh] b. 'Abbās and was called "mawlā Ibn 'Abbās" because of this association with him.

Yazīd al-Faqīr (the poor): he was one of the Followers and he was called that because he had been wounded in the spine (faqār zahrihi). It hurt him so badly that he walked with a stoop.

Khālid al-Ḥadhdhā39 (the cobbler): he was not a cobbler and he was called that because he sat among the shoemakers. God knows best.

⁶ Bukhart, al-Tarikh al-kabir, 4(2):33, s.n. Miqsam b. Bujra; Ibn Abi Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(1):414.

⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 3:885-6.

⁸ Abū Uthmān Yazīd b. Şuhayb al-Kūft is best known for having been a teacher of the imām Abū Ḥanifa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:227-8.

⁹ Abu 'l-Munāzii Khālid b. Mihrān was a Başran transmitter of hadīth who died around 141/758; Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:190-3.

Category 59 OBSCURE REFERENCES

(Ma'rifat al-mubhamāt)

This is the discipline concerning the names of those men and women who are referred to obscurely in hadith. The expert 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Sa'īd, al-Khaṭīb [al-Baghdādī] and others wrote books on this subject. The identity of the obscure individual becomes known through the appearance of his name in other versions of the hadīth. However, the names of many of these individuals were never discovered.

This phenomenon take several forms. One of them – and it is one of the most obscure – is where the individual is referred to merely as "a man" or "a woman."

One example of this is the hadīth of Ibn 'Abbās (God be pleased with them [that is, Ibn 'Abbās and his father]) to the effect that "a man" (rajul) said, "Messenger of God, does the pilgrimage take place every year?" This man was al-Aqra' b. Hābis. Ibn 'Abbās identified him in another version of the hadīth.

Another example is the hadith of Abū Saʿid al-Khudrī regarding some of the Companions of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) who passed by a tribe and the members of the tribe did not treat them as guests, so their leader was stung [by a scorpion]. "One of them" (rajul minhum) recited the first sūra of the Qurʾān in exchange for thirty sheep, and so forth. The one who made the incantation was the transmitter Abū Saʿid al-Khudrī.

Another example is the hadīth of Anas to the effect that the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) saw a rope tied between two of the pillars in the mosque [of Medina] and asked about it. They replied, "'A certain woman' (fulāna) prays here. When she is overcome, she holds on to the rope." This woman is variously identified as Zaynab bint Jahsh,² the wife of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him); her sister Hamna bint Jaḥsh;³ and Maymūna bint al-Hārith,⁴ the mother of the believers [that is, the wife of the Prophet].

Another example is "the woman" (al-mar'a) who asked the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) about the major ablution for menstruation and

¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 1:103.

² The Prophet married Zaynab in the year 4/625; El, 4:1199-200.

³ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1813.

⁴ Maymūna (d. 61/681) was the last wife the Prophet married; EP, 6:918.

he said, "Take a bit of musk." She was the Anṣārite Asmā' bint Yazīd b. al-Sakan. She used to be called the "Preacher of the Women." In a version of the hadīth given by Muslim she is identified as "Asmā' bint Shakal." God knows best.

Another form of this phenomenon consists of those who are obscure because they are called "the son of X," "the son of the Xite," "the daughter of X" and the like.

An example of this is the hadīth of Umm 'Aṭīya,6 "'One of the daughters of the Messenger of God' (iḥdā banāt Rasūl Allāh) (Peace be upon him) died and he said, 'Wash her with water and lote tree leaves,' and so forth." She was Zaynab,7 the wife of Abu 'l-'Āṣī b. al-Rabī.8 She was the Prophet's eldest daughter (Peace be upon him and his family). It is also said that the eldest of his daughters was Ruqayya.9 God knows best.

"Ibn al-Lutbīya" (the son of the Lutbite woman): Muhammad b. Sa'd¹¹ – the author of [Kitāb] al-Tabaqāt [al-kabīr]¹¹ (The Great Book of Generations) – stated that his name is "Abd Allāh" and that the gentilic "al-Lutbīya" refers to the Banū Lutb, a subtribe of the Asd – and the Asd are the same as the Azd. He is also called "Ibn al-Utbīya," and that is wrong.

"Ibn Mirba' al-Anṣārī," whom the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) dispatched to the people at 'Arafa and said, "Keep to your shrines:" his name was "Zayd." Wāqidī and his amanuensis Ibn Sa'd said, "His name was 'Abd Allāh.'"

⁵ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1787-8.

⁶ Ibn Abd al-Barr, Istrāb, 4:1919, s.n. Nusayba bint al-Hārith; 4:1947-8.

⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1853-4.

⁸ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 4:1701-4.

⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1839-43.

¹⁰ Abū Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Sa'd (ca. 168/784–230/845) was born in al-Baṣra and settled in Baghdad where he served as the secretary of the famous biographer of the Prophet Wāqidī; EP. 3:922–3; Sezgin, GAS, 1:300–1.

¹¹ Although Ibn al-Lutbīya's connection to the Azd is mentioned in Ibn Sa'd's work (al-Tabaqāt al-kubrā, ed. Iḥsān 'Abbās, 9 vols, Beirut, 1380/1960–1388/1968, 2:160), I have not located the passage where he identifies his ism.

¹² Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:558, s.n. Zayd b. Mirba' al-Anṣārī.

¹³ Although Abū 'Abd Allāh Muhammad b. 'Umar al-Wāqidī (130/747-207/823) was not universally considered a reliable transmitter of hadīth, he was much esteemed for his expertise in the fields of Prophetic biography and History; Sezgin, GAS, 1:294-7.

¹⁴ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr regarded 'Abd Allāh as a brother of Zayd; Isti'āb, 3:986.

The blind muezzin "Ibn Umm Maktūm:" his name is given variously as "'Abd Allāh b. Zā'ida," "'Amr b. Qays," and so forth. The name of Umm Maktūm was "'Ā tika bint 'Abd Allāh."

"The girl" (al-ibna) whom the tribe of the Banū Hishām b. al-Mughīra wanted to wed to 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib (God be pleased with him) was al-'Awrā' bint Abī Jahl b. Hishām. God knows best.

Another form of this phenomenon concerns uncles, aunts and the like. Examples are

Rāfi' b. Khadīj from "his paternal uncle" ('ammihī) in the ḥadīth regarding the contract to cultivate a field in exchange for a portion of its yield (mukhābara): His paternal uncle was Zuhayr b. Rāfi' al-Ḥārithī al-Anṣārī. 15

Ziyād b. 'Ilāqa¹6 from "his paternal uncle:" the uncle was Qutba b. Mālik al-Tha·labī, 17

"The paternal aunt" ('amma) of Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh who began to weep for his father on the Day of the Battle of Uḥud. Her name was "Fāṭima bint 'Amr b. Ḥarām." Wāqidī called her "Hind." God knows best.

Another form of this phenomenon concerns husbands and wives. [Examples are]

The hadith of Subay^ca al-Aslamīya¹⁸ to the effect that she gave birth a few days after the death of "her husband" (zawjihā): He was Sa^cd b. Khawla, 19 whom the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) described during his eulogy as dying in Mecca. He fought at the Battle of Badr.

["The husband" of] Barwa^c bint Wāshiq:²⁰ the lexicographers pronounce her name as "Barwa^c" while the scholars of hadīth generally pronounce it "Birwa^c." The name of her husband was "Hilāl b. Murra al-Ashja^cl" according to what we have heard from more than one source.

"The wife" (zawja) of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Zabīr, "who had been the wife of Rifā'a b. Samaw'al al-Qurazī" before he divorced her: her name was "Tamīma bint Wahb" and it is also given as "Tumayma" and "Suhayma." God knows best.

¹⁵ Ibn Abd al-Barr, Isti āb, 2:778.

¹⁶ Ziyad died around the year 125/743 at over one hundred years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:215-16.

¹⁷ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 3:1383.

¹⁸ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 4:1859.

¹⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti ab, 2:586-8.

²⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 4:1795.

²¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:833.

²² Rifā'a divorced his wife Tamīma three times. So that he could legally marry her again, she first married 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Zabīr, who divorced her without relations taking place; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Isti'āb*, 2:500.

THE DATES OF TRANSMITTERS

(Ma'rifat tawārīkh al-ruwāt)

These dates include the deathdates and birthdates of the Companions, transmitters of hadīth and other scholars, as well as how long they lived and the like. We heard that Sufyān al-Thawrī said, "When transmitters use falsehood, we employ dates (al-ta'rīkh) against them." We heard that Ḥafṣ b. Ghiyāth said, "When you have suspicions about a teacher, settle his account by years," – that is, reckon his age and the age of those from whom he wrote hadīth. This is similar to what we heard from Ismā'īl b. 'Ayyāsh:¹ "I was in Iraq and the scholars of hadīth came to me and said, 'There is a man who transmits hadīth from Khālid b. Ma'dān² over there.' I went to him and said, 'In which year did you write hadīth from Khālid b. Ma'dān?' He said, 'In the year 13' – that is, 113 [731 AD]. I said, 'Do you claim that you heard hadīth from Khālid b. Ma'dān seven years after his death?'"

Ismā'il said that Khālid died in 106. We heard a similar story which transpired between 'Ufayr b. Ma'dān' and someone who transmitted from Khālid b. Ma'dān. There 'Ufayr stated that Khālid died in 104.

We heard that Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim said, "When Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. Ḥātim al-Kashshī came to us and transmitted ḥadīth from 'Abd b. Ḥumayd, I asked him about the year of his birth. He said that he was born in 260 [874 AD]. I said to our fellows, 'This teacher heard ḥadīth from 'Abd b. Ḥumayd thirteen years after his death.'"

We read that Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥumaydī al-Andalusī said something the gist of which was: there are three elements of the sciences of ḥadīth to which the student should first turn his attention:

1. Defects found in hadith ('slal): the best book written on this is Dāraqutnī's.6

¹ Abū 'Utba Ismā'll b. 'Ayyāsh al-Ḥimṣī (ca. 108/726–ca. 181/797) was a famous Syrian ḥadīth expert; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:312–28.

² The renowned expert in hadīth and practical law, Abū ʿAbd Allāh Khālid b. Maʿdān al-Ḥimṣī was also known for his piety. As will be seen, the exact year of his death was disputed; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:536–41.

³ Bukhārī, al-Ta²rīkh al-kabīr, 4(1):81–2; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):36.

⁴ Very little was known about Muḥammad b. Ḥātim; Dhahabī, Siyar, 15:380-1.

⁵ This does not accord with the date usually given for the death of 'Abd b. Humayd, namely 249/863.

⁶ Kitāb al-Ilal al-wārida fi 'l-aḥādīth al-Nabawiya, ed. Maḥfūz al-Raḥmān Zayn Allāh al-Salafi (Riyadh, 1405/1985).

- 2. Homographic [names and gentilics]: the best book written on that is Ibn Mākūlā's [al-Ikmāl].
- 3. The deathdates of teachers: there is no book on this.

There has in fact been more than one book written on the subject of deathdates; however, they are neither exhaustive nor comprehensive. The books on the "dates" (tawārikh) of the transmitters of hadīth include deathdates and, for that and similar reasons, they were called "Dates." This title is not appropriate for the personality criticism and the like which they also contain. God knows best. Let us now mention the essentials of this subject.

1. The correct age of our lord, the lord of mankind, the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and his Companions Abū Bakr and 'Umar [at the time of their death] was sixty-three [lunar] years. The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) was taken up on the morning of Monday,⁷ the 12th of Rabī' al-Awwal, of the year 11 after the Emigration [7 June 632].

Abū Bakr passed away in Jumādā al-Ūlā of the year 13 [August 634].

'Umar died in Dhu 'l-Ḥijja of the year 23 [October 644].

'Uthmān died in Dhu 'l-Ḥijja of the year 35 [June 656] at the age of eighty-two – the age of ninety and others are also given.

'Alī died' in the month of Ramaḍān of the year 40 [January 661] at the age of sixty-three – sixty-four and sixty-five are also given.

Țalḥa and al-Zubayr both died in Jumādā al-Ūlā of the year 36 [December 656]. We heard from Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim that they were the same age, sixty-four years.* Others have contradicted al-Ḥākim.

Sa'd b. Abī Waqqāş died, according to the best opinion, in the year 55 [675 AD] at the age of seventy-three.

Saqd b. Zayd9 died in the year 51 [671 AD] at the age of seventy-three or seventy-four.

'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Awf10 died in the year 32 [653 AD] at the age of seventy-five.

⁷ This date was actually a Sunday.

^{8 &#}x27;Ulūm al-hadīth, 203.

⁹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 2:614-20.

¹⁰ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 2:844-50.

Abū Ubayda b. al-Jarrāḥ died in the year 18 [639 AD] at the age of fifty-eight.

There are disagreements which I have not brought up concerning some of what I have said. God knows best.

- 2. Two Companions lived [about] sixty years in pre-Islamic times and [about] sixty years in Islam and both died in Medina in the year 54 [674 AD]. One was Ḥakīm b. Ḥizām¹¹ and he was born inside the Kaaba thirteen years before the Year of the Elephant.¹² The second was the Anṣārite Ḥassān b. Thābit b. al-Mundhir b. Ḥarām. Ibn Isḥāq related that he, his father Thābit, al-Mundhir and Ḥarām all lived one hundred and twenty years. The expert Abū Nuʿaym [al-Iṣbahānī?] said that he did not know the like of this among the Arabs. Alternatively some say that Ḥassān died in the year 50. God knows best.
- 3. The eponyms of the five legal schools having followers.

Abū 'Abd Allāh Sufyān b. Saʿīd al-Thawrī indisputably died in al-Baṣra in 161 [778 AD] and his birth was in the year 97 [716 AD].

Mālik b. Anas (God be pleased with him) died in Medina in 179 [795 AD] – a year before 180.¹³ His birthdate is disputed. The years 93 [712 AD], 91, 94 and 97 have all been suggested. God knows best.

Abū Ḥanīfa (God bless him) died in 150 [767 AD] in Baghdad at the age of seventy.

Shāfiʿi (God bless him) died at the end of Rajab of the year 204 [January 820] in Egypt and he was born in 150 [767 AD].

Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Ḥanbal died in Baghdad in Rabīc al-Ākhir of the year 241 [August 855] and he was born in 164 [780 AD]. God knows best.

4. The authors of the five reliable books of hadith.

Abū Abd Allāh al-Bukhārī was born on Friday – after the Friday prayer – the 13th of Shawwāl of the year 194 [20 July 810]. He died in Khartank, in the vicinity of Samarqand, the night of the Id al-Fitr in the year 256 [1 September 870]. His age was thirteen days short of sixty-two years.

¹¹ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'ab, 1:362-3.

¹² The Year of the Elephant was traditionally dated 570 AD.

¹³ This last clause was apparently included to prevent the misreading of "seventy" for "ninety," which when written out in Arabic look very similar. In this regard, Dhahabi remarked, "How often seven is misread for nine!" Siyar, 10:648.

Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj al-Nīsābūrī died in Nishapur on the 25th of Rajab of the year 261 [5 May 875] at the age of fifty-five.

Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān b. al-Ash'ath al-Sijistānī died in al-Baṣra in Shawwāl of the year 275 [February 889].

Abū Isā Muḥammad b. Isā al-Sulamī al-Tirmidhī died in Tirmidh on the 13th of Rajab of the year 279 [9 October 892].

Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Aḥmad b. Shu'ayb al-Nasā'ī died in the year 303 [915 AD]. God knows best.

5. Seven later experts who composed excellent books which have proved useful in our times.

Abu 'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b. 'Umar al-Dāraquṭnī al-Baghdādī died in Baghdad in Dhu 'l-Qa'da of the year 385 [December 995]. He was born in Dhu 'l-Qa'da of the year 306 [April 919].

Then came Abū 'Abd Allāh b. al-Bayyi' al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī who died in Nishapur in Ṣafar of the year 405 [August 1014]. He was born there in the month of Rabī' al-Awwal of the year 321 [March 933].

Then came the hadīth expert of Egypt, Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Sa'īd al-Azdī, who was born in Dhu 'l-Qa'da of the year 332 [June 945]. He died in Egypt in Ṣafar of the year 409 [July 1018].

Then came the expert Abū Nu'aym Ahmad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Işbahānī, who was born in 334 [946 AD]. He died in Şafar of the year 430 [November 1038] in Isfahan.

In another generation there was the hadith expert of the North Africans Abū 'Amr b. 'Abd al-Barr al-Namarī, who was born in Rabī 'al-Ākhir of the year 368 [November 978]. He died in Shāṭiba in al-Andalus in the month of Rabī 'al-Ākhir of the year 463 [January 1071].

Then came Abū Bakr Ahmad b. al-Husayn al-Bayhaqī, who was born in the year 384 [994 AD]. He died in Nishapur in Jumādā al-Ūlā of the year 458 [May 1066]. His body was taken to Bayhaq and buried there.

Then came Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. 'Alī al-Khaṭib al-Baghdādī, who was born in Jumādā al-Ākhira of the year 392 [April 1002] and died in Baghdad in Dhu 'l-Ḥijja of the year 463 [September 1071]. God bless them, us and all Muslims. God knows best.

RELIABLE AND WEAK TRANSMITTERS OF HADITH

(Ma'rifat al-thiqat wa-'l-du'afa' min ruwat al-hadīth)

This is one of the most exalted and grandest disciplines. It is the staircase to knowledge of the soundness and sickness of hadīth. The hadīth scholars have many works on it. Some are devoted exclusively to weak transmitters of hadīth, like Bukhārī's Kitāb al-Du'afā' (Book of Weak Transmitters), Nasā'ī's al-Du'afā', 'Uḍaylī's al-Du'afā'² and others. Some concern only reliable transmitters, like Abū Ḥātim b. Ḥibbān's Kitāb al-Thiqāt (Book of Reliable Transmitters). Some cover both reliable and unreliable transmitters, for instance Bukhārī's Ta'rikh' (History), Ibn Abī Khaythama's Ta'rikh – How rich it is in useful information! – and Ibn Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī's Kitāb al-Jarh wa-'l-ta'dīl (Book of Personality Criticism).

We heard that the expert Salih b. Muhammad, nicknamed "Jazara," said, "The first person to talk about hadith transmitters was Shuba b. al-Hajjāj. Yaḥyā b. Sa'īd al-Qaṭṭān followed him and Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā b. Ma'in came after that." And these. He means that Shuba was the first to apply himself seriously to this and concern himself with it. However, speaking about transmitters, to accredit and discredit, has an established precedent from the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) and from many of the Companions and Followers as well as those after them. Personality criticism was made permissible in order to defend the Holy Law (al-sharta) and to banish error and falsehood from it. Discrediting transmitters is licit just as is discrediting witnesses in court. I heard that Abū Bakr b. Khallād⁵ said, "I asked Yahyā b. Sa⁶id [al-Qattān], 'Are you not afraid that those people whose hadith you have rejected will become your adversaries before God on the Day of Resurrection?' He replied, 'I prefer having them as my adversaries to having the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) as my adversary and saying to me, "Why did you not protect my hadith from falsehood?"" We heard or read that the ascetic Abū Turāb al-Nakhshabī6

¹ Bukhārī's Kitāb al-Du'afā' al-şaghīr and Nasā'ī's al-Du'afā' wa-'l-matrūkīn are published in al-Majmū'fi 'l-du'afā' wa-'l-matrūkīn, ed. 'Abd al-'Azīz Izz al-Dīn Shīrwān (Beirut, 1405/1985).

² Abū Jasar Muhammad b. Amr b. Mūsā al-Uqayli (d. 322/934; Sezgin, GAS, 1:177) was a hadīth scholar best known for his Kitāb al-Dusafā al-kabīr, ed. Abd al-Musī Amīn Qalsajī, 4 vols (Beirut, 1404/1984).

³ Bukhārī wrote three works with ta³rikh in their title, namely al-Ta³rikh al-kabīr, al-Ta³rikh al-awsaṭ (ed. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm al-Laḥīdān, 2 vols, Riyadh, 1418/1998) and al-Ta³rikh al-ṣaghīr (ed. Maḥmūd Ibrāhīm Zāyid, 2 vols, Beirut, 1406/1986).

⁴ What this means is unclear. See Prof. 'Abd al-Raḥmān's comment in Muqaddima, 655, n. 1.

⁵ Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarḥ, 3(2):246.

⁶ Abū Turāb 'Askar b. al-Ḥuṣayn al-Nakhshabī (d. 245/859) was a highly respected mystic; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:545–6.

heard some remarks critical of transmitters from Ahmad b. Ḥanbal and said to him, "Teacher, do not slander scholars!" Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal replied, "Woe to you! This is good advice, not slander."

The beginner in personality criticism should fear God (He is blessed and exalted), proceed with caution and be on guard against laxness, to avoid discrediting a sound individual and imputing something bad to an innocent man, the shame of which will remain with him? for ages. I think that Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Ḥātim – and they say he used to be considered a saint (min al-abdāl) – feared something similar to what I mentioned. According to the report we heard or read, Yūsuf b. al-Ḥusayn al-Rāzī⁸ – that is, the Sufī – went up to 'Abd al-Raḥmān while he was reciting his book [that is, his Kitāb al-Jarh wa-'l-ta'dīl] and said to him, "How many of these people unsaddled their mounts in heaven a century or two ago while you talk about them and slander them?" 'Abd al-Raḥmān wept. He also read that Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn was quoted to him while he was reciting that book of his to the people: "Truly we are defaming people who may have unsaddled their mounts in heaven more than two centuries ago." 'Abd al-Raḥmān wept and his hands trembled so much that the book fell from them.

More than one critic has made mistakes about people, falsely discrediting them. An example of this is Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān, al-Nasā'i's discreditation of Aḥmad b. Ṣāliḥ. The latter was a reliable expert and authority to whom no discredit can adhere: Bukhārī included his hadīth in his Ṣāḥiḥ. An instance of impoliteness on the part of Aḥmad b. Ṣāliḥ,toward Nasā'ī corrupted the latter's heart against him. We heard that the expert Abū Ya'lā al-Khalīlī said, "The experts agree that Nasā'ī's condemnation of him was a case of personal prejudice and the remarks of the likes of Nasā'ī cannot impugn Aḥmad b. Ṣāliḥ." Nasā'ī was in fact an authoritative guide in personality criticism. When something like this is ascribed to someone like him, the reason is that anger has blinded him so that good qualities appear to be bad ones. Someone like Nasā'ī does not deliberately discredit a transmitter for something he knows to be false. So note this for it is a significant and precious point. Remarks on the rules of personality criticism have already appeared in Category 23. God knows best.

⁷ It is unclear to me whether the shame will stick to the falsely criticized transmitter or his accuser

⁸ Abū Ya'qūb Yūsuf b. al-Ḥusayn al-Rāzī (d. 304/917) was a disciple of the great Egyptian mystic Dhu 'l-Nūn; Dhahabī, Siyar, 14:248-51.

⁹ Irshād, 1:424.

Chapter 62

RELIABLE TRANSMITTERS WHO CONFUSED THEIR HADITH AT THE END OF THEIR LIFE

(Ma'rifat man khallaṭa fī ākhir 'umrihī min al-thiqāt)

I am not aware that anyone has written a monograph on or seriously concerned himself with this valuable and important discipline, although it is very deserving of that. Those who confuse their hadith at the end of their life fall into various subcategories. These include those who confused their hadith on account of their becoming disordered and senile and those who became confused because of losing their eyesight or some other reason. The verdict regarding them is that the hadith of someone who studied with them before they became disordered are acceptable. One cannot accept the hadith of someone who studied with them after they became disordered or someone whose case is unclear; that is, it is not known whether he studied with the transmitter before he became disordered or after. For instance,

'Aṭā' b. al-Sā'ib¹ became disordered at the end of his life. Scholars cite as proofs the relations of his older students, like Sufyān al-Thawrī and Shu'ba [b. al-Ḥajjāj], from him, because they heard ḥadīth from him when he was sound. Scholars do not cite the relation of someone who heard from him at the end of his life. Yaḥyā b. Sa'īd al-Qaṭṭān said about Shu'ba, "[The ḥadīth Sufyān and Shu'ba transmitted from him are sound,²] except two regarding which Shu'ba used to say, 'I heard them at the last [from 'Aṭā'] from Zādhān.'"

Abū Isḥāq al-Sabī^qi also became disordered. It is said that Sufyān b. ^qUyayna heard ḥadīth from him after he became disordered. Abū Yaqā al-Khalīlī stated this.⁴

Saʿīd b. Iyās al-Jurayrī' became disordered and his retention went bad before his death. Abu 'l-Walīd [Sulaymān b. Khalaf] al-Bājī al-Mālikī said that Nasā'ī said, "His ḥadīth became worthy of rejection in the days of the Plague. In our opinion, he – that is, what was heard from him

^{1 &#}x27;Aṭā' b. al-Sā'ib al-Kūfi (his kunya is disputed) died in 136/754; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:110-14.

² Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:111.

³ Abū Umar Zādhān al-Kindī was born during the lifetime of the Prophet and died in 82/701; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:280-1.

⁴ Irshād, 1:355.

⁵ Abū Mas'ūd Sa'īd b. Iyās al-Jurayrī (d. 144/761) was a Başran expert in ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 6:153-6.

⁶ Nothing I have read identifies which plague is meant here. The Plague of Salm b. Qutayba which struck al-Başra in 131/749 and was especially deadly would appear to be a likely candidate, if indeed a specific event was intended.

before the time of the Plague – was more trustworthy than Khālidal-Hadhdhā.

Saʿid b. Abī ʿArūba: Yaḥyā b. Maʿin said, "Saʿid b. Abī ʿArūba confused his ḥadīth after the defeat of Ibrāhīm b. 'Abd Allāh b. Ḥasan b. Ḥasan in the year 42, that is 142 [759 AD]. Those who heard ḥadīth from him after that are worthless. The audition of Yazīd b. Hārūn from him is sound. He heard ḥadīth from him in Wāsiṭ while Ibn Abī ʿArūba was on his way to al-Kūfa. The most trustworthy person who heard ḥadīth from him was 'Abada b. Sulaymān." Wakī ʿ[b. al-Jarrāḥ] and al-Muʿāfā b. ʿImrān al-Mawṣilī are among those who are known to have heard ḥadīth from him after he became disordered. We read that Ibn 'Ammār al-Mawṣilī one of the experts – said, "Their relation from him is worthless. They heard ḥadīth from him after he became disordered." We heard that Yaḥyā b. Maʿin said to Wakī ʿ, "You transmit ḥadīth from Saʿid b. Abī 'Arūba and you heard from him only while he was disordered?" He replied, "Have you seen me transmit anything but good ḥadīth from him?"

Mas'ūdī¹¹ was one of those who became disordered. He is 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Utba b. 'Abd Allāh b. Mas'ūd al-Hudhalī, the brother of Abu 'l-'Umays 'Utba al-Mas'ūdī.¹² Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim stated in Kitāb al-Muzakkin li-'l-rumāt that Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn said, "Whoever heard ḥadīth from Mas'ūdī in the time of [the caliph] Abū Ja'far [al-Manṣūr, r. 136/754–158/775] has a sound audition. Whoever heard ḥadīth from him in the days of [the caliph] al-Mahdī [r. 158/775–169/785] has a worthless audition." Ḥanbal b. Isḥāq said that Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal said, "The auditions of 'Āṣim – he is Ibn 'Alī – Abu 'l-Naḍr [Hāshim b. al-Qāsim, nicknamed "Qayṣar"] and those others from Mas'ūdī date from after he became disordered.

Rabī at al-Raby b. Abī Abd al-Raḥmān, the professor of Mālik: it is said that he went bad at the end of his life and for that reason reliance on him is unacceptable.

Ṣāliḥ b. Nabhān, the client of al-Tawama bint Umayya b. Khalaf: Ibn Abī Dhib and many others transmitted ḥadīth from him. Abū Ḥātim b.

⁷ The revolt of Ibrāhīm and his brother Muḥammad, known as "al-Nasf al-Zakīya," against the Caliph al-Manṣūr actually took place in 145/762-3; EP, 3:983-5

⁸ Abū Muhammad Abada (the pronunciation "Abda" also seems to be possible) b. Sulaymān al-Kilābī al-Kūfī died in 188/804 in al-Kūfa; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:511.

⁹ Al-Mu\u00e9f\u00e4 b. Imr\u00e4n al-Maw\u00e9il\u00e4t was a historian who wrote a Ta\u00f3rikh Maw\u00e4il\u00e4; Se\u00e2\u00e4in, GAS, 1:348.

¹⁰ Abū Ja'far Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Ammār al-Mawşilī (d. 242/856 or 231) wrote a work on transmitters and the defects in ḥadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 11:469–70.

¹¹ Mas'ūdī (d. 160/777) was a prominent ḥadīth transmitter and jurist; Dhahabī, Siyar, 7:93-5.

¹² Abu 'l-Umays died around 150/767; Dhahabi, Siyar, 7:20.

Ḥibbān said, "He went bad in 125 [743 AD]. His late ḥadīth became mixed up with his early ones and cannot be separated. Therefore, they should be rejected."¹³

Ḥuṣayn b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Kūfi¹¹ was one of those who became disordered and went bad. Nasā'ī and others said this. God knows best.

'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Thaqafī:15 Ibn Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī said that Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn said, "He became disordered in the end."16

Sufyān b. Uyayna: I came across the remark of Muḥammad b. Abd Allāh b. Ammār al-Mawṣilī that he heard Yaḥyā b. Ma'īn saying, "I swear that Sufyān b. Uyayna became disordered in [1]97 [813 AD]. The audition of those who heard from him in that year and afterwards is worthless." Sufyān b. Uyayna died about two years later, in 199.

'Abd al-Razzāq b. Hammām: Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal said that he went blind at the end of his life. He used to be prompted and he responded to it. The audition of those who heard ḥadīth from him after he became blind is worthless. Nasā'ī said, "There are doubts regarding him on account of those who wrote ḥadīth from him at the last." The statement of 'Abbās b. 'Abd al-'Azīm [al-'Anbarī] made upon his return from Sana refers to this: "By God, I went to great trouble to see 'Abd al-Razzāq and he is a liar. Even Wāqidī is more veracious than he." In what is related from Tabarānī I came across some ḥadīth from Isḥāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Dabarī' from 'Abd al-Razzāq which I vehemently reject. I attribute the state of those ḥadīth to that circumstance. Dabarī heard ḥadīth from him very late. Ibrāhīm al-Ḥarbī said, "When 'Abd al-Razzāq died, Dabarī was six or seven years old." [NB] There are also doubts regarding many of the elevated ḥadīth coming from those who heard from Sufyān b. 'Uyayna and those like him late in their life.

'Ārim, that is, Abu 'l-Nu'mān Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl, became disordered at the last. The ḥadīth which Bukhārī, Muḥammd b. Yaḥyā al-Dhuhlī and the other experts related from him must have been taken from him before he became disordered.

¹³ Majrūķīn, 1:366.

¹⁴ The sources maintain that there were a number of notable individuals named "Husayn b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Kūfi" living at the same time. The one in question here may be Abu 'l-Hudhayl al-Sulamī (ca. 43/663-130/748); Dhahabī, Siyar, 5:422-4.

¹⁵ Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Wahhāb b. 'Abd al-Majīd al-Thaqafi (ca. 108/726-194/810) is said to have gone bad three or four years before his death; Dhahabī, Siyar, 9:237-41.

¹⁶ Jarh, 3(1):71.

¹⁷ Abū Ya'qūb Isḥāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Dabarī heard 'Abd al-Razzāq b. Hammām at an early age under the supervision of his father and died in Sana in 285/898; Dhahabī, Siyar, 13:416-18.

t

Abū Qilāba 'Abd al-Malik b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Raqāshī: we heard that the authority Ibn Khuzayma said, "Abū Qilāba transmitted ḥadīth to us in al-Baṣra before he became disordered and went to Baghdad."

Later scholars whom we read that about.

Abū Aḥmad al-Ghiṭrīfī al-Jurjānī¹⁸ and Abū Ṭāhir,¹⁹ the grandson of the authority Ibn Khuzayma. The expert Abū ʿAlī al-Bardhaʿī – and later "al-Samarqandī – said in his *Muʿjam* that he read that they became disordered at the end of their life.

Abū Bakr b. Mālik al-Qaṭīʿī – the transmitter of the *Musnad* and other works of Aḥmad [b. Ḥanbal] – declined at the end of his life and became senile to the extent that he could not recognize anything that was recited to him.

Be aware that on the whole we know that the hadith of the transmitters of this type cited in one or both of the Ṣaḥiḥs have been separated [from their weak hadīth] and were taken from them before they became disordered. God knows best.

¹⁸ Abū Ahmad Muhammad b. Ahmad b. al-Husayn al-Ghitrifi al-Jurjānī (ca. 280/893-377/987) was a well-traveled transmitter of hadīth; Sezgin, GAS, 1:203.

¹⁹ Muḥammad b. al-Fadl b. Muḥammad b. Ishāq b. Khuzayma al-Nisābūri (d. 387/997) was regarded as an expert in his grandfather's hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 16:490–1.

THE GENERATIONS OF TRANSMITTERS AND SCHOLARS

(Ma'rifat ṭabaqāt al-ruwāt wa-'l-'ulamā')

This is one of the important subjects, ignorance of which has disgraced several writers and other scholars. Kitāb al-Ṭabaqāt al-kabīr of Muḥammad b. Saʿd – the amanuensis of Wāqidī – is full of useful information. Muḥammad b. Saʿd is reliable despite the fact that in his book he relates many reports from weak transmitters including Wāqidī – and he is the "Muḥammad b. 'Umar" whose gentilic Ibn Saʿd does not give.

In common parlance, "generation" signifies a group of people with something in common. Given this, there may often be two people who belong to a single generation because they are alike in one respect and who belong to different generations in relation to something else which they do not have in common. Thus, Anas b. Mālik al-Anṣārī and other younger Companions belong to the same generation as the Ten [who were given the glad tidings of their entrance into heaven] and the other early Companions, when we examine their similarity in respect to the basic principle of the attribute of "Companionship." Reckoned thus, all of the Companions are a first generation, the Followers are a second generation, the followers of the Followers are a third generation and so on. When we examine the differences of the Companions in regard to their relative priority and rank, they are, as we mentioned earlier, distributed through more than ten generations. By this measure, Anas and the other younger Companions are not in the same generation as the Ten, but rather they are several generations below them. The researcher working in this discipline needs to know the birthdates and deathdates of hadith transmitters as well as who their teachers were and who their students were, and the like. God knows best.

TRANSMITTERS OF HADITH AND OTHER SCHOLARS WHO WERE CLIENTS

(Ma'rifat al-mawālī min al-ruwāt wa-'l-'ulamā')

The most important aspect of this subject is the recognition of those clients who bear tribal gentilics with no qualification. The presumption regarding someone bearing a gentilic referring to a certain tribe – for instance when one says, "X, the Qurashite" – is that he is connected by blood to them. For that reason, it is crucial to make clear regarding someone called a "Qurashite" that he was called this because he was a client of theirs. Be aware that when a transmitter or scholar is described as "the client of X" or "the client of the tribe of X," this most often means that he was a freedman $(mawla')^{1-c}at\bar{a}qa$.

The term "client" is applied to some transmitters with the sense of "clientage by conversion to Islam" (walā al-islām). An example is Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Bukhārī. He is Muḥammad b. Ismā'īl al-Ju'fī, a client of the Ju'fītes. He bears the gentilic "Ju'fī" because one of his ancestors — and I think that he was the one called "al-Aḥnaf" and he had been a Majian — converted to Islam at the hand of al-Yamān b. al-Akhnas al-Ju'fī; that is, the ancestor of 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Musnadī al-Ju'fī, one of the teachers of Bukhārī. Another example is al-Ḥasan b. Tsā al-Māsarjisī,² the client of 'Abd Allāh b. al-Mubārak. He was a client of Ibn al-Mubārak because he converted to Islam at his hands. He had been a Christian.

Some others were clients on the basis of clientage of alliance and protection (walā al-hilf wa-'l-muwālāt), like the imām Mālik b. Anas. His folks were Himyarite Aṣbaḥites by blood. They were clients by alliance of the Taym of the Qurashites. Some say this was because his grandfather, Mālik b. Abī ʿĀmir, was an ʿasīf — that is a "hired hand" — of Ṭalḥa b. ʿUbayd Allāh al-Taymī and Ṭalḥa used to travel about as a trader. Others say that Mālik b. Abī ʿĀmir was called "the client of the Taymites" because of his being with Ṭalḥa b. ʿUbayd Allāh al-Taymī. This is a fourth subcategory of clientage. It is similar to what we said above [in Category 58] about Miqsam, namely that he was described as "the client of Ibn ʿAbbās" because of his close association with him.

* The following are examples of clients who bear tribal gentilics.

The Follower Abu 'l-Bakhtarī Saʿīd b. Fayrūz al-Ṭāʾī.' He was a client of the tribe of Ṭay'.

¹ Abū Ja'far al-Musnadī was descibed as "the hadīth authority in Transoxiana in his era." He died in 229/844 at over ninety years of age; Dhahabī, Siyar, 10:658-60.

² Abū 'Alī al-Ḥasan b. Isā b. Māsarjis al-Nīsābūrī (d. 240/854 or 239) was a popular teacher in Baghdad; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:27–30.

³ Abu 'l-Bakhtarī was a jurist who died in the Battle of al-Jamājim in 82/701; Dhahabī, Siyar, 4:279-80.

The Follower Abu 'l-'Āliya Rufay' al-Riyāḥī al-Tamīmī. He was the client of a woman of the Banu Riyah.

Abū Dāwūd 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Hurmuz al-A'raj al-Hāshimī, the transmitter from Abū Hurayra, Ibn Buḥayna and others. He was a client of the Banū Hāshim.

Al-Layth b. Sa'd al-Miṣrī al-Fahmī was a client of the subtribe of Fahm.

'Abd Allah b. al-Mubarak al-Marwazi al-Hanzali was a client of the tribe of Hanzala.

Abd Allah b. Wahb al-Miṣrī al-Qurashī was a client of the Quraysh.

'Abd Allāh b. Ṣāliḥ al-Miṣrī al-Juhanī' -- the amanuensis of al-Layth b. Sa'd - was a client of the tribe of Juhayna.

Sometimes a transmitter bore the gentilic of a tribe because he was the client of a client of that tribe. An example is Abu 'l-Ḥubāb Saʿīd b. Yasār al-Hāshimī,5 the transmitter from Abū Hurayra and ['Abd Allāh] b. 'Umar. He was a client of a client of the Banu Hashim because he was the client of Shuqran,6 the client of the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him).

We heard that Zuhri said,

I went to [the caliph] 'Abd al-Malik b. Marwān and he said, "Zuhrī, where are you coming from?" I said, "From Mecca." He said, "Whom did you leave there to lead the people of Mecca?" I said, "'Aṭā' b. Abī Rabāḥ." He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client." He said, "How did he come to lead them?" I said, "By religiosity and transmission." He said, "It is right for those possessing religiosity and transmissions to lead. Who leads the people of the Yemen?" I said, "Tāwūs b. Kaysān." He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client." He said, "How did he come to lead them?" I said, "The same way 'Ata' came to lead." He said, "That is the way it should be. Who leads the people of Egypt?" I said, "Yazīd b. Abī Ḥabīb." He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client." He said, "Who leads the people of Syria?" I said, "Makhūl." He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client, a Nubian slave of a Hudhaylite woman who eventually freed him." He said, "Who leads the people of al-Jazīra?" I said, "Maymūn b. Mihrān."8

⁴ Dhahabi, among others, had doubts about the reliability of 'Abd Allāh b. Ṣāliḥ (137/754-223/837) as a transmitter of hadith; Siyar, 10:405-16.

⁵ Bukhāri, al-Tarikh al-kabīr, 2(1):520; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 2(1):72.

⁶ Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Isti'āb, 2:709-10.

⁷ This highly respected transmitter died in 128/746; Dhahabi, Siyar, 6:31-3.

⁸ Abū Ayyūb Maymūn b. Mihrān al-Jazarī al-Raqqī (40/660-117/735 or 116) was a respected authority in law and hadith; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:71-8.

He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client." He said, "Who leads the people of Khurāsān?" I said, "Al-Daḥḥāk b. Muzāḥim" He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client." He said, "Who leads the people of al-Baṣra?" I said, "Al-Ḥasan b. Abi 'l-Ḥasan." He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is a client." He said, "Woe to you! Who leads the people of al-Kūfa?" I said, "Ibrāhīm al-Nakhaʿq." He said, "Is he an Arab or a client?" I said, "He is an Arab." He said, "Woe to you, Zuhrī! You relieved me. By God, the clients lord over the Arabs to the extent that they preach to them from on top of the minbars while the Arabs are below them." I said, "Prince of the Believers, it is a matter of God and His religion. Whoever keeps it leads and whoever loses it falls."

We hear that 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Zayd b. Aslam¹¹ said, "When the "'Abd Allāhs"¹¹ died, the law in all of the cities, except Medina, went to the clients. God specially blessed Medina with a Qurashite and the jurist of the inhabitants of Medina, was, without a doubt, Saʿīd b. al-Musayyib." There is some bias in this. At that time, there were other famous Arab jurists in addition to Ibn al-Musayyib, including Shaʿbī and Nakhaʿī. All of the "Seven Jurists,"¹² including Ibn al-Musayyib, were Arabs, except Sulaymān b. Yasār. God knows best.

⁹ Al-Daḥḥāk (d. 102/721 or 105 or 106) was best known for his expertise in Qur³ān commentary; Dhahabi, Siyar, 4:598–600.

^{10 &#}x27;Abd al-Raḥmān died in 182/798; Dhahabī, Siyar, 8:349.

¹¹ See above, Category 39.

¹² See above, Category 40.

THE RESIDENCES AND LANDS OF TRANSMITTERS

(Ma'rifat awtān al-ruwāt wa-buldānihim)

This is one of the matters which the experts of hadith need to know for many of their activities, and Ibn Sa'd's Tabaqāt is one of the prime sources for this information. The Arabs used to take their gentilics only from their tribe. When Islam appeared and most of them settled in villages and cities, they started to derive their gentilics from their place of residence, just as the non-Arabs had been doing. Many of them lost their [original tribal] gentilics and were left with only the ones referring to their place of residence.

If someone moves from one place to another and wants to include both places as his gentilic, let him begin with the first place and then give the second one he moved to. It is a good idea for the word "then" (thumma) to be added to the second. So for someone who moved, for instance, from Egypt to Syria, one should say, "X, the Egyptian, then the Damascene." An inhabitant of a village in the vicinity of a town may use the gentilic of the village and that of the town, as well as that of the region where the town is located.

Let us follow the example of the expert Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥākim and relate ḥadīth with their isnāds, drawing attention to where the transmitters lived. It is commendable for the expert to give the ḥadīth with its isnād and then mention the homelands of its transmitters and other facts about them, one by one, [for example]

[1.] The long-lived teacher and great transmitter Abū Ḥafṣ ʿUmar b. Muḥammad b. al-Muʿammar¹ (God bless him) informed me through my recitation to him in Baghdad: Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Bāqī b. Muḥammad al-Anṣārī² informed us. He said, Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm b. ʿUmar b. Aḥmad al-Barmakī³ informed us. He said, Abū Muḥammad ʿAbd Allāh b. Ibrāhīm b. Ayyūb b. Māsī⁴ informed us. He said, Abū Muslim Ibrāhīm b. ʿAbd Allāh al-Kajjī⁵ informed us. He said, Muḥammad b. ʿAbd Allāh al-Anṣārī informed us:

¹ This is the famous Ibn Țabarzadh al-Baghdādī (516/1123-607/1210), who possessed short isnāds for a number of important ḥadīth collections; Dhahabī, Siyar, 21:507-12.

² This transmitter, popularly known as Qādi 'l-Maristān (442/1050–535/1141), studied many subjects before repenting and devoting himself to the study of hadīth; Dhahabī, Siyar, 20:23–8.

³ Abū Isḥāq al-Barmakī (361/972-445/1054) was another long-lived transmitter of ḥadīth; Dhahabī; Siyar, 17:605-6.

⁴ Ibn Māsī was born in 274/887 and died in 369/980; Dhahabī, Siyar, 16:252-3.

⁵ Kajji (ca. 190/806–292/904) was a popular transmitter because he had a number of hadith, like the one cited here, in which only three intermediaries separated him from the Prophet; Dhahabi, Siyar, 13:423–5.

Sulaymān [b. Tarkhān] al-Taymī informed us from Anas [b. Mālik]. He said, the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, "There is no disassociation among [quarreling] Muslims for longer than three days," or he said, "three nights."

- [2a] The teacher and great transmitter Abu 'l-Ḥasan al-Mu'ayyad b. Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Muqri' (God bless him) informed me by my recitation to him in Nishapur, once beginning anew with the recitation at the head of the grave of Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj. The jurist of the Holy City Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl al-Furāwī informed us at the grave of Muslim. Ḥā'
- [2b.] Umm al-Mu'ayyad Zaynab bint Abi 'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Ḥasan al-Sha'rī [sic] (God bless her) informed me, once through my recitation to her in Nishapur and another time through the recitation of someone else. I said, Ismā'īl b. Abi 'l-Qāsim b. Abī Bakr al-Qāri'⁷ informed you through recitation to him.

[Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Furāwī and Qāri'] said, Abū Ḥafṣ 'Umar b. Aḥmad b. Masrūr informed us: Abū 'Amr Ismā'il b. Nujayd al-Sulamī informed us: Abū Muslim Ibrāhīm b. 'Abd Allāh al-Kajjī informed us: Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Anṣārī informed us: Humayd al-Tawīl⁸ transmitted to me from Anas b. Mālik. He said, "The Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) said, 'Help your brother when he is doing wrong or being wronged.' I said, 'Messenger of God, I will help him when he is wronged, but how can I help him when he is doing wrong?' He said, 'Keep him from doing wrong. That is your help to him.'"

These two hadīth are elevated in audition with clean isnāds and sound texts. In the first, Anas and those after him until Abū Muslim are Baṣrans. Those after Abū Muslim to our teacher are Baghdadis. In the second hadīth, Anas and those after him until Abū Muslim are, as we said, Baṣrans. Those after him, from Ibn Nujayd until our teacher, are Nishapuris.

[3.] The pure teacher Abu 'l-Fath Manşūr b. 'Abd al-Mun'im b. Abi 'l-Barakāt (God bless him) – the son of the authority Abū 'Abd

⁶ For this, see above pp. 142-3.

⁷ The Sufi Abū Muḥammad Ismā'il b. Abi 'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Bakr Ṣāliḥ al-Qāri' (439/1048-531/1137) was primarily known as a transmitter of the ḥadīth collection Juz' Ibn Nujayd; Dhahabī, Siyar, 20:19-20.

⁸ The famous transmitter of hadith Abū Ubayda Ḥumayd b. Abī Ḥumayd al-Baṣrī (68/687-142/759), known as Ḥumayd al-Tawil (Tall - or Long - Ḥumayd), was not actually tall, although his hands were said to be "long"; Sezgin, GAS, 1:89.

Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Fadl al-Furāwī – informed me through my recitation to him in Nishapur: My grandfather Abū Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Faḍi informed us: Abū Uthmān Saʿīd b. Muḥammad al-Baḥīrī9 (God bless him) informed us: Abū Sa9d Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Ḥamdūn'o informed us. He said, Abū Hātim Makkī b. 'Abdān' informed us: 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Bishr' informed us: 'Abd al-Razzāq [b. Hammām] informed us: Ibn Jurayj informed us. He said, 'Abada b. Abī Lubāba13 informed me that Warrad,14 the client of al-Mughīra b. Shuba, informed him that al-Mughīra b. Shuba wrote to [the caliph] Muāwiya - Warrād wrote that letter for him - "When the Messenger of God (Peace be upon him) completed his prayer, I heard him say, 'There is no god but God alone. He has no companion. Sovereignty and praise are His. God, no one can forbid when You give and no one can give when You forbid. No one's fortunate position in the world can help him."

Al-Mughīra b. Shu'ba, Warrād and 'Abada were Kūfans. Ibn Jurayi, Makkī and 'Abd al-Razzāq were from the city of Sana in the Yemen. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Bishr, our teacher and all of those between them were Nishapuris.

To God (be He praised) belongs the most complete praise for the blessing He liberally bestows to the extent one requests and the degree one hopes for. The finest prayers and peace on our lord Muḥammad and his family and the rest of the prophets and their families. Amen! Amen!

⁹ Baḥīrī died in 451/1059; Dhahabī, Siyar, 18:103-4.

¹⁰ I have not found any information about this transmitter.

¹¹ Makki b. Abdan died in 325/937 at over eighty years of age; Dhahabi, Siyar, 15:70-1.

¹² As a young man 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Bishr traveled extensively with his father to hear hadīth. He returned to Nishapur where he taught until his death in 260/874; Dhahabī, Siyar, 12:340-4.

¹³ The merchant and hadith transmitter 'Abada (or 'Abda) b. Abi Lubaba (d. ca. 127/745) settled in Damascus; Dhahabi, Siyar, 5:229–30.

¹⁴ Bukhārī, al-Ta³rīkh al-kabīr, 4(2):185-6; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, Jarh, 4(2):48.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 'Abd al-Ghanī b. 'Abd al-Wāḥid al-Jamā'īlī. Kitāb Miḥnat al-imām Aḥmad ibn Hanbal. Cairo, 1991.
- 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Nābulusī. Sharh mā ladaynā fi qawl al-muḥaddithīn "rawaynā." Maktabat al-Asad (Damascus), no. 14123, ff. 29a–31b.
- 'Abd b. Ḥumayd. al-Muntakhab min Musnad 'Abd ibn Ḥumayd. Ed. Ṣubḥī al-Badrī al-Sāmarrā'ī and Maḥmūd Muḥammad Khalīl al-Ṣa'īdī. Beirut, 1408/1988.
- Abū ʿAlī al-Jayyānī. *Kitāb al-Alqāb*. Ed. Muḥammad Abu ʾl-Faḍl. Al-Muḥammadīya, 1416/1996.
- Abū 'Awāna. Musnad Abī 'Awāna. 2 vols. Hyderabad, 1362-3.
- Abū Bakr al-Bazzār. al-Baḥr al-Zakhkhār. Ed. Maḥfūz al-Raḥmān Zayn Allāh. Medina, 1416/1996.
- Abū Dāwūd al-Sijistānī, Sulaymān b. al-Ash ath. Kitāb al-Sunan. 4 vols. Ed. Muḥammad Muḥyī al-Dīn 'Abd al-Ḥamīd. Beirut, n.d.
- —Risālat Abī Dāwūd ilā ahl Makka fi wasf Sunanihi. Ed. Muḥammad b. Luṭfī al-Ṣabbāgh. 3d edn. Beirut, 1405.
- Abū Nu'aym al-Isbahānī. *Dhikr akhbār Isbahān*. Ed. S. Dedering. 2 vols. Leiden, 1931.
- —Hilyat al-awliyā' wa-ṭabaqāt al-asfiyā'. 10 vols. Cairo, 1351/1932–1357/1938.
- Abū Shāma 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Ismā'īl al-Maqdisī. al-Dhayl 'ala 'l-Rawḍatayn. Ed. Muḥammad Zāhid b. al-Ḥasan al-Kawtharī. Cairo, 1366/1947.
- —Mukhtaşar Kitāb al-Mu'ammil li-'l-radd ila 'l-amr al-awwal in Majmū'at al-Rasā'il al-Munīrīya. 4 vols. Cairo, n.d.
- Abū Yaqā al-Khalīlī, al-Khalīl b. Abd Allāh. Kitāb al-Irshād fi 'ulamā' al-ḥadīth. Ed. Muḥammad Saqd b. Umar Idrīs. 3 vols. Riyadh, 1409/1989.
- Abū Yaqā al-Mawsilī. *Musnad*. Ed. Husayn Salīm Asad. 14 vols. Damascus, 1404/1984–1410/1990.
- Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal. Kitāb al-'Ilal wa-ma'rifat al-rijāl. 2 vols. Istanbul, 1987.
- -Musnad al-imām Aḥmad. 6 vols. Cairo, 1311-13.
- Albānī, Muḥammad Nāṣir al-Dīn. Fahras makhṭūṭāt Dār al-kutub al-Zāhiriya: al-muntakhab min makhṭūṭāt al-ḥadīth. Damascus, 1390/1970.
 - 'Alī b. al-Ja'd. al-Ja'dīyāt. Ed. Rif'at Fawzī 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib. 2 vols. Cairo, 1415/1994.
 - 'Alī b. al-Madīnī. al-'Ilal. Ed. Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-A'zamī. 2d. ed. Beirut, 1980.
 - Tasmiyat man ruwiya 'anhu min awlād al-'ashara wa-ghayrihim min ashāb Rasūl Allāh. In al-Ruwāt min al-ikhwa wa-'l-akhawāt, ed. Bāsim Fayşal al-Jawābara. Riyadh, 1408/1988.

- 'Almawi, 'Abd al-Bāsiţ. Mukhtaşar Tanbih al-ţālib wa-irshād al-dāris. Ed. Şalāḥ al-Dīn al-Munajjid. Damascus, 1366/1947.
- Asnawi, 'Abd al-Raḥīm b. Hasan. *Tabaqāt al-Shāfi*'iya. Ed. 'Abd Allāh al-Jubūrī. 2 vols. Baghdad, 1970/1390–1391/1971.
- Ayyūbī, Muhammad 'Abd al-Bāqī. al-Manāhil al-salsala fi 'l-ahādīth al-musalsala. Beirut, 1403/1983.
- Azami, M.M. Studies in Early Hadith Literature. Indianapolis, 1978.
- Badrān, 'Abd al-Qādir. Munādamat al-aṭlāl wa-musāmarat al-khayāl. Ed. Muḥammad Zuhayr al-Shāwish. Damascus, n.d.
- Baghawi. Maṣābiḥ al-sunna. Ed. Ibrāhim Muḥammad Ramaḍān. 2 vols. Beirut, n.d.
- —Sharh al-Sunna. Ed. Shu'ayb al-Arna'ūţ and Muḥammad Zuhayr al-Shāwish. 16 vols. Damascus, 1390/1971 ff.
- Bahnasī, 'Afīf. Mu'jam muştalāḥāt al-khatt al-'Arabī wa-'l-khattātīn. Beirut, 1995. Bayhaqī. Kitāb al-Sunan al-kubrā. 10 vols. Hyderabad, 1344-55.
- Brockelmann, Carl. Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur. 2d ed. 2 vols. Leiden, 1943-9; Supplementbände. 3 vols. Leiden, 1937-42.
- Bukhārī. Kitāb al-Du'afā' al-saghir. Beirut, 1984.
- —Kitāb al-Jāmi^c al-ṣaḥiḥ. Ed. Ludolf Krehl and T.W. Juynboll. 4 vols. Leiden, 1862–1908.
- -Kitāb al-Kunā. Hyderabad, 1360.
- -al-Ta'rīkh al-awsat. 2 vols. Riyadh, 1998.
- -al-Ta³rikh al-kabir. 4 vols. in 8 parts. Hyderabad, 1361-5.
- -al-Ta'rikh al-saghir. Ed. Mahmūd Ibrāhīm Zāyid. 2 vols. Beirut, 1406/1986.
- Bulqīnī, Sirāj al-Dīn Abū Ḥafṣ ʿUmar al-Shāfiʿī. Maḥāsin al-iṣṭilāḥ wa-taḍmin Kitāb Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ (published with Muqaddima). Ed. ʿĀʾisha ʿAbd al-Raḥmān. 2nd edn. Cairo, 1989.
- Dāraquţnī. Kitāb al-'Ilal al-wārida fi 'l-aḥādīth al-Nabawiya. Ed. Maḥfūz al-Raḥmān Zayn Allāh al-Salafī. Riyadh, 1405/1985.
- -Kitāb al-Sunan. 4 vols. Cairo, 1386/1966.
- -Kitāb al-Tatabbu (published with al-Ilzāmāt). Medina, 1397/1978.
- Dārimī, 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān. Sunan al-Dārimī. Ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad Duhmān. 2 vols. Damascus, 1349.
- Dārimī, 'Uthmān b. Sa'īd. al-Naqd 'ala 'l-Marīsi al-'anīd. Ed. M.H. Fiqī. Cairo, 1358.
- -al-Radd 'ala 'l-Jahmiya. Ed. G. Vitestam. Leiden, 1960.
- Dāwūdī, Muḥammad b. 'Alī. *Tabaqāt al-mufassirin*. Ed. 'Alī Muḥammad Umar. 2 vols. Cairo, 1392/1972.
- Dhahabī. al-Kāshif fi ma'rifat man lahū riwāya fi 'l-kutub al-sitta. 3 vols. Cairo, 1392/1972.
- —al-Mughnī fi 'l-du'afā'. Ed. Nūr al-Dīn Itr. 2 vols. Aleppo, 1391/1971.
- Dhahabi, Muḥammad b. Ahmad. al-Ibar si khabar man ghabar. Ed. Abū Hājir Muḥammad al-Saʿid b. Basyūni Zaghlūl. 4 vols. Beirut, 1405/1985.

- —Mīzān al-i'tidāl fī naqd al-rijāl. Ed. 'Alī Muḥammad al-Bajāwī. 4 vols. Cairo, 1382/1963.
- —Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'. Ed. Shu'ayb al-Arna'ūţ. 25 vols. Beirut, 1401/1981—1409/1988.
- —Tadhkirat al-ḥuffāz. 4 vols. Hyderabad, 1955-8.
- Ta'rīkh al-Islām wa-wafayāt al-mashāhīr wa-'l-a'lām. Ed. 'Umar 'Abd al-Salām al-Tadmurī. Beirut, 1407/1987 ff.
- Encyclopaedia Iranica. Ed. Ehsan Yarshater. New York, 1984 ff.
- Encyclopaedia of Islam (EI). 1st ed., 4 vols. Leiden, 1908-36; 2d ed. Leiden, 1953 ff.
- El' (Encyclopaedia of Islam). New Edition. Leiden, 1953 ff.
- EI Suppl (Encyclopaedia of Islam: Supplement). Leiden, 1938.
- Fattanī, Muhammad Ṭāhir. al-Mughnī fi dabṭ asmā al-rijāl. Beirut, 1402/1982.
- Guillaume, Alfred. The Life of Muhammad: A Translation of of Ibn Ishāq's Sīrat Rasūl Allāh. Oxford, 1955.
- Hājjī Khalifa. Kashf al-zunūn 'an asāmī al-kutub wa-'l-funūn. Ed. Mehmet Šerefettin Yaltkaya. 2 vols. Istanbul, 1360/1941-1362/1943.
- Al-Hākim al-Nīsābūrī, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh. al-Madkhal ilā ma'rifat al-Iklīl. Ed. and trans. James Robson. London, 1953.
- -Ma'rifat 'ulūm al-ḥadīth. Ed. al-Sayyid Mu'azzam Ḥusayn. Cairo, 1937.
- -al-Mustadrak 'ala 'l-Saḥiḥayn. 4 vols. Hyderabad, 1334-42.
- Halm, Heinz. Die Ausbreitung der Safisitischen Rechtsschule von den Anfängen bis zum 8./14. Jahrhundert. Wiesbaden, 1974.
- Hammām b. Munabbih. Sahīfat Hammām ibn Munabbih. Ed. Riffat Fawzī 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib. Cairo, 1406/1985.
- Hamza b. Yūsuf al-Sahmī. Swālāt Hamza b. Yūsuf al-Sahmī li-'l-Dāraquṭnī wa-ghayrihī min al-mashāyikh. Ed. Muwaffaq b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Qādir. Riyadh, 1404/1984.
- Ḥāzimī. al-I'tibār fi 'l-nāsikh wa-'l-mansūkh. Ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad 'Abd al-'Azīz, Cairo, n.d.
- Ḥāzimī, Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Mūsā. Shurūţ al-a'imma al-khamsa. Ed. Muḥammad Zāhid al-Kawtharī (bound with Ibn al-Qaysarānī, Shurūţ al-a'imma al-sitta). Cairo, n.d.
- Ḥumaydī. Jadhwat al-muqtabis fi dhikr wulāt al-Andalus. Cairo, 1966.
- Humphreys, R. Stephen. From Saladin to the Mongols. Albany, 1977.
- Husaynı, 'Abd al-Raḥīm b. Hidāyat Allāh. *Ṭabaqāt al-Shāfi'iya*. Ed. 'Ādil Nuwayhid. Beirut, 1971.
- Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Abū 'Umar Yūsuf. al-Isti'āb fī ma'rifat al-Aṣḥāb. Ed. 'Alī Muḥammad al-Bajāwī. 4 vols. Cairo, n.d.
- -Jāmi bayān al-silm wa-fadlihi. Ed. Abd al-Karīm al-Khatīb. Cairo, 1975.
- —Kitāb al-Istighnā' fi ma'rifat al-mashhūrīn min ḥamalat al-'ilm bi-'l-kunā. Ed. 'Abd Allāh Marḥūl al-Sawālima. 3 vols. Riyadh, 1405/1985..(Published Ed. 'Āmir Ḥasan Ṣabrī. Beirut, 1417/1996.

- —al-Tamhid li-mā fi 'l-Muwaṭṭa' min al-ma'ānī wa-'l-asānīd. Ed. Muṣṭafā b. Aḥmad al-'Alawī and Muḥammad 'Abd al-Karīm al-Bakrī. [Rabat], 1387/1967 ff.
- Ibn Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān. 'Ilal al-ḥadīth. 2 vols. Cairo, 1343-44.
- —Kitāb al-Jarḥ wa-'l-ta'dīl. Ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Yaḥyā al-Mu'allimī al-Yamānī. 4 vols. in 8 parts. Hyderabad, 1371/1952-1373/1953.
- Ibn Abī Ya¶ā, Muḥammad b. Muḥammad. *Ṭabaqāt al-Ḥanābila*. Ed. Muḥammad Ḥamīd al-Fiqī. 2 vols. Cairo, 1371/1952.
- Ibn 'Adı. al-Kāmil fi du'afā' al-rijāl. 7 vols. Beirut, 1404/1984.
- Ibn al-Athīr. al-Lubāb fī tahdhīb al-ansāb. 3 vols. Beirut, n.d.
- Ibn Bashkuwāl. Kitāb al-Şila. 2 vols. Cairo, 1966.
- Ibn Daqıq al-Id, Abu 'l-Fath Muhammad b. 'Alı. al-Iqtirāh fi bayān al-işṭilāh. Ed. 'Āmir Ḥasan Ṣabrī. Beirut, 1417/1996.
- Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī, Aḥmad b. Nūr al-Dīn. al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-Ṣaḥāba. Ed. A. Spenger and Maulawi Abd ul-Hai. 4 vols. Calcutta, 1854-73.
- -Lisān al-Mīzān. 6 vols. Hyderabad, 1329-31.
- —al-Majma' al-mu'assas bi-'l-Mu'jam al-mufahras. Ed. Yūsuf 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Mar'ashlī. 4 vols. Beirut, 1413/1992–1415/1994.
- —al-Nukhat 'alā Kitāb Ibn al-Şalāḥ. Ed. Rabī 'b. Hādī 'Umayr. 2nd edn. 2 vols. Riyadh, 1408/1988.
- -Nuzhat al-nazar fi tawdih Nukhbat al-fikar. Benares, 1394/1974.
- Tahdhib al-Tahdhib. 12 vols. Hyderabad, 1325-7.
- Ibn Ḥibbān, Muḥammad al-Bustī. al-Iḥsān fī taqrīb Ṣaḥīḥ Ibn Ḥibbān. Ed. Shucayb al-Arnaout. 18 vols. 1408/1988.
- —Kitāb al-Majrūḥīn min al-muḥaddithīn wa-'l-du'afā' wa-'l-matrūkīn. Ed. Maḥmūd Ibrāhīm Zāyid. 3 vols. Mecca, n.d.
- Şahih Ibn Hibbān. Ed. Ahmad Muḥammad Shākir. Cairo, 1952.
- —al-Thiqāt. Hyderabad, 1973 ff.
- Ibn al-Imad, 'Abd al-Hayy. Shadharat al-dhahab fi akhbar man dhahab. 8 vols. Cairo, 1350-1.
- Ibn Isḥāq, Muḥammad. The Life of Muhammad: A Translation of Ibn Isḥāq's Sīrat Rasūl Allāh. Trans. Alfred Guillaume. Oxford, 1955.
- Ibn al-Jawzī, Abu 'l-Faraj. Kitāb al-Mawdū'āt. Ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad 'Uthmān. 3 vols. Medina, 1387/1966-1388/1968.
- Ibn Kathīr, Izz al-Dīn. al-Bā'ith al-ḥathīth ilā ma'rifat 'ulūm al-ḥadīth. Ed. Aḥmad Muḥammad Shāķir. Cairo, 1355/1937.
- —al-Bidāya wa-'l-nihāya. 14 vols. Cairo, 1351/1932-1358.
- -al-Lubāb fi tahdhīb al-ansāb. 3 vols. Beirut, n.d.
- Tabaqāt al-fuqahā al-Shāfi tya. Ed. Aḥmad Umar Hāshim and Muḥammad Zaynhum Muḥammad Gharab. 3 vols. Cairo, 1413/1993.
- Ibn Khallikān, Abu 'l- 'Abbās Ahmad b. Muḥammad. Wafayāt al-a'yān wa-anbā' abnā' al-zamān. Ed. Iḥsān 'Abbās, 8 vols. Beirut, 1968-72.

- Ibn Khayr al-Ishbīli, Abū Bakr Muḥammad. Fihrist mā rawāhu 'an shuyūkhihī. 2nd edn. Cairo, 1382/1963.
- Ibn Khuzayma. Şaḥiḥ Ibn Khuzayma. Ed. Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-Acamī. 2 vols. Beirut, 1391/1971.
- Ibn Kinān, Muḥammad b. Isā. al-Murūj al-sundusiya al-faṣiḥa fi talkhiş Ta^prikh al-Ṣāliḥiya. Ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad Duhmān. Damascus, 1366/1947.
- Ibn Māja, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Yazīd al-Qazwīnī. Sunan Ibn Māja. Ed. Fu'ād 'Abd al-Bāqī. 2 vols. Cairo, 1972.
- Ibn Mākūlā. Al-Ikmāl fi raf^e al-irtiyāb ^ean al-mu^atalif wa-'l-mukhtalif min al-asmā^a wa-'l-kunā wa-'l-ansāb. Ed. ^eAbd al-Raḥmān b. Yaḥyā al-Mu^eallimī al-Yamanī. 6 vols. Hyderabad, 1962–7.
- Ibn al-Mustawfi, al-Mubārak b. Ahmad. *Ta'rīkh Irbil*. Ed. Sāmī b. al-Sayyid Khamās al-Şaqqār. 2 vols. Baghdad, 1980.
- Ibn al-Nadim. Kitāb al-Fihrist. Ed. Ridā Tajaddud. N.p., n.d.
- Ibn Qādī Shuhba, Abū Bakr b. Ahmad. *Ṭabaqāt al-Shāfī'īya*. Ed. 'Abd al-'Alīm Khān. 4 vols. Hyderabad, 1398/1978-1400/1980.
- Ibn al-Qaysarānī, Abu 'l-Faḍl Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir. Kitāb al-Ansāb al-muttafiqa fi 'l-khaṭṭ al-mutamāthila fi 'l-naqṭ wa-'l-ḍabṭ. Ed. Kamāl Yūsuf al-Ḥūt. Beirut, 1411/1991.
- —Shurūţ al-a'imma al-sitta (bound with Ḥāzimī. Shurūţ al-a'imma al-khamsa). Ed. Muḥammad Zāhid al-Kawtharī. Cairo, n.d.
- Ibn Qutayba. Kitāb Gharīb al-ḥadīth. Ed. 'Abd Allāh al-Jubūrī. Baghdad, 1977.
- Ta'wil mukhtalif al-ḥadīth. Ed. Muḥammad Zuhrī al-Najjār. Cairo, 1386/1966; Trans. Gérard Lecomte. Le Trait des divergences du ḥadīth d'Ibn Qutayba. Damascus, 1962.
- Ibn Rāfī', Muḥammad. Ta'rīkh 'ulamā' Baghdad (al-musammā Muntakhab al-Mukhtār). Ed. 'Abbās al-'Azzāwī. Baghdad, 1357/1938.
- Ibn Rāhawayh. Musnad Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh. Ed. 'Abd al-Ghafūr 'Abd al-Ḥaqq Ḥusayn Barr al-Balūshī. Medina, 1410/1990.
- Ibn Rajab, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Aḥmad. Kitāb al-Dhayl 'alā Ṭabaqāt al-Ḥanābila. Ed. Muḥammad Ḥāmid al-Fiqī. 2 vols. Cairo, 1952-3.
- Ibn Rushayd, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Umar. Mil al-'ayba bi-mā jumi'a bi-tūl al-ghayba. Vol. 3. Ed. Muḥammad al-Ḥabīb b. al-Khūja. Tunis, 1981.
- Ibn Sa'd. al-Ţabaqāt al-kubrā. Ed. Iḥsān 'Abbās. 9 vols. Beirut, 1380/1960-1388/1968.
- Ibn al-Şalāh, Taqī al-Dīn Abū 'Amr 'Uthmān. Adab al-muftī wa-'l-mustaftī. Ed. Muwaffaq b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Qādir. N.p., 1407/1986.
- —Fatāwā Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ fi 'l-tafsīr wa-'l-ḥadīth wa-'l-uṣūl wa-'l-aqā'id. [Cairo, n.p.], n.d.
- —Fatāwā wa-masā'il Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ (sic). Ed. 'Abd al-Mu'ṭī Amīn Qal'ajī. 2 vols. Beirut, 1406/1986.
- Ḥilyat al-imām al-Shāfi'ī. Ed. Bassām 'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Jābī. Damascus, 1401/1981.

- —Ma'rifat anwā' 'ilm al-ḥadīth. Ed. 'Ā'isha 'Abd al-Raḥmān (under the title Muqaddimat Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ wa-Maḥāsin al-iṣtilāḥ). 2nd edn. Cairo, 1989; Ed. Nūr al-Dīn 'Itr (under the title 'Ulūm al-ḥadīth li-Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ). Aleppo, 1386/1966.
- Tabaqāt al-fuqahā al-Shāfi iya. Ed. Muḥyī al-Dīn 'Alī Najīb. 2 vols. Beirut, 1413/1992.
- Ibn Shaddād, Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. 'Alī. al-A'lāq al-khaṭīra fī dhikr umarā' al-Shām wa-'l-Jazīra, vol. 1, part 1 (Aleppo). Ed. Dominique Sourdel. Damascus, 1953.
- —al-A'lāq al-Khaṭīra fī dhikr umarā' al-Shām wa-'l-Jazīra: Ta'rīkh madīnat Dimashq. Ed. Sāmī al-Dahhān. Damascus, 1375/1956.
- Ibn Taghrī Birdī, Abu 'l-Maḥāsin Yūsuf. al-Nujūm al-zāhira fi mulūk Miṣr wa-'l-Qāhira. 16 vols. Cairo, 1348/1929–1392/1972.
- Ibn Ṭūlūn, Muḥammad al-Ṣāliḥī. al-Qalā'id al-jawharīya fī ta'rīkh al-Ṣāliḥīya. Ed. Muḥammad Aḥmad Duhmān. 2 vols. Damascus, 1401/1980–1401/1981.
- Irāqī, Abu 'l-Fadl Zayn al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥīm b. al-Ḥusayn. al-Tabṣira wa-'l-tadhkira. Ed. Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Irāqī al-Ḥusaynī. 3 vols. Beirut, n.d.
- —al-Taqyīd wa-'l-īḍāḥ li-mā uṭliqa wa-ughliqa min Kitāb Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ. Ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad 'Uthmān. Cairo, 1389/1969.
- Itr, Nur al-Din. Lexique des termes techniques de la science du hadith. Damascus, 1397/1977.
- Juynboll, G.H.A. Muslim Tradition: Studies in Chronology, Provenance and Authorship of Early Hadith. Cambridge, 1983.
- "Muslim's Introduction to his Şaḥiḥ" Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 5(1984):263-311.
- Kattānī, Muḥammad b. Jafar. al-Risāla al-mustaṭrafa li-bayān mashhūr kutub al-sunna al-musharrafa. 3rd edn. Damascus, 1383/1964.
- Khalifa b. Khayyāṭ. *Tabaqāt*. Ed. Suhayl Zakkār. Damascus, 1966; Ed. Akram Diyā al-Umarī. Baghdad, 1967.
- Ta'rīkh Khalīfa ibn Khayyāṭ. Ed. Suhayl Zakkār. 2 vols. Damascus, 1967-8.
- Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. 'Alī. al-Faṣl li-'l-waṣl al-mudraj fi 'l-naql. Ed. Muḥammad b. Maṭar al-Zahrānī. 2 vols. Riyadh, 1418/1997.
- —Al-Ijāza li-'l-ma'dūm wa-'l-majhūl. In Subḥī al-Badrī al-Sāmarrā'ī. Majmū'āt rasā'il fi 'ulūm al-ḥadīth. Medina, 1389/1969.
- —Al-Jāmi' li-akhlāq al-rāmī wa-ādāb al-sāmi'. Ed. Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Ṣalāḥ b. Muḥammad b. 'Uwayūa. Beirut, 1417/1996.
- -Kitāb al-Kifāya fi 'ilm al-rimāya. Hyderabad, 1357.
- —Kitāb Talkhīs al-mutashābih fi 'l-rasm. Ed. Sukayna al-Shihābī. 2 vols. Damascus, 1985.
- —Tarikh Baghdād. 14 vols. Cairo, 1349/1931.
- Khatṭābī. A'lām al-Sunan. Ed. Yūsuf al-Kattānī. 2 vols. Rabat, n.d.
- —Ma^cālim al-Sunan. Ed. Muḥammad Rāghib al-Tabbākh. 4 vols. Aleppo, 1351/1932-1352/1934.

- Laknawī, Abu 'l-Ḥasanāt Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ḥayy. al-Ajwiba al-fāḍila li-'l-as ila al-'ashara al-kāmila. Ed. 'Abd al-Fattāḥ Abū Ghudda. Aleppo, 1384/1964.
- —al-Fawā'id al-bahīya fi tarājim al-Ḥanafiya. Beirut, n.d.
- —al-Raf^e wa-'l-takmila fi 'l-jarḥ wa-'l-ta'dīl. Ed. 'Abd al-Fattāḥ Abū Ghudda. Aleppo, n.d.
- Lālakā'i. Sharh uṣūl i'tiqād ahl al-sunna wa-'l-jamā'a. Ed. Aḥmad Sa'd Ḥamdān. 2d ed. 4 vols. Riyadh, 1411.
- Lane, Edward W. An Arabic-English Lexicon. 8 vols. London, 1863-93.
- Laoust, Henri. La Profession de foi d'Ibn Batta. Damascus, 1958.
- Maḥmūd al-ʿAdawī. Kitāb al-Ziyārāt bi-Dimashq. Ed. Ṣalāḥ al-Dīn al-Munajjid. Damascus, 1956.
- Makdisi, George. The Rise of Colleges: Institutions of Learning in Islam and the West (Edinburgh, 1981).
- Mayyānishī, Abū Ḥafṣ. Mā lā yas u al-muhaddith jahluhū. Ed. Subhī al-Samarrā ī. Baghdad, 1967. Trans. L. Librande, "The supposed homogeneity of technical terms in hadīth study," Muslim World, 72 (1982):34–50.
- Miura, Toru. "The Ṣāliḥīya quarter in the suburbs of Damascus." Bulletin d'études orientales, 47 (1995).
- Mizzī. *Tahdhib al-Kamāl fi asmā* al-rijāl. Ed. Bashshār 'Awwād Ma'rūf. 35 vols. Beirut, 1406/1985–1413/1992.
- al-Mu'azzam Isā. Kitāb al-Radd 'alā Abī Bakr al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī. Cairo, 1351/1932.
- Munajjid, Şalāḥ al-Dīn. "Ijāzāt al-samā' fi 'l-makhṭūṭāt al-qadīma." In Revue de l'Institut des Manuscrits Arabes, 1 (1375/1955): 232-51.
- Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj. Kitāb al-Munfaridāt wa-'l-wuḥdān. Ed. 'Abd al-Ghaffār Sulaymān al-Bundārī. Beirut, 1408/1988.
- —Kitāb al-Tamyiz. Ed. Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-A'zamī. Riyadh, n.d.
- -al-Sāmic al-saḥiḥ, 8 vols, Istanbul, 1329-33.
- Nasā³ī, Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Aḥmad b. Shu'ayb. Sunan al-Nasā³ī. 8 vols. Cairo, ca. 1930.
- Nawawi, Abū Zakarīyā Muḥyi al-Dīn. Tahdhib al-asmā wa-'l-lughāt. 4 vols. (Cairo; nd).
- —al-Taqrib li-'l-Nawawi. Cairo, 1388/1968. Trans. William Marçais. Le Taqrîb de en-Nawawi. Paris, 1902.
- Nu'aymī, 'Abd al-Qādir b. Muhammad. al-Dāris fī ta'rīkh al-madāris. Ed. Ja'far al-Ḥasanī. 2 vols. Damascus, 1948/1367–1951/1370.
- Paşa, İsmail. Hadiyat al-'ārifin: asmā' al-mu'allifin wa-āthār al-muşannifin. Ed. Kilisli Rifat Bilge and İbnülemin Mahmud Kemal İnal. 2 vols. Istanbul, 1951–5.
- İdah al-maknun fi 'l-dhayl 'ala Kashf al-zunun. Ed. Mehemt Serefettin Yaltkaya and Kilisli Rifat Bilge, 2 vols. Istanbul, 1364/1945–1366/1947.
- Pouzet, Louis. Damas au VIIe/XIIIe siècle: Vie et structures religieuses [dans] d'une métropole islamique. Beirut, 1988.
- Al-Qāḍī ʿIyāḍ. al-Ilmāʿ ilā maʿrifat uṣūl al-riwāya wa-taqyīd al-samāʿ. Ed. al-Sayyid Aḥmad Ṣaqr. Cairo, 1389/1970.

- -Mashāriq al-anwār 'alā sahīh al-āthār. 2 vols. Beirut, n.d.
- Qifți. *Inbāh al-ruwāt 'alā anbā' al-nuḥāt*. Ed. Muḥammad Abu 'l-Faḍl Ibrāhīm. 4 vols. Cairo, 1369/1950–73.
- Rāmahurmuzī, al-Ḥasan b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān. al-Muḥaddith al-fāṣil bayn al-rāwī wa-'l-wā'ī. Ed. Muḥammad 'Ajjāj al-Khaṭīb. Beirut, 1391/1971.
- Robson, James. "Traditions from Individuals." In Journal of Semitic Studies 9 (1964):327-40.
- Rosenthal, Franz. "Abū Ḥayyān at-Tawhīdī on Penmanship." In Four Essays on Art and Literature in Islam. Leiden, 1971.
- -A History of Muslim Historiography. 2nd edn. Leiden, 1968.
- —The Technique and Approach of Muslim Scholarship. Rome, 1947.
- Şafadı, Khalıl b. Aybak. al-Wāfi bi-'l-wafayāt. Ed. Hellmut Ritter et al. Istanbul/Wiesbaden, 1931 ff.
- Sakhāwi, Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān. Fath al-Mughith ['alā] sharh Alfiyat al-hadīth li-'l-'Irāqī. 2nd edn. 3 vols. Medina, 1388/1968—1389/1969.
- —al-I'lān bi-'l-tawbīkh li-man dhamma ahl al-ta'rīkh. Ed. Franz Rosenthal. Beirut, 1407/1987.
- Sakhāwī. al-Jawāhir wa-'l-durar fi tarjamat shaykh al-Islām Ibn Ḥajar. Ed. Ḥāmid 'Abd al-Majīd and Ṭāhā al-Zaynī. Only one vol. published. Cairo, 1406/1986.
- Salisbury, Edward E. "Contributions from original sources to our knowledge of the science of Muslim tradition." In Journal of the American Oriental Society, 7 (1862):60-142.
- Sam'ānī, Abū Sa'īd 'Abd al-Karīm b. Abī Bakr. Ādāb al-imlā' wa-'l-istmlā'. Ed. Max Weisweiler. Leiden, 1952.
- —al-Ansāb. Ed. 'Abd Allāh al-Bārūdī. 5 vols. Beirut, 1408/1988.
- Sayyid, Fu'ad. Fihrist al-makhtūtāt: mustalah al-hadīth. Cairo, 1375/1956.
- Sezgin, Fuat. Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums. 10 vols. Leiden, 1967-95.
- Sibi b. al-Jawzī, Abu 'l-Muzaffar Yūsuf b. Quzughlī. Mir āt al-zamān fī ta rīkh al-a yān. Vol. 8 in two parts. Hyderabad, 1370/1951–1371/1952.
- Sourdel, Dominique. "Les Professeurs de Madrasa à Alep aux XIIe-XIIIe siècles d'après Ibn Shaddad." In Bulletin d'études orientales, 13 (1949-51).
- Subkī, Tāj al-Dīn Abū Naṣr 'Abd al-Wahhāb b. Taqī al-Dīn. *Ṭabaqāt al-Shāfi'īya al-kubrā*. Ed. 'Abd al-Faṭṭāḥ Muḥammad al-Ḥilw and Maḥmūd Muḥammad al-Ṭanāḥī. 10 vols. Cairo, 1383/1963–[1396]/1976.
- Suyūṭī, Jalāl al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān. *Ḥusn al-muḥāḍara fī taʾrīkh Miṣr wa-'l-Qāhira*. Ed. Muḥammad Abu 'l-Faḍl Ibrāhīm. 2 vols. Cairo, 1387/1967–1387/1968.
- Tabaqāt al-ḥuffāz. Ed. 'Alī Muḥammad 'Umar. Cairo, 1393/1973.
- —Tabaqāt al-mufassirin. Ed. 'Alī Muḥammad Umar. Cairo, 1396/1976.
- Tadrīb al-rāwī fī sharh Taqrīb al-Nawāwī (sic). Ed. 'Abd al-Wahhāb 'Abd al-Laṭīf. 2nd edn. 2 vols. Cairo, 1385/1966.
- Țabarānī, Sulaymān b. Aḥmad. al-Mu'jam al-saghīr. Ed. Kamāl Yūsuf al-Ḥūt. Beirut, 1406/1986.

- Tahānawī, Muḥammad 'Alī b. 'Alī. Kashshāf işţilāḥāt al-funūn. 2 vols. [Istanbul], 1317.
- Tībāwī, 'Abd al-Laṭīf. "Ba'd al-madāris al-Islāmīya fi 'l-Quds al-sharīf fī ākhir al-qarn al-tāsi' al-hijrī." In Majallat Majma' al-lugha al-'Arabīya bi-Dimashq, 58 (1403/1983).
- Tirmidhī. Şaḥīḥ al-Tirmidhī (bi-sharḥ al-imām Ibn al-Arabī al-Mālikī). 13 vols. Cairo, 1350/1931–1352/1334.
- Ulabi, Akram Hasan. Khitat Dimashq. Damascus, 1410/1989.
- 'Ulaymī, al-Uns al-jalīl bi-ta'rīkh al-Quds wa-'l-Khalīl. Ed. Muḥammad Baḥr al-'Ulūm. 2 vols. Najaf, 1388/1968.
- Yahyā b. Ma'in. al-Ta'rikh. Ed. Ahmad Muḥammad Nūr Sayf. 4 vols. Mecca, 1979.
- Ya'qūb b. Shayba. Musnad Amīr al-mu'minīn 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb. Ed. Kamāl Yūsuf al-Ḥūt. Beirut, 1985.
- Ya'qūb b. Sufyān al-Fasawī. Kitāb al-Ma'rifa wa-'l-ta'rīkh. Ed. Akram Diyā' al-'Umarī. 2nd edn. 3 vols. Beirut, 1401/1981.
- Yāqūt, Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd Allāh. Mu'jam al-buldān. Ed. Ferdinand Wüstenfeld. 6 vols. Leipzig, 1866-73.
- Zakarīyā' al-Anṣārī, Zayn al-Dīn Abū Yaḥyā Zakarīyā' b. Muḥammad al-Sakanī al-Azharī. Fatḥ al-Bāqī 'alā Alfīyat al-'Irāqī (published with Irāqī, al-Tabṣira wa-'l-tadhkira). Ed. Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn al-Irāqī al-Ḥusaynī. 3 vols. Beirut, n.d.